1	Thursday, 8 February 2024
2	(10.00 am)
3	Further Part 9 application by MS MITCHELL
4	LORD BRACADALE: Ms Mitchell, I understand you have
5	an additional point.
6	MS MITCHELL: Yes, I do and that additional point arises as
7	a result of disclosure in tranche 18, the Inquiry will
8	know that disclosure is ongoing throughout course of the
9	Inquiry, as these things do. Tranche 18 was disclosed
LO	on 5 February 2024, revealing an additional statement of
11	Irene Scullion of PIRC. This additional statement was
L2	taken from her to allow her to comment on comments
L3	attributed to her by Kate Frame.
L 4	Kate Frame says she is told by Ms Scullion this
L5	is to be found at Kate Frame's statement, 162, 163 and
L 6	164, that she then said, and this is referring to
L7	Irene Scullion:
L8	"She then said that a man had been seen chasing
L9	after cars with a knife, that the police had been
20	dispatched and Police Scotland had considered that it
21	might be a terrorist incident. Against the backdrop of
22	the information that she provided I didn't understand
23	why it had been considered to be a terrorist incident
24	and asked why. She said it was because the man was what
25	she described as 'a coloured gentleman' and I asked her

1 what she meant by that and whether there was anything to point to it being a terrorist incident, as I still found 2 3 it difficult to understand what would cause the police to think that the incident was terror-related. She said 4 5 that his actions in chasing after and striking out at cars with a knife may have contributed to that believe, 6 7 and she referenced an attack in England. Ms Scullion informed me that Police Scotland and not the individual 8 officers had considered it might be a terrorist 9 10 incident. "I have been asked where Irene Scullion obtained the 11 12 information~..." 13 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Mitchell, could I ask you to slow down 14 a little bit. I think the stenographer is struggling. 15 MS MITCHELL: "I have been asked where Irene Scullion obtained the information that Police Scotland had 16 considered it might be a terrorists incident. I don't 17 know but I would assume she spoke to Mr Harrower and 18 someone in Police Scotland." 19 20 A statement was then taken from Irene Scullion, she 21 denies she used the phrases "a coloured gentleman" but 22 when asked in relation to who told her this question she said, "It would have been Mr Harrower or Mr Cary". So 23 I would like to put to this witness whether or not it 24 was him that said this, whether or not he raised issue 25

of terrorism with Irene Scullion in a telephone call and if this was linked to Mr Bayoh's colour.

Further, my Lord, yesterday you asked me in relation to the first issue the date of when it was known by Mr Harrower that the information had changed, and further to investigation it appears that the document which is referred to in which the information had changed was available to Mr Harrower prior to taking a statement from him on 4 June 2015.

LORD BRACADALE: Thank you.

Thank you for giving notice of the additional matter which I shall allow you to explore. In relation to the proposed questions about briefing document PIRC 03694, I shall allow that line of questioning. I shall also allow the proposed questions as to whether the witness was aware of the suggestion that officers were refusing to give statements pending the outcome of the post mortem, and if so, whether he discussed that with Mr Green.

In relation to the application in respect of the section on restraint in the witness interview strategy PIRC 04182, having regard to the terms of the general introductory paragraph under the heading, "Arrest and restraint", I do not think that this line of questioning would assist me and I shall not allow that.

1	In relation to the further questioning about
2	the Commissioner's letter to Mr Anwar, no doubt Ms Frame
3	can be asked about the letter in due course. I do not
4	think that I would be assisted by further exploration of
5	this chapter with this witness and I shall not allow
6	that.
7	I shall allow Ms McCall to ask her questions in
8	relation to the Rule 8 statement of Ross Sinclair.
9	So if we could have the witness back, please, and if
10	Ms Mitchell and Ms Grahame could rearrange their
11	seating.
12	MR KEITH HARROWER (continued)
13	LORD BRACADALE: Good morning. Good morning, Mr Harrower.
14	You are going to be asked some questions first this
15	morning by Ms Mitchell, senior counsel for the
16	Sheku Bayoh families.
17	Ms Mitchell.
18	Questions from MS MITCHELL
19	MS MITCHELL: I am obliged. Could we have on screen
20	PIRC 03694. This is the briefing note of 3 May which we
21	have already looked at when my learned friend was asking
22	you questions.
23	If we can scroll down to the part where it said:
24	"They could clearly see he was in possession of
25	a knife and was making his way towards them."

1 I think it is down on the next page. If we stop there. Do you see in that second paragraph there: 2 3 "It was reported that as the officers drove into 4 Hayfield Road~..." 5 Α. Yes. 6 That paragraph here. Now, we understand from your Q. evidence that you gave yesterday that after this time it 7 became apparent that the idea that Mr Bayoh had a knife 8 9 with him, in possession of a knife and was making his 10 way towards them, might not in fact be correct; is that 11 so? 12 Α. Yes, that was not on the Sunday. That was sort of in 13 the early stages I think of the enquiry as it 14 progressed, I don't know what the actual time scale on 15 that was. Indeed. But we know from a document that we also saw 16 Q. 17 yesterday in relation to preparation for witness questions that by -- as of the date I think 4 June there 18 was a document prepared which moved away from 19 20 a statement that the officers -- that Mr Bayoh had been 21 seen with a knife in his possession. 22 Yes, and I think there was a note in brackets, I think Α. 23 from memory, from looking at that, questioning that or suggesting that that might not be the case. 24

Indeed. So what I would like to ask you about that is

25

Q.

1 given the fact that this information was posed so definitively at the time: 2 3 "They could clearly see he was in possession of 4 a knife and was making his way towards them." 5 And that is a report you have been given, when you found out that that may not be the case, and that there 6 7 was a different narrative in place that he didn't have a knife when the police approached him, did you become 8 9 suspicious that at some point officers or someone had 10 suggested that he was clearly in possession of a knife and he moved -- and they moved away from that? 11 12 Α. So this is clearly into the enquiry after the Sunday --13 Q. Yes. -- you are referring you to. Obviously at that stage 14 Α. 15 the direction on the investigation was being conducted by Billy Little and John McSporran. Now, I am aware 16 17 certainly I don't know any great detail that other officers, other than the officers who did not initially 18 provide accounts of the incident, were interviewed in 19 20 relation to that, and in relation to their observations 21 and involvement in the incident. And I would believe 22 that that would be covered with any of these officers as regards what their observations were, what was conveyed 23 back and forward --24 Q. With respect, that isn't my question. My question is 25

- having been told first of all that someone was in

  possession of a knife and was making his way towards

  people, and then finding out later that that was not the

  case, or didn't seem to be the case, would that make you

  suspicious that at the start you had not been told the

  right information?
- 7 A. It would certainly I think make it clear that the information that was conveyed was not factual.

- Q. If that information that was conveyed is not factual, would that cause you to be concerned that someone had lied about that?
- A. Not specifically lied, no, because as I said I think in the evidence that I gave previously, that it is not common but it has happened a lot where you get initial versions of an event which is given in all honesty and what has been passed but maybe through -- as it goes through different hands it potentially gets mixed up or there is a misinterpretation of what they have been told, so that is a kind of collective in that information that is passed on during the briefing.
  - Q. So at a later stage when you were asking questions -- we know that you asked questions of at least one witness and he was one of the first to attended at the scene -- did you enquire as to why it had been the case that an impression was formed first of all that Mr Bayoh had

- 1 clearly been in possession of a knife and thereafter
  2 that had changed?
- I can't remember the detail of the statement, I would 3 Α. 4 need to look at that. The purpose of that interview was 5 to follow that -- the initial interview plan to gain as much information as possible about that officer's 6 7 lead-up to the incident, his involvement directly with Mr Bayoh, and also -- I think I also said any questions 8 9 that I thought were relevant during the course of that 10 interview to add on to that would be asked and would be
- Q. But Mr Harrower, wouldn't it have been significant to
  you, if you believed that -- you had information that
  you had been told that police officers they could
  clearly see he was in possession of a knife and making
  his way towards them and then in that second interview
  you were being told something different, to explore that
  difference?

contained within the statement.

- 19 A. I don't know what the origins collectively of that
  20 information was, I understand --
- Q. Indeed, and wouldn't that be all the more reason you might want to explore that?
- A. My understanding was that the officers had not given accounts, so ...
- 25 Q. Well, the officers might not have given accounts but we

1 have a briefing note and you explained the importance of 2 the briefing note yesterday to the Chair, and why that was important. The briefing note is clear that it says 3 4 he was in possession of a knife and making his way 5 towards them. 6 If we took it away from this example and we moved 7 for example towards a civilian incident, and you had found out as a police officer -- take it away from PIRC, 8 take it as a police officer -- if you had identified, as 9 10 a police officer, that you had been told by somebody 11 that they had seen man in possession of a knife making 12 their way towards someone, and then you found out later 13 that wasn't the case, would you not be interested in pursuing that as a line of enquiry as to why that had 14 15 changed? 16 Depending on the circumstances it would be possible that Α. that would be pursued after the event to then fill in 17 18 any apparent blanks or to complete the whole picture. 19 Did you pursue that with the witness that you asked --Q. 20 Not on the Sunday, no. Α. 21 Did you pursue it at all? Q. 22 Not personally, no. But as I said, my understanding is Α. that a number of witnesses, obviously the officers that 23 attended the scene immediately after the incident 24

started, that would have given accounts --

- Q. When you said "not personally", do you know if any of your colleagues did?
- A. I am aware in general the other officers that attended

  were interviewed at some stage, I don't know --
- 5 Q. But you don't know whether they followed the line of 6 enquiry of the change in position?
- 7 A. No, I don't, no.
- Q. The next question I would like to ask you is about you attended at Kirkcaldy Police Office on 3rd and no doubt you were getting information, you've already mentioned Pat Campbell. Were you aware from anyone, including Pat Campbell or otherwise, that it had been said that police officers would not provide a statement until the outcome of the post mortem?
- 15 A. No, I was told that on legal advice that the officers

  16 received they were not providing witness accounts at

  17 that time. I wasn't aware that that related in some

  18 form to the potential outcome of a post mortem.
- Q. I see. So if that was the case, did you become aware
  later that that was the position? Not on the day of the
  3rd, did you become aware at a later stage?
- A. I'm not sure in relation to any reference to the post mortem.
- Q. So you wouldn't be able to assist us as to whether or not Mr Green was aware of that? You certainly didn't

- 1 pass that information on to him?
- 2 A. No, I did not, no.
- 3 Q. I would like to go back to an issue that we -- that was
- 4 discussed yesterday, and that issue was about your --
- 5 sorry, no, I will come to that later. I would like to
- discuss an issue with you in relation to evidence that
- 7 we've not heard yet but written evidence which we will
- 8 come to hear of an Irene Scullion. You know
- 9 Irene Scullion, she is a colleague of yours.
- 10 A. Yes, I do. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you give us her title at the time?
- 12 A. She was previously head of investigations for the PIRC.
- Q. As she was head of investigations were you having
- 14 conversations with her on 3 May or --
- 15 A. No, I don't believe I spoke to her on the 3rd, probably
- on Monday 4 May.
- 17 Q. I see. Is there a reason you wouldn't have spoken to
- her on the 3rd?
- 19 A. Not particularly. I would probably -- I don't know for
- 20 sure that Ricky Casey would have spoken to her in his
- 21 position as a senior investigator.
- 22 Q. I see. So you presume it would have been Mr Casey that
- would have had a conversation with her?
- 24 A. Yes, that is the presumption, I don't know for sure
- 25 whether he spoke to her that day or the following day.

- Q. Did you speak to Mr Casey and ask him at all? Or was
  there any conversation between the two of as you to who
  would report that matter up?
- A. No, I don't recall -- it would be something that

  would -- I would expect would be done that the head of

  investigations, if it was a critical incident, at some

  point would be made aware of the existence and the fact

  that we had resources deployed.
  - Q. When you spoke to her can you recall what your conversation was about; did you tell her about the background of the incident?

9

10

- A. I don't know the specific conversations, again this

  would be speculation. As a matter of routine, if she

  was in the office then I would certainly have some

  conversation regarding the activities and involvement of

  myself and my team that day. But I don't recall any

  direct conversation with her.
- Q. If I may read from you, this is a statement given from

  Kate Frame and she is told -- she says she is repeating

  something told by Ms Scullion, so Kate Frame's

  statement -- I don't need it brought up unless -- oh,

  it's available, thank you. Kate Frame's statement

  paragraph 162, thank you.
- So we see paragraph 162 starts:
- 25 "She then said that the man had been seen ..."

This is Kate Frame referencing Irene Scullion: 1 "She then said that the man had been seen chasing 2 3 after cars with a knife, that the police had been dispatched and that Police Scotland had considered that 4 5 it might be a terrorist incident. Against the backdrop of the information that she'd provided, I didn't 6 7 understand why it had been considered to be a terrorist incident and asked why. She said it was because the man 8 9 was what she described as 'a coloured gentleman', and 10 I asked her what she meant by that and whether there was anything else to point to it being a terrorist incident, 11 12 as I still found it quite difficult to understand what 13 would cause the police officers to think that the 14 incident was terror-related. She said that his actions 15 in chasing after and striking out at cars with a knife may have contributed to that belief, and she referenced 16 17 an attack in England. "Mrs Scullion informed me that 'Police Scotland' and 18 not the individual officers had considered that it might 19 20 be a terrorist incident." 21 Then she says: "I have been asked where Irene Scullion obtained the 22 information that Police Scotland considered it might be 23 a terrorist incident. I don't know but I would assume 24

that she spoke to Mr Harrower or someone within

1		Police Scotland."
2		When Irene Scullion was asked about this, first of
3		all she took issue with Kate Frame's description as
4		being "a coloured gentleman" but secondly she says that
5		she would have received the information from Mr Casey or
6		Mr Harrower. What I would like to ask you is, having
7		heard that, firstly were you aware that Police Scotland
8		were considering it a terrorist incident in the first
9		stages?
10	A.	No, it wasn't. There was no consideration of that that
11		was raised with me at all.
12	Q.	No consideration. So there is no consideration you
13		would say presumably that would be raised with Mr Casey
14		either?
15	Α.	No. He was, as far as I am aware, was basically got
16		the information direct from me at any stage on
17		an update, or during the course of that day. So to read
18		that, that is a surprise to me, that certainly never
19		came from me because if there had been anything that had
20		suggested it was terrorist-linked then clearly that
21		would have been highlighted in that briefing document as
22		well.
23	Q.	So what we have is Kate Frame telling her that
24		Irene Scullion has heard this from either you or
25		Mr Casey and reported it back. That is

- 1 A. Absolutely not.
- 2 Q. -- as we understand it?
- 3 A. Not a possibility of it.
- 4 Q. So are you suggesting it is not a possibility that
- 5 either you or Mr Casey --
- A. No, I am suggesting from my part. I can only speak from
- 7 my part and I can only convey that I gave updates to
- 8 Ricky Casey during the course of the day but I have
- 9 never articulated that in relation to considerations for
- 10 the incident.
- 11 Q. This Inquiry has heard evidence that at the very early
- 12 stages of the enquiry that was an initial but discounted
- 13 hypothesis; were you aware of that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Had you been aware of that on the day?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is it possible you communicated that with her on that
- morning and later the situation changed and that is why
- 19 you can't remember it?
- 20 A. I think it is unlikely because if that had been
- 21 considered and dismissed at an early stage, then the
- 22 relevance of that ~... I can't see that being included in
- a detailed outline and summary of the incident.
- 24 Q. But Mr --
- 25 A. Unless I had been asked to respond, "Was there any

consideration that that what the case?" And then I would have confirmed that was no. 2 But Mr Casey was getting his information from you about 3 Q. 4 what had happened --As far as I am aware, I don't know what other 5 Α. 6 communications he had with anyone who had a good 7 understanding of how things were developing on the day, other than I know he was involved in the -- in relation 8 9 to the media side of things, whether he actually communicated with Police Scotland or Crown in relation 10 to any of that and there was any discussions there, but 11 12 as I say that is -- I am just --13 Q. Following the chain of command would you have expected 14 anyone else who was there that day to have been passing 15 information to him? Not -- that didn't happen as far as I am aware, I would 16 be the single point of contact and the conduit for that. 17 MS MITCHELL: Thank you. 18 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Mitchell, if you would go back to your 19 20 seat and Ms McCall can come forward. 21 Questions from MS MCCALL LORD BRACADALE: Mr Harrower, Ms McCall, senior counsel for 22 Sergeant Maxwell, has some questions for you. 23 MS MCCALL: Mr Harrower, yesterday afternoon you were asked 24 a number of questions about the interview strategy that 25

was prepared by one of PIRC's interview advisers. 1 2 Yes. Α. 3 You were asked about the fact that there were no Q. questions in that seeking information from the officers 4 5 to justify their use of force. Do you remember that passage of questions? 6 7 Yes. Α. I think you were also asked whether you or less 8 Q. 9 senior investigators might have felt constrained by the 10 interview strategy from asking other questions, do you recall that? 11 12 Α. Yes, I recall that. 13 I think you said you personally didn't feel constrained; Q. 14 is that right? 15 No, I personally didn't. Not at all, far from it. Α. An example was given to you of another investigator who 16 Q. 17 might have felt constrained and that was Ross Stewart. Do you remember being asked about that? 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 And a quote from Mr Stewart was put to you, and I will Q. 21 just remind you what was put to you as a quote from him. 22 It was: 23 "I do not recall considering specifically asking Sergeant Maxwell why he took a particular course of 24

action. I do not recall being encouraged to ask why."

1 It may have appeared that was a single remark from Mr Stewart but in fact it is sentences that come from 2 3 different parts of his statement that have been put 4 together when they are presented to you. 5 Α. Okay. 6 So what I want to do is just put those sentences in Q. 7 their actual context and ask for your comment. Do you follow me? 8 Yes, I follow. 9 Α. 10 Q. So the context of the first part of it was it was put to you that Ross Stewart didn't appear to consider asking 11 12 questions about what the justification was for the use 13 of force, or the intention to use force. The part that 14 was put to you about that was: 15 "I do not recall considering specifically asking Sergeant Maxwell why he took a particular course of 16 17 action." 18 Leaving aside for the moment that the Inquiry's evidence is that Sergeant Maxwell didn't use force at 19 20 all against Mr Bayoh, I take it he may have been asked 21 to justify decisions that he took as the supervisor that 22 day; would that be fair? A. Yes, absolutely. Yes. 23 So I want to give you the actual quote from Mr Stewart. 24 Q. It was this: 25

1		"I do not recall considering specifically asking
2		Sergeant Maxwell why he took a particular course of
3		action. He answered this question with the answers he
4		provided."
5		So that was the whole remark from Mr Stewart.
6		Does that accord with the approach that you were
7		describing yesterday, that as matters developed in the
8		interview, the officer witnesses may have volunteered
9		the why for their actions, in which case you would have
10		noted that down?
11	Α.	Yes. Well, from what you have said there, it comes
12		across to me that he has considered that, however, the
13		officer that he is interviewing has provided that
14		information thus negating the need to pursue that any
15		further, and that is a decision for him, quite rightly,
16		conducting the interview, and evaluating whether he has
17		sufficient information to cover all the questions that
18		may be asked.
19	Q.	The second part of it is it was also put to you that
20		Mr Stewart said, and this was the last bit of the quote
21		that was put to you:
22		"I do not recall being encouraged to ask why."
23		And that was the suggestion was he may have felt
24		constrained in some way by the strategy. So I will read
25		you now the full quote from Mr Stewart from which that

1 came and it's this: 2 "I was noting Sergeant Maxwell's statement. I, as 3 an investigator, would have no issues to adding additional questions before the interview and asking 4 5 more questions during the interview if I felt it necessary, while remaining focused on the purpose of the 6 7 interview. I do not recall being encouraged to ask why." 8 9 So with that whole quote now available to you, again 10 does Mr Stewart appear to have taken the same approach as you, which was that he felt at liberty to ask further 11 12 questions if needed? 13 Again, if I was evaluating what I thought that meant Α. 14 that would be exactly the case, that he would develop 15 that interview and record that information as he saw fit, and the last part of that quotation is -- I don't 16 17 know if that was in response to a question but he has made the comment that -- stating the fact that nobody 18 has encouraged him to do that. However, the way he was 19 20 approaching it was methodical and he would use his own 21 initiative as far as additional questioning with that 22 onus on whatever subject matter he was involved with at that time. 23 Q. On 4 June you told us about you and a number of 24 investigators going to the Police College to interview 25

the officer witnesses? 2 Α. Yes. I think what you said to us yesterday was you had some 3 Q. 4 discussions beforehand about the strategy and what was 5 going to happen and you also had breaks in between where things could be discussed if needs be; is that right? 6 7 Α. Yes. Do you consider that Mr Stewart, or indeed any of the 8 Q. 9 PIRC investigators that interviewed the officers that 10 day, were in any way hampered by the interview strategy? No. As I say, that was initially there as a specific 11 Α. 12 guide, there has obviously been observations that --13 certain opinions that questions could be included within that, but it would -- nobody would ever be persuaded to 14 15 take one straight line on things and then not use their initiative and their experience to ask the relevant 16 17 questions as the interview and the statement developed. MS MCCALL: Many thanks, Mr Harrower. Thank you, sir. 18 19 LORD BRACADALE: Mr Harrower, thank you very much for coming 20 to give evidence to the Inquiry. That is the evidence 21 completed now. I am grateful for your time. 22 Inquiry is going to adjourn briefly in order to organise things for the next witness and when that happens you 23 will be free to go. 24 Thank you, sir. 25 Α.

- 1 LORD BRACADALE: We will adjourn now.
- 2 (10.30 am)
- 3 (A short break)
- 4 (10.40 am)
- 5 LORD BRACADALE: Good morning Mr Lewis. Will you raise your
- 6 hand and say the words of the oath.
- 7 MR ALISTAIR LEWIS (sworn)
- 8 Questions from MS THOMSON
- 9 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson.
- 10 MS THOMSON: Can you confirm your full name.
- 11 A. Alistair Lewis.
- 12 Q. Your age?
- 13 A. 61.
- Q. I believe you are a deputy senior investigator with the
- Police Investigations and Review Commissioner?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. We have been calling them PIRC for short.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I believe that you have worked for PIRC since 2013?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Initially as an investigator?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And that you were promoted to deputy senior investigator
- 24 in 2018?
- 25 A. That is correct, yes.

- 1 Q. Before we go any further, can I ask you to take a look
- 2 at the blue folder that is in front of you. There are
- 3 some documents in there that you might find it helpful
- 4 to refer to during the course of your evidence, so
- 5 I will just take you through what should be in the
- folder.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. First of all, there should be a statement that you
- 9 prepared on 9 July of 2015. That is PIRC 00341, if we
- 10 can bring that up on the screen for a moment, please.
- So do we see this is a statement prepared by you on
- 12 9 July 2015?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What was the purpose of you preparing that statement?
- 15 A. The main reason for that was the start of the
- investigation, rather than preparing a statement at the
- end of the investigation, you had it ongoing and could
- fill in to it. However, predominantly my FLO log was my
- 19 reference book for any future statements.
- Q. So why was this statement prepared on 9 July?
- 21 A. No specific reason on 9 July, probably just the time --
- I took the time to sit down and make a start on it, and
- it just happened to be 9 July.
- 24 Q. Did you prepare any further operational statements after
- 25 the one that you prepared on 9 July?

- A. No, I think that was a sort of continuation, I had
  that -- if you like a living document, for want of
  a better word, which I then completed at the end of the
- 4 investigation.
- 5 Q. Sorry, what was the living document, this --
- A. The statement. It was something I could go into and update as and when required with reference to my FLO log.
- 9 Q. I see. So, although it is dated 9 July, do I understand
  10 correctly that you started writing the statement on
  11 9 July but completed it at some later date?
- 12 A. Probably, yes.

25

13 I wonder if we can just be clear on that, Mr Lewis. Q. 14 I don't think we need to look at the statement on the 15 screen in detail but if you have it open in front of you it's a chronological record of your involvement with the 16 17 family of Mr Bayoh. It begins with your involvement on 4 May, on the second page of this statement. The 18 19 statement is only a few pages long. There is the detail 20 of your involvement on 4 May. On the third page we see 21 entries that relate to 5 and 6 May, 8 May, 13 and 22 14 May. The page after that takes us from 29 May through June and all the way up to 6 July. And the 23 final entry on the last page of the statement relates to 24

the events of 8 July.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And the statement was written on 9 July?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So I am wondering whether in fact this statement is
- 5 a record of your involvement up to and including 9 July?
- 6 A. It -- it could well be. It was -- maybe I had sat down
- 7 on 9 July and compiled my involvement to that point with
- 8 reference to the FLO log. 9 July would be a time when
- 9 I just sat down to do the statement.
- 10 Q. All right. You will correct me if I am wrong but
- I certainly don't see any entries in the statement that
- go beyond the 9 July?
- 13 A. No, no.
- 14 Q. So it appears that this was a statement that you
- prepared on 9 July that records your involvement with
- Mr Bayoh's family up to 9 July?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But you said a moment ago you didn't prepare any further
- 19 operational statements?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Although you did also mention the FLO logs?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. We will talk about those in a little bit more detail
- later but should I understand that the FLO logs record
- 25 your involvement with Mr Bayoh's family beyond 9 July of

1		2015?
2	Α.	Yes, yes.
3	Q.	When you prepared that statement did you do your best to
4		tell the truth and give an accurate account of your
5		involvement?
6	Α.	Yes, yes.
7	Q.	We can put that statement to one side and look at what
8		else is in the folder. There should be two statements
9		you have provided to this Inquiry. The first has the
10		reference SBPI 00246.
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	And it was prepared on 25 October of 2022. So this is
13		your statement and if we can go to the very last page,
14		please, you will see that the statement was signed on
15		23 January of 2023 and although your signature has been
16		blacked out, there should be a visible signature on the
17		hard copy in your folder?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	If we look at the final paragraph, paragraph 43 it
20		reads:
21		"I believe the facts stated in this witness
22		statement are true. I understand that this statement
23		may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be
24		published on the Inquiry's website."
25	Α.	Yes.

- 1 Q. And you gave the statement in that knowledge that your
- 2 statement would form part of the evidence before
- 3 the Chair?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. A year later you gave a second statement to the Inquiry
- on 24 and 25 October of 2023 and that is SBPI 00432,
- 7 that should be the next document in your folder.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Again, if we scroll down just a little we see it is your
- 10 statement taken on 24 and 25 October of last year, and
- again if we can go to the last page please, we see it
- 12 was signed on 17 January of this year. Again, your
- 13 statement -- sorry, your signature has been redacted but
- 14 should be visible in the copy that you have. And the
- final paragraph is in identical terms to the final
- paragraph in your previous statement. So again you
- 17 confirm that the facts stated in the witness statement
- are true, and you understand that the statement may form
- 19 part of the evidence before the Inquiry.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You should also have in your folder, and we don't need
- 22 to put these on the screen just yet, the FLO logs you
- 23 refer to and there should be three logs there. (Pause).
- 24 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 25 Q. We will look at these logs in detail later on but the

- purpose of including them in your folder, Mr Lewis, is
  so that they are available to you if you would find it
  helpful to refer to your statements or to the logs at
  any point when you are giving your evidence. Please do
  so. There are certain passages that I will ask to be
  brought up on the screen when I want to ask you some
  questions. If there is anything you would like me to
- 9 A. Okay.

8

10 Q. I want to begin by asking you some questions about your
11 career and your experience. In your first Inquiry
12 statement you explain you began your career in 1979 as
13 cadet with Strathclyde Police Force?

put up on screen, just let me know.

- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And in 1980 you became a constable in South Lanarkshire.

  If you will forgive my geographical ignorance, is

  South Lanarkshire within what was the geographical
  boundaries of Strathclyde Police?
- 19 A. Yes, it was. Yes.
- Q. In 1991 you explain in your statement you transferred to road policing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And after ten years in road policing you were promoted to sergeant?
- 25 A. Yes, that is correct.

- 1 Q. You explain that there was a short stint back in
- 2 divisional policing but otherwise you remained in road
- 3 policing until your retirement in 2010?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. By which time you had 30 years of Police Service. So
- 6 roughly speaking 10 years in divisional policing and
- 7 20 years in road policing?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You explain that during your time in road policing you
- 10 were appointed as a senior investigating officer for
- 11 road traffic deaths?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were also appointed as a family liaison officer
- and a family liaison co-ordinator?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. You retired in 2010 and I wanted to ask what was your
- 17 rank when you retired?
- 18 A. Sergeant.
- 19 Q. You then joined the PIRC in 2013?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Initially, as discussed, as an investigator and I think
- 22 also as a family liaison officer?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. You were promoted in 2018 to deputy SIO and also I think
- family liaison co-ordinator?

- 1 A. I was family liaison co-ordinator prior to that. But
- 2 yes, that was predominantly my role after being
- 3 promoted.
- Q. When did you become a family liaison co-ordinator?
- 5 A. I had been trained within Strathclyde police as a family
- 6 liaison co-ordinator and again, with PIRC I was over in
- 7 Dublin for another course which effectively was
- 8 a refresher course for me.
- 9 Q. So were you both a family liaison officer and family
- 10 liaison co-ordinator from the point of your appointment
- 11 with the PIRC?
- 12 A. Yes, I had been on those training courses within
- 13 Strathclyde police.
- 14 Q. I understand that you haven't deployed as a family
- liaison officer since you were promoted in 2018?
- 16 A. Yes, I took on more of a co-ordination role in relation
- to at that time, 2015, six FLOs and then it progressed
- 18 to about 12, so because my position was as a line
- 19 manager I felt it was more appropriate for me to
- 20 co-ordinate the FLOs within the organisation than trying
- 21 to be deployed, unless it was an absolute necessity in
- 22 relation to an investigation.
- 23 Q. I think you explain in your second Inquiry statement you
- 24 took a step back from the deployment and you focused on
- 25 the co-ordination --

1 A. Yes.

Q. -- side of FLO work. We are going to discuss the role
and responsibilities of a family liaison officer, or FLO
for short, in some detail but I wonder as a general
introduction to the subject if you could explain what
a FLO is.

A. Family liaison, the officer takes the role of communication, you are the conduit between the family and the senior investigating officer of any investigation. So it's to establish the parameters of that role, and to liaise with the family and to pass information to the family, and back to the SIO. And also to engage with the family from an involvement --

Q. Is there any real difference between the role of a FLO in the police and the role of a FLO in the PIRC?

public -- family involvement point of view.

A. No, it's a national course, a training course, so within the police you sometimes hear people referring to CID FLOs and road policing FLOs and divisional FLOs. There is no difference, it's the same course everybody goes on and it is -- since coming into PIRC as I say, I did the family liaison co-ordinators course, it's the same standard or accredited course you will go on. The only slight difference between ourselves and the police now is that because of -- I suggest the sort of lack of

1 knowledge of our role within PIRC we may deploy more FLOs or FLOs on a more frequent basis than 2 3 Police Scotland would do because of the public's lack of 4 understanding of what our role is. 5 I would like to ask you to look at the PIRC family Q. liaison policy document which is PIRC 04460. Although 6 7 it doesn't have a date the PIRC have confirmed in a position statement that this was in force in May of 8 9 2015. If we can look at the first page it confirms it 10 is an official PIRC document and it is headed up, "Family liaison policy". Can we look at the first page 11 12 of the policy, please. The introduction begins: 13 "One of the most important considerations throughout 14 the investigation of a death is providing support to the 15 family of the deceased. Families should be considered as partners in an investigation and must be treated 16 17 appropriately, professionally, with respect and according to their needs." 18 19 Would you agree with that statement? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Are you familiar with this policy? Q. 22 Α. Yes. Is it something that you had access to back in 2015? 23 Q. A. Well, back -- as far back as 2013 I had access to a FLO 24 25 policy. But yes, I am aware of that one as well.

- Q. I believe this policy actually dates to 2013 and was still in force in 2015.
- 3 A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. So it would be the same policy, I think. What is the purpose of the PIRC preparing a policy of this sort?
- A. As with any policy, it's to have a standard approach
  to -- in relation to family liaison or in relation to
  any investigation as to what is expected of the FLO and
  the SIO and the FLO co-ordinator.
- 10 Q. Is this then a set of standards that FLOs are expected to abide by?
- A. It is more of a guidance, I would say, rather than

  standards. It would be very difficult to put down -
  I think if you created standards which must be you could

  restrict any kind of involvement with a family, so it is

  a guidance as to what is expected of the FLO, and not

  only their selection but also what is expected of them

  if they are deployed after training.
- Q. We do have a position statement from PIRC in which this
  document is described as being the standards that are
  expected of a FLO but you would take a slightly
  different view and you see this more as a guidance
  document?
- A. Well, it is standard, it was a guidance for FLOs to do
  that so if that is what the organisation says, that it's

1		standards, then yes, I would agree with that. It is
2		something I would refer to if I needed to do so. I know
3		it's there and I know I can refer to it if required.
4	Q.	Would there be an expectation that FLOs would follow the
5		guidance set out
6	Α.	Yes, yes.
7	Q.	in this document? Can we go to the bottom of that
8		page, please where there is a heading, "Underpinning
9		philosophy". Let's look at this:
10		"In the event of a death of a human being, where
11		there is a PIRC investigation, PIRC have a positive duty
12		to communicate effectively and inclusively with the
13		bereaved family."
14		Can I pause there just to ask you what is your
15		understanding of the legal basis that underpins that
16		duty?
17	Α.	It is under Article 2 of the Human Rights Act whereby
18		it's family involvement in relation to the investigation
19		and keeping them informed and making them aware of on
20		this occasion I think a Crown-directed investigation and
21		what is expected of us from the Crown Office moving
22		forward and what we can and cannot do.
23		It is very much sort of keeping the family involved
24		in the investigation but also explaining at the same
25		time from the very outset that because of the nature of

1		the investigation there may well be occasions when they
2		might ask a question but I would be unable to answer
3		that due to to protect the integrity of the
4		investigation as it progresses.
5	Q.	Let's return to the screen:
6		"On most occasions this can be achieved by deploying
7		a trained Family Liaison Officer. In discharging their
8		responsibility for family liaison, the aims of PIRC
9		service are:
10		"To analyse the needs, concerns and expectations of
11		the 'family' in order to identify relevant and realistic
12		action that should be taken, in the context of their
13		human rights and the obligations set out this document.
14		"To work with the 'family' in order to comply with
15		their right to receive all relevant information
16		connected with the enquiry, subject to the needs of the
17		investigation. To gather all information and evidence
18		from them, which will assist the investigation, in a way
19		which takes cognisance of their fundamental Human
20		Rights, the right to privacy and family life.
21		"The main objectives arising from these aims are:
22		"To provide information to and signpost support for
23		the family in a sensitive and compassionate manner in
24		accordance with the needs of the investigation;
25		"To gather evidence and information from the family

in a manner which contributes to the investigation and 1 2 preserves its integrity; and 3 "To secure the confidence and trust of the family 4 thereby enhancing their contribution to the 5 investigation. "The initial priority must be to establish 6 7 communication with the family as soon as practicable in order to furnish them with any information that they 8 9 require in accordance with the needs of the 10 investigation. In supporting the family throughout the investigation, sensitivity, compassion and respect for 11 12 the needs and requirements of the family must underpin 13 the approach to gathering evidence and information." 14 Would you agree with what is set out there? 15 Α. Yes. So the role of a FLO includes both providing information 16 Q. to a family and also in gathering information from the 17 family that might be relevant to the investigation? 18 19 Yes. I think it is to establish -- although I am a FLO Α. 20 first and foremost, it is explained to family at the 21 very outset that I am an investigator, and it's my job 22 to investigate the circumstances but I have the skills as a family liaison officer, I have been trained to that 23 standard. 24 25 Q. Yes, I think you say in your statement from a family

1		liaison point of view you were an investigator first and
2		foremost?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And you have the skill as a FLO. That is your second
5		statement, paragraph 6. Indeed, the family liaison
6		policy, if we can scroll down quickly to I think it is
7		page 18. We see in bold and underlined:
8		"The primary function of a FLO is that of
9		investigator."
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Let me share with you some evidence from a police family
12		liaison officer, Kevin Houliston. His statement is
13		SBPI 00354. And again, it's on the theme of the
14		functions of the FLO. Can we look at paragraphs 39 and
15		40, please:
16		"I have been asked whether it's correct that FLOs
17		are primarily investigators. Yes, they are primarily
18		investigators however they serve a dual purpose in all
19		investigations with a secondary, but equally important,
20		role of acting as a link between the SIO and the family
21		to provide them with relevant information.
22		"The primary one is that of an investigator. FLOs
23		are part of the inquiry team, and they are a very
24		important part of the inquiry team, because more often
25		than not there is information that would without

- 1 a doubt, be relevant to the inquiry which has to be obtained from the family. On some occasions, more so 2 3 than others, but there will always be information that 4 will assist the inquiry that FLOs are able to obtain. 5 From that regard, they are very much part of the inquiry team." 6
- 7 Would you agree with that?
- Yes, I agree with that. 8 Α.
- I think the word that you used earlier in your evidence 9 Q. 10 was a FLO is a conduit, so you are essentially passing information from the investigation to the family and 11 12 obtaining information from the family and passing that 13 back into the investigation?
- Yes. 14 Α.
- 15 As you say, your primary role is that of Q. an investigator, and I wonder, in your experience do 16 17 families understand that you are first and foremost 18 an investigator?
- 19 It is certainly explained to them at the very outset Α. 20 what the role is or my role is. And yes, we do make it 21 quite clear that we are investigating the circumstances 22 and that I would be their family liaison officer, and explain, just as we discussed, how that information will 23 be passed between us and the family. 24
- 25 Q. In your experience does it concern families that their

1		family liaison officer is embedded within the
2		investigation team?
3	A.	From my experience, no, they understand the nature of
4		the investigation and what our role is.
5	Q.	Can we look now at another document, please, PIRC 04457.
6		This is a guide for families on the role of the PIRC and
7		FLOs. This was published in July of 2014. If we can
8		look at the first page of text please. Scroll down
9		a bit please. Keep going, please. There is a heading
10		I am looking for called, "Who are the PIRC FLOs?"
11		Sorry, it was the wrong page reference:
12		"Who are the PIRC FLOs?
13		"PIRC FLOs are specially trained and are part of the
14		investigation team. FLOs are appointed to give you and
15		your family information about the investigation. In
16		most cases the FLO will liaise with you throughout the
17		investigation and is your single point of contact. The
18		FLO will ask you to nominate a family member or person
19		to be the contact person for you/your family."
20		Scroll down a little, please:
21		"The FLO will keep you updated on the progress of
22		the investigation; will give you as much information as
23		possible as quickly as possible; and will try to answer
24		your questions throughout the investigation. The FLO
25		may also have questions for you."

1		Would you agree with what you have read on the
2		screen so far, Mr Lewis?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	"What is their role?
5		"The main role of the FLO is to develop a two-way
6		flow of information between the investigation team and
7		you and your family.
8		"The role of the FLO may include the following:
9		"Explaining their role to you.
10		"Providing you with details on how you may contact
11		them.
12		"Passing any information provided by you to the
13		investigation team.
14		"Taking statements from you, family members and
15		friends to assist the investigation.
16		"Answering any questions which you may have about
17		the investigation.
18		"Updating you on the progress of the investigation.
19		"Giving you information about other agencies which
20		may provide support for you and your family.
21		"Liaising with other agencies.
22		"Should you require any further information or have
23		any concerns you may contact your FLO."
24		Would you agree with everything that we have seen
25	Α.	Yes.

- 1 Q. -- in that document? So again, this is a document,
- 2 can I assume this is the sort of document that you might
- 3 be able to provide to families; it is a guide for
- families on the role of the PIRC and FLOs?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is this something that families can access online or is
- 7 something you would hand out, or possibly both?
- 8 A. I think they can access it online. It is certainly
- 9 something we would hand out. It was actually myself
- 10 that put forward this suggestion, in relation to helping
- 11 families to identify the role of the FLO. That was
- through conversations and meetings with fellow FLOs
- from -- or colleagues in Ireland and Northern Ireland,
- so it was my idea to put that forward to the
- organisation to help families know the role of the
- 16 family liaison officer.
- 17 Q. It sets out very clearly under the heading, "Who are the
- 18 PIRC FLOs?", that they are specially trained and are
- 19 part of the investigation team.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So again it is making clear that your role is that of
- 22 an investigator.
- 23 A. Yes, it's a quick reference for any families to look at
- and refresh their memory, should they have any
- 25 questions. We also had -- I don't know if at that time

we had ... it wasn't a form, it was -- the information

was compacted into the size of a credit card, which

could go into somebody's wallet along with contact cards

from myself or any FLO within the organisation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Can we bring up on screen please your second Inquiry statement and have a look at paragraph 33. You were asked about your police experience and whether it has advantages or disadvantages for your work at PIRC. You say:

"I think it was more of an advantage than a disadvantage because you have a knowledge of how policies and procedures work, and the practicalities of policing and the role of the police officers. You had the knowledge to go and deal with any incident, whether it was from a road policing background, a firearms background, sexual offences. We had all these skills within the organisation that we could tap into at any time ... To date I have not experienced any disadvantage of my police experience. Whilst I believe the PIRC will always need police knowledge and experience within the organisation, it is my opinion, in order to improve our independence we need staff from a non-police background to enhance our independent role. In 2013 our head of investigations ... was a female and from a non-police background."

1		Was that Irene Scullion?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	"She promoted our independence at every opportunity.
4		When she retired from the PIRC in 2017, I feel we lost
5		our independence within investigations and senior
6		management."
7		Who replaced her in 2017?
8	Α.	John McSporran.
9	Q.	"A criticism of the Dame Elish report was the number of
10		retired senior police officers in a management role
11		within PIRC leaving the organisation open to criticism
12		based on the danger of unconscious bias. The current
13		situation could affect public confidence in the PIRC
14		investigations into senior officers within
15		Police Scotland. Since the retiral of that HOI this has
16		not improved but has significantly worsened and is male
17		dominated. I see that as a disadvantage to the PIRC and
18		has hindered the progress of female staff, those from
19		a non-police background, and those who have been through
20		our training programme."
21		I wanted to ask some questions about this paragraph,
22		can you explain how the current situation could affect
23		public confidence in PIRC investigations into senior
24		officers within Police Scotland?
25	A.	I think from in the past we have had investigations

in relation to senior officers within Police Scotland and that was overseen and conducted by Irene Scullion and a member of -- a DSI from a non-police background, which I think is a beneficial tool within the organisation to have that, and it increases our independence.

I think also from the public's point of view it is -- it leaves us open to criticism, but at the same time I am confident that all the investigations that have been led in the past and up to the present time, they have been thorough, they have been effective and where criticism has been delivered to Police Scotland it is justifiable criticism. I think our website shows that, and where we have taken Police Scotland to task on certain situations and procedures.

Our main objective is to ensure that policies and procedures within Police Scotland are fit for purpose, and if we can improve the public's confidence or enhance the public's confidence by criticising that and making sure those policies and procedures are there for the officer on the street then that is a good outcome.

- Q. So is your concern then the perception of independence given the number of former police officers within PIRC as an organisation?
- A. It would be -- well, it's a criticism that I think

shouldn't be there. I think again from Dame Elish, 1 2 correct me if I am wrong here, but the IOPC in England 3 and Wales is either a mixture of police and non-police background staff, senior management and her 4 5 recommendation is the PIRC should move towards that 6 situation. 7 We haven't done that, for what reason I don't know. I appreciate it would need to be a gradual change, but 8 9 if we have people from a non-police background within as 10 investigators and as deputy senior investigators, why do we not have that independence, that sounding board for 11 12 want of a better phrase, to have within senior 13 management, to be there to ensure our independence and 14 to make sure that we are not being unconsciously biased 15 towards any organisation, or any policing body within Scotland? 16 Do you think that the make-up of PIRC could impact 17 Q. public confidence into the independence of 18 19 the organisation when investigating deaths following 20 police contact? 21 Α. Again, I think it leaves us open to criticism, a criticism that shouldn't or doesn't need to be there. 22 And that is for the recruitment process. Due to recent 23 appointments, the chance of that improving in the near 24 future, I would suggest is quite slim. From a career 25

point of view of those people there, and it is again ... 1 2 if we have that mixture within the investigations and 3 the DSIs, why do we not have that at SIO and above? 4 Q. Could the make-up of the organisation in your view 5 impact the confidence that the family of a deceased person might have that the investigation is truly 6 7 independent of the police? I think it is a challenge that is -- you are often 8 Α. 9 referred to as retired police officer, I am not 10 a retired police officer, I did serve with Strathclyde Police for 30 years but my role is a deputy 11 12 senior investigator now, and I'm a family liaison 13 officer, I've been trained as a family liaison officer, 14 that is my role. It does -- I have had families in the 15 past, and up to quite recently, question the fact that we're police officers, but I explained to them the 16 17 independence and quite recently within investigations we have had families thank us for being there and our 18 19 independence and showing -- demonstrating our 20 independence by the quality of the report and the 21 findings that we have had and been able to share with 22 them. Can I share with you the evidence that Collette Bell 23 Q. gave to the Inquiry on 9 February last year, this won't 24

come up on the screen but it's a short passage and

25

I will read it out to you and then we will go back to 1 2 what is on the screen. She was asked to explain 3 a little bit about her concerns in connection with PIRC: 4 "Answer: I think I had lost all trust in the police 5 at this point and I didn't really want anything to do with the police or PIRC because I thought they are all 6 7 made up of ex-police officers and they are supposed to be independent, but how independent can you be if it is 8 9 all ex-officers that make up the PIRC? It is not really 10 transparent if it is the police investigating the police." 11 12 Do you wish to comment on that? 13 It is certainly -- I can't remember if she actually said Α. 14 anything like that towards me personally, had she done 15 so I think I am confident that I could have allayed any of those fears. Personally speaking, as a family 16 17 liaison officer I would have preferred more interaction with the immediate family and I am confident again 18 I could have explained the circumstances. And her 19 20 perception is that they are all retired police officers, 21 that is not the case. Even back then it was not the case, so I had that ability to -- that confidence to 22 clarify that situation. 23 I am aware that you have expressed the view you would 24 Q. have liked to have had more contact with the family --

25

1 Α. Yes. -- and that is something we will be exploring in detail, 2 Q. 3 possibly not today but possibly into the second day of 4 your evidence. But hearing what Collette Bell had to 5 say and knowing yourself the make-up of PIRC as an organisation, do you feel any sympathy for her 6 7 position? I understand what she is saying. But again I am 8 Α. 9 confident, as I said, that I could have explained that 10 with more interaction with herself and the rest of the 11 family. 12 Q. Can we go back to paragraph 33 of your statement, 13 please, we might need to scroll up a little. You 14 mention -- sorry, down a little bit now -- the 15 retirement of the head of investigations and you say, second bottom line: 16 17 "Since the retiral ... [the situation] ... has not improved but has significantly worsened and is 18 19 male-dominated. I see that as a disadvantage to the 20 PIRC and has hindered the progress of female staff, 21 those from a non-police background and those who have been through our training programme." 22 Can you expand on that? 23 In what way? 24 Α. In what sense have things significantly worsened? 25 Q.

- 1 Again, it is not having that -- an example I can give 2 you is that having been a FLO co-ordinator there was 3 a time when our FLO cadre was very much male dominated 4 and I highlighted that in several occasions to senior 5 management, that it is something that had to be addressed. It's something you can't address very 6 7 quickly because you volunteer to be a family liaison officer, you can't be told or you should not be told you 8 9 are going to be a family liaison officer. I have 10 experience from within Strathclyde Police and within the PIRC where some people have volunteered for the course, 11 12 and they have then subsequently found out it is not for 13 them and they step away from it. That is perfectly 14 understandable. It is a demanding role, and that 15 example of getting that diversity, that mixture of staff is beneficial not only in that role but throughout the 16 17 organisation. 18 So what is it then that has significantly worsened, is Q.
  - Q. So what is it then that has significantly worsened, is it the proportion of former police officers within the organisation in general or perhaps within the more senior ranks, or is it to do with gender balance within the organisation?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Gender balance but you also have -- again, a criticism from Dame Elish was the number of senior officers, retired senior officers within management, and that is

the situation at this moment in time within PIRC. It is 1 all made up of senior officers, former senior officers 2 3 within -- or former senior officers, police officers. 4 There is -- there will always be a need for that 5 I think, again you always need to have that understanding of police procedures at that senior level. 6 7 But again, the IOPC in England and Wales don't have that and they work perfectly well, so I personally think we 8 9 should have more of a mixture of female staff and staff 10 from a non-police background. How has this lack of diversity, if I can call it that, 11 Q. 12 hindered the progress of female staff, those from 13 a non-police background and those who have come through the trainee programme? 14 15 Again, the line management within the investigations. Α. Out of I think it is about 20 line managers up to and 16 17 including the director of operations, only two are 18 female. 19 Let's move away from this subject and I want to ask you Q. 20 more questions about being a FLO in a general sense. Am I right to understand that being a FLO is not 21 22 a full-time role, it's an additional responsibility that you take on as an investigator? 23 A. Yes, it was like a scene manager, somebody who is 24 25 an expert in firearms or somebody who is an expert in

1 officer safety training. You have -- you have been trained to have a skill or you have been identified and 2 3 selected, and attended some form of training course to 4 take up that role, but it is not a full-time -- you do 5 not do family liaison all the time. From a welfare point of view that just simply wouldn't be a good idea. 6 7 So it's an additional skill you have --Q. 8 Α. Yes. -- that you bring to bear in your work as 9 Q. 10 an investigator? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Is that the case both within the police and within the 13 PIRC, that it is not a full-time role? Yes. 14 Α. 15 Thinking about policing here, in what sorts of case Q. would a FLO be deployed within the police? 16 The same as a PIRC FLO, it would be predominantly death 17 Α. investigations, whether that be a death in custody or 18 a death following police contact as it is now referred 19 20 to. But also if there was a serious incident whereby it 21 was beneficial for -- to have a FLO in place to liaise 22 with the family. And again, a conduit between the family and the senior investigating officer if there 23 was ... if it wasn't a death but there was a significant 24 amount of media interest, it would be beneficial to keep 25

- the family updated, communicating with them as much as possible.
- Q. I was going to ask in what types of case the PIRC would
  deploy a FLO but you said in response to my last
  question it is much of a muchness as between the police
  and the PIRC when a FLO would be deployed?
- 7 Predominantly it is a death, we would deploy FLOs for Α. a death. But we have deployed FLOs for circumstances 8 9 I've just described whereby there has been a serious 10 injury and it has been beneficial to have a FLO in place. Sometimes -- again, each case is looked at 11 12 individually, sometimes it is good to have a FLO in 13 there and then the job has been done and the FLO can step back from it. Or vice versa, an investigation can 14 15 start, and a FLO is not required but then later on in the investigation it is established that we need that 16 conduit between the family and the organisation. 17
  - Q. What do you have to do to become a FLO; is there an application process, an interview?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. There is. Certainly when I -- it is still the case today, as I say you volunteer for it. When I did it, it was very much like a promotion panel, you were interviewed -- I was interviewed by somebody from -- a senior officer from personnel, a senior officer from road policing at the time, and another member of staff

in relation to diversity, and you were very much asked
or you had to provide that you had that information or
the capabilities and communication skills to get or take
on that role. Once you had completed that, if you were
successful you would then be allocated a family liaison
officer course at that time over at the Scottish Police
College, which was a five-day course.

Q. What skill set or personal qualities make for a good FLO?

8

9

23

24

25

10 Α. Sometimes you need to be a good listener, good communication skills, you need to be able to speak to 11 12 people. I have lost count of the amount of times where 13 you go to get a statement from the family and they 14 basically want to tell you about their loved one, that 15 might take -- you might spend the whole day there and come away and not achieve what you set out to do. That 16 17 is what you have to do. If they want to tell you the lifestyle of their loved one, then you do, you listen to 18 that. That in itself is good information to receive, 19 20 and you can incorporate that information into 21 a statement at a later course, but sometimes you just 22 need to just simply sit there and listen.

Amongst other things, I have been subject to verbal abuse, I've been assaulted. It is all through emotion, it is not -- there is no intent to cause me any injury

1 but that is -- it's having that ability to step back and understand the emotions and the anger and the 2 3 frustration of any family involved in that situation. 4 I think it is something you have to remember in any of 5 these situations, it is a traumatic situation for any 6 family, irrespective of what the circumstances have 7 been. I want to ask you about the training that FLOs receive, 8 Q. 9 you mentioned there being a five-day course, and the 10 PIRC have confirmed in a position statement that all FLOs, whether they are from the police or from the PIRC, 11 12 undergo the same accredited national training course? 13 Α. Yes. That was five days, you said? 14 Q. 15 Α. Yes. Is there follow-up or refresher training? 16 Q. There is a -- you have a regular -- annually there is 17 Α. a family liaison officers conference which is held at 18 the Scottish Police College. But also from our point of 19 20 view I've been over to Dublin for similar conference 21 days, and that is predominantly -- could be -- well, it 22 is a training day because you will have case studies in relation to family liaison, some of the high profile 23 investigations that we will all have seen on the news, 24 you will have seen that on the news but the case study 25

goes into more detail about the challenges for the 1 family liaison, for the SIO. So that is a good training 2 3 day, for want of a better word. And also you get the 4 opportunity network with fellow colleagues from within 5 the police and other independent investigative authorities, and with family members who have expressed 6 7 their experiences, good and bad, with family liaison officers. 8

Q. So we have spoken about a family liaison officer, what then is a family liaison co-ordinator?

9

10

Predominantly it is my job as a co-ordinator just to --11 Α. 12 if we have a death investigation it is my job to select 13 that family liaison officer and I need to be given the 14 information or I get the information as to the brief 15 circumstances, and depending on the circumstances of that death it is my job to select the person suitable, 16 17 taking into account welfare, annual leave, the day-to-day impact on that person, and do they have 18 19 the experience, the knowledge, and the ability to take 20 on that role. Whether that be as the lead investigator 21 or to be shadowed by a more experienced because at the 22 end of the day the way I worked it was that when you qualified as family liaison officer you didn't 23 automatically become a lead FLO, you would be shadowed 24 or mentored by a more experienced family liaison officer 25

- 1 who would be there as a -- to pass on his experience or
- 2 her experience to those who were just through their
- 3 course.
- Q. What do you have to do to become a family liaison
- 5 co-ordinator?
- A. I think at the time I did it, it was a two-day course
- 7 within Strathclyde Police but I believe it is now
- 8 a three-day course. Again, national standard training
- 9 course.
- 10 Q. Is being a family liaison co-ordinator a full-time role?
- 11 A. No, it is again another role I have within -- within
- 12 Strathclyde Police and within the PIRC. So if there
- is -- as I say, if there is a requirement for a FLO the
- 14 DSI or the senior investigator will come to me, and
- I will allocate that person.
- Q. How many FLOs are there within the PIRC today?
- 17 A. I think there are about 11 or 12 at the moment.
- 18 Q. How many are female?
- 19 A. Five I think.
- 20 Q. Five out of 11?
- 21 A. I think we are about 50%. 50/50.
- Q. How many are not white?
- 23 A. None.
- Q. Within the cadre of investigators, how many
- 25 investigators approximately are there within the PIRC?

- 1 A. In total including line managers, probably about 60, 65
- 2 I think.
- 3 Q. How many are female?
- 4 A. I would be guessing, I would need to sit down and look
- 5 through the ...
- Q. I am sure we can find that information elsewhere.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are you able to comment on how many are not white?
- 9 A. Are not white? There's none.
- 10 Q. None. I want to ask you some questions about your
- 11 experience as a FLO. When did you become a FLO?
- 12 A. 2001, I think. 2001/2002, around about there. It was
- just after the role had been created.
- 14 Q. And you explain in your second statement, this is
- paragraph 2, that this was five days at the Scottish
- Police College where you looked at scenarios, high
- 17 profile investigations, and there were inputs from
- 18 experienced officers and from families?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Did that initial training include any equality and
- 21 diversity issues that you might encounter as a FLO?
- 22 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. What did it cover?
- 24 A. Diversity -- I think it is now referred to as
- 25 unconscious bias, I don't remember it being referred to

- 1 as that back then. It might have been implicit bias but
- 2 you were -- it was having an awareness of society, and
- 3 those who -- different families, different backgrounds.
- 4 Q. So the training that you had, more than 20 years ago
- 5 now, included unconscious or implicit bias training?
- A. Yes, and also the course, as I said, another five-day
- 7 course, again it was a family liaison officer/family
- 8 liaison co-ordinators course over at Dublin. Same sort
- 9 of standard, and you touched on case studies,
- 10 Stephen Lawrence in particular was the one in Dublin.
- 11 Q. When was the Dublin course?
- 12 A. 2016, I think it was.
- Q. So after the events of May 2015?
- 14 A. Yes. But that type of case study would still -- I do
- 15 remember getting that type of input in my initial family
- 16 liaison officers course.
- Q. What did you learn about guarding against unconscious
- bias from your initial training?
- 19 A. You have to be open-minded because you are dealing with
- 20 people from all walks of life.
- 21 Q. Beyond keeping an open mind, did you learn any
- 22 strategies or techniques to equip yourself to avoid
- 23 unconscious bias from influencing your decisions or your
- 24 thoughts?
- 25 A. I don't remember any specific strategies but, you know,

1	you did have scenario-based discussions as to what would
2	you do and what was right and what was wrong. Sometimes
3	there was no right or wrong answer, it is all about
4	liaising with the family and the community,
5	communicating with the family, and managing their
6	expectations of what you are doing, so no two families
7	are the same, some families want nothing to do with you
8	from the very outset and then they are on the phone
9	every single day. Some families want contact every
10	single day. Some people don't want to know about you
11	until you have complete the investigation. That is
12	where your job is, is managing how the family want that
13	and if the family decide to change their expectations or
14	what they expect, then that has to be managed as well.
15	MS THOMSON: Sir, I am mindful that it is 11.30 and I wonder
16	if this would be a convenient time to take the break.
17	LORD BRACADALE: Yes. We will take a 20-minute break now.
18	(11.31 am)
19	(A short break)
20	(11.55 am)
21	LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson.
22	MS THOMSON: Thank you. Before the break I was asking
23	questions about your initial training to become a FLO
24	with Strathclyde Police. And I wondered, following that
25	initial five-day course, whether you had any follow-up

- or refresher training aside from the annual conferences
  that you have mentioned already?
- 3 A. There is no refresher training in relation to
- 4 the course.
- 5 Q. When was it that you became a family liaison
- 6 co-ordinator?
- 7 A. A year or couple of years after being a FLO.
- 8 Q. So early 2000s?
- 9 A. Yes, probably. 2003, maybe 2004, I can't remember
- 10 exactly but round about then.
- 11 Q. You say in your statement that the training for that
- 12 particular role was over two days?
- 13 A. At the time I think it was two days but it is now three days.
- Q. What did that training cover, how did the training differ from the training to be a FLO?
- 17 A. You're -- it's the selection -- you're trained or you
- 18 receive inputs about the selection of staff, their
- 19 welfare, their wellbeing. Part of my role -- I am not
- 20 their supervisor, I am their co-ordinator in relation to
- 21 the FLOs, so annually it is my job to make sure that
- they receive vicarious trauma interviews for their
- 23 wellbeing. It is my job to make sure they are not
- deployed on a regular basis, but I have to look at their
- 25 workload, the circumstances of the deployment, are they

- 1 suitable for it, have they had any bereavements within their own family, their network, all these. It is my 2 3 job to liaise with that, and at least twice a year have 4 a meeting with all the FLOs, in relation to ongoing 5 issues or learning outcomes from their deployments. You retired in 2010 from the police? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. Do you recall which month you retired? 8 Q. 9 October. Α. 10 Q. You joined PIRC in 2013? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Again, what month did you join the PIRC? 13 I think I was offered the job at the end of February Α. 14 but I officially started in the beginning of March, 15 early March, 5 March I think it was. So there was a gap of something in the region of two and 16 Q. 17 a half years --18 Α. Yes. 19 -- between you retiring from the police and taking up Q. 20 your position with the PIRC, initially as 21 an investigator, FLO, and FLO co-ordinator, and then 22 more recently as a deputy senior investigator and FLO
- 24 A. Yes.

23

co-ordinator?

25 Q. So you were a FLO from the point of your appointment as

1 an investigator with the PIRC, and I am interested no know whether you had any training or refresher training 2 3 when you joined the PIRC, bearing in mind that you had 4 been out of that role for some two and a half years? 5 In that time prior to the 1 April, when Police Scotland Α. and ourselves officially became organisations, we had 6 7 in-house training, scenarios, how would we respond, what -- the logistics of our response to deaths, 8 9 firearms incidents, a road traffic collision, whatever 10 it was, over that period of five/six weeks. Did those discussions encompass the deployment of FLOs 11 Q. 12 in the event of an incident? 13 Α. Yes. Okay. When asked in your second statement at 14 Q. 15 paragraph 5 -- we don't need it on the screen thank you -- about your record of training, you said 16 17 that you had a development day in September of 2015, and a five-day family liaison co-ordinators course in March 18 19 of 2016 through the PIRC? 20 Α. Yes. 21 So that was the training that you had with the PIRC. Q. 22 relation to your role as a FLO, did you receive any formal FLO-specific training beyond the scenarios and 23 strategies that were discussed as the PIRC came into 24 being in early 2013? 25

- 1 A. In that period before 1 April?
- Q. Or in the period before May 2015. Both of courses that
- 3 are referenced in your statement post-date the death of
- 4 Sheku Bayoh.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So I am interested to know whether you had any formal
- 7 FLO-specific training through the PIRC between the date
- 8 that you joined and Mr Bayoh's death?
- 9 A. Only the -- it would be the national FLO conference up
- 10 at the Scottish Police College, the specific date
- I couldn't remember but that was an annual event, so we
- were offered spaces to attend that.
- Q. So this is the annual conference you have told us about
- 14 already?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I think you explain in your statement that PIRC FLOs
- 17 were invited to go along to that course --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- although it was run by Police Scotland?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. In your statement, and the reference is paragraph 3 of
- 22 your second statement, you describe implementing
- 23 a mentoring scheme within the PIRC as part of your role
- as a family liaison co-ordinator, whereby a less
- 25 experienced FLO would be deployed alongside a more

experienced FLO to get on-the-job training. I wondered

whether that model existed when you were in the police,

did you have that opportunity to learn from more

experienced colleagues?

A. Yes, ideally you would deploy two FLOs to a death investigation, that was twofold, it creates a situation that should a FLO go on annual leave, sickness leave, whatever it was, you had somebody else who knew and had interacted with the family, so you had a back-up effectively.

But part of that is that especially within PIRC we had at one end of the scale a vast amount of experience and then there is a large gap to those who have just recently been trained and had little or no experience after being trained, so I took it upon myself to identify -- as I did within Strathclyde Police was that a newly trained FLO would not be deployed as a lead investigator or a lead FLO, he or she would have somebody of more experience to be there to shadow and to mentor them. Then that would happen until I was happy that that person who was receiving feedback, that that person was capable of dealing with the role themselves as lead, and by doing so I was increasing the knowledge and the experience of those who had just been trained, to give them more experience but also maintaining the

1		experience and the skills of those who were experienced
2		by shadowing and mentoring.
3	Q.	Can we bring up your second Inquiry statement, please,
4		and look at paragraphs 24 and 26. You have mentioned
5		this in passing in your evidence already but I would
6		like to ask you more questions about this, so if we can
7		begin by looking at paragraph 24 where you explain:
8		"Another responsibility [you] had was, especially
9		when our numbers increased to about 12 FLOs two or
10		three times a year no less than twice a year [you]
11		would have a meeting off-site with all the FLOs, and
12		discuss any kind of learning outcomes from any
13		deployment, any updates in relation to policy. It was
14		an opportunity for people to speak freely as to any
15		issues they may or may not have in relation to
16		deployments. That was my job to get all that
17		information and then feed it back into senior
18		management. It was my job to co-ordinate any kind of
19		issues, identify any learning outcome and make sure
20		that~"
21		Sorry, if you could scroll please:
22		" we moved forward and improved the service that
23		we have within the organisation. These meetings
24		probably started about 2014 when our FLO numbers
25		increased from three to six."

- I wanted to ask you about this. Did you find these
  meetings to be helpful?
- 3 A. Yes.

22

- Q. Can you give examples of the sorts of learning outcomes that were discussed at these meetings?
- We did -- initially when there was only three of us it 6 Α. 7 was more of an informal meeting because there was only three of us, and likewise when we -- the numbers 8 9 increased to six, again it would be more informal. 10 However, when the numbers increased to about 12 it was 11 actually a colleague of mine who suggested minutes, we 12 kept minutes, which we did do, and it was a good idea. 13 But something that jumps to the top of my head as 14 an example is a FLO who had no experience of 15 repatriating a deceased to another country or back down 16 England, had no experience of that and had no knowledge, 17 as did the majority of FLOs at that time, so that was 18 a good learning experience for everybody. I had 19 experience in that but I used that as an opportunity for 20 him to express his learning outcomes and what he had 21 learnt from it and what he didn't know prior to that and
- 23 Q. How is this learning then cascaded?
- A. To the FLOs there at that time? Not everybody could make it but the majority of the time all the FLOs were

where to tap into that information.

there, and those who weren't, I would speak to them in
relation to that. If I knew it was somebody who was -who didn't have that experience, I would pass on that
information. And also things like if the FLO policy was
being updated or looked at or ... whatever stage it was,
then I would keep them aware of where it was and what
stage it was at.

- Q. If we could go back up just a little bit, please. You say it was your job to get all that information and then feed it back into senior management, this being the learning outcomes and issues that FLOs had had in relation to deployments. What was the process by which you fed that information back into the organisation?
- A. I would speak to the SIs or as an investigator it would be the DSIs, the senior investigators and the head of investigations and I would make them aware of issues -- again, another one that sort of jumps to mind is FLOs who felt they were not being given the information to do their job, and I would highlight that, and what the processes was. Unfortunately it's nothing new, since I have been doing it since 2004 the same issues are still there, you continually have to give certain people or managers a nudge as to what should be happening and how the -- what the process is in relation to deployment of FLOs and what they should be getting told and how the

- important the role is in relation to any investigation.
- 2 Q. You say that some of the same issues are still recurring
- 3 20 years later?
- 4 A. From a personal point of view, yes.
- 5 Q. Do you continue to co-ordinate these meetings?
- 6 A. No, I stood back from the role in May last year.
- 7 Q. Has someone else taken the mantle?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So these meetings continue to happen, it is just
- no longer you who co-ordinates them?
- 11 A. I am not aware of what the situation is at the moment.
- 12 I certainly haven't heard of any FLOs going for meetings
- in relation to that.
- Q. When did you stop doing this personally?
- 15 A. May of last year.
- Q. May of last year?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So you are not aware of any of these meetings taking
- 19 place since you stepped down --
- 20 A. No, there's --
- 21 Q. -- in May of last year?
- 22 A. Although I stepped down from the role, I am still
- 23 a trained family liaison officer. I just very much
- 24 stepped away from family liaison co-ordination. So if
- I was required or there was a need for me to step in,

even to assist the current FLO co-ordinators, I would be 1 2 more than happy to do that because I have the training 3 and experience and the knowledge. Irrespective of what 4 organisation you are in, if you have that knowledge 5 there you must tap into it to use for the benefit of the investigation and for the families, so~... But 6 7 officially I am not the FLO co-ordinator. But since May of 2023 as a FLO you haven't been invited 8 Q. 9 to go along to one of these meetings? 10 Α. No, and there's a FLO in my team and he hasn't been invited to -- I am not aware of him -- or he has not 11 12 attended any meetings. Do you have any knowledge of a meeting having taken 13 Q. 14 place since you stepped down in May of last year? 15 Α. Not to my knowledge, no. You were asked at paragraph 7 of this statement, 16 Q. although we don't need to look at it, how many times you 17 18 were deployed as a FLO during your career and you said 19 into the hundreds. Are you able to say how many cases 20 you have been deployed in that concerned a death 21 following police contact? 22 How many deaths following police contact? Α. 23 Q. Yes.

I couldn't put a number on it. I don't think it is

healthy to keep that sort of stats personally, I am sure

24

25

Α.

- 1 if I went back over the years somebody somewhere would be able to find it. An example I can give you is that 2 3 my route to work is 40 minutes and I pass the locations 4 of 12 road death investigations every day that I have 5 been involved in. So that gives you an idea as to the frequency that I have been involved, either as 6 7 a reporting officer, a family liaison officer or a senior investigating officer. So if somebody is 8 9 keeping -- my personal opinion is if somebody is keeping 10 a tally of the amount of deployments they had, that would be a cause of concern to me. 11 12 Q. Are you able to help us understand -- if there is 13 a distinction to be drawn between a death following 14 police contact and a death in police custody, are you 15 able to say how many death in police custody cases you
  - A. No, I couldn't put a number -- I wouldn't put -- be able to put a number on that, but it is over -- if you take into account 30 years with Strathclyde Police and 11 years, almost 11 years exactly, with the PIRC it will be into the hundreds. Again, either as the reporting officer, family liaison officer or a senior investigating officer.

have been involved with?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Can we look at your first statement please for a moment.

If we can find paragraph 16 of that statement, please.

1	We have spoken at some length about your training and
2	your experience, and you were asked if as at
3	3 May 2015 sorry, this is not the paragraph I am
4	looking for. Bear with me just a moment. (Pause).
5	I have possibly given the wrong statement reference.
6	Sorry, my mistake, it is actually in your second
7	statement not your first statement. Right paragraph,
8	wrong statement.
9	While that is being brought up you were asked the
10	question:
11	"Did you feel adequately trained and experienced to
12	carry out your role as at May of 2015?"
13	Here we are, we have it now. You said:
14	"Yes, I had no issues at all, I have liaised with
15	families from all backgrounds through society, race
16	religion gender. Unfortunately I have had to explain to
17	families information that they were not aware about
18	their loved one, I have many years of liaising with
19	families from all sorts of backgrounds, all sorts of
20	areas within society. So yes, in my opinion I was
21	prepared for dealing with the incident with Sheku Bayoh
22	on 3 May."
23	So you felt that you had the skill set, the training
24	and the experience to take on the role of FLO in May of
25	2015?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. I want to ask you some questions now about the on call
- 3 arrangements back in 2015. We know that you were
- 4 appointed as FLO at the 9 o'clock briefing on
- 5 4 May 2015?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Mr Bayoh died shortly after 9 o'clock on the morning of
- 8 the 3rd so your appointment came more or less 24 hours
- 9 after his death?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. We understand that you were not on call over the
- weekend?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. How many FLOs did PIRC have back in May of 2015?
- 15 A. Six.
- 16 Q. Six.
- 17 A. Including myself.
- Q. Back then how many were female of those six?
- 19 A. One I think. Yes, one.
- Q. Were any not white?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Would you have expected there to be a PIRC FLO on call
- over the weekend?
- A. At that time -- well, the numbers of FLOs -- again, it's
- 25 a welfare -- there is an on-call rota which at the

- moment because of the number of teams within the 1 2 organisation runs about every nine weeks you are on 3 call. Now I would suggest probably just about every 4 team has a FLO on their team. Is it a specific 5 requirement or a need? No, I don't think so, it never has been. Having been promoted to DSI I have had 6 7 numerous needs to -- I have been called out on numerous occasions and if I didn't have a FLO it was a phone 8 9 call, either by myself or a member of my team was 10 instructed to call out additional staff and if it was a FLO or a scene manager that was their job. 11 12 Q. So you are referring to additional staff who were not on 13 call but would be contacted and asked if they could be 14 recalled to duty? 15 Α. Yes, it could -- it was always a -- it was a job -- my personal opinion, it was probably a job for one of 16 17 the investigators to sit down, if I needed that 18 resource. But as I say at that time there was six of 19 us, so again from welfare point of view you would have 20 every six weeks potentially and if annual leave kicked 21 in or somebody was sick leave you could potentially have 22 a FLO on call every single week which, from their welfare and family point of view, I didn't think that 23 was an acceptable rota. 24
  - Q. But you would nonetheless have expected the SI to have

25

1		made contact with FLOs who were not on call if there was
2		a need for a FLO to be deployed over a weekend or out of
3		hours?
4	Α.	Yes. Again, like myself, if I needed a FLO and I didn't
5		have one on the team then it's a phone call out of now
6		12, and I would be confident I would get a FLO.
7	Q.	Can we bring up your second Inquiry statement again
8		please and look at paragraph 45, which confirms I think
9		what you have just said in your evidence. Bottom half
10		of that paragraph:
11		"In my experience when there has not been a FLO or
12		scene manager in the on call team"
13		You explained earlier in your evidence that scene
14		manager was another specialism, you are first and
15		foremost an investigator but you could also be a scene
16		manager:
17		"In my experience when there has not been a FLO or
18		scene manager in the on call team, a telephone call to
19		a suitably qualified member of staff resolves that
20		situation and those colleagues can turn out for the
21		investigation."
22		Have you yourself in your role as a FLO ever been
23		contacted over the weekend when you have not been on
24		call and asked if you could deploy as a FLO?
25	A.	Yes.

1 Q. How many times has that happened? Over 11 years probably into double figures. I -- again, 2 Α. 3 it's something -- some people like to keep that sort of 4 tally, I don't. 5 It's just to have a ballpark and an idea of whether we Q. are talking about something that is exceptional or 6 7 something that happens routinely. It is -- no, it's not routinely. It depends on the 8 Α. 9 circumstances. 10 Q. On the occasions you were called, were you able to recall yourself to duty and be deployed? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 On every occasion? Q. 14 Yes. Α. 15 Can we look at paragraph 46, please. This I think is Q. you speaking with your senior investigating or your 16 17 deputy senior investigator's hat on here: "I have been asked if that means if a death in 18 19 custody or following police contact occurred outside of 20 working hours, that if I were the on-call individual, 21 I would be thinking, 'Do I have any FLOs that are on call at the moment or is there anyone I can contact?' 22 Yes. You get the initial briefing from Police Scotland 23

with the circumstances. Sometimes it is very limited as

to what you do know. If there is a death following

24

25

1 police contact or a death in custody, you are thinking about a FLO, 'Do I need a FLO? Do I need FLO right here 2 3 and now? Do I need a scene manager?' I have been there 4 where I have had no FLO, no scene managers, so I will 5 telephone these people out or get a member of staff to phone people out on my behalf. To a certain extent, 6 7 when you get an initial phone call, from a practical point of view, Police Scotland invariably will have 8 9 a Gold Group meeting to as to how they are going to deal 10 with the incident and respond. I look upon that time as a good opportunity to assess, what do I need to respond 11 12 to the incident and make those phone calls, so that when 13 I or anybody from senior management is sitting in on that Gold Group meeting, I know that there resources are 14 15 attending or available to me to deal with the incident. It is a lot easier to scale down rather than try to 16 17 scale up. Every single incident has to be looked at on an individual basis." 18 19 Here you are sharing your experience as being 20 a deputy senior investigator, I think, and one who is 21 potentially on call over a weekend? 22 Yes. Α. Q. There is an incident. You need a FLO, or potentially 23 24 a scene manager, and you are explaining that it would be 25 your practice, if you have assessed that you need that

person right here, right now, you would go through the

1

2 list of people who were not on call but held the 3 relevant skill set? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And you would phone around until you got someone? Q. Yes. But it is not specifically related to family 6 Α. 7 liaison officers or scene managers. If there was a firearms incident there are staff within the 8 9 organisation who have a firearms training background, 10 I would be looking for one of them to turn out to assist with the processes that Police Scotland have to adhere 11 12 to. So it's not limited to FLOs, it is a case of 13 assessing what has happened and what do I need to do my 14 job. 15 So you are looking at what specialisms you need? Q. If needs be yes. 16 Α. 17 A FLO could be one? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 A scene manager could be another, firearms might be Q. 20 a third? 21 Α. Yes. Can we look at paragraph 44, please. Here you were 22 Q. 23 asked if you were ever contacted out of hours when you 24 were not on call to assist with an investigation. And we have discussed this already, you say: 25

"Every time I have been contacted, I have turned 1 out. That weekend~..." 2 3 I think you are referring here to the weekend of 4 Sheku Bayoh's death: "That weekend I was busy from a personal point of 5 view, but it is not the first time that has happened. 6 7 Whether I am on or off call, I have turned out for investigations, so I see no reason why I would not have 8 9 turned out had I been contacted." 10 So should the Chair understand that if you had been called on Sunday, 3 May of 2015 and asked to deploy as 11 12 a FLO, you would have been willing to do so? 13 Yes, I know exactly what I was doing that weekend and Α. 14 I was at home so I could easily have turned out, yes. 15 Q. Were you called? 16 Α. No. Are you surprised you weren't called? 17 Q. 18 Α. I wasn't aware -- I wasn't paying attention if there was 19 anything on the news at that time, I wasn't paying 20 attention to what was going on. To be quite honest we 21 were in the middle of building a house, so that was my 22 priority that weekend. So -- but again, had I been given the phone call yes, I would have turned out. 23 Q. The following day when you got to the office and found 24 out what had happened the previous day, were you 25

- 1 surprised that no one had tried to get in touch with
- 2 you?
- 3 A. I don't think I was surprised, I was anticipating a FLO
- 4 deployment in relation to the circumstances.
- 5 Q. Did PIRC have a number to contact you on when you were
- 6 off duty?
- 7 A. Yes, we have a work mobile and obviously a personal
- 8 mobile and a landline to the house, so there is a list
- 9 of all contact numbers for staff within the -- within
- investigations.
- 11 Q. If you had been contacted on 3 May, how quickly could
- 12 you have deployed? How quickly could you have got
- 13 yourself to Kirkcaldy?
- 14 A. Abiding by the speed limits, an hour. 40 minutes to
- an hour. But ... to get to the office is 35 minutes on
- a good day, 35 minutes/40 minutes for me to get there so
- I would have been at the office within the hour, as
- I have done in the past.
- 19 Q. Sorry, when you say "the office" --
- A. Hamilton.
- 21 Q. -- is that the PIRC office in Hamilton?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. But if you had been required to make your way to
- 24 Kirkcaldy, where things were happening, how quickly
- could you have got there?

- A. I would -- again, I would need to go to the office to

  uplift a company car and then to Kirkcaldy, so best case

  scenario maybe just over a couple of hours, two and

  a half hours. I think it's about an hour and a bit from

  the office to Kirkcaldy.
  - Q. I see, I understand. So you would have had to go to the office first --
- 8 A. Yes.

6

7

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 Q. -- to collect a company car. Would you have had to do
  10 anything else to prepare yourself for the deployment or
  11 would you only be going into Hamilton to uplift the car?
- 12 Α. I would be expecting -- I would either have received 13 some sort of briefing over the phone as to what was 14 expected of me or I'd have received a briefing at the 15 office. Or again, if it was a case of staff had gone to Kirkcaldy ahead of me I would expect on my arrival at 16 17 Kirkcaldy some sort of briefing either from PIRC staff 18 or from police officers involved with contacting the 19 family.
  - Q. We heard some evidence yesterday from Keith Harrower about the need for a FLO to be thoroughly briefed before being deployed but in terms of the practicalities of making yourself available for the deployment, beyond getting to Hamilton to uplift a company car, was there anything else you would have had to do? Was there any

- sort of kit you need to take or was it just the car that
- 2 you needed to collect?
- 3 A. I would have my own -- well, statement papers, the PIRC
- 4 leaflets that we have discussed before, I would have
- 5 a number of them, contact cards. That is all I would
- 6 need to make contact with the family, I don't need any
- 7 specialist equipment to deal with that.
- 8 Q. And the leaflets and contact cards, are they things you
- 9 keep at home or are they all in the office?
- 10 A. I have got them in my bag. I think I have got them in
- my bag today, so I have always got them.
- Q. So the only thing you would have needed from Hamilton
- was the company car?
- 14 A. Company car and either a briefing there or, as I say, at
- 15 Kirkcaldy Police Office.
- Q. Would there have been a need for the company car, would
- it have been possible for you to have taken your own car
- and made your way directly to Kirkcaldy, or would that
- 19 be frowned upon for one reason or another?
- 20 A. It is not frowned upon, some people do have the
- insurance to cover that. I don't.
- 22 Q. So there would be a legal requirement, if you like to --
- 23 A. A legal requirement --
- 24 Q. -- pick up the company car. And you said it would have
- 25 taken I think you said 35/40 minutes to get to Hamilton.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Collect the car, and then from Hamilton to Kirkcaldy
- 3 would take roughly how long?
- A. I think it's about an hour, an hour and 15 minutes.
- 5 Q. So all in all, about two hours?
- 6 A. Two, two and a half hours, yes.
- 7 Q. Two to two and a half hours from the point of the phone
- 8 call, if the phone call had come?
- 9 A. Yes, that is me obviously getting ready, getting out,
- 10 getting suited and booted, so to speak, and presentable
- 11 to the family. And getting there and picking up a car
- 12 and getting on the road.
- 13 Q. Sure. There would of course have required to have been
- 14 time for the briefing and so on but in terms of the
- physical logistics on the ground, if you like, it would
- have taken you about two to two and a half hours from
- the point of receiving a call to get yourself to
- 18 Kirkcaldy Police Office --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- had you been requested to deploy.
- 21 I would like to ask you in a moment to look at the
- 22 statement of retired Chief Superintendent Garry McEwan.
- 23 It's SBPI 00258. While that is coming up on the screen,
- 24 sorry I am going to go back and just ask you another
- 25 question in relation to deployment. If you had been

1 called later on if the day, let's say after 8 o'clock, 8.15 in the evening, would you still have been in 2 3 a position to deploy? 4 Α. It -- again, it is the family's wishes. So if the 5 family -- you know, I know Keith Harrower was there with I think Alex McGuire speaking to the family so if the 6 7 family wanted to speak to a family liaison officer, even if that was just by phone call to explain -- identify 8 9 myself and provide contact details, I could have done 10 that. If the family -- and this has happened to me before at that sort of time of night, the family do want 11 12 to speak to me and I have attended and it has been late 13 at night, early hours of the morning and to explain that 14 it is -- to be quite clear that sort of contact is 15 really just a first contact: here's my contact details here's what I can do. I think I said to Ade at the time 16 17 I did make contact that the company phone would be on from 8 o'clock in the morning to 10 o'clock at night, 18 19 that doesn't mean to say I was going to answer the phone 20 at 10 o'clock at night but by all means leave 21 a voicemail. So that contact -- I could have 22 established that contact in that initial phone call if that was required. If the family had wanted it speak to 23 me at that time I would have explained to them this is 24 25 how long it is going to take me to get there, and

- 1 I would have attended, yes.
- 2 Q. So if you had been called in the evening of the 3rd, say
- 3 around 8.15, you would have been willing and able to
- 4 deploy?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. That could have been done in one of two ways: you could
- 7 have made yourself physically available to meet with the
- 8 family, allowing for the fact that it would have taken
- 9 two to two and a half hours to get to Kirkcaldy, and
- 10 then there would have been the requirement for a
- 11 briefing?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. But you have also suggested an alternative is you could
- have made contact with the family by phone?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Presumably after having had a briefing by telephone
- 17 yourself?
- 18 A. Yes, I would need information, some sort of information
- 19 depending -- even if it was very limited information
- I would still need that, and if -- if again in the past
- 21 where FLOs -- Police Scotland FLOs have been deployed,
- I would be needing to speak to them before I spoke to
- 23 the family.
- Q. I was going to ask you to look at the statement of
- 25 retired Chief Superintendent Garry McEwan SBPI 00258.

He was the local policing commander for Fife on 3 May 1 and Silver Command with responsibility for community 2 3 impact after the critical incident was declared. 4 He gave evidence that he went to visit Mr Bayoh's 5 family on the evening of 3 May, and we are going to talk about that in due course. But in his statement he 6 7 explains the background to him making the decision to go to visit the family and that was at paragraphs 86 and 8 9 87. 10 I am going to read these out and invite your comment on certain things that were said by Mr McEwan: 11 12 "The background to this is important, because by the 13 time I got involved with the family directly there was 14 already a great deal of anger and upset. PIRC were 15 responsible for family liaison. My point of contention in this case is that they weren't dealing with it 16 17 properly. I felt like I needed to see the family because it wasn't at the forefront of PIRC's mind. On 18 the day in question they didn't have the FLOs out, they 19 20 weren't even on duty. That wasn't good enough. 21 I raised that a couple of times directly with PIRC. Their recommendation was 'it is fine we'll see them 22 tomorrow'. I disagreed. I said we can't leave the 23 family overnight. They needed to be briefed and made 24 aware. It was wholly inappropriate." 25

1		Can I invite your comment on what he says there, and
2		in particular his statement that:
3		"On the day in question [PIRC] didn't have the FLOs
4		out, they weren't even on duty. That wasn't good
5		enough."
6	Α.	I think Mr McEwan at that time lacked enough knowledge
7		about what we could or could not do. I think from
8		a personal point of view if I was the only person who
9		could have turned out as a FLO or as an investigator
10		I could have directed Police Scotland as to what to do
11		until the team had arrived. So I would suggest he has
12		a lack of knowledge at that time of what our
13		organisation could do and was capable of.
14		We work from Hamilton, we cover the whole of
15		Scotland for all policing bodies within Scotland so the
16		logistics of that is discussed. From Keith Harrower's
17		point of view I think he did the right thing by going to
18		speak to the family I can't remember what time of the
19		day it was, and the timeline as to whether that was the
20		appropriate time or not, but he did go and speak to
21		them, and my understanding is he told them that as a FLC
22		we would be in contact on Monday. So I think he is
23		misinformed to be honest.
24	Q.	Let's look at paragraph 87 too:
25		"PIRC couldn't muster FLOs and said they couldn't

deploy until the following day which I thought was 1 2 wholly unacceptable. I can't remember which PIRC 3 officer did this. Keith Harrower was my main point of contact in the early stages so I'm guessing it was 4 5 probably Keith that told me that. PIRC weren't keen on deploying Police Scotland FLOs. That was their decision 6 7 to make. I understood that and why they made that decision. It's not good practice to have one FLO on the 8 9 Saturday and another on the Sunday. The preferred model 10 is to have an agreed FLO and have them right in from the off. That provides consistency and builds trust. The 11 12 PIRC preference was to have one on the following day. 13 For me, waiting 24 hours before the family had been 14 given a thorough proper update on the circumstances was 15 inappropriate. If it was my family and there was concern about the circumstances, and I wasn't being told 16 17 for 24 hours it would be devastating." Again, can I just invite your comment on that 18 19 paragraph in general terms and then there are one or two 20 passages I would like to seek your specific comment on. 21 Α. From Monday morning when I arrived at the office my understanding was that Police Scotland FLOs had been 22 deployed, and that it was Mr McEwan who cancelled PIRC 23 24 FLOs. His rationale for that I am not aware of, I think I had a conversation -- it was a question I asked why 25

1 they had been stood down, and I am sure Keith told me it was Mr McEwan that had stood them down. The rationale 2 3 for that I don't understand. 4 Again, from experience both within 5 Strathclyde Police and as within the PIRC, taking into account the locality, or where this incident happened 6 7 and the locality of family home addresses, what was of paramount importance from Police Scotland's point of 8 view is the delivery of the death message, and me 9 10 personally, I would have had FLOs in very, very quickly after a proper briefing of the full circumstances. 11 12 But the death message always has been and always 13 will be -- will lie with Police Scotland. It is 14 something that was of paramount importance to me as 15 an SIO within road policing, and I have experience of dealing with deaths at the family's -- effectively the 16 road end, so the importance of getting that death 17 message to the family closely followed by family liaison 18 officers is of paramount importance. 19 Q. Can I just clarify something with you please, Mr Lewis. 20 21 You said, "Speaking personally I would have had FLOs in 22 very quickly". When you make that statement are you wearing your policing hat or your PIRC hat? 23 No, it's a -- you want -- there is feedback again from 24 Α. courses over the years, family feedback is that 25

1 delivering the death message, it should be somebody who is -- it's not a FLO, it's not going to be their FLOs 2 3 because the family feedback has been that every time the 4 FLOs turn up all they see is the person who delivered 5 the death message, the message that has destroyed their world. So it has been taken on board that ideally you 6 7 would like somebody who has been properly selected and given a proper briefing in relation to it, to deliver 8 9 a death message, with the opportunity to either provide 10 details of a contact officer or somebody within management within the police or within PIRC, closely 11 12 followed by somebody who is going to contact you in 13 relation to family liaison. So what Keith did on that day, he went and visited the family, he probably didn't 14 15 know who would or would not be the FLO on the Monday but there would have been a FLO in contact that day. 16 At the beginning of that paragraph Mr McEwan says: 17 Q. "PIRC couldn't muster FLOs and said they couldn't 18 19 deploy until the follow day which I thought was wholly 20 unacceptable." 21 Can I invite your comment on that? 22 Again, that is obviously a conversation he has had with Α. Keith. That is his opinion. But as I say -- all I can 23 say is had I been phoned that day, yes, I could have 24 turned out. 25

1 Q. Further down: "It is not good practice to have one FLO on the 2 Saturday and another on the Sunday. The preferred model 3 is to have an agreed FLO and have them right in from the 4 off. That provides consistency and builds trust." 5 Can I invite your comment on that? 6 7 I don't know Mr McEwan's training, whether he is trained Α. as family liaison officer but I agree certainly I don't 8 9 think anyone within PIRC, any line manager at that time 10 and even certainly to this day would deploy a FLO one day and then deploy another FLO the following day. That 11 12 just wouldn't happen. I could see the family being provided with a contact name and phone number initially, 13 14 if that would be Keith Harrower or somebody else within 15 the organisation on this occasion, that would be acceptable. With the understanding that the following 16 17 day a familiar family liaison officer would be 18 appointed. 19 LORD BRACADALE: Can I just understand what you are saying, 20 Mr Lewis. Are you saying that the Police Scotland FLOs 21 should not have been even contemplated for deployment? No, no I am not saying that at all, my Lord. It's 22 Α. a death following police -- or a death in custody so you 23 would have expected FLOs to have deployed. 24 LORD BRACADALE: You would expect Police Scotland FLOs to be 25

Τ	deployed?
2	A. Yes.
3	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you.
4	MS THOMSON: Mr Lewis, we have looked at Garry McEwan's
5	position as set out in his Inquiry statement, which was
6	that PIRC were responsible for family liaison, couldn't
7	muster, couldn't deploy and that was wholly
8	unacceptable. I would like now to share with you what
9	Mr Harrower has said over the last day or so in his
10	evidence. This won't come on the screen because it is
11	from the transcript of the evidence but I will read it
12	out to you. In his evidence he was taken to one of his
13	Inquiry statements, and read a paragraph from his
14	statement:
15	"In a PIRC investigation there would be a firm
16	expectation that Police Scotland family liaison officers
17	were deployed. Then if there was a need for PIRC FLOs
18	to be deployed then that would potentially be a day or
19	two after. I can't be more specific than that because
20	it will depend on the circumstances and a lot of
21	communication and dialogue would need to take place
22	between the PIRC-appointed FLOs and the Police Scotland
23	FLOs but a definite expectation that Police Scotland
24	FLOs would be deployed first."
25	And senior counsel said:

1		"Question: You seem to be saying here in your
2		Inquiry statement that there was a firm expectation,
3		a definite expectation on the part of PIRC, on the part
4		of you, that the Police Scotland FLOs would be deployed
5		initially?
6		And he said in his evidence:
7		"Answer: At that time yes."
8		Can I invite your comment on that? You will
9		appreciate the tension between what Mr McEwan is saying
10		in his Inquiry statement and the evidence that
11		Mr Harrower gave over the last couple of days.
12		Can I invite your comment on what he said in his
13		evidence?
14	Α.	I think I understand what you are saying. But our role
15		is to investigate Police Scotland and the policies and
16		procedures and the actions of the officers on that day
17		at all levels. So Police Scotland, irrespective of our
18		role, have a duty to preserve evidence and to continue
19		with that enquiry, that investigation, as per their
20		procedures.
21		So until we have Crown instruction all these
22		types death in custody, death following police
23		contact, a serious incident involving the police, as it
24		always has been it's the police officers' or police's
25		job to preserve that evidence and to maintain their

1 normal policies and procedures, in consultation with ourselves either by phone call or through Gold Group 2 3 meetings now. 4 But, you know, this happened in Kirkcaldy, it 5 doesn't really matter where it happened in the country you can't just sit back and say: well, PIRC are 6 7 involved, and (inaudible) the death message just needs to wait. It doesn't. It needs to be delivered. You 8 9 need to look at deployment of FLOs now. You could look 10 at is there a need for the FLOs be to there, there and then or continue that process but you don't hold back on 11 12 what you should be doing, just because the PIRC are 13 involved. Q. If I can return to the evidence Mr Harrower gave 14 15 yesterday, I will repeat a little bit for the context of 16 what comes next: 17 "Question: You seem to be saying in your Inquiry statement that there was a firm expectation, definite 18 expectation on the part of the PIRC that 19 20 the Police Scotland FLOs would be deployed initially? 21 "Answer: At that time, yes." 22 That is were I stopped and invited your comment but I would like to pick up there: 23 "Answer: That has changed slightly. But it still 24 occurs that Police Scotland FLOs are deployed at the 25

outset of a critical incident, not all the time but it 1 does still happen regularly." 2 3 "Question: Can you explain to what extent it has 4 changed? "Answer: There will be occasions, depending on the 5 circumstances, and geographically where the incident is. 6 7 I'm aware that FLOs from the PIRC side have been deployed from the outset but I don't know on how many 8 9 occasions that been. But certainly at that time that 10 was the normal process, that the Police Scotland FLOs would be deployed initially and then subsequently there 11 12 would be a decision made whether PIRC FLOs were required 13 and they would get a detailed briefing and handover from 14 Police Scotland formally to the family, to then take on 15 that role for the full extent of the investigation." Can I invite your comment on that. How does that 16 17 evidence fit with your understanding of the procedures that were in place in 2015? 18 19 At that time, yes, Police Scotland did deploy FLOs. Α. 20 I think it is fair to say that since then and now, and 21 over the years the lines of communication have increased 22 significantly and our notification of these instances. I have had two or three situations as a DSI where myself 23 and my team have been in place before the Gold Group 24 meeting is ready to go, that is nothing unusual 25

1 nowadays.

So at that time yes, I would expect Police Scotland to deploy the FLOs and my understanding from this incident was Police Scotland were deploying FLOs. The need for it now because of the better communication, the better notification, Police Scotland still delivers the death message, they will still on occasions have FLOs in place, but it has become more of a regular occurrence for after that death message has been delivered and either the SIO or somebody within Police Scotland has informed the family that we will be taking over, it is Crown directed, and Police Scotland are much better at explaining what our role is and why we are there. And the family understand that and very, very quickly.

Again, if it is the other end of the country the FLO will make contact from PIRC by phone at the very earliest point. Again, after receiving as much information, whether that be from Police Scotland FLOs, whether it comes from the contact officers or if it is the SIO who has been in contact with the family, what has been discussed, what is the family expectations. To get as much information as possible before we step in. And you will have that -- at the very least the family will have a telephone introduction followed up by a time and date that suits them for a physical visit by the

1		FLO, and either the senior investigating, officer or the
2		DSI and the SI from a PIRC point of view.
3	Q.	Returning one final time to Mr Harrower's evidence
4		following on from the passage that we looked at moment
5		ago:
6		"Question: When did the position change in relation
7		to sometimes PIRC FLOs go in first?
8		"Answer: I couldn't give you a definitive date on
9		that. I am not sure. I am quite sure Alistair Lewis
10		would be able to confirm."
11		Is that something you can help us with?
12	Α.	Again, as I just explained, the lines of communication
13		are so much better now that we have either been there as
14		the FLO and with me is a DSI or a senior investigator.
15		Again, depending on the logistics of meeting that
16		family, so what has it is establishing what contact
17		Police Scotland has had with the family and how do we
18		then manage that moving forward.
19		There have been occasions when Police Scotland have
20		had FLOs in and for us to introduce a PIRC FLO would
21		only complicate matters because again you are opening
22		another line of communication. But in these
23		circumstances I have either deployed a FLO or the Police
24		Scotland FLOs will communicate with me as a DSI and
25		I will understand what they are saying and again, should

1 the situation present itself, if I need to go and speak to the family and deploy a FLO, then I will do that. 2 In what ways have the lines of communication improved 3 Q. 4 over recent years between the PIRC and Police Scotland? 5 When we first started out sometimes it was days before Α. we got notification, you are now getting a notification 6 7 or a phone call, at the very least a phone call, within -- I have had phone calls within an hour of 8 9 incident. In that situation you are very much -- this 10 has happened, to call it a briefing, it is brief, it's very much a brief: this has happened, this is where it 11 12 has happened and these are the circumstance of the 13 death. That is all we know at the moment but in 14 an hour's time we are going to the Gold Group meeting. 15 As I think I've said before, that has given me an opportunity as a DSI to sit back and look at what 16 17 I may or may not need and wait for the Gold Group 18 meeting and get my staff from on call or others who are 19 not on call into the office ready for hopefully a more detailed briefing from a Gold Group meeting. 20 21 Q. What has brought about that change, that improvement in 22 communications? I think it is a better understanding of what our role 23 Α. 24 is, not only within the police but within the Crown

Office as to who we are and what we do and the

25

97

importance of Crown being at the Gold Group meetings. 1 I remember after -- I think it was after six months then 2 3 the Commissioner and I think John McSporran were in front of the Justice Committee and the Commissioner 4 5 described the few weeks and months of communication as "clunky" and in that six months it had improved. 6 7 I would say it is almost as good as it is going to get just now, but there is always room for improvement. 8 9 Should our organisation expand and have more staff and 10 other offices throughout the country, again that will only improve. One of the good things to come out of 11 12 Covid was the Teams, we now have Teams. We don't need 13 to spend as many hours on the road and we can have these meetings and deal with that. 14 15 Q. You mentioned Teams and you mentioned earlier in your evidence the possibility of an initial introduction to 16 17 the family taking place by telephone, particularly if it was late in the evening. Have you in the recent past, 18 19 perhaps since Covid, had the opportunity to make 20 an introduction to a family, to introduce yourself using 21 Teams as a platform? I have never used Teams to speak to a family. But 22 Α. I appreciate nowadays that we have email and everybody 23 has a mobile phone, but you will never beat 24 a face-to-face communication with the family. A phone 25

- 1 call is second best but it is not the best, it is not --2 me, personally, I would want to be sitting down with the 3 family at the earliest opportunity irrespective of 4 geographical commitments or logistics. It is something 5 I could incorporate into that first phone call if you like, but at some point very early on I would be looking 6 7 to sit down with the family, put a face to the name, and get their concerns as early as possible. 8 Q. I want to ask you some questions now about your 9 10 appointment as a FLO to the family of Sheku Bayoh. I understand from reading your statements you attended 11 12 a briefing on the morning of 4 May. You explain in your 13 statements that briefing was by Mr Harrower, who had been the deputy senior investigator over the weekend, 14 15 and you were appointed as the FLO at that briefing? 16 Α. Yes. What time was that briefing, was that 9 o'clock? 17 Q. If that was what Keith put in his -- yes, I can't 18 Α. 19 remember the exact time. Who was it that appointed you? 20 Q.
- 21 It might have been Irene Scullion who approached me, as Α.
- 22 head of investigations.
- You were appointed alongside another investigator, 23 Q. John Clerkin? 24
- Yes. 25 Α.

1 Q. And you explain in your statement that you were identified as the lead FLO and he was to assist you, you 2 were going to be the single point of contact --3 4 Α. Yes. -- for the family. Mr Clerkin, did he also have 5 Q. a policing background? 6 7 I don't know the exact details, but I think he worked Α. with Garda at one point and possibly GSOC over in 8 Ireland, the independent investigative authority over 9 10 there, prior to coming to PIRC. In a moment I am going to ask you to take a look at the 11 Q. 12 first of the three FLO logbooks but before we do that 13 can you explain the purpose of the FLO log? It is a record of contact with the family, whether it's 14 Α. 15 a positive contact or no contact. That is basically it. In basic terms, it is a way of recording all contact 16 with the family throughout the whole investigation. 17 Can we look, please, at the family liaison policy. We 18 Q. 19 have looked at this already. It is PIRC 04460 at what 20 I think is page 20 of the PDF. If we can stop there, 21 please. There is a section headed, "Record-keeping", 22 and the paragraph beneath that heading reads: "At the outset of every deployment the FLO will be 23 issued with and commence a Family Liaison Log. This log 24 25 will be where all records of contact will be documented

1 with the family/next of kin, representatives of the family and any other parties connected with the family. 2 3 The log should be the only method of recording this 4 contact." Would you agree with that? 5 Yes. 6 Α. 7 Q. And the guidance goes on to say: "Entries to the log should be made timeously, 8 accurately and should be examined at regular intervals 9 by the SI." 10 Let's look at the first log then, PIRC 04150, and 11 12 I will remind you there is a hard copy of this in the 13 folder, if you would find it helpful to look at that, 14 Mr Lewis. If we could scroll back up a page just a 15 little bit, please, to get our bearings. We will see "PIRC family liaison log" and then in the box beneath 16 17 that this log, I assume that refers to the period 4 May to 11 May 2015? 18 19 Yes. Α. And it is logbook number 1. I understand there are 20 Q. 21 three logs available and this is the first of the three. So let's look at the next page, please: 22 "Family liaison log. 23 24 "Victim's name: Sheku Ahmed Tejan Bayoh. "Crime/incident: Death after police contact." 25

The date of the incident 3/5/15: 1 2 "Family liaison officer~..." 3 Your details are there. If we scroll down we will 4 see the date of your appointment is the 4th of the 5th 5 and the deputy family liaison is John Clerkin. If we keep scrolling down, please, senior investigator, 6 7 John McSporran. Then if we go on to the next page. If we can stop here, please. This is: 8 9 "Family~... Information." 10 And we see here: "Family member (main contact): Ade Johnson." 11 12 And relationship, although there is a redaction box, 13 I understand that line may have been left blank. What 14 was Ade Johnson's relationship to Sheku Bayoh? 15 A. Brother-in-law. Q. Brother-in-law. Married to his sister, Kadi? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Let's scroll down a little further: 18 "Family member (secondary contact): Collette Bell." 19 20 And her relationship was "partner". Who provided 21 you with this information? A. Of the family contacts? 22 23 Q. Yes. 24 A. I think it would be Keith, Keith Harrower, that gave me 25 that.

- 1 Q. And when was that?
- 2 A. It would either be just prior to the briefing or just
- 3 after the briefing, during the first part of the
- 4 briefing.
- 5 Q. So around the time of the briefing. What is the
- 6 difference between a main contact and a secondary
- 7 contact?
- 8 A. It is one of the -- the benefits of good practice in
- 9 relation to family liaison is to have a single point of
- 10 contact. I am the single point of contact for the
- 11 organisation and to have a single point of contact
- 12 within the family who -- that single point of contact
- 13 within the family is -- you have the conversation of: he
- or she is your single point of contact and any
- information I provide to -- Ade on this occasion, I am
- 16 confident that information will be cascaded to the
- family, and any of their questions. It is also a good
- 18 way of, if the family have questions, to put that back
- 19 through Ade to me to answer those questions or put it
- 20 back into the investigation. So you have -- ideally you
- 21 want a single point of contact within the family. If
- 22 there was any issues within the family, which I have had
- in the past, again that is one of the reasons you --
- 24 it's good practice to have two FLOs deployed. I could
- 25 deal with one side of the family and John could have

- 1 dealt with another side of the family. So you have that ... flexibility to assist in maintaining contact 2 3 with the family, if there was some sort of split within 4 the family. On this occasion there wasn't, so Ade was 5 my point of contact. And why is he the main contact rather than 6 Q. 7 Collette Bell, who is the secondary contact? He was identified very early on as being the main 8 Α. contact for the family. 9 10 Q. Identified by whom? It was Ade himself who said initially he would be the 11 Α. 12 contact, but at the same time he was seeking advice from 13 Mr Anwar, who eventually became my point of contact within the family. 14 15 Q. So it was Ade himself who told you he would be the point of contact for the family? 16 A. Yes. 17 18 Q. You said that the purpose of a single point of contact 19 is that you will have confidence that any information 20 that you give to that individual will then be 21 cascaded --22 It is establishing those parameters with that person to Α. make sure that that information has been cascaded to the 23
  - Q. By the time of your involvement, Ade had already

24

25

family.

identified himself as the single point of contact?

2 Α. Yes. What did you do to satisfy yourself that he had taken it 3 Q. 4 upon himself to cascade information to everyone within 5 the family, including Collette? I had spoke to him on the day, on the 4th, before 6 Α. 7 I left. I confirmed that he was the single point of contact for the family. 8 I think there is an entry to that effect in the logs. 9 Q. I think so. 10 Α. We will maybe return to this when we come to that 11 Q. 12 particular conversation. Can we return to the family 13 liaison policy, please, and look at page 9. At the top 14 of page 9 there is a section headed, "Identifying the 15 family": "The term 'family' should include partners, parents, 16 17 siblings, children, guardians and others who have had a direct and close relationship with the victim." 18 19 The list is not exhaustive of course, and in the 20 paragraph that follows the need to take account of 21 family dynamics is emphasised, but we don't see any 22 particular reference in that list to in-laws. A. It is part of the family here. It is not -- you 23 don't ... you don't forget about other members of the 24 family. That is why you have a single point of contact. 25

1

It does include in-laws. It does include ... it's 1 a very difficult job for a member of the family to take 2 3 on board, because it involves a significant amount 4 of responsibility, and cascading that information to the 5 family is of significant importance as well. So just because it doesn't say in-laws there that doesn't mean 6 7 to say you discard them. It's the family as a whole. What is the family? What is the dynamics of the family? 8 9 Again, it is going back to if the -- if, for argument's 10 sake, it was a very large family and they wanted two point of contacts, then that can be accommodated. It is 11 12 not -- to say you would not -- as you say, it's not 13 exhaustive. So if somebody came to me as an in-law or 14 a cousin or whoever it was who wanted to know information and that was possible, it would be looked 15 16 at. I didn't mean to suggest that in-laws should be left out 17 Q. 18 of account or that they couldn't take on the role of 19

of account or that they couldn't take on the role of
being a single point of contact. I would anticipate in
your experience there may be occasions where it is
perhaps in everyone's interests that the single point of
contact is someone who is perhaps not a blood relative
and has something of a degree of distance. As we have
agreed, the list is not exhaustive. I just note that it
doesn't include in-laws but it does include at the very,

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		very top partners.
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	I am just keen to understand why it was that Ade was
4		identified as being the main point of contact for this
5		family rather than Collette Bell, who, as you are aware,
6		was Sheku Bayoh's partner and mother of his child?
7	Α.	Yes, I fully understand that and, as I say, that was
8		a single point of contact. From the very outset, as
9		I think I mentioned in my statement, I would have
10		preferred a lot a much higher level of involvement
11		with the family on a day-to-day basis, and any concerns
12		from any member of the family of not being told what was
13		going on, then I could have accommodated that quite
14		easily. If that meant going to see Ade, or whoever the
15		family contact was, to explain what we were doing and
16		then meant I had to go and repeat myself to another
17		member of the family $10/15/20$ minutes later, then that
18		is my role to do that.
19	Q.	Let's look at the paragraph beneath the list of bullet
20		points:
21		"The SI must always take into account the possible
22		dynamics of a family structure to ensure that
23		an appropriate level of support is offered. This is
24		particularly important in situations where the family is
25		extended, split in some way or involves the possible

1 association of the victim with a particular culture or lifestyle." 2 3 What do you understand it to mean where the policy 4 says that the SI must always take account of the 5 dynamics of the family's structure? You are doing an investigation, so again going back to 6 Α. 7 keeping an open mind. We were starting an investigation. Any investigation you are starting 8 9 from a briefing paper which is a briefing paper; you are 10 basically being told an incident has occurred and you've got a time and date for it. That is when we then start 11 12 the investigation to get to a conclusion, not the other 13 way about. So it is his responsibility, or her 14 responsibility, to look at the dynamics of the family 15 structure. Does he only need one FLO as a FLO co-ordinator? It is my job to come back and say we 16 17 might need more than one FLO. We might need two. We might need three. That is part of the role. But until 18 19 you get that engagement with the family and establish 20 the dynamics of the family and the circumstances of 21 their expectations, you will never understand that. So 22 it is about communication and speaking to, if need be, Ade and Collette. But on this occasion from the very 23 outset it was Ade who was my point of contact. 24 MS THOMSON: Thank you. I am conscious of the time, sir. 25

1	LORI	D BRACADALE: We will stop for lunch and sit at
2		2 o'clock.
3	(1.0	00 pm)
4		(The short adjournment)
5	(2.0	00 pm)
6	LORI	D BRACADALE: Mr Lewis, could you help me a little with
7		one or two aspects of the evidence you gave earlier on
8		before lunch.
9		As a general proposition in a case of death after
10		a police contact, is it better to put the PIRC FLOs in
11		as soon as possible rather than put the Police Scotland
12		FLOs in first and change to PIRC FLOs the next day?
13	Α.	In an ideal world, my Lord, yes that would be the ideal
14		situation. However, there are investigations in the
15		past and quite recently whereby there has been a police
16		involvement as a result of a police investigation, and
17		then as a result of that the Crown have been made aware
18		and have instructed the PIRC to investigate. So there
19		still is the occasion where Police Scotland FLOs are
20		quite rightly deployed because at that time it is
21		specifically a police investigation and then when the
22		Crown Office receive the sudden death report,
23		for example, they may look upon it as a need for us to
24		become involved, so you still will have that overlap, if
25		you like, in those circumstances, and I would suggest

1	that the way we are now more and more PIRC FLOs are in
2	first, and it's only minimal contact by Police Scotland
3	prior to that.
4	LORD BRACADALE: The evidence before me is that on
5	3 May 2015 Mr Green from the Crown Office telephoned
6	Mr Harrower at about 9.35 on the morning of the 3rd
7	which was around about half an hour after Mr Bayoh's
8	life was pronounced extinct, so in this case PIRC were
9	involved really from a very early stage. What I am
10	trying to understand is why on that day PIRC FLOs were
11	not involved.
12	A. I don't know. As I said my Lord, if I had got the phone
13	call I could have turned out, so it's down to logistics
14	etc. But at that well, my information is that
15	Police Scotland were deploying FLOs and that is where
16	your communication between our DSI at the time and the
17	SIO within Police Scotland, that is their communication.
18	From my point of view, yes, had I got the phone call
19	I could have turned out and been there by early
20	afternoon at the latest.
21	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you very much.
22	Ms Thomson.
23	MS THOMSON: Thank you, sir. I wonder if we can bring the
24	first of the FLO logs back up on the screen, please. We
25	have looked at the third page which had the details of

Ade Johnson as main contact and Collette Bell as 1 secondary contact. If we can move on to the fourth 2 page, please. This is headed up: 3 4 "Names of non-family members involved (eg solicitor~...)" 5 6 Amongst others. And the non-family member involved 7 is named as Aamer Anwar, and his contact details have been provided: 8 9 "Date first contacted: 4.5.15." 10 Who provided you with Mr Anwar's details? I think it was -- it was Ade, by text. 11 Α. 12 Q. When was that? I think in my log I think it was probably around 13 Α. 14 about ... It will be in my log, I don't know if you can 15 scroll down. Q. We may come to that entry so let's perhaps put that on 16 17 the back burner. But what I was interested to know was whether this was information that you got at around 18 19 about the time of the morning briefing or potentially it 20 was information that was provided at a later point in 21 time but it had been recorded at the front of the log 22 because that is the place where you can record that sort of information? 23 A. I was aware from that morning that Ade was in contact 24 with a solicitor. I don't think at that time Mr Anwar 25

1 had been named, but I knew that was ongoing. And then having spoken to Ade, I then got a text message with 2 Mr Anwar's contact details. 3 4 Q. That allowed you to populate that part of the form? 5 Yes. Α. Q. Let's look at the next page, please. If we can scroll 6 7 up a little bit we will see at the very, very top, page 1, so this is the first page of the log proper, as 8 9 it were, we have the antecedent information and from 10 this point onwards these are records of contacts with the family or the representatives. So let's look at 11 12 this pro forma because the following pages are all in identical terms and then we will look at the content of 13 14 it. We see it is headed up: 15 "Contact sheet. "HOLMES incident no." 16 What would that be? 17 That is more from a police point of view, HOLMES is 18 Α. 19 an IT system within Police Services they can use to 20 manage major incidents. 21 Victim is Sheku Bayoh, and then there is an entry where Q. 22 you can identify the person contacted, the method of contact: personal, telephone, letter or other. There's 23

the date and time of contact. Then there is a record of

the actual content of the contact, and if we scroll to

24

the very bottom of the page, we see that there is 1 2 a place where the officer making the entry can sign and 3 date and time the entry. There is some information 4 there that allows you to call the HOLMES receiver; is that relevant for our purposes? 5 6 Α. No. 7 Q. So having looked at the shape of the pro forma let's go back up and look at the content of it. It's dated 8 4 May, if we can scroll up just a little bit. 4 May but 9 there isn't a time. But it reads: 10 "The now deceased was born in Sierra Leone 30/9/83. 11 12 He resides with his partner Collette Bell and a young 13 baby at ..." 14 Their address at Arran Crescent: 15 "About 0710~..." I think that says, perhaps 15? 16 7.15. 17 Α. "... 0715 hrs on Sunday 3 May 2015 Police Scotland 18 Q. 19 received several telephone calls regarding a black male, 20 possession of a knife, chasing cars on 21 Hayfield Road/Hendry Road Kirkcaldy. Several police officers responded from Kirkcaldy Police Office. 22 "As police officers attended Hayfield Road they 23 observed the male in possession of a knife approaching 24 them. Police officers drew police batons and CS/PAVA 25

spray. A struggle then ensued and the deceased was 1 taken to the around and handcuffed to the rear. 2 3 "While still on the ground about 0730 hrs, one or more officers noticed the now deceased had lost 4 5 consciousness. An ambulance was summoned and police officers attempted CPR. Paramedics arrived at 0732 hrs 6 7 and conveyed the now deceased to Kirkcaldy Victoria Hospital. 8 9 "There were no apparent injuries and medical staff continued to treat the deceased in the resuscitation 10 room. Life was pronounced extinct at 0904 hrs and 11 12 transferred a short time later to a side room of A&E where police officers stood by him. Police Scotland 13 14 thereafter implemented full forensic procedures relative 15 to the seizure of police officer uniforms, securing the incident scene and recover of evidence/productions. 16 17 "DSI Harrower spoke with family 3.15.15 advised family SPOC Ade Johnson regarding the need for a PM. 18 This was refused regarding formal identification as 19 20 mother was travelling from England with family elders." 21 I am going to ask you some questions about the content but before we do that let's scroll down just 22 a bit further to the bottom of that page. It appears to 23 have been written up at 2 pm on 5 May; is that right? 24 A. Yes. 25

- 1 Q. The information that is recorded in this first entry,
- 2 which spans three pages of the log, where did that
- 3 information come from?
- 4 A. That was the briefing paper we had that morning. What
- I try to do when I am deployed as a FLO is if there is
- a briefing paper, to have a quick reference, I will put
- 7 that as basically the first entry so if I need it refer
- 8 to rather than searching through IT systems or anything
- 9 else, I can find it, I know exactly where to find it.
- 10 Q. That briefing was at 9 o'clock on the morning the 4th
- 11 the entry has been written up at 2 o'clock on the
- 12 afternoon of the 5th. Did you have a copy of the
- briefing paper or did you write this from memory?
- 14 A. No, it was a copy.
- Q. Why wasn't it written up at the time?
- 16 A. My priority on the 4th was to make contact with the
- family, and establish more detail and more
- 18 circumstances. So the 5th -- at that time, the time we
- 19 dealt with other enquiries, that was my sort of first
- 20 opportunity to sit down and take that time to start my
- 21 FLO log and, as I say, start with the briefing paper.
- 22 Q. Can we go back to the first of those three pages,
- I think it is page 4 of the PDF. Page 5, sorry. If we
- 24 scroll to the bottom of that page, we see here the
- 25 bottom four lines:

1		"As police officers attended Hayfield Road they
2		observed the male in possession of a knife approaching
3		them. Police officers drew batons and CS/PAVA spray."
4		Next page:
5		"A struggle then ensued~"
6		So this is information that you say came from the
7		briefing that morning, from the briefing paper?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Let's have a look at the briefing paper, please. It's
10		PIRC 03694. Mr Harrower has confirmed to us that this
11		was the paper that he produced on 3 May. So we see it
12		is dated 3 May:
13		"Briefing note for director of investigations.
14		"Death in police custody Sheku~ Bayoh~
15		"Background information."
16		If we could scroll down to the second page of this
17		document, three or four paragraphs down, perfect. So we
18		see here in the background section it is recorded:
19		"It was reported that as the officers drove into
20		Hayfield Road they saw the now deceased coming towards
21		them as the vehicles came to a halt. They could clearly
22		see he was in possession of a knife and was making his
23		way towards them. Some of the officers, unknown how
24		many at this stage, drew their police issue batons. At
25		least one of the officers also drew their PAVA spray and

- issued a warning to the now deceased, who continued to 1 come forward. PAVA was subsequently sprayed towards the 2 3 now deceased, however this had little effect, there is 4 some reference to him laughing at the officers. It was 5 also reported that one of the female officers was apparently kicked in the back and also sustained a blow 6 to the back of her head. She sustained no serious 7 injury as a result but complained of pain. 8 9 "As the PAVA had little effect, a number of the officers struck the now deceased with their batons. It 10 is unknown at present how often he was struck or how 11 12 many officers struck him. A struggle then ensues and 13 the now deceased was eventually taken to the ground 14 where he was handcuffed to the rear. Due to his 15 constant struggling, leg restraints were also applied to him." 16 17 Is that familiar to you? Not that document, no. There is information there I am 18 Α.
- 19 aware of, but not that particular document, no.
- 20 So this is not the briefing document you were shown on Q. 21 3 May?
- No, it means that what I have written into the FLO log, 22 Α. that was what I had to hand. 23
- I see, so you have copied verbatim into your FLO log. 24 Q.
- From memory, yes. It was an A4 sheet I had, from memory 25 Α.

- 1 and I copied that out.
- 2 Q. I see. That must be my mistake. Sorry, I had
- 3 understood that perhaps you had incorporated a summary
- 4 of what was in this briefing note?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Bear with me just a second. (Pause).
- 7 I am being reminded that Mr Harrower confirmed in
- 8 his evidence yesterday that this was the document that
- 9 formed the basis for the briefing he gave on the morning
- of the 4th along with his operational notes. But to the
- 11 best of your recollection this wasn't a document that
- was provided to you at the time?
- 13 A. I don't remember getting that document with that amount
- of detail in. Had I got that, I would have -- I am
- 15 confident I would have put all of that into the first
- 16 few pages of the FLO log.
- 17 Q. All right. In any event, the information that you
- 18 record -- sorry, if we can jump bag to the log, please
- 19 thank you. We see the information that you have
- 20 recorded is that when the officers attended
- 21 Hayfield Road they observed the male, who we now know to
- 22 be Sheku Bayoh, in possession of a knife and approaching
- them. Is that right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And that the officers drew their batons, and CS and PAVA

- 1 spray?
- 2 A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And a struggle ensued. Did you understand the information that was provided to you and which you have copied into your log to be accurate at the time it was given to you?
- 7 A. At the time it was given to me, yes.
- Q. If we look at the information provided, if we scroll up
  just a little bit again, please, the officers observed

  Sheku Bayoh or the male in possession of a knife
  approaching them, they drew their batons and their CS

  and PAVA spray. Was that information suggestive to you
  that Mr Bayoh was the aggressor here and the police were
  responding to the situation before them?
  - A. I didn't look at that as it was a briefing paper, I was keeping an open mind as to what had happened prior to that and what had happened right there and then. So I wouldn't put him as the aggressor, for my -- putting a name to it, it's an incident whereby there has been an interaction between Sheku and the police officers who attended.
- Q. But you understood that account of events to be accurate at the time that it was provided to you?
- A. As far as the briefing paper was concerned, yes that was
  what was -- the information that was at hand at that

1 time. Q. If we can scroll to the very end of that entry, so it's 2 3 the third page of that entry, final paragraph: "DSI Harrower spoke with the family 3.5.15 advised 4 family SPOC~..." 5 6 Is that single point of contact? 7 Α. Yes. Q. "... Ade Johnson regarding the need for a PM. This was 8 9 refused regarding formal identification as mother was travelling from England with family elders." 10 So you have explained that you understood that Ade 11 12 was the single point of contact for the family? 13 Yes. Α. 14 How was it established that Ade was the single point of Q. 15 contact? That was from Keith Harrower, that he was the single 16 point of contact. 17 18 Q. So Keith Harrower advised you that Ade was the single 19 point of contact? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Were PIRC satisfied that he was the single point of contact for the entire family? 22 A. At that time, yes. As I said earlier, I am sure he was 23 aware of the family consulting with -- as it turned out 24 to be Mr Anwar at that time as well. 25

- Q. What about Collette Bell; were the PIRC satisfied that
  Ade had authority to speak on her behalf, that she had
  consented to him being single point of contact on her
  behalf?
- A. I am not aware of whether Collette was spoken to by PIRC staff on the 3rd. But my understanding was that until I had clarified things myself, that Ade was the single point of contact for the family.
- 9 Q. What steps did you take to clarify things yourself?
- 10 A. On the Monday morning I wanted to clarify the
  11 identification with the family if possible, and to
  12 confirm with them that he was the single point of
  13 contact, and throughout that day that was my contact
  14 details, was with Ade, his telephone number.
- Q. We will maybe revisit that issue as we go through a log.

  That might be easier than jumping around within the

  different entries. But as far as you understood things,

  when you were appointed as FLO on 4 May, Ade was the

  single point of contact --
- 20 A. Yes --
- 21 Q. -- for the family?
- A. -- and he was seeking advice from a solicitor, so I was aware of that as well.
- Q. What were your expectations of a single point of contact?

- A. Again, it is -- until you actually speak to that person and establish the parameters, at that time he was, as it is in the title, a single point of contact. He was the person I had to speak to on behalf of the family and
  - Q. You said earlier in your evidence before the lunch adjournment that you would anticipate the single point of contact cascading information, you would need to feel confident that they were going to cascade information to the family. Is that the sort of discussion that you would have at the point where you were introduced to the single point of contact?

I was going to be his SPOC for the PIRC organisation.

13 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. So setting parameters?
- 15 A. Setting parameters, it's having the confidence -- as

  I said, having the confidence. There is no -- until you

  progress an investigation and have anything to suggest

  that has not been done, then I had no reason to doubt

  that Ade would not pass on any information to the

  family, so I was confident that he was going to do that.
- Q. This entry refers to the need for a post mortem, had anything been said at the morning briefing about the arrangements for the post mortem?
- 24 A. The family had refused formal identification.
- 25 Q. Was that discussed at the briefing?

1 A. Just as -- it was formal identification been refused,
2 and that the post mortem was going ahead, with -- as per

Crown instructions.

3

17

18

19

20

- Q. Do you know what the Crown instructions were in terms of the arrangements for the post mortem?
- A. I knew it was going ahead on the 4th. I think it was about lunchtime, I don't know the exact time.
- Q. Do you recall what you were told at the briefing about the family's refusal regarding formal identification?
- 10 A. That is what I was told, refused formal identification
  11 because there was family, Sheku's mother and others,
  12 extended members of the family travelling from England
  13 and elsewhere to the family home.
- Q. Did you understand why it was that the fact that his mother was travelling from England would prevent family here in Scotland from carrying out identification?
  - A. I couldn't understand -- that is why -- you know, that morning I wanted to speak to Ade just to clarify what the circumstances of that was and if there was any reason -- or any way that I could assist in resolving that issue.
- Q. I would like you to look at Kadi Johnson's statement which is SBPI 00236, at paragraph 11, please:
- "I am asked if we had any further engagement with
  Police Scotland that evening."

1 This is 3rd May: "They did say that a family liaison officer will 2 3 come. Somebody came after that; I believe maybe it was a family liaison officer. But I remember another person 4 5 coming to the house, that was quite late. When he came in he said we should go and identify the body. I said 6 7 to him 'No'. I said, 'My Mum is in London. I'll arrange for her to come the next day, and when she 8 9 comes, we'll go and identify the body'. That's what I said to him." 10 Do you have any comment on that? 11 12 Α. I am not aware of the detail of that, but I was aware of 13 Keith Harrower speaking to Kadi on the morning of the 14 4th as well by telephone. But that information in that 15 detail, no. All I knew at the time on the Monday was that the family had refused formal identification, and 16 17 the family were travelling -- because family were travelling from England. 18 19 What Kadi says in her statement essentially is not that Q. 20 there was a refusal to identify point blank, but that 21 the family would identify when the mother made it to 22 Scotland from England. That is correct, yes. 23 Α. Does that come as news to you? 24 Q.

It is, yes -- that detail, yes. As I said earlier,

25

Α.

1		I think it is probably the first time we have had any
2		you know, a family refuse that identification, so I saw
3		that as a priority as to, as I said earlier,
4		can I resolve that, is it something that I can resolve
5		and revisit on behalf of the family. And again, the
6		communication between the organisation and the family.
7	Q.	I am going to read to you a passage from Kadi's
8		evidence, again this won't come up on the screen because
9		it is from our transcript. This particular paragraph
10		she was taken to this paragraph in her evidence in the
11		same way I have taken you to certain paragraphs and then
12		asked some questions.
13		She was taken to that paragraph and then she was
14		asked:
15		"Question: Did you make it clear to that person [who
16		I think we know was Keith Harrower] that you would go
17		the next day once Sheku's mother was in Kirkcaldy?
18		"Answer: I told them that our Mum was coming on the
19		next day and we could arrange to see the body then, yes.
20		"Question: And when you explained to the person you
21		think is the PIRC, the family liaison officer, that
22		Sheku's Mum would be travelling up the next day, did you
23		make it clear that you would be willing to identify the
24		body once she had arrived in Scotland?
25		"Answer: Mm-hm. Yes, it was clear that we will

1		identify the body once my Mum is here. That was made
2		clear."
3		Again, would you wish to comment on that at all?
4	Α.	I was not aware of that conversation. And from a family
5		liaison point of view, had I known that that was the
6		situation I could have facilitated that on the Monday
7		with conversations with senior management or the Crown.
8	Q.	I would like to take you to Mr Harrower's Inquiry
9		statement now, which is SBPI 00259, paragraph 55:
L 0		"After explaining to the family regarding the PIRC
L1		role and function, I then advised the family that COPFS
L2		had made arrangements for post mortem examination on the
13		afternoon of Monday 4 May. I asked for two family
L 4		members to make themselves available to attend the
L5		City Mortuary in Edinburgh to identify the body. My
L 6		operational notes, at page 9, state that 'Ade stated the
L7		family had discussed matters, and no representatives
L8		were willing to do the identification until family
L9		members, including the now deceased's mother,
20		attended~ She was travelling with a group, including
21		elders from England'. My understanding of this was that
22		the family were refusing to do the identification in the
23		timescale outlined. I don't think it was the intention
24		that it would be the mother that would do
25		the identification, but that they were not willing to

participate in that process until she arrived~... I am 1 2 aware she was travelling from down south or was about to 3 travel. I don't have any recollection of being advised as to when she would arrive. I got the impression she 4 5 was in the process of travelling but I don't think established where she was actually coming from other 6 7 than it was somewhere in England. I think it was suggested that they would arrive at some time the 8 9 following day, which was the Monday, and obviously the 10 post mortem was arranged for midday on the Monday. I have been asked if the family understood that it was 11 12 the Crown's decision as to the timing of the post mortem. Yes, I think so. I'd explained 13 14 specifically it was the Crown's decision. My statement 15 records that Mr Johnson wasn't willing to be more specific on the expected arrangements of the arrival of 16 17 the family members etc. That part of the conversation was closed down." 18 So it's very much a matter for the Chair but it 19 20 would seem to be that there isn't really a conflict 21 between Kadi's evidence and Mr Harrower's evidence and that he accepts that the family were not refusing 22 outright to identify Sheku's body but they wanted that 23 process to be delayed until his mother had arrived from 24

England -- you are nodding, would you agree that

1		interpretation?
2	Α.	Yes, now that I am aware of that and that information
3		I don't see that as a refusal, I see that as the family
4		expressing their involvement and what they can and
5		cannot do. And that would be part of my role. Again,
6		hindsight is a wonderful thing but had I been involved
7		on the Sunday then I am confident we could have arranged
8		something to facilitate the family's wishes.
9	Q.	You said earlier in your evidence, I think after we
L 0		looked at Kadi's evidence but before I took you to
11		Mr Harrower's evidence:
12		" had I known, I could have facilitated that on
13		the Monday with conversations with~"
L 4		And I think you said senior management or the Crown?
L5	Α.	Yes.
L 6	Q.	I am finding it difficult to follow the transcript at
L7		the same time as taking your evidence.
L8		So help us to understand what might you have been
19		able to do had you appreciated, when you came on duty
20		and were appointed as a FLO early on Monday 4 May, had
21		you appreciated then that the family had not refused to
22		perform formal identification but that they were asking
23		effectively for that process to be delayed. What would
24		you have done?
2.5	Α.	Again. I would have raised it with senior management and

- asked them to liaise with on this occasion Dave Green

  to -- if that was the case, that it had to go ahead at

  that the time then I would need some form of explanation

  to provide the family to explain those circumstances and

  the rationale behind that.
  - Q. Which family members did you understand had refused to perform the identification?

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think it was -- I am not aware of any specific 8 Α. 9 person or member of the family saying, "No I can't do 10 it". It's a formal identification, obviously it would prior to the post mortem, but it doesn't need to be the 11 12 family. If the family didn't want to do it and they 13 nominated two people who had known Sheku, again I would 14 have facilitated that even to the point, as we have done 15 in the past, of transporting family members to the post mortem and facilitating that request. 16

So again, as I said that morning I wanted to understand more about why this had come as a refusal, as it was at the time, but unfortunately I didn't get that chance to make that communication with Ade, so~...

And again, on the Sunday it would have been challenging to arrange if they wanted identification on the Sunday, just through the logistics of it, but it would have been explored or I would have explored it to assist the family.

1 Q. Can we bring log up again please, just to remind ourselves exactly what was said in the entry. This is 2 3 the information that was provided to you. You said all 4 of this information came from a briefing note; is that 5 right? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Not the one I thought it was --8 Α. No. Q. -- it was an A4 sheet. Did that include the bit that we 9 10 have looked at, at the end of that entry, please. Page 3 of that entry. The final paragraph: 11 12 "DSI Harrower spoke with family 3.5.15 advised 13 family SPOC Ade Johnson regarding the need for a PM. 14 This was refused regarding formal identification ~..." 15 Et cetera. Was that information written down on the briefing note that was provided to you? 16 No, I think -- I am not 100% certain but I think that is 17 Α. more a conversation I had with DSI Harrower. He would 18 tell me that there was a refusal. 19 20 Q. So it said that DSI Harrower had confirmed that Ade said 21 that there was a refusal regarding formal 22 identification, and I am wondering what your understanding was at that point in time about 23 Collette Bell's attitude towards identifying Sheku's 24 body? 25

1	Α.	Again, that information hadn't been provided to me, Ade
2		was the point of contact, and as I said earlier, my
3		priority was to speak to the family to ascertain the
4		full circumstances or to get more detail about
5		the circumstances, and to assist in the formal
6		identification.
7	Q.	So the reference here is to DSI Harrower speaking with
8		the family on 3 May, and we have heard his evidence this
9		week, as I have mentioned, and he gave evidence that he
10		had gone to the Johnsons' home on the evening of the 3rd
11		and that is where this conversation took place.
12		He was asked:
13		"Question: Do you remember Collette Bell being
14		present?"
15		And he replied:
16		"Answer: No.
17		"Question: Or her mother?
18		"Answer: I wouldn't have known, I wouldn't have been
19		able to identify either of them at that stage."
20		He was then asked:
21		"Question: Did you have a conversation about
22		the post mortem with Collette Bell at a separate time?
23		"Answer: No."
24		Can I invite your comment on that evidence?
25	Α.	I couldn't comment on who he remembers being there.

I wasn't there for that family meeting. I don't really 1 2 know what you want me to~... That is my fault. If the question wasn't clear that is 3 Q. 4 entirely my fault. What I am getting at is 5 this: Ade Johnson has in the context of a meeting with DSI Harrower apparently refused formal identification on 6 7 behalf of the family. DSI Harrower couldn't remember whether Collette Bell had been party to that meeting, he 8 9 said he wouldn't have recognised her if she had been 10 there. So I am wondering whether you had any understanding at that time, this is the morning of the 11 12 4th, as to what Collette Bell's position was in relation 13 to identification of the body? On the 4th, no. I wasn't aware of any requests or any 14 Α. 15 information regarding that. I would like to read to you another chapter from 16 Q. Collette Bell's evidence, again this won't come up on 17 18 the screen. She gave evidence that she had provided 19 a statement to police officers on the morning of 3 May 20 very shortly after the death message had been delivered 21 to her. She said in her evidence: "Answer: I said 'I don't want to give you 22 a statement, I want to see Shek'. And they had said, 23 you know, that they understood it was difficult but it 24 was really important to try and get the statement so 25

1	that they could get all the information that they needed
2	to find out what had happened. And I had said, 'No,
3	I just want to see Shek, I just want to see Shek'.
4	I remember saying it over and over again, 'I don't want
5	to do anything, I just want to see Shek', and they had
6	said, 'If you get the statement done then we will see
7	about you going to see him'."
8	And she was asked by senior counsel:
9	"Question: So having heard that what was your
10	understanding of the position?
11	"Answer: Well, I thought I will do the statement and
12	then I will get to see him. They have said that he
13	would need to be identified anyway, and they had said,
14	'You will get to see him, so if you get your statement
15	done you will get to see him because he needs to be
16	identified anyway', because they had explained that he
17	would probably need a post mortem, I think, at that
18	stage."
19	She later in her evidence went on to say that she
20	recalled on 3 May:
21	"Answer: staying up really, really late,
22	obviously upset but I kept thinking: why have they not
23	contacted me to go and see him? I remember saying to my
24	Mum, 'I thought they were going to phone me to go and
25	see Shek', and then I was like, 'But I don't have

1 a number to get in contact with them, surely they will just get in contact with us'. Because I had made it 2 3 very, very clear to them that I wanted to see him." 4 Can I invite your comment on that evidence? 5 That is a statement she gave to Police Scotland Α. officers; is that correct? 6 7 She had given a statement to police officers on the Q. morning of the 3rd and she had been asked about 8 9 the giving of that statement in her oral evidence here 10 before the Inquiry. She then went on to narrate the conversation that she said she had had with them in the 11 12 aftermath or during the course really of giving her 13 statement about wanting to see Sheku's body and she said 14 she had been reassured that if she gave the statement 15 then yes, she would be able to see the body. I am not aware of the circumstances of why 16 Α. 17 Police Scotland would want that statement as urgently as 18 that, there may well have been an operational decision 19 from that point of view but to view -- again, hindsight 20 is a wonderful thing but had myself been involved as 21 a FLO then it may well have presented logistical issues

25 Q. Can I take it then that the chapter of her evidence

in relation to viewing Sheku but I would have done my

night, if not as early as the following morning.

very best to at the very least try and get it done that

22

23

1		I have just shared with you, again comes as news to you
2		and that you had been unaware that Collette had wanted
3		to identify her partner's body?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Again, if you had known that when you were appointed as
6		a FLO on the morning of the 4th, what would you have
7		done?
8	Α.	As I said earlier, I would have made it a priority. The
9		formal identification would then be complete, if
10		Collette and her Mum, for example, wanted to do that or
11		another member of the family. So that would have been
12		effectively a job done, and then we could have arranged,
13		depending on what the family wanted, additional viewing.
14		But as I say I wasn't aware of that that issue in
15		relation to the family.
16	Q.	I would like to read to you one final chapter from
17		Collette's evidence, she was asked by senior counsel
18		what impact it had had on her not being able to see
19		Sheku before the autopsy took place, and she said:
20		"Answer: Really bad. I think because I didn't get
21		to say goodbye, I didn't get to see him even now I think
22		maybe they still got it wrong. Like, I know they didn't
23		get it wrong, I know it was Shek, but I often find
24		myself thinking maybe they did get it wrong, maybe it
25		wasn't actually him. I often have nightmares and things

1 about it, that I will be walking down a beach on holiday and Shek will be coming towards me, and I think it is 2 3 just I didn't have that closure and I didn't have that 4 time to say goodbye because it was so sudden and then 5 not being able to see him has had a massive impact on me." 6 7 Could I ask for your comment on that? I fully understand that -- that -- her feelings and her 8 Α. 9 emotion and probably anger as well. All I can say is 10 had I known that, had I been involved at an earlier stage I would have facilitated that request --11 12 I wouldn't say with ease but it would have been done. 13 You said that in order to facilitate that request, and Q. 14 similarly if you had appreciated -- if you had 15 appreciated at the time that Kadi and her family simply wanted to await their mother's arrival from England 16 17 before identifying the body, you said that part of that process of facilitation would involve speaking with 18 19 senior management. Who would that have been within your 20 organisation? 21 That would be -- on this occasion it was Billy Little, Α. 22 who is a DSI, and John McSporran. And if needs be,

Q. So you would have expected them to liaise with

with Dave Green.

23

24

John Mitchell, the director of operations, to liaise

1 Dave Green directly?

15

16

- If I was involved in that situation I would -- if the 2 Α. 3 family -- with the refusal I would be looking to, as 4 I said, clarify that and if the Crown were insisting 5 that that post mortem had to go ahead at that time I would need to have something to give the family back 6 7 from an information point of view. I wouldn't accept the fact that going back and saying, "Well, the Crown 8 9 has said ... " It is all about communication with the 10 family, so had I been aware of that I would have progressed it to as high a level as possible to get 11 12 an answer.
- Q. What would you have hoped would have been the outcome of senior management speaking with Dave Green?
  - A. I would like to think positively and from a confident point of view that to explain the full circumstances, that we could have accommodated the family's wishes.
- 18 Q. What impact might that have had on the timing of the autopsy?
- A. Again, I would need to -- you know, speaking to Ade, as
  to what is the timescale we are looking at, bearing in
  mind you have family travelling up from England to
  Kirkcaldy, to then expect them to turn round and go
  straight back down to Edinburgh mortuary, I think that
  would be -- yeah, that would be unacceptable to expect

that of them. But if that was their wish and they were 1 willing to do that then if that meant we had to 2 3 transport all the family members to negate the need for 4 them to drive that distance and take them back home, 5 I could have done that that could have been arranged. I have done it before many, many times. 6 7 So again, just going back, being aware of the full circumstances I would have accommodated that. 8 Q. Would you have expected your senior managers, whether it 9 10 was Mr Little, Mr McSporran or Mr Mitchell, in their liaison with Dave Green to have explored the possibility 11 12 of delaying the start of the post mortem? If I was aware of the full circumstances I would be 13 Α. 14 surprised if something couldn't be done. And again, if 15 it couldn't be done I would need to have some form of answer, not just, "It's not happening, it's going ahead 16 17 now". There would need to be some rationale behind 18 that. 19 Thank you. Can we go back to the FLO log, please. It's Q. 20 page 9 of the PDF. This is the next entry. I am going 21 to take you through I think every entry from 4 May and 22 this is the next one, and the contact date and time, are 10.40 hours on 4/5 and it's a personal contact with DSI 23 little who we have heard was appointed as the 24 deputy senior investigator on 4 May. Is that right? 25

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Let's look at the entry:
3		"FLO meeting with DSI William Little and
4		Investigator John Clerkin.
5		"FLO strategy.
6		"Provided with an overview of the investigation.
7		"Requested to make contact with the immediate
8		family, introduce the PIRC FLOs contact numbers etc.
9		"Responsible for the following tasks.
10		"To obtain relevant background details of the family
11		members and the victim.
12		"Act as consultant to the family in respect of
13		the legal procedures.
14		"Act as a consultant to the family in respect of
15		PIRC procedures.
16		"To ensure the family receive appropriate
17		information.
18		"To advise the family of the progress of the
19		investigation.
20		"To advise the family of the existence and role of
21		appropriate support agencies and how to contact them.
22		"To acquire and document all evidence relating to
23		the family and people associated with it."
24		Again, we see at the bottom that this entry was
25		written up at 15.00 hours the following day, so again

1		more than 24 hours later. But I think we saw that the
2		previous entry had been written up at 2 o'clock on the
3		afternoon of the 5th. So can we take it that there were
4		simply no entries written up before 2 o'clock on the
5		5th?
6	Α.	No. Yes, that is correct.
7	Q.	What does this entry that we have just looked at relate
8		to? There is a reference in there to FLO strategy.
9		I am just wondering what the entry what it is? Is it
10		a summary of tasks that you were given that corresponded
11		to the FLO strategy?
12	A.	Yes, basically it is, it's a it's an overview of, as
13		it says there, what is expected of me, and the contact
14		to make with the family and the basics of family liaison
15		with the single point of contact.
16	Q.	We will return to this in a moment but can we jump back
17		to the policy document, please. If we look at page 7 of
18		the PDF:
19		"Role of the senior investigator.
20		"Family liaison strategy.
21		"It is essential that prior to any FLO deployment
22		the SI has formulated a family liaison strategy. The
23		strategy should set out the objectives for the liaison
24		between the family and the investigation and are the
25		basis for tasking the FLO. These objectives should

Τ		include:
2		"Gathering material from the family;
3		"Obtaining victimology;
4		"Keeping the family updated on the progress of the
5		investigation;
6		"Ensuring the investigation is not compromised by
7		the disclosure of untrammelled information; and.
8		"Police and family media strategy."
9		And if we can also look at the top of the next page,
10		please. Top of the page 8:
11		"FLOs should be fully aware of the aims and
12		objectives of their deployment prior to meeting with the
13		family and should have sight of the family liaison
14		strategy document. Any uncertainties on the part of the
15		FLO should be discussed with the SI before meetings with
16		the family/victims occur."
17		In your second Inquiry statement, we don't need to
18		go to it but the reference is paragraph 61, you say:
19		"I think John McSporran actually wrote up a more
20		detailed FLO strategy. I might be wrong on that."
21		I am interested to know whether you had sight of
22		a family liaison strategy document which the policy
23		suggests should be made available to the FLO?
24	Α.	Not a written one by John McSporran, no.
25	Q.	Do you have anything beyond the entry in the FLO log

- 1 that we have just looked at?
- 2 A. From a written copy? No, no.
- 3 Q. What we -- the entry in the log that we looked at
- a moment ago, is that in effect the family liaison
- 5 strategy or a summary of it?
- 6 A. It's a summary of it. It gave -- it's the early days of
- 7 the investigation, and because of my experience in many
- FLO deployments I knew what my job was, I knew what
- 9 I had to get and I knew the priority and the importance
- of getting that information. So, from a personal point
- of view, all I really needed was an overview of what
- I basically knew I had to do, and that there was --
- again, going back to the post mortem on the actual day
- I didn't hang about at Hamilton Police Office, I felt it
- was more of a priority to me to be up in Kirkcaldy, just
- in case the family wanted to speak to me. Any member of
- the family, so that I was nearby.
- So I knew what my job was, I knew what I had to do,
- 19 and as far as a written strategy, no I have never seen
- one.
- 21 Q. Given your experience did you feel at a disadvantage
- given you didn't have a written strategy?
- 23 A. No, no. If I had had any concerns whatsoever, I would
- 24 have gone back to Billy or John McSporran, depending on
- 25 the information I had, and have no fear of raising any

1		concerns.
2	Q.	Can we go back to the entry that we were looking at
3		a moment ago, the entry that is a summary of the
4		strategy in the FLO log. Log page 5. So it will be
5		another two pages further on. Thank you.
6		If we scroll down I want to just ask you to explain
7		some of the tasks that you have summarised here:
8		"Responsible for the following tasks."
9		You say:
10		"To obtain relevant background details of the family
11		members and the victim."
12		That is perhaps self-explanatory. If we look
13		towards the bottom of the page:
14		"To ensure the family receive appropriate
15		information."
16		Can you explain what that means?
17	Α.	In general it is again, it's feeding the information
18		to the family, liaising with them and giving them
19		an update as to how the investigation is progressing.
20		But again, at the same time it's a live investigation
21		and we explain from the outset that they may well ask
22		a question that I cannot answer or it would be improper
23		for me to answer because we are reporting to the Crown,
24		and just because we send a report to the Crown that is
25		not the end of the investigation, the Crown Office could

1		quite easily come back and instruct further actions of
2		the organisation. So it is maintaining the appropriate
3		information to the family so that they know the
4		investigation is progressing, and how it is progressing.
5		But at the same time there is information that I would
6		possibly not divulge to them, to maintain the integrity.
7	Q.	The next bullet point is:
8		"To advise the family of the existence and role of
9		the appropriate support agencies and how to contact
10		them."
11		Can you say a little more about that?
12	Α.	Yes, over the years you gain a lot of experience of
13		different organisations, I think you have to make it
14		quite clear that as a family liaison officer you are not
15		a counsellor, I have no training in that whatsoever,
16		I have experience of providing information to families
17		in relation to counselling, that might be
18		as straightforward as contacting their local GP in their
19		local area. If for any reason I thought it was
20		appropriate and I needed to find local
21		contacts/information for them, from a counselling point
22		of view, I would research that locally to find that and
23		if need be introduce them, which I have done in the
24		past, to those people. But I cannot you understand
25		it is not for me to tell families to go and speak to

- these people, I can only provide that information, and,

  as I say, you have that wealth of information from your

  own experience, but if I didn't know anything about it

  I know where I could go and get it.
- An example, if you go back to the meetings with FLOs

  about the repatriation of bodies to another country, if

  I did not know how to do that, I knew where to go and

  get that information, to provide that and to assist the

  family. So it's not a case of being a counsellor, it is

  a case of: I can help you and I can get you -- that is

  my support role, I can provide you with the information.
- 12 Q. So a signposting role essentially?
- 13 A. Effectively, yes.
- O. The family liaison policy, we don't need it bring it up
  on the screen, but the reference would be page 31, it

  contains -- it includes a section on support services
  and there are some appendices to that policy that
  provide details of various support agencies including
  Compassionate Friends, Cruse, PETAL, these are all
  organisations you know about?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Are they organisations that you have signposted families to in the past.
- A. In the past, yes. I think you are moving forwards,

  I think it was probably 2016/2017 I had a more active

- role in the FLO policy, and in order to keep it updated

  it was to remove some of those organisations because

  sometimes it wasn't appropriate for that organisation to

  be involved. So removing it from the policy, removing

  it from the leaflets but at the same time having that

  ability to provide that support role, as you say

  signposting them in the right direction.
  - Q. Some of these are national organisations but you spoke about having local knowledge as well?

8

9

20

21

22

- 10 Α. You have, if -- there might well be a national organisation that can assist the family and it may well 11 12 be contacting that national organisation to try to 13 identify a local branch that can assist them, or if --14 invariably if you speak to these organisations, they 15 will quite openly tell you, "No I can't assist but I know somebody who can or an organisation who can", so 16 17 again you are getting that support role and have the 18 ability to provide that to any family in relation to 19 whatever it is.
  - Q. Are these the sorts of enquiries you might make on behalf of a family member, to call the national helpline to find out if there is a local branch rather than simply handing the family the telephone number for --
- A. Yes, if you can -- these leaflets serve a purpose, but, you know, if family said, "No I don't want to do that

1 but can you do that for me", I have no issues with that, that is what the company phone's for, making those phone 2 3 calls. 4 Q. Can we move on to the next entry, please. Next page, 5 please. If we scroll up just a little bit, please. 6 Contact, date and time haven't been completed here, but 7 I think as we read in we will find there is a timestamp within the entry itself. So let's look at this entry 8 9 and then I will ask you some questions about it: 10 "Provided with a family update regarding formal identification as this had been refused by the family. 11 12 3.5.15 DSI Harrower again contacted the family SPOC 13 Ade Johnson. DSI Harrower spoke with Ade Johnson 1030 hrs 4.5.15 in my presence. He confirmed family not 14 15 willing to attended PM for formal identification. Confirmed to Ade Johnson that Crown directed post mortem 16 17 to go ahead as planned. Asked to attend and introduce FLOs morning of 4th. Ade Johnson stated he was in 18 consultation with a solicitor who had contacted PIRC on 19 20 behalf of the family. DSI Harrower thereafter called 21 back and left a voicemail message with FLO details and 22 contact number, also phoned and spoke to Kadi on landline. Details also left with Kadi regarding contact 23 details." 24 25 So, as I noted the time of the contact hasn't been

1 written up but there is a reference to you being present 2 during a call that Mr Harrower made at 10.30 on the 3 morning of 4th, which provides a timestamp for this 4 particular event. 5 Α. Yes. 6 The previous entry we looked at moment ago related to Q. 7 your meeting with Mr Little, at 10.40. I wondered which happened first. Because either the entries are out of 8 9 sequence or one of the timings must be incorrect? 10 Α. I can't remember. I may well have had a meeting with Billy and then Keith making that phone call or 11 12 vice versa, I can't remember to be --13 It may not matter, they are only ten minutes apart. Q. 14 If we scroll to the bottom of that page, please. We 15 see that the date and time that the entry has been made has also not been completed here but given that 16 17 the previous entry was written up at 3 o'clock on the 5th, would it be reasonable to assume that this entry 18 must have been written up after 3 o'clock on the 5th? 19 20 It is probably reasonable, yes. Α. 21 Again, that is more than 24 hours after? Q. 22 Α. Yes. Q. You explain in your statement -- we don't need this on 23 24 the screen, but it's your second statement paragraph 55 as a reference -- that you were sitting beside 25

- 1 Keith Harrower when he made the call and you just heard,
- 2 as you put it, the one-sided version?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So should we understand that to mean Mr Harrower was on
- 5 the phone to Ade Johnson?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. He wasn't on loudspeaker?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. He was simply making a call and you could hear what
- 10 Mr Harrower was saying but not what Mr Johnson was
- 11 saying.
- 12 A. That is correct, yes.
- 13 Q. How is that you know that Ade confirmed the family were
- not willing to attend the PM for formal identification;
- was that based on what you heard of the call or on
- something that Mr Harrower said to you afterwards?
- 17 A. It would be afterwards. Him confirming that.
- Q. You have recorded that Keith Harrower told Ade the PM
- was to go ahead as planned, again was that something you
- 20 heard Mr Harrower say or is that something that he
- 21 confirmed to you afterwards?
- 22 A. I heard him say that, yes.
- 23 Q. The plan was for the post mortem to take place that day
- 24 about lunchtime?
- 25 A. From my understanding -- I can't remember the exact time

but I think it was around about lunchtime that day. 1 What was your understanding as to the family's knowledge 2 Q. 3 of the arrangements for the post mortem? 4 Α. Only what -- what Keith Harrower told me that it was 5 going ahead as per Crown instructions. Again, what about Collette? To your knowledge had 6 Q. 7 anyone contacted her that morning to discuss the post mortem arrangements with her? 8 9 A. Not to my knowledge, no. I certainly didn't do it. 10 I was -- again, going back to what I said earlier, it was a priority to me, I couldn't understand that concept 11 12 of a refusal, that is the first time any family has 13 refused to identify their loved one, so I wanted to know 14 more about that and I wanted to make enquiries in 15 relation to that. Unfortunately I was not successful. It was during this call that Mr Harrower asked to visit 16 Q. the family and introduce family liaison officers? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ade said he was with a solicitor who would be in touch Q. 20 on the family's behalf? 21 Α. Yes. 22 I wonder if we can return to the family liaison policy, Q.

and look at page 24 of the PDF. There is a section here

"The FLO will have a crucial role to play in the

headed, "Viewing and identification of the body":

23

24

25

1	identification process of the deceased. Close liaison
2	with the SI and Procurator Fiscal concerning the issues
3	of viewing, identification and body release must be
4	undertaken by the FLO."
5	I am wondering what liaison you had with the
6	senior investigator in relation to identification and
7	what liaison you had with the Fiscal in relation to
8	identification?
9	A. At that time there was no liaison with me specifically.
10	Again, going back to what we discussed, the family my
11	information was that the family had refused, and that
12	was a priority for me, to establish more detail
13	regarding that and then I would hopeful would have been
14	in a better position to come back and then speak to the
15	senior investigator and request consultation with either
16	senior management, or if need be to have a one-to-one or
17	a conversation between myself and the Procurator Fiscal,
18	or Dave Green as it was on this occasion, to put across
19	the family's request and provide more information.
20	MS THOMSON: Thank you. Can you bear with me a moment.
21	I am mindful of the hour, sir.
22	LORD BRACADALE: We will take a 15-minute break.
23	(3.00 pm)
24	(A short break)
25	(3.16 pm)

1	LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson.
2	MS THOMSON: Thank you. We were looking at the policy
3	document and it was the section headed, "Viewing and
4	identification of the body", which is on page 24 of the
5	PDF.
6	We have looked at the top the first two
7	paragraphs, if I might read on from there:
8	"It must be recognised that the formal
9	identification procedure and viewing of the body are
LO	separate processes. The formal identification will form
L1	an essential element of the post mortem process. Formal
L2	visual identification of a body may not always be
L3	possible due to the injuries sustained or decomposition
L 4	of the remains. The SI, in liaison with the
L5	Procurator Fiscal, will decide what processes will be
L6	employed to establish the identity of the deceased
L7	especially if they are invasive, mutilate or use DNA.
L8	When other forms of identification are to be undertaken,
L9	for example fingerprints, dental charting or DNA
20	profiling, the process and reasons for it must be
21	explained in an open and honest manner by the FLO
22	whenever possible."
23	Returning to the morning of 4 May, Mr Lewis,
24	I understand that the information available to you at
25	that point in time was that the family had refused to

- 1 identify Mr Bayoh's body. I wonder what was your
- 2 understanding as to the alternative arrangements that
- 3 had to be made to identify the body?
- 4 A. I think it was police officers who had done the formal
- identification. I am not 100% certain of that but there
- 6 had been some form of formal identification to satisfy
- 7 the Crown.
- 8 Q. I don't think we have the post mortem report on today's
- 9 playlist, I will be corrected if I am wrong but
- I believe that the post mortem report confirms that
- 11 formal identification was done by way of fingerprints in
- 12 combination with two officers.
- 13 A. Yes, that -- now you say that, yes it was fingerprints
- and two police officers, yes.
- Q. So were you aware on 4 May, on the morning of 4 May,
- 16 before the post mortem took place, that identification
- was going to be by fingerprints given what you
- understood the family's position to be at that time?
- 19 A. I think I found out about that later. The formal
- 20 identification from memory was two police officers, and
- 21 then at a later time which I can't remember, that
- fingerprints had also been involved as well.
- 23 Q. So should I understand that on the morning of the 4th
- 24 you were unaware that there was an arrangement for the
- 25 identification to take place by fingerprint?

No, I was aware there had been formal identification, 1 2 I just couldn't remember exactly what it was. 3 Would you have known about it at the time and you have Q. 4 forgotten it with the passage of time, or on the 4th did you understand it to be two officers and you later found 5 out about fingerprinting? 6 7 I think I could only say I knew formal identification Α. had been done to satisfy the Crown, the actual details 8 9 of that I couldn't say right there -- on 4th, as to 10 knowing that knowledge. So you may not have known on the 4th what the process 11 Q. 12 was going to be? 13 Yes, correct. Α. 14 Q. The policy provides that: 15 "When other forms of identification are to be undertaken, the process and reasons for it must be 16 17 explained in an open and honest manner by the FLO whenever possible." 18 19 Although it would surely follow that the FLO would 20 have to know what the alternative process was going to 21 be before the FLO could offer any explanation to a family? 22 23 A. Yes. And your evidence is that you didn't know the detail of 24 Q. it --25

1 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- on the 4th? Did you take any steps to ascertain what
  alternative form of identification was going to be used
  in advance of the post mortem going ahead at lunchtime?
  - A. No, as I said, my understanding was it was going ahead at lunchtime and my priority from the very outset that morning was to get to Kirkcaldy and just see if I could do anything to assist in formal identification from the family's point of view.
- 10 Q. The policy speaks to the FLO having a crucial role to play in the identification process of the deceased. 11 12 I wonder whether you were concerned at the time as to 13 whether the opportunity for you to play a crucial role 14 in the identification process was undermined by the fact 15 that it was half past ten in the morning or thereabouts and the post mortem was due to take place at lunchtime 16 17 and you still hadn't had the opportunity to speak with 18 the family?
  - A. I don't know about undermined but certainly it created a challenge that I don't think needed to be there. The time constraints available or at that time was challenging, of that there's no doubt. And again, just going back to early contact with the family, whether that had been the Sunday or even earlier on the Monday morning, is there something I could have done that would

1		have helped the family in relation to the formal
2		identification.
3	Q.	You said, "The time constraint created a challenge that
4		didn't need to be there". What do you mean by that?
5	Α.	From that point of view of, you know, the time
6		constraint, the post mortem was going ahead at a set
7		time, I started at 8 o'clock in the morning and to get
8		the information I required to then go and speak to the
9		family to try and get that contact, and I respect the
10		fact they were taking consultation from a solicitor that
11		turned out to be Mr Anwar, but even in that short period
12		of time I would like to think I would be confident of
13		sorting something out that morning in relation to
14		identification.
15	Q.	So do you feel that if you had had the opportunity to
16		speak with the family that morning, be it Kadi or Ade or
17		Collette, then the misunderstanding around the
18		identification of the body could potentially have come
19		to light and some action been taken to allow the family
20		to identify before the post mortem took place?
21	Α.	Yes, I am confident that had I had that interaction, and
22		spoken to the family I am confident I would have
23		resolved that issue. I am also confident that, as

I said earlier, if it was a case of that is --

the post mortem is going ahead then I would need

24

1 something from the Crown Office to explain to the 2 family, it would be unacceptable just to go and say, 3 "Well, the Crown have said and that's it". I fully 4 understand the Crown's position in relation to 5 an investigation of a death in custody but you still have to speak to the family: is there a need for that to 6 go ahead at that time? That was never explained to me, 7 that it had to go ahead at that time. 8 What was the barrier to you having that conversation 9 Q. 10 with any member of the family on the morning of the 4th? It is -- well, making the phone call and by the time the 11 Α. 12 phone call was returned to me, and the confirmation of 13 who my contact was going to be, ie Mr Anwar, by that time the post mortem had started. 14 15 Q. Were you concerned on the morning of the 4th that this 16 combination of circumstances, this perfect storm of 17 events, the timescale you were working to, difficulty making contact with the family, was creating a situation 18 19 where it was difficult for you to fulfil your 20 responsibilities in terms of the policy? 21 Α. Yes, the initiative had been lost. 22 What do you mean the initiative had been lost? Q. Making contact with the family, interacting with the 23 Α. 24 family, obtaining more information. It's very important

to speak to the family, as I said, probably earlier ...

although I make -- your first contact is an introduction as to who you are, what your role is and how you will assist the family moving forward. It is not uncommon for the family to say, "Thank you very much but I will speak to you next week, I'll speak you tomorrow or next week", it is not for me to impose my -- what I want to do, it is my job to manage their expectations and to manage their emotions, their anger, their frustration, and lack of or poor communication only creates frustration. 

Q. Given the set of circumstances that presented itself to you and given your concerns that these circumstances in combination with the timescale for the post mortem were making it difficult for you to fulfil your responsibilities, did it occur to you to say to senior management or indeed to the Fiscal: hang on a minute here, it's half past ten, I am not long on duty, I have just been briefed and appointed as the FLO. I have not met the family yet and if this post mortem goes head at lunchtime I will not have the opportunity to fulfil my responsibilities in terms of the policy to speak to the family about the need for the post mortem, to speak to the family about the need for an identification or an alternative form of identification? Did it cross your mind on 4 May to have that conversation?

A. I guess I did want to have that conversation but

I didn't want to just go and say: I think we should be

doing this, I wanted to have the information from the

family to have a better picture. Because the question

that would be asked is why, so I would like to have had

more information in relation to that.

The timescales involved, as I say, the initiative had been lost, getting that information, I think, even if that had just been a phone call, and it wasn't until after my first meeting with Ade that you had a better understanding of -- an understanding of their emotion, their anger, the frustration, the different messages they had received. All that was -- as you say, was a perfect storm and the initiative had been lost on I would say on the Sunday rather than the Monday morning.

- Q. With the benefit of hindsight, might it have been worthwhile having that conversation with senior management or with the Fiscal on the Monday morning?
- A. If I had known the information -- I wouldn't just go to them and say what's happening because it was quite ...

  It was very much a case of the post mortem was going ahead at lunchtime and that's it, and the family have refused to do formal identification and that was it.
- Q. Let's go back to page 26, please of this PDF. The

section headed, "Post mortem examination": 1 2 "The FLO will be required to explain the requirement 3 for a post mortem examination to establish a cause of death. The thought of such an examination can cause 4 5 considerable distress to families, particularly where cultural or religious beliefs are contrary to such 6 7 a process taking place. In such circumstances FLOs must proceed with sensitivity, explaining the reasons for 8 9 a post mortem." 10 Were you concerned by the lack of opportunity to discuss the requirement for the post mortem with the 11 12 family before it took place? 13 Yes. Α. What was your understanding of Mr Bayoh's religion on 14 Q. 15 the morning of the 4th? I can't remember if it was that morning or until I had 16 Α. 17 spoken to -- I am sure I've got it mentioned it in my FLO log somewhere but I did found out he was Muslim. 18 19 Certainly on the morning of the 4th you would have known Q. 20 that he was a black man? 21 Α. Yes. He was born in Sierra Leone? 22 Q. 23 A. Yes. Q. Did that raise in your mind the possibility that he 24 might have been Muslim? 25

1 Α. Yes. 2 What steps did you take to ascertain whether the family Q. 3 wished any cultural or religious requirements to be 4 observed in connection with the post mortem? 5 That was one of the sort of priorities I had as well, at Α. 6 some point, whether that was through Mr Anwar or through family contact, I can't remember exactly, but I did 7 establish those circumstances. Again, from experience 8 9 I have had, that experience of dealing with different 10 religious beliefs, and whether they are practising that religion or nor not and how will that impact on 11 12 post mortems, and how will that impact on moving forward in relation to the identification of their loved one. 13 So you wanted to speak with the family about the need 14 Q. 15 for the post mortem to take place? 16 Oh, very much so, yes. Α. And you wanted to speak to them about the identification 17 Q. 18 process --19 Α. Yes. 20 -- to try to resolve the issue? Q. 21 Α. Yes, it was a priority for me as far as I was concerned. 22 It was a priority. And you also wanted to ascertain Q. whether there was any religious or cultural 23 requirements --24

25

Α.

Yes.

1 Q. -- or observations?

It is half past ten in the morning and these are your priorities and the autopsy was due to take place —

I will be corrected if I am wrong but I think it was at midday, so the clock was ticking. You have said in your evidence that you were concerned by that. Help me to understand why you didn't say to senior management or to Fiscal, "Just put the brakes on this, I need to speak to this family before this autopsy goes ahead"?

- A. Whether I specifically said that, but it's -- again, that is why I said I was going to Kirkcaldy to stay up at Kirkcaldy, to get access -- hopefully get physical access to the family to establish that. As you say, cultural beliefs -- was it a cultural issue that it had to be delayed or to go back and just say well -- I would want more information to go back and be able to explain my rationale on behalf of the family as to why and can it be delayed.
- Q. Did it become apparent to you somewhere between half past ten and midday that you just weren't going to get that information before the autopsy commenced or that the likelihood of you being able to have that meeting with the family and then gather that information to allow you to make your case to senior management or the Fiscal wasn't going to happen?

A. No, I was always hopeful, I don't remember the actual
time, being told it was 12 o'clock, I knew the

post mortem was going ahead in the afternoon but

I didn't have an exact time. But I was always hopeful
of making contact with the family. Unfortunately it

didn't happen from a physical contact point of view, but
that is why I was up there, to make that contact, to get

that information.

8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Had you appreciated that the post mortem was going to 9 Q. 10 start at midday, would there have come a point somewhere between half past ten and 12 o'clock when, if there was 11 12 no contact from the family, if you hadn't had the opportunity to meet them, introduce yourself and talk to 13 14 them about the post mortem and identification, and 15 cultural and religious requirements, would there have come a point where you would have spoken to senior 16 17 management about your concerns about the timescale and this post mortem going ahead? 18
  - A. I think in hindsight I probably -- maybe I could have gone back to them but what was quite clear to me was that the post mortem was going ahead, that was it. Full stop. There was no -- there was no information to me to say or suggest that there was a -- a possibility of it being delayed, it was simply going ahead. That was it.
  - Q. What was the hurry?

Q.	Is that not something you would have wanted to know?
Α.	It's not for me to decide when a post mortem is going to
	be. It is for me to liaise with the family and, as you
	say and it says there, to pass on that information.
	I was surprised that the post mortem was going ahead on
	the Monday, I didn't it expect it to be that day. But
	that is not that is outwith my control, I have no
	input in relation to that.
Q.	Was there any reason to your knowledge why it couldn't
	have been delayed, if that request had been made?
Α.	Nothing that was explained to me.
Q.	Can we return to the FLO log, please and look at the
	next entry, which I think is page 13 on the PDF. The
	next entry is dated $4/5$ , $14.30$ hours, $2.30$ in the
	afternoon. By this point the autopsy has started. And
	if we could scroll up a little bit please, to see what
	this relates to. It is:
	"Personal contact with DC Andy Mitchell and
	Wayne Parker."
	Let's read this entry, please:
	"Meeting with the above officers who were the first
	to attend and speak with the family after the incident
	with Police Scotland. Both have submitted full
	statements. They made contact and advised family of the
	A. Q.

1

A. I have no idea.

death and that it was a critical incident to be 1 investigated. They were later given a prepared 2 3 statement to be read over verbatim to the family. This 4 statement thereafter caused issues and complaints from 5 the family about varying reports of the events." I would like to ask you some questions about this. 6 7 We see, if we scroll down just a wee bit, that this entry was written up at quarter past four in the 8 9 afternoon of the 5th, so again more than 24 hours after 10 the contact. This meeting with Mitchell and Parker, what did you 11 12 understand that they had told the family about 13 the circumstance of Sheku Bayoh's death? A. That at that time they referred to the notebooks and 14 15 read out verbatim what they had been told to say to the 16 family. Who was their meeting with? 17 Q. The police officers? 18 Α. 19 Mm-hm.Q. I can't remember if it was Collette or Ade. 20 Α. 21 The Inquiry has heard evidence that DC Mitchell and Q. 22 DC Parker gave Collette Bell the death message quite early in the morning of 3 May and then took a statement 23 from her. When I read you the chapter from her evidence 24 25 earlier on, where she described not wanting to give the

statement but giving it because she just wanted to see 1 Sheku, that was a reference to the statement that she 2 3 gave to DC Mitchell and DC Parker. 4 The Inquiry has heard evidence that they gave 5 an entirely separate death message, the one that was written down verbatim and read out verbatim from 6 7 a notebook, to Ade and Kadi later on that day. So I am just keen to understand who they told you they had 8 spoken with when you had this conversation with them? 9 10 Α. It may well have been Collette, I can't remember for definite. Now you say that, I do remember that they had 11 12 been up before that and delivered a message and then 13 they had returned with this verbatim message, I cannot 14 remember what the first -- because they had already 15 given statements in relation to that, I knew we had 16 reference to it. Did they discuss with you at that time any concerns the 17 Q. family may have raised around there being a racial 18 element to Sheku's death? 19 20 No, I don't remember that. Α. 21 There is a reference to varying reports of the events, Q. 22 what did you understand to be the nature of the 23 complaint that had been made about these varying 24 reports? The different versions of events that had been delivered 25

Q. Can we move on to the next entry in the log, please. 2 3 15.35 hours on the 4th: "Text message from Ade Johnson providing the mobile 4 5 number of Aamer Anwar, solicitor, and stating he would act on behalf of the family." 6 7 Which family members did you understand Mr Anwar was acting on behalf of? 8 Acting on behalf of the family, that was my 9 Α. 10 understanding. So everybody? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes, that is -- referred to -- it says there, "Acting on 13 behalf of the family", I take that as the family group. What effect did a solicitor acting on behalf of the 14 Q. 15 family have on the way that you would go about communicating with the family going forward? 16 I don't know -- how do you mean "effect", what effect 17 Α. did it have? What do you mean by that? 18 19 Sorry, that's a poor question by me. But you have told Q. 20 us that Ade was the single point of contact and I am 21 wondering when the single point of contact tells you 22 that a solicitor is now representing the family or acting on behalf of the family, what difference does 23 that make to the way that you go about liaising with the 24 family directly. Would it mean, for example, that you 25

by different people to the family.

1 would route all communications through the solicitor or would you continue to work with the single point of 2 contact for the family, or potentially both? 3 4 Α. It was made quite clear from the very outset that 5 communication had to be through Mr Anwar, which wasn't a problem for me, it was a -- I have experience of that 6 7 before as well, so if it meant me contacting Mr Anwar on behalf of family that was fine. Ideally from experience 8 9 I would have liked that contact with him but a higher 10 level of contact still being delivered by myself to the 11 family, and keeping Mr Anwar aware of what I was doing, 12 the contact I was doing. 13 Q. If we can look at the next entry, please. This is 15.40 on 4/5: 14 15 "Spoke to Aamer who confirmed previous entry, no issues." 16 17 So when you say that Mr Anwar confirmed the previous 18 entry, ie that he was representing the family --19 Yes. Α. -- what did you understand that to mean in terms of who 20 Q. 21 contact should be with from that point onwards? 22 A. With himself. Q. If we can -- did you have a discussion with Mr Anwar 23 24 about your expectations of him if all contact was going to be through him? 25

1 Α. Specifically, no, I don't remember. It was basically introducing myself to Mr Anwar and what I expected to be 2 3 doing on behalf of the family, and to keep him in the 4 loop with any communications that we would have in the 5 future. That was a kind of -- very much an initial contact with Mr Anwar, I don't think it was 6 7 a particularly lengthy telephone conversation. That was -- as I say, no issues, he was in agreement with 8 that so I had no issues with that. 9 10 Q. Can we look at the next entry, please. 15.45 hours on the 4th: 11 12 "Telephoned Ade Johnson to confirm I had received 13 contact details for Aamer Anwar. Ade requested home 14 visit at 1830 hrs." 15 And you agreed to that home visit. So this entry demonstrates that even after Mr Anwar was on the scene, 16 17 as it were, and acting on behalf of the family, there 18 was ongoing direct contact with family members? 19 Yes. Α. We would see that, would it be fair to say, as a pattern 20 Q. 21 as we go through the log, that you continued to seek to 22 make contact with the family directly? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. If we can just scroll down to the bottom. Again, just to observe that this entry was also written up the 25

following day, as with all of the preceding entries.

And if we can move on to the next one. 2 3 Here we have at 17.29 hours a courtesy call to 4 advise Aamer of the home visit, that would be to Ade? 5 Α. Yes. No issues. And again, if you scroll to the bottom, 6 Q. 7 let's see when that was written up, at 16.30 the following day. That is a very precise time, 17.29 hours 8 9 and the entry was written up the following day. Did you 10 check your phone to be sure as to the time of that call 11 or --12 Α. Might have been. It might have been from the phone, 13 just checking ... Is that the sort of information you would hold in your 14 Q. 15 memory or would you have made a note somewhere? 16 A long time ago, yes, my memory was a lot better. Not Α. 17 so good now, I would be writing just about everything down nowadays, but that time, 17.29, I probably took 18 19 that off my mobile phone, company phone. Q. Let's look at the next entry. The person contacted is 20 21 Aamer Anwar, at 17.48 hours on 4 May. Again, if we can 22 just scroll to the bottom for a moment, please, to note that this entry was written up at 16.45 the following 23 24 day. 25 Let's look at the content of this entry now:

"Advised Aamer Anwar on result of PM. Unascertained 1 death subject to toxicology and brain tissue exam. 2 3 Asked who the pathologist had been. Unaware at that time. At the time of the call Aamer had his children 4 with him. Also asked if he could obtain GP details for 5 the PIRC to obtain medical records of Sheku for the 6 7 pathologist." I want to ask you a number of questions about this 8 9 entry. Where did you obtain the results of the post mortem from? 10 Bill Little gave me a phone call. 11 Α. 12 Q. He called you? 13 Yes. Α. 14 Is that not the sort of call you would log in the FLO Q. 15 log? I suppose it wasn't a contact with the family. 16 It wasn't contact with the family. It was an update Α. 17 from Billy as to what had happened. It would have been just before that, I think. 18 Q. We see that you made the call to Mr Anwar at 19 20 17.48 hours. 21 Α. Yes, that is correct. Again, can you help us to understand how you were able 22 Q. 23 to put such a precise time on that call, given that it 24 was written up the following afternoon? Again, that would be from -- probably from the phone, 25

1 the mobile phone. 2 There is a record of the timings of the post mortem Q. 3 prepared -- it is on PIRC-headed notepaper and it is headed up "Officer's note" and presumably was prepared 4 5 by one of the PIRC officers in attendance. Several individuals from PIRC were present at the autopsy. 6 7 I will see if we can get it on the screen if we have it. It's PIRC 04148. We don't have it and it may not matter 8 9 but what it says -- this is on the second page for 10 anyone who wants the reference, third paragraph down: "The post mortem commenced at 14.00 hours and was 11 12 concluded at 17.50 hours." 13 So that tends to suggest -- and it's a matter 14 of minutes but tends to suggest that the autopsy 15 concluded after the call that you made to Mr Anwar. But is it your evidence that the call would have been 16 17 timestamped on your telephone? 18 Α. Yes. 19 That's the time that you made the call. You have Q. 20 advised that you heard from Billy Little. He had phoned 21 you shortly before you made the call to Mr Anwar and he 22 told you the results of the post mortem. 23 Α. Yes.

Where were you when you made this call?

To Mr Anwar? Kirkcaldy Police Office. Out in the

24

25

Q.

Α.

- 1 backyard away for privacy.
- Q. In the backyard?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Your deputy FLO, John Clerkin, said that he was driving
- 5 and you were in the front passenger seat and he thought
- that is where you were when the call was made?
- 7 A. No. No, I remember -- we may well have been driving at
- 8 some point but I remember -- it might well have been
- 9 just arriving back at Kirkcaldy Police Office. The
- 10 exact times -- moving about, I don't know, because we
- 11 were moving about quite a bit, but I do remember getting
- the phone call or making the phone call, but I purposely
- 13 stepped out into the backyard of Kirkcaldy Police Office
- for privacy.
- 15 Q. The entry says:
- "Advised result of PM unascertained subject to
- 17 toxicology and brain tissue exam."
- How did Mr Anwar react to that information?
- 19 A. As it says there, he asked who the pathologist had been
- and I told him I was unaware at that time.
- 21 Q. And beyond asking about the pathologist, did he have any
- 22 reaction to you sharing with him the result of the
- autopsy?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You said in your second Inquiry statement -- we don't

- 1 need to bring it up on the screen but the reference is 2 paragraph 75: 3 "Aamer certainly didn't express any surprise when 4 I told him the PM had been completed. There was no 5 surprise." That is correct. 6 Α. 7 Q. You have recorded in the entry that at the time of the call he had his children with him. How did you know 8 9 that? 10 A. I could hear in the background and we had a bit of conversation about children and looking after them, etc. 11 12 That was just a bit of professional courtesy between both of us. 13 You say "children" rather than "child". Were you able 14 Q. 15 to tell how many children were with him? I could hear the children in the background, and 16 Α. 17 I probably would have said to him that is it a good time to speak to him, and he told me he was out with his 18 19 children at the time, so -- and it was okay to continue 20 with the conversation. Q. Did he say where he was?
- 21
- No. I don't think so. 22 Α.
- Did you have an impression as to what he was doing with 23 Q. 24 the children?
- Me? Other than a father spending time with the 25

- 1 children, no.
- Q. Why did you record in the FLO log that he had his
- 3 children with him? Why did you think that was
- 4 a relevant detail that was worth noting down?
- 5 A. It was -- I could hear in the background that the
- 6 children were there, so I was just -- as I say, I was
- 7 making sure it was a safe environment to talk to him
- 8 about these circumstances. That is all.
- 9 Q. It was at 17.48, which is after the end of the typical
- working day?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Given that he had his children with him and you said he
- was out somewhere with them, did you form any impression
- 14 as to whether he was able to give you and the call his
- full attention at that time?
- 16 A. He said he could, so -- I wouldn't have continued the
- 17 conversation. If he had said "phone me back", I would
- have done that. Or he could have phoned me back.
- 19 Q. You have recorded that you asked him to obtain the
- 20 details of Mr Bayoh's GP.
- 21 A. Yes, to obtain the medical records.
- Q. And how did he respond to that request?
- 23 A. I can't remember. I think he said he would go back to
- the family. To be 100% certain, I couldn't be specific
- about that response.

- 1 Q. Again this entry was written up almost 24 hours later.
- Why wasn't it written up at the time or shortly
- 3 afterwards?
- 4 A. There is no specific reason behind it. It was
- 5 a suitable time to sit down for me at that time to write
- 6 up the entry in relation to it. It's having the time to
- 7 prioritise what you need to do and then prioritise what
- 8 you write down and taking that time to write it down.
- 9 Q. Because this wasn't just one conversation that you were
- 10 holding in your head. By the time you sat down with
- 11 your notebook on the afternoon of the 5th you were
- 12 holding in your head information relating to the initial
- briefing and in relation to the meeting that you had
- 14 with Mr Little and Mr Clerkin where the FLO strategy was
- discussed and then there was an update in relation to --
- 16 from Mr Harrower in relation to the identification of
- 17 the body, a further update on that the following
- morning, a discussion that you had with DC Mitchell and
- 19 Parker, various messages around the appointment of
- 20 Mr Anwar as family solicitor. That is a lot of
- 21 information to be holding in your head and not to write
- 22 up until approximately 24 hours later.
- 23 A. Yes, I appreciate that. The information was, as I say,
- 24 at that time I found relatively easy to recollect and
- 25 sit down and take the time to do it. It's not a rushed

1 job. I made a point of finding a quiet place and just going day-by-day as to what I could recollect and put 2 down the information in the FLO log. 3 4 Q. In fairness to Mr Anwar, he was invited to comment on 5 this entry in your log. We do this by a process that we call "Rule 8 request" and I wonder if we can bring up 6 7 his Rule 8 response now. If we can scroll down, please, and I will say when to stop. My fault, up a little bit. 8 9 It's the bit in the box here: 10 "Did you receive a call from Mr Lewis at 17.48 on 3 May 2015?" 11 12 And this is Mr Anwar's response: 13 "I had already been told on the 4th May by the 14 family that they wished to the post mortem to be put on 15 hold and Ade had told the PIRC that they wished it delayed until Mrs Aminata Bayoh had arrived and she had 16 17 seen Sheku. In conversation with Alistair Lewis this was reiterated by myself on the 4th May, that the PM 18 would be on hold. For him to claim that he told me the 19 20 post mortem had gone ahead makes no sense." 21 I don't think you will have seen this before? 22 Α. No. Take a moment, please, and when you have had the 23 Q. 24 opportunity to digest that I would invite your comment. 25 Α. In relation to the first part, the family had told the

1 PIRC they wanted it put on hold, that goes back to what I was told on the Monday; that it was going ahead and 2 3 that that was the end of the matter. In conversation 4 with myself, he didn't reiterate to me that the PM would 5 be put on hold. It was later that day or later -- might have been the following day or a couple of days later 6 7 that he had told me that the Lord Advocate had indicated that the post mortem would be delayed, and I went back 8 9 to -- in that conversation I went back to this 10 previous -- this phone call there, explaining the post mortem and what I had told him that day. 11 12 Q. I think we will see that as we work our way through the 13 entries in the logs. Let's return to the log, please, 14 and move on to the next entry which I think is at 15 page 19 of the PDF: "20.15 hours on the 4th, phone call to advise 16 17 the house at Arran Crescent is to be returned to the family by 22.00 hours." 18 19 This is a contact at 20.15 on the 4th. We have just looked at a phone call to Aamer Anwar at 17.58 hours and 20 21 if you take it from me, we will come to it shortly, the 22 next entry relates to a home visit at 18.30 hours. So this one is out of sequence? 23 This one -- I would need to look at it in context. 24 Α. Let's do that. Remember you've got a hard copy of the 25 Q.

1 FLO log in front of you, but let's just look at the 2 previous page for a moment, please. The previous page 3 being the phone call to Aamer. If we go to the top 4 where we can see the time of that contact, it is at 5 17.48 hours on the 4th. And then if we scroll down, the entry that we are about to look at relates to contact at 6 7 20.15 hours on the 4th of the 5th. Then if we scroll down for completeness to the next entry, it's dated 8 9 18.30 on the 4th of the 5th. So it appears that the 10 20.15 entry is out of sequence? Yes. Out of sync, yes. 11 Α. 12 Is there any particular reason for that? Q. No, I can't -- I couldn't -- certainly that day, having 13 Α. 14 met the family, the priority for them was to get -- at 15 that time they wanted the house back and that was something that I sort of prioritised, having met them, 16 17 to get back and speak to Bill Little in relation to 18 that. So it was simply just out of sync. That's all. 19 It might make more sense then if we look at the entry Q. 20 that relates to the home visit, and that might give some 21 context to the entry that is out of sync that relates to 22 the return of the house. So this entry relates to -- if we can scroll up a little bit further, please, so we can 23 see the top of the page. It is personal contact with 24

Ade Johnson and family 18.30 hours on the 4th of the

1 5th:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Home visit as requested by Ade Johnson. Initially this visit was an introduction to Ade and to obtain a list of clothing required by the partner, Collette, as the house still secured by Police Scotland. Myself and John Clerkin then subjected to intense questioning by a large contingent of the family, occasionally hostile and frustrated about what Police Scotland had done or told the family differed from that of the PIRC. Eventually managed to speak to Ade at the front door and placate him and explain our role. He reiterated Aamer Anwar would be contact for the family. Also obtained a list of clothing. Family requested a female officer recover articles from the house. Further explained Crown-directed role. Report to the COPFS. I will continue to update the family. There may be occasions I will not be able to answer questions as it may compromise any Crown decision. However, this is a very early stage of the inquiry and a significant amount of information and witness statements require to be obtained."

So I would like to ask you some questions about this entry. So the purpose of the visit, according to the entry, was an introduction to Ade, as well as to obtain a list of clothing. If we can perhaps go back to the

1		family liaison policy for a moment at page 21 of the
2		PDF. Thank you:
3		"Working and communicating with a family. The
4		conduct of the first contact with a family is vital in
5		laying the foundations for a successful partnership."
6		Would you agree with that?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	"At no time must a family be deliberately mislead.
9		Contact must be honest and, as far as possible, open.
10		It is of paramount importance that families are treated
11		appropriately, professionally, with respect and with
12		consideration given to their needs. Investigators must
13		never make assumption as to the particular needs and
14		expectations of a family."
15		Again, would you agree with that?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	I want to ask you some questions about your preparation
18		for this meeting with the family. It was at half past 6
19		on 4 May, so almost 36 hours after the events at
20		Hayfield Road on the morning of 3 May. If we can look
21		at page 21 again of the policy. That is perfect. Just
22		down a tiny bit, please. Thank you. Stop there,
23		please. Three paragraphs down:
24		"One of the primary concerns of family members will
25		be the need for information. The trauma of bereavement

1 can be compounded by the frustration of not knowing the surrounding facts. The victim's family must be provided 2 3 with the timely sharing of all possible information so 4 far as the investigation permits. The FLO should have 5 direct communication with the SI in connection with their role and issues concerning the family. Any 6 7 information released to the family must first be authorised by the SI." 8 9 Now, you were aware from your discussion with 10 DCs Mitchell and Parker that the family had raised issues and concerns -- sorry, issues and complaints 11 12 about varying reports of the events. Should we 13 understand that to mean the events at Hayfield Road? Yes. 14 Α. 15 What had happened to Sheku Bayoh. Any family, I think Q. it would be fair to say, who have lost a loved one 16 17 following police contact will want to know what has happened. What did you do to inform yourself about what 18 was known about the circumstances at that time? 19 The circumstances, my understanding was that it was just 20 Α. 21 the two police officers who had had contact with the 22 family, and that was my information at the time. The only other information I had outwith that was from the 23 briefing that day. So, again, the priority of getting 24 in contact with the family to get their version of 25

events and understand their frustrations. Because it

had already -- it had been made aware to me I think by

the two police officers that the family were obviously

frustrated about the different versions of events. So

I would -- my opportunity there was to try and establish

just exactly what had happened, and their frustrations.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When you say you wanted to establish what had happened as far as the family were concerned, do you mean in terms of the contact that they had had with officers from Police Scotland?
- The contact that they had had and, as I say, I was aware Α. of the different version of events that had been provided to them. And after the introduction, which is normal practice, is to introduce yourself and contact details, it was quite clear from the family that -and understandably their anger and frustration to the different versions of events which they provided to me at that time and their concerns about -- one thing that sort of sticks out is that they were under the impression that we had control of Arran Crescent, whereas I had been told that it was Police Scotland's responsibility for that. We did not have control over that. So that to me was, well, where has that information come from to the family and I need to clarify that and how did that get there. It is

difficult to establish that information in such a short 1 2 space of time. But understanding their -- and I do 3 understand their anger and their frustration and 4 the emotions. It is quite -- obviously it goes without 5 saying it is a traumatic situation they are in. So this was a family who had been provided with 6 Q. 7 different accounts of the events at Hayfield Road? 8 Α. Yes. And this had led to anger and frustration? 9 10 Α. Yes. So what did you do to inform yourself about what was 11 Q. 12 known of the circumstances at that time? 13 Again, from speaking to the police officers and Α. 14 reviewing what we had, the information -- the 15 information I had in relation to the briefing paper. Again, it goes back to I would have preferred to have 16 17 been in earlier to speak to the family and establish 18 their side of -- or get more detail from what they had 19 been told. There are two separate issues here, I think. There is 20 Q. 21 the family's concerns and complaints that they had been 22 provided with different accounts and there is their need for truth, there is their need to understand what 23 happened on the morning of 3 May at Hayfield Road. What 24 did you do to in-gather information that might assist 25

- the family beyond going back to your briefing note?
- 2 A. As I say, my priority was to speak to the family to
- 3 clarify what they had been told and get more information
- 4 in relation to that.
- 5 Q. At this point in time I am not concerned with what the
- family had been told, I am concerned with questions the
- 7 family might have wanted to ask you about what had
- 8 happened to Sheku. Because the briefing note, you will
- 9 recall, says that the officers who attended
- 10 Hayfield Road saw him in possession of a knife
- 11 approaching them, they drew their batons and spray,
- 12 a struggle then ensued, and you have given evidence that
- you understood that to be accurate. It must surely have
- 14 occurred to you before you went to meet with this
- family, knowing as you did that they had been provided
- 16 with different versions of events and that they were
- angry and frustrated as a result of that, that they
- would want you to tell them what had happened to their
- 19 loved one.
- 20 A. That is correct. I agree with that. The information
- 21 that I had at that time from the briefing and from the
- 22 police officers, that was my information at that time.
- 23 Q. And I understand from the policy that any information
- 24 that a FLO can make available to a family about the
- 25 circumstances of an incident has to be approved in

- 1 advance by the senior investigating officer?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is that right? So what discussions did you have with
- 4 the senior investigating officer about the information
- 5 that you could give to the family when you met with them
- about the circumstances of Sheku Bayoh's death?
- 7 A. I can't remember if I spoke to Bill Little directly, but
- 8 there certainly was -- before I spoke to the family and
- 9 in conversation with Billy, apart from the update in
- 10 relation to the post mortem result, there was no other
- information to confirm or deny the information that we
- 12 already had at that time. So what I had at that time
- was information that as far as I was concerned was
- 14 accurate, and to go to the family with.
- Q. And what you had at that time was that the officers on
- 16 arrival at Hayfield Road saw Mr Bayoh in possession of
- a knife approaching them, they drew batons and sprays
- and a struggle ensued?
- 19 A. That was the information I had, yes.
- Q. Did you have authority from the senior investigator to
- 21 share that information with the family as the events as
- you understood them at that point in time?
- 23 A. That was the information I had. The authority to get
- 24 specific authority but I didn't -- that was information
- 25 that I had, so there was no specific guidance or

authority to provide information. But it was 1 information I had at the time. In fairness, from the 2 3 family's point of view, it was quite clear from the first interaction that their anger and frustration was 4 about that information and the different versions of 5 events that they had. I do remember Ade giving me two 6 or three different versions of events and that was more 7 information that I had obtained from the family than 8 I did through the police investigation or our own 9 10 information at that time. So, again, that was information being clarified by the family, if you like, 11 12 as to what they had been told. When you went to see the family did they ask you: tell 13 Q. us what happened? 14 15 Α. They wanted to know what happened. And did you share with them the information you have 16 Q. recorded in your full log that was provided to you at 17 18 the briefing? 19 I can't remember if I went into that detail, because Α. 20 there was -- the anger was they wanted to know the right 21 information and they wanted access back to 22 Arran Crescent. So that was -- and the list that they required for was a priority for them at that time 23 as well. So the plan was to -- or my plan then was to 24 25 go back and provide that information to Bill Little

- and to get clarification about releasing the house.
- Q. You said the family wanted the right information. Did
- 3 you have any concerns about the accuracy of what you had
- 4 written down following the briefing?
- 5 A. I never take -- again, it's a briefing paper, so I would
- 6 never take -- until somebody had told me it is 100%
- 7 accurate I would just assume -- or wouldn't assume, but
- 8 I would take that as a briefing paper, and our
- 9 investigation -- all the questions that the family were
- 10 asking me at the time were all questions that, as a FLO
- and as an investigator and as part of the investigation
- itself, were all part of that investigation. How did
- that information get -- or those different versions of
- 14 events get to the family and how -- what caused those
- different versions of events.
- Q. Did you provide this version of events to the family.
- 17 A. I don't specifically remember giving that version of
- events because, again, it was quite clear that they had
- 19 already received multiple versions of events. So
- I personally don't remember giving that information to
- 21 aggravate the situation, because again it would be
- 22 another version of events.
- 23 Q. Did you know by the time of your visit that Sheku Bayoh
- had not in fact been in possession of a knife?
- 25 A. At the time of the home visit? No, I wasn't aware of

1		that.
2	Q.	Can we look at page 19 of the family liaison policy,
3		please. Thank you. The second paragraph from the top:
4		"The FLOs must meet with the family as soon as is
5		reasonably practicable after deployment. Before meeting
6		with the family the FLO will"
7		And there is a list of bullet points, and I want to
8		ask you about two of them. The third bullet point down
9		says:
10		"Establish the extent of previous police contact
11		with the family since the incident or death."
12		And beneath that:
13		"Establish what information has been passed to the
14		family prior to their deployment, to whom and by whom."
15		What did you do to establish what information had
16		been passed to the family before you went to this
17		meeting with the family?
18	Α.	Speaking with the two police officers. My information
19		was that they were the only officers that had spoken to
20		the family.
21	Q.	Did you take any steps to establish whether any other
22		officer had had contact with the family?
23	Α.	At that point my information was that that was it; that
24		was the two police officers who had spoken to the
25		family. I don't think it was until I actually spoke to

Τ		Ade, that it was Ade and Kadi who informed me that
2		Mr McEwan and they described it I think as a female
3		Chief Inspector had been up. But that was news to me
4		when I arrived.
5	Q.	Can we look at your second statement, paragraph 71,
6		please:
7		"I have been shown my PIRC statement which records
8		that at 1600 hours I attended a Police Scotland
9		Gold meeting chaired by Assistant
10		Chief Superintendent Nicolson. At this meeting Chief
11		Superintendent Garry McEwan expressed concern that the
12		family of Sheku Bayoh were unhappy with PIRC and their
13		lack of contact. I expressed my surprise as I had
14		spoken to Ade Johnson and had a positive call. I was
15		walking through Kirkcaldy Police Office when
16		Garry McEwan came out and said, 'Are you from PIRC? Car
17		you sit in on this meeting?' Which I did without any
18		issues. And that is when he says there, he mentioned
19		that the family were not happy about the PIRC and
20		I could not understand that because it was a positive
21		telephone conversation I had had. It says there I had
22		spoken to Aamer Anwar to introduce myself to him and
23		I think I suggested to him that it was important that
24		I spoke to Ade, which he agreed with. I think it was
25		either six or half past six that night that Ade would be

available and then we had this Gold Group meeting and 1 I was surprised by his comments. I asked what was his 2 3 reason for thinking that and he basically said he had 4 spoken to the family. At that time I did not know he 5 had spoken to the family or had been to visit the family on that day along with Nicola Shepherd, Chief Inspector 6 7 at the time. I had no knowledge of that meeting. Had he expressed or told me that he had been there at 8 9 a physical meeting with the family, I would have spoken to him before meeting Ade and the family." 10 Can we scroll up a little bit, please: 11 12 "I asked what was his reason for thinking that and 13 he basically said he had spoken to the family." 14 Were you aware before you went to the Johnsons' 15 house that Garry McEwan had spoken with the family? Yes, that would be correct. I took that as a telephone 16 Α. call to the family. 17 Why didn't you ask him about? 18 Q. In hindsight, yes, I should have asked him for more 19 Α. 20 information in relation to it that. Prior to speaking -- it was -- as I say, it was Ade that gave me 21 more information about that visit. 22 Q. From the point of view of you informing yourself as to 23 what contact police officers had with the family, in 24 advance of your meeting, did it make any difference 25

- 1 really whether Garry McEwan had spoken to the family
- 2 over the phone or in person?
- 3 A. Yes, it would have -- well, yes it would have made
- a difference, it could have probably given me more
- 5 information about what he had spoken to the family
- 6 about.
- 7 Q. But it is still a contact with the family?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And without asking further you wouldn't know whether it
- 10 was a --
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. -- personal contact or a phone call, or the length of
- that contact or what was exchanged during that contact.
- 14 A. I think I was more -- I wouldn't say taken aback but
- I was surprised that he was telling me that the family
- were not happy with the PIRC, and that is -- my response
- 17 was I couldn't understand that because I had had what
- was a positive telephone conversation with Ade. So in
- 19 hindsight yes, I probably should have probed it with
- 20 more detail. That was -- to me that was just a --
- a phone call, and he was passing on the family's
- 22 discontent with the PIRC.
- 23 Q. How would it have helped you to have known in advance
- about his meeting with the family?
- 25 A. Yes, it would have been -- well, from what Ade told me

MS THOMSON: Sir, I am going to move on. I wonder if this is a convenient point to adjourn.  LORD BRACADALE: Very well.  Mr Lewis, we will continue your evidence on Wednesday of next week. The Inquiry will hear the evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The Inquiry will now adjourn.  (4.15 pm)  (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, Pebruary 2024)  The second of the second o	1	it would have been beneficial to have known Mr McEwan's
is a convenient point to adjourn.  LORD BRACADALE: Very well.  Mr Lewis, we will continue your evidence on  Wednesday of next week. The Inquiry will hear the  evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The  Inquiry will now adjourn.  (4.15 pm)  (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday,  9 February 2024)  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24	2	version of events.
5 LORD BRACADALE: Very well. 6 Mr Lewis, we will continue your evidence on 7 Wednesday of next week. The Inquiry will hear the 8 evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The 9 Inquiry will now adjourn. 10 (4.15 pm) 11 (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, 12 9 February 2024) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3	MS THOMSON: Sir, I am going to move on. I wonder if this
Mr Lewis, we will continue your evidence on Wednesday of next week. The Inquiry will hear the evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The Inquiry will now adjourn.  (4.15 pm) (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, Pebruary 2024)  Pebruary 2024)  13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4	is a convenient point to adjourn.
Wednesday of next week. The Inquiry will hear the evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The Inquiry will now adjourn.  (4.15 pm)  (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, Pebruary 2024)  February 2024)  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24	5	LORD BRACADALE: Very well.
8 evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The 9 Inquiry will now adjourn. 10 (4.15 pm) 11 (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, 12 9 February 2024) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6	Mr Lewis, we will continue your evidence on
9 Inquiry will now adjourn.  10 (4.15 pm)  11 (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday,  12 9 February 2024)  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24	7	Wednesday of next week. The Inquiry will hear the
(4.15 pm)  (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday,  9 February 2024)  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24	8	evidence of Mr Little tomorrow and on Tuesday. The
(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday,  9 February 2024)  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24	9	Inquiry will now adjourn.
9 February 2024)  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24	10	(4.15 pm)
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12	9 February 2024)
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24	17	
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	18	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	19	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	20	
23 24	21	
24	22	
	23	
25	24	
	25	

1	INDEX
2	Further Part 9 application by MS1
3	MITCHELL
4	MR KEITH HARROWER (continued)4
5	Questions from MS MITCHELL4
6	Questions from MS MCCALL16
7	MR ALISTAIR LEWIS (sworn)22
8	Questions from MS THOMSON22
9	
LO	
11	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	