1		Wednesday, 30 August 2023
2	(10	.00 am)
3		(Delay in proceedings)
4	(10	.08 am)
5		CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT GARRY MCEWAN (RTD) (continued)
6		Questions from MS GRAHAME (continued)
7	LOR	D BRACADALE: Good morning Mr McEwan.
8		Ms Grahame.
9	MS	GRAHAME: Thank you. Yesterday I was asking you some
10		questions about the Gold Group and discussions that were
11		had and I wondered if we could begin today by going back
12		and looking at some other aspects of that, if I may.
13		Could we look at the second Gold Group meeting
14		minutes, which are PS07268. So this was the Gold Group
15		meeting at 1440 hours. So the first one had been at
16		11.30 on 3 May and this one was at 2.40. I think when
17		we looked at it yesterday we said this was the first one
18		that PIRC attended?
19	A.	That is correct.
20	Q.	Could we turn to page 2, please. If we start with
21		item 3, the investigative process. And so
22		Detective Superintendent Campbell's name is there with
23		Detective Chief Superintendent Boal?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	And we heard that Campbell was the SIO?

1 A. That's correct.

2 And in charge of the investigation. He has obviously Q. 3 addressed the Gold Group in relation to the 4 investigative process, and he has outlined that, and 5 raised various aspects and drawn them to the attention 6 of the group. The first bullet point there relates to 7 loci strategies. So it says there are five locations, 8 so five locations that the police are interested in, in 9 terms of the investigation, and they were Hayfield Road, 10 where the events took place; Collette Bell's address; 11 Victoria Hospital, where we understood Mr Bayoh had been 12 taken after the events; Martyn Dick, his address, we 13 have heard evidence from Martyn Dick and we have 14 a statement from his partner, Kirsty MacLeod. 15 Α. Okay. 16 And then the home address of Mr Zahid Saeed and we've Q. 17 heard evidence about the occupants and his family being 18 present in the house when the police arrived. 19 Can you help us understand at that stage, when 20 Campbell was giving an outline about these five 21 locations being secured, can you explain to us what your 22 expectation was at that stage, that would be done with 23 the properties. Can I ask you first about 24 Collette Bell's address; do you remember anything about 25 that?

1	Α.	I don't actually remember much of the conversation to be
2		honest with you, eight years ago, I could give you
3		a sort of resumé of what my expectation would be, if
4		that is helpful but
5	Q.	Yes, absolutely.
6	Α.	you may have heard that from others. I mean,
7		Collette Bell's address in Arran Crescent was one of
8		the last places, as I understand it, that Sheku was at,
9		so as part of a police investigation, at these early
10		stages, I think I mentioned it yesterday, you need to
11		widen the net as broad as possible, so that you don't
12		miss any forensic and/or any other evidence that might
13		be there and then once you have established a greater
14		amount of facts you can then begin to downsize, and
15		focus your resources and your investigative strategies
16		into particular areas.
17	Q.	In terms of the strategy in relation to the property, or
18		all the properties, was that under the direction of
19		Pat Campbell?
20	Α.	Yes, as part of the investigation, yes.
21	Q.	In relation to the address of Martyn Dick, do you
22		remember or do you have any view on what expectations
23		you had in relation to that address?
24	Α.	No. I don't have enough detail or recollection.
25	Q.	We've heard evidence that Mr Bayoh had attended at the

1 address of Martyn Dick. There had been no physical 2 altercation or anything of that sort at that address. 3 No suggestion that there was -- there had been some sort 4 of disturbance. Martyn Dick wasn't present at 5 Hayfield Road, and there was no suggestion that he was. 6 Was anything said or discussed with Campbell about 7 what -- why that had been selected or why that had been 8 identified?

9 A. Not that I recall. I would assume that, you know, 10 Martyn Dick had been in contact with Sheku before 11 the events in Hayfield Road, so it's about again just 12 that -- you know, until we know -- until the police know 13 the facts it is better and more careful to broaden your 14 investigative strategy as wide as it possibly can, the 15 net as wide as it possibly can and then you can bring it 16 in as you learn greater facts. The minute you lose 17 control of a loci then any forensic capture or any other 18 evidence could be lost forever, whereas if the police 19 have control of it, then by default they understand the 20 circumstances and you can then retrieve what might be in 21 there of evidential value.

Q. Finally with regard to the home of the family of
Zahid Saeed, again do you remember any part of that
discussion or again, were your expectations different in
relation to that property?

1 A. No. Very similar, I would think.

- Q. Right. Where it says, "5 locations being secured", what was your understanding of what was going to be done in relation to those locations?
- 5 A. I don't think at that point it was probably clear as to 6 exactly what was going to get done, it was about taking 7 possession of them until the true circumstances were 8 fully understood.

9 Q. For those people listening who maybe want

10 an explanation, what does it mean to take possession of 11 a property?

12 Α. So there are a number of ways you can do it. You can 13 have police officers or police staff present at certain 14 addresses. You could, on other occasions, you know 15 secure properties by means of metal shutters, to save 16 police officers or police staff being deployed there. 17 I suspect here -- I don't know for certain -- I suspect 18 here because of, you know, we are in the first 12 hours 19 of an enquiry, it will have been police officers or 20 police staff that were present at these different 21 locations.

Q. So was it your expectation that under the direction of
superintendent Campbell, the SIO, that police officers
would be deployed to these different addresses?
A. Or police staff, yes.

1 Or police staff? Q. 2 Yes. Α. 3 To take possession? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 If we've heard evidence such as, "Locus protection Q. 6 measures were put in place", is that the type of thing 7 you would have expected? 8 Yes. Yes, exactly that. Α. 9 Can you explain to us on -- what was your understanding Q. 10 about the basis upon which that possession or that --11 the properties would be secured? 12 Α. So at that point they were potential scenes where there 13 may be evidence, so you know police had made themselves 14 present there, and I assume engaged with the 15 householders and, you know, explained the importance and 16 the need for that. This is where we go back -- or I go 17 back to family and explanation and, you know, these 18 things are important because there's a lot of questions 19 that would come from why are police there and if we are 20 in a position to explain that in an honest and open way 21 with the family or whoever else is concerned, then it 22 just makes life a little bit easier for everybody. 23 So, when you are talking about what you would expect, Q. 24 what would you expect the officers who arrive at these 25 properties to take possession of them, what information

1 would you expect them to be furnished with? 2 Every scenario is different but the sort of basics of Α. 3 the investigation, we -- you know, we believe that this 4 address may have some relevance to the investigation 5 that is ongoing, we may not know exactly the relevance 6 at this point but it is important that we have a police 7 presence to see if, you know, we can gain control of 8 these properties until we know the importance or 9 otherwise of them, at which point they could then be 10 relinquished back to the occupants. 11 Would part of that explanation involve explaining to the Q. 12 occupants that someone had died in circumstances that 13 were, at that moment, unexplained? 14 Yes, timing is important because you know you would not Α. 15 want to be disclosing really sensitive information to 16 householders without ensuring that the family and other 17 next of kin were briefed and aware of -- I'd hate to 18 think that certain people would be getting more 19 information than family. So you would have to be really 20 careful about that. 21 Q. So in the situation we have here, we know -- we've heard 22 evidence that a death message was delivered to 23 Collette Bell in the morning when she was in Kirkcaldy 24 but it wasn't until after 3 o'clock in the afternoon 25 that the first death message had been delivered to the

1 next of kin and the Johnsons. So in that situation, 2 where someone has died at 9 -- shortly after 9 in the 3 morning and the partner has been given a death message 4 but the next of kin has not, can you help us understand 5 what you would expect officers to be able to share with 6 occupants, if those properties were being seized round 7 about lunchtime, 1 o'clock, half past one, that type of 8 thing?

9 A. It's difficult for me to give any firm answers because
10 I wasnae involved in this part of the investigation so
11 it's really difficult and I would just be, you know,
12 providing hypotheticals, which I'm not convinced would
13 add much value.

Q. Can you give us an example of what you mean when you say a hypothetical? It is just so that the Chair can understand what type of information people would be -what officers would be expected to share.

18 Α. So for me it would -- you know, if we are attending at 19 a home address of Martyn Dick, for example, we would 20 explain -- I would expect that we would explain to 21 Martyn why we're here, and talk about that maybe in 22 a more general term because the chronology around 23 whether family et cetera, what they had been told at 24 that time. But you would certainly require to give 25 Martyn an explanation as to why you're there and why

1 you're having a presence and wanting to take possession 2 of his home address. 3 What would be the purpose of giving that explanation to Q. 4 one of the occupants? It's -- it's courtesy, decency, it's about, you know, 5 Α. 6 about working with the householder in a reasonable, 7 professional fashion to make sure that -- you know, 8 because whoever is in that house needs to go somewhere 9 else and we need to try and help them achieve that, so 10 we need to work with them. So it's better to do it in 11 a sort of really consensual way, in a professional way, 12 than any other way. 13 We've heard other officers talk about consent and Q. 14 obtaining consent. Does that give police the legal 15 authority effectively to secure and seize a property? 16 Yes. It's consent, so there's mutual agreement that the Α. 17 police are requiring to have a presence there because 18 there's an ongoing incident and there may be something 19 of evidential value in that house at that point. 20 Was it your expectation in relation to these properties Q. 21 that consent would be obtained from the occupants? 22 Again, I don't know the detail with this but there has Α. 23 been occasions in my police career where consent has not 24 been given. That is not uncommon, to be honest with 25 you.

1 Q. Was this discussed at the Gold Group meeting? 2 No, these -- I mean the Gold Group is a very high level Α. 3 strategic group but, you know, it lasts probably 4 30 minutes. It's extremely high level. I don't 5 remember but, you know, I would imagine Pat Campbell 6 would come in and say, "Currently under the loci 7 strategy we have taken possession of five addresses, 8 here they are, and we have police officers there". Full 9 stop, move on to the next bullet point. That is 10 the level of the discussion at Gold. There are other 11 meetings that there would be more detailed discussion, 12 but not at Gold. 13 Q. You have talked about situations in your career where 14 consent has not been forthcoming, so what do the police 15 do in that situation if they wish to secure a property? 16 So if there's specific intelligence and/or witness Α. 17 statements or evidence to the effect that there might 18 be, I don't know, hypothetically stolen property or 19 drugs or whatever in that house then we would require to 20 go and get a warrant from a court in effect, signed by 21 a sheriff, to give us authority to go in and search that 22 property. 23 Is that something that police commonly do? Q. 24 Α. Yes.

25 Q. We've heard evidence from a Fiscal who was involved at

1		one stage who talked about a phone call is made to the
2		on-duty or on-call Fiscal, they will then discuss the
3		application for a warrant, and decide whether to put it
4		before a sheriff who is also on duty
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	and then obtain the paperwork and then and that
7		warrant would give the authority for the police to seize
8		the property. Or items within. Is that the type of
9		situation that you are talking about?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Do police is there a policy or an approach where they
12		try and get consent but if they can't get consent they
13		then go for a warrant or do they go for the warrant
14		first?
15	Α.	To go for a warrant you have to stipulate on the warrant
16		predominantly what it is you are going there for, what
17		purpose, so you know that, again you know, I'm really
18		delving into parts of this enquiry that I have limited
19		knowledge on, but at that early stage I'm not sure that
20		level of information and detail would be known.
21	Q.	So they may not have been in a position to secure
22		a warrant because they couldn't have all the details?
23	Α.	Perhaps not, yes.
24	Q.	So very much dependent on consent being given?
25	Α.	Yes.

1 Q. We've heard evidence that if consent is being obtained 2 from an occupant, that an explanation -- a full 3 explanation is given, it's explained that the -- why --4 the purpose of securing the property. It is explained 5 that they can withdraw consent at any time. They are 6 free to not consent. And one officer who has given 7 evidence, a DC Finch, said he would often note that down 8 in his notebook and then get it signed by the occupant. 9 Is that the sort of approach that you would agree with 10 in terms of --11 Yes, I think that is good practice, to do that and it is Α. 12 not uncommon, certainly that is what I have personally 13 done previously but many other officers will have done 14 the same. 15 Q. Thank you. In terms of -- we're talking about securing 16 a property but does what you've just explained apply 17 equally if you are asking occupants to leave a property 18 and remove themselves from a property? 19 I'd have to -- I would have to read up on guidance on Α. 20 that area to be honest with you. I'm not exactly sure. 21 Q. Is there anything you're aware of where -- which would 22 mean you don't need consent or a warrant to require 23 people to leave their homes? 24 I mean we do have common law powers but the -- I am Α. 25 so -- because I have been retired for a period of time

1		I'm not that is not at the forefront of my mind to be
2		honest.
3	Q.	That is absolutely fine. We've heard one or two pieces
4		of evidence where people have said in an emergency
5		situation, if someone who you think has drugs runs into
6		a house and you need to go in, that type of thing?
7	Α.	Yes, that is absolutely correct.
8	Q.	I don't think that is the situation that we are talking
9		about here.
10	Α.	No, no.
11	Q.	So ultimately the strategy is determined by the SIO in
12		relation to the properties, and the mechanism whereby
13		people are asked to leave their property and to allow
14		the police to secure it or perhaps carry out searches,
15		that would be largely either through consent or by
16		obtaining a warrant, and that decisions about that
17		would be ultimately the responsibility of the SIO?
18	Α.	Or his deputy. I mean the SIO, as you can see just from
19		even these bullets, has got a broad range of issues to
20		concern himself with so he may have appointed a deputy
21		to look at the loci strategy. I don't recall if he did
22		or not but I'm sure he explained all that.
23	Q.	Thank you. Can I ask you to look at another bullet
24		point here. It says:
25		"Discussion re PM~"

1		I think we have heard that is likely to be
2		post mortem?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	" is likely to be carried out tomorrow afternoon"
5		That would be 4 May, so that would be the Monday:
6		" CSM to be deployed for each loci.
7		Is that crime scene manager?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And then:
10		"Formal identification of deceased required."
11		Can I ask you about this bullet point. Do you
12		remember that discussion about the post mortem?
13	Α.	Not specifically, not not eight years on. I'm not
14		surprised at what is written in that bullet point, you
15		know, so
16	Q.	So this would be part of the investigative process?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	One of the elements that would be considered by
19		Campbell?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	If crime scene managers were to be deployed for each
22		loci, would that have an impact on the responsibility
23		for obtaining consent or obtaining warrants or any of
24		that?
25	A.	Not necessarily. You can deploy a crime scene manager

1 to a house if you have the full consent from the 2 occupant. The -- yes. 3 It would appear -- this is the minutes, as I say, of Q. 4 2.40 in the afternoon. It seems to be saying that the 5 locations are being secured but a crime scene manager is 6 "to be deployed for each loci". Do you have any 7 recollection of whether that was something that was 8 planned to appoint a CSM but not -- hadn't been done in 9 advance of the loci being identified? 10 That would be the normal, and as part of Α. 11 an investigation of this importance you would be 12 beginning to think: right, where would I -- or where 13 would the crime scene managers require to be deployed 14 because crime scene manager is a very specialist 15 resource, and there are -- there will be a cadre 16 somewhere in Police Scotland but there will not be 17 a huge number of experienced crime scene managers. So 18 for Pat Campbell or his deputy to be thinking around 19 those lines, I think it's appropriate. But, yes, 20 I don't think they have been deployed at that point, by 21 the reading of that. 22 Thank you. Can we move on to item 5, which is on Q. 23 page 3. This is, "Family concerns". It appears to have 24 been spoken to by Detective Inspector Robson, and it 25 says:

1		"Discussion re [next of kin] Strategy identified as
2		sister of deceased, Collette Bell, Girlfriend of
3		deceased is currently within Kirkcaldy Police Station
4		and has been informed a deceased person is in hospital
5		and that it may be her partner."
6		Was it still DI Robson that was in charge of the
7		arrangements with Collette Bell at that time?
8	A.	From the wording of that he is certainly the one that
9		has given the update, so I don't recall but I would
10		assume so.
11	Q.	Can we assume that at the very least he had the most
12		up-to-date information of anyone at that meeting?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Can I ask you to turn on to the final page, which is
15		item 12. Any other business:
16		"Independent Advisory Group to speak to CS McEwan re
17		cultural issues."
18		Do you remember this aspect of the meeting?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Tell us about that.
21	A.	So so, this was 2015, probably around 2008 I was the
22		head of the CID for Fife Constabulary. So what we had
23		in place at that point was an independent advisory group
24		and that group was made up from minority community
25		representatives they are probably described, as well as

1 other people from -- with protected characteristics. 2 I would Chair that meeting and we would invite as broad 3 a range of minority communities and those with protected 4 characteristics in on a bimonthly basis and when I first 5 chaired that meeting, it was a very positive meeting and 6 it was -- but it didnae, for me, achieve all of what 7 I was hoping for it to achieve so as the months went by 8 I developed it a little bit further to not just be 9 a general opportunity to chat, network, but actually to 10 have more focused discussions about certain things that 11 were going on in Fife that I could learn from the 12 experiences that would be presented across that room by 13 those with lived experience from minority communities as 14 well as LGBT, disabled, et cetera, et cetera. 15 Q. Were there black people on the independent advisory 16 group? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. What did you learn from your involvement with them? 19 Loads, a huge amount. So what we did was -- or what Α. 20 I did as the Chair was, as I say, develop it further in 21 that -- so we would have hate crimes reported across 22 Fife or hate incidents and how do we, as 23 an organisation -- how did we as a police force learn 24 from how we policed those certain incidents. And, you 25 know, we could speak in a sort of hypothetical basis

1 about it but what I asked the group's view on is whether 2 we could actually bring real life hate crimes that had 3 been reported in the previous two months, and bring the 4 police officer that investigated that into the group and 5 to give a formal presentation of -- you know, not 6 dissimilar in that: at 5 o'clock on a Friday night I got 7 a call to go to a take-away shop in Burntisland, 8 I arrived there, this is what I was faced with, the 9 occupants of the shop were from a minority background --10 they may have been Pakistani, Asian, it doesn't 11 matter -- here is what I was faced with, here was the --12 how I investigated that, what is the learning from that? 13 So then -- so the police officer, and I used to 14 caveat it right at the beginning to say, "Be as open and 15 honest as you possibly can. There is nothing in this 16 room that you cannot disclose to these people", and the 17 officers would do that and do it very well and then we 18 would have a discussion around how could we as a police 19 force have investigated that better, but there would 20 also be things around, you know -- and it's a long, long 21 time ago but things -- maybe some of the witnesses 22 didn't interact well with the police. Why was that? 23 And I would seek the views around the table, around why 24 is it that you think they didn't interact well with us? 25 Was it our approach? Was it to be approached in

1 a certain way that was against their beliefs? Where is 2 the learning from that?

So that is what we built in, so that was probably about 2008 and it continued for quite a period of time, and we -- and it got to the stage that we would -- so we would adjust our equality and diversity policies to reflect some of the feedback that I got from this really important group.

9 Q. In terms of the learning that came out of that group, as 10 well as adjusting policies, how did you disseminate that 11 to officers who were attending the take-away or shop? 12 Α. So we did -- yes, absolutely. So the officer that was 13 there, he or she, or both at times, they would be 14 present, present during the feedback session because 15 they need to listen and learn as well. It was not just 16 me and other -- and maybe another couple of police 17 officers that were there. But we also had a -- I had 18 charge of an equality and diversity unit which was 19 a small unit, three or four members of staff, maybe 20 three, and they would be present at this forum every 21 second month and any of the feedback or the learning 22 then they could or would cascade it across the force if 23 it was something that was really guite -- or very 24 pertinent and important, as well as adjust the policies, 25 it was about, you know, trying to mainstream whatever

1		the feedback was.
2	Q.	So, was this group meeting sorry, was it twice
3		a month or every second month?
4	Α.	Every second month.
5	Q.	Every second month?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	Thank you. This sounds very interesting. Is there any
8		one in particular we are investigating all matters
9		and sometimes witnesses mention things that might give
10		rise to some interesting lines of further enquiry. Is
11		there anyone in particular you can recall that we might
12		be able to speak to and take a witness statement from?
13	A.	As in police officers, or?
14	Q.	I am thinking about members of the group.
15	A.	No, I can't I mean, Adnan was one of my main contacts
16		but he has sadly passed away now as I understand it.
17		But we could I am sure we could find that out. You
18		know, not me personally because I'm out of touch now I'm
19		retired, but I am sure through the equalities unit as it
20		was in Fife Constabulary there will be a list of
21		there was a wide range of members who would come, so
22		there will be a list there somewhere.
23	Q.	Thank you very much. Excellent. I'd like to move on
24		from these minutes, please, and then look at the minutes
25		of the third Gold Group meeting. PS03139. You will see

1		these on the screen. These are from minutes from
2		a meeting at 2015 hours on 3 May 2015. I think you
3		still have the blue folder in front of you, don't you?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	I would like to ask you some questions about
6		these minutes but I see from your operational statement
7		that you have said on page 3 of that statement at
8		paragraph 4 that you attended a Gold Group meeting at
9		1950 hours. Am I right in assuming that that would be
10		the same meeting that these minutes relate to?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	It wasn't that there was two separate meetings
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	at different times?
15	A.	No, it's obviously a typo I think.
16	Q.	We can assume that this was the next and the third
17		Gold Group meeting that day. So this is after you've
18		met with the family and we spoke about that yesterday
19		afternoon?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Can we look at your first of all, look at your
22		operational statement, PS03136. And that is page 3,
23		please, paragraph 4. It says:
24		"At 1950 hours, same date, I attended the Gold Group
25		meeting at Kirkcaldy Police Station. Present at that

1		time was ACC Nicholson and members of staff from the
2		PIRC. I updated the group and requested that PIRC
3		attend that evening to help provide some answers to the
4		family. I was informed by the lead investigator that he
5		had no staff available to attend the house but that he
6		would telephone the family. I thereafter finished
7		duty."
8		So when you say you informed the lead investigator,
9		do you remember who that was?
10	Α.	Yes, that was Keith Harrower.
11	Q.	And he told you that he had no staff available to attend
12		the house. Was that the house of the Johnsons?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	What was your response to that?
15	A.	I certainly was not impressed by that. The family
16		deserved a meeting, a briefing that evening.
17	Q.	Did you voice those concerns at that meeting?
18	A.	It was either at the meeting or after the meeting.
19	Q.	If it was after the meeting, who would that have been
20		to? Or was this the same group?
21	Α.	Maybe I genuinely don't remember. I remember having
22		the conversation with Keith directly, I couldnae tell
23		you who was all there at that point.
24	Q.	So you raised it with Keith Harrower?
25	A.	Yes, I may have been more professional not more

1		professional, that sound unprofessional, but less direct
2		at the Gold meeting because that is, you know, a very
3		formal setting but then Keith and I did have
4		a conversation off-table but I couldn't tell you exactly
5		who was there.
6	Q.	When you say "off-table", does that mean not being
7		minuted as a formal part of the Gold Group?
8	Α.	Yes, the meeting was finished and yes, it was just
9		a discussion that we needed to have.
10	Q.	Did you raise any of those concerns with ACC Nicholson
11		at any stage?
12	Α.	About PIRC saying that they never had the staff?
13	Q.	That they didn't have any staff. You talked yesterday
14		about having concerns and we see you've said there in
15		your statement they had no staff available.
16	Α.	Yes. I'm not sure after the meeting, did I go back to
17		ACC Nicholson or not, to be honest. I don't recall.
18	Q.	Is there any mechanism where concerns about the lack of
19		resources, for example, that are available can be raised
20		with the Crown? Where your concerns could be raised?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	How could that be done?
23	Α.	Not that I wasn't aware of any process in place for
24		me to raise concerns around the PIRC resourcing levels,
25		no.

1	Q.	Did anyone at the Gold Group meeting pick up on this,
2		and raise the possibility of maybe another organisation
3		coming in to support PIRC? You've mentioned yesterday
4		that obviously the Crown wanted it to be independent,
5		they've appointed PIRC to carry out an independent
6		review and you accept acknowledged that you could
7		understand why they didn't want the police investigating
8		themselves.
9	Α.	Absolutely, yes.
10	Q.	But did anybody in the Gold Group suggest any other
11		organisations that may be able to assist?
12	Α.	Not that I recall, no.
13	Q.	Or any organisation that might be able to provide
14		additional resources?
15	Α.	No, I don't~
16	Q.	I will tell you what I'm interested in. We've not heard
17		any evidence about this yet but it is something that we
18		are investigating at the moment, about the possibility
19		of the Health and Safety Executive becoming involved.
20		And it would appear at this stage, and as I say we've
21		not heard from any witnesses yet from the HSE, and we've
22		not heard from the Crown or PIRC yet, but there might be
23		protocols in place or there may have been in 2015
24		between the Crown, the HSE, Police Scotland, maybe
25		involving PIRC, relating to investigations into

1 work-related deaths, and there may be a specific 2 protocol regarding investigations into deaths, serious 3 injuries of police officers at work or members of the 4 public following contact with the police. 5 I'm just interested in knowing whether at that time 6 you had any awareness of that or the possibility of HSE 7 coming in, helping support an investigation, or on 8 occasions leading an investigation? 9 So for this specific scenario or incident, I don't Α. 10 recall. I had used the -- or been involved in 11 an investigation with the Health and Safety Executive 12 before, probably again when I was head of the CID in 13 2009 maybe, I can't even genuinely tell you exactly what 14 the circumstances were but they led on the death, 15 supported by some of my staff. So it has -- that 16 scenario did take place but it was -- I don't recall any 17 specific conversations during this incident around 18 bringing the Health and Safety Executive in. 19 So the experience you had did involve HSE but that was Q. 20 prior to PIRC coming into existence? 21 Α. Yes, of course. 22 And none of that was discussed or raised at the Q. 23 Gold Group meeting? 24 Not that I recall, no. Α. 25 Outwith the Gold Group meetings, did anyone from PIRC, Q.

1		or the ACC, or anyone else raise with you that as
2		a possibility, that HSE might get involved?
3	A.	No, not that I recall.
4	Q.	And you don't remember much about your experience in
5		2009? You say there was a death?
6	Α.	There was a death, I genuinely it was not it was
7		definitely not following police contact but it was
8		a death, I want to say on a boat because we had
9		responsibility for the Forth but I genuinely
10		I can't remember.
11	Q.	Thank you. Can we go back to the minutes, please.
12		PS03139. If we can look at item 2. This is a factual
13		update. This has been given by the SIO, Campbell. And
14		you will see a number of matters are raised here. I'm
15		interested in the second paragraph:
16		"Information to suggest that the deceased had
17		an argument with his partner Collette Bell."
18		We've heard from Collette Bell that she was asked
19		about an argument but she denied there had been any
20		argument. Do you remember this being raised at this
21		meeting?
22	A.	No. It clearly has been briefed out but I don't recall
23		it being of particular significance.
24	Q.	Can you help us understand why at the Gold Group meeting
25		was it being minuted? Why would it be considered

1 significant?

2	Α.	It depends on the whoever minuted the minute. You
3		know, to be honest you'd have to ask him or her around
4		that. A lot of minutes are very much down to
5		interpretation, so people and police officers,
6		I don't know if it was a police officer that was taking
7		the minute, traditionally are not minute takers and they
8		are not professional in that not professional, they
9		are not really experienced in taking minutes, so I don't
10		know, you'd have to ask him or her.
11	Q.	Presumably this is information that Campbell, who is
12		an experienced SIO, has considered sufficiently
13		important to raise at the meeting. Can you understand
14		why an argument Mr Bayoh has passed away by this
15		stage. Why would that be of significance to the
16		investigation and the facts involved in that?
17	A.	It's I don't know when that argument took place. Was
18		it in the morning? The night before? I'm not sure.
19		But it's a precursor to or it's a lead-up, or is it?
20		I don't know. But is it a lead-up to the events and
21		that took place on Hayfield Road.
22	Q.	Just to be clear, the evidence that Collette Bell gave
23		was that there had been no argument.
24	Α.	Right.
25	Q.	But she was asked about an argument, and if I understand

1		her concerns correctly, from her evidence, she viewed it
2		as an attempt to find reasons to blame Mr Bayoh in some
3		way and to raise concerns about his relationship with
4		his family.
5	Α.	I can't comment. I can't comment on that.
6	Q.	Can we look, please, at item 3, "Investigative process".
7		Again, this is spoken to by Campbell. We see again that
8		the five locations are mentioned here as being secured.
9		Presumably work was ongoing in relation to that.
10	Α.	Sorry, what minute is this? Is this the quarter past
11		eight one?
12	Q.	Yes, this is the 2015 hours on 3 May, so it's in the
13		evening, it's after you have spoken to the family.
14	A.	Okay.
15	Q.	Was there any more discussion about the properties at
16		that meeting?
17	A.	I don't recall.
18	Q.	Then if we can look at the bottom of the page at item 4.
19		Sorry, 5, "Family concerns". This is after your meeting
20		with the Johnsons and it says:
21		"Chief Superintendent McEwan discussed
22		brother-in-law of deceased, he is part of an independent
23		advisory group and had individuals the initial attending
24		Officers that he knew Mr McEwan and requested that he
25		attend and speak with him within 24 hours."

1		Is that the independent advisory group we were just
2		talking about a moment ago?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Do you remember that Ade Johnson, Mr Bayoh's
5		brother-in-law, had been a member of that group?
6	A.	To be honest with you at the time I didn't remember that
7		but I later I think probably when I spoke to the
8		family, Ade probably reminded me of that, but I didn't
9		know prior to that.
10	Q.	So after, when you were attending this Gold Group
11		meeting at quarter past eight in the evening, had you
12		made the connection by then through Ade reminding you?
13	A.	Yes, and to be honest vaguely I mean, I didn't want
14		to insult the family when that was raised with me. The
15		group the membership changed quite frequently, and it
16		was a big group so I didn't specifically maybe
17		because I was focused on other things but I didn't
18		specifically recognise Ade from that group at the time.
19	Q.	But once you met him and he reminded you, did it spark
20		your memory?
21	Α.	Yes, to a degree. Yes.
22	Q.	Then it says:
23		"Ch Sup and Ch Insp Shepherd attended at home
24		address of [next of kin], highly charged environment,
25		deceased's partner Collette and extended family within,

1 family concerned that early contact they had was 2 purposely vague. They were unhappy they had not been 3 told anything about who contacted the Police and 4 Ambulance. Ch Sup provided them with an understanding 5 of events." 6 We discussed this yesterday --7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. -- in some detail: 9 "Ch Sup discussed the Role of the PIRC and reassured 10 them it would not be P Division officers investigating 11 the incident." 12 Can you explain to me, what is a P Division officer? 13 So that is the Fife division officers. So, yes, Α. 14 the police officers that sort of try and keep the 15 communities of Fife safe on a daily basis. 16 So is that the area of Fife? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. We've heard evidence that a number of officers, for 19 resourcing issues and business continuity, I think was 20 the phrase you used, they are being brought into 21 Kirkcaldy because a number of officers have been taken 22 off duty --23 A. Yes. Q. -- were in the canteen because they had been involved in 24 25 the incident. And part of your role is to try and plug

1 that gap, I think you said? 2 That is correct, yes. Α. 3 To make sure that all the other work that is continuing Q. 4 to go on is not left without people in position to do 5 it. But I'm interested in some evidence that we've 6 heard that officers who were brought in to help with the 7 investigation were actually from other areas in Fife. 8 Were you aware of that? 9 I don't recall exactly just now. I'd be surprised if Α. 10 any of the officers that were brought in were involved 11 in investigating the incident. So there's two different 12 strands, you have the community side of things, the 13 locus protection, and then you have a set of 14 investigators, and the investigators, unless you are 15 going to tell me differently, my understanding they were 16 from the Major Investigation Team which are not 17 P Division officers, they are a national resource that 18 came under the command of Pat Campbell, that came in to 19 investigate the incident. 20 So your understanding is the investigators who were Q. 21 looking into the events were separate from P Division 22 completely? 23 Yes, they were the Major Investigation Team and they are Α. 24 not part of my P Division staffing numbers and my

25 resource.

1	Q.	So people like Robson and Dursley, they weren't part of
2		the investigation at that stage?
3	A.	At 20 past 8, no, the PIRC were leading on investigation
4		at that stage.
5	Q.	Thank you. Can I see the "task", at the end:
6		"Family crave reassurance and are asking about
7		witnesses et cetera they do not wish anything publicised
8		until they informed deceased Mother who is in London."
9		Can you tell us a little about the task? Do you
10		remember about that?
11	A.	I do, actually, so the family the wider family, the
12		mum and the wider family were elsewhere in the country
13		and elsewhere in the world, and, you know, they were
14		really keen for nothing to go out that would prevent the
15		family, you know, passing on the tragic news to the mum.
16	Q.	So you were aware that the mother was in London?
17	A.	Yes, yes, certainly way down south, yes, London, yes.
18	Q.	Were you given any additional information about her
19		plans to travel to Kirkcaldy or anything along those
20		lines?
21	Α.	Yes, there was I'm not sure if she was in transit by
22		that point but if she wasn't in transit she was
23		certainly it was imminent. But I can't recall
24		exactly if she was en route or if she was leaving the
25		following day. I'm unclear.

1	Q.	Was the purpose of her coming to Kirkcaldy made clear to
2		you?
3	Α.	I don't know if it was made clear but I naturally
4		assumed it was for the family to be together during this
5		terrible set of circumstances.
6	Q.	Knowing that, you have obviously raised it at the
7		Gold Group meeting?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And it says "task". What is the meaning of that, a task
10		that mentions the mother being in London?
11	Α.	So my sort of I'm assuming media were present at
12		that I don't see the names at the top. Was media on
13		that list? I'm not sure.
14	Q.	Let's go back to the top so you can see who is present.
15		So "Kate (media)" was mentioned?
16	A.	So media were there, so it was really about any media
17		messaging going out beyond this time that would in any
18		way alert Sheku's mum and other family members that he
19		had passed away or died following police contact.
20	Q.	So was that a task not for you but for Kate?
21	Α.	Yes, the task is probably not best articulated there but
22		that was for me it was around the media messaging, we
23		had to be extremely sensitive around that for the
24		reasons I've described. We don't want to be putting his
25		name in the media until the family are fully sighted on

what has happened.

1

2 Can we turn to page 3 at the top. It says: Q. 3 "Discussed that Police did not know NOK whilst 4 Collette (partner of deceased) was at Police Station." 5 I am interested in that because that seems to 6 contradict a previous entry. We've talked about 7 Collette Bell had given details of the next of kin when 8 she was in Kirkcaldy Police Office and this is obviously 9 the minutes from quarter past eight at night. Can you 10 remember where that arose from, who said that? 11 I genuinely can't. I assume I have probably been, you Α. 12 know, saying, we need -- the family, you know, Kadi and 13 the wider family are really upset that they have not 14 been properly notified and it may -- I am jumping 15 because I don't know, I can't recall the conversation 16 but it may be somebody came in and said we did not know 17 who the next of kin were at that point, at the point 18 earlier in the day. But that is my only sort of reading 19 of that one sentence. 20 By this time the police did know the next of kin and you Q. 21 had actually attended?

A. I'd attended, so this was like a sort of historical
discussion around, you know, earlier -- that is my
reading of it, earlier in the day the police did not
know the next of kin.

1 Q. Right. Then it says: 2 "Ch Sup discusses initial decision to have 3 Police Scotland's FLOs but now hand over to PIRC FLOs 4 for arrangement to gain entry to house of deceased re 5 collecting belongings for child. Discussion re initial 6 contact on phone from PIRC." 7 Were you aware that requests had been made to allow 8 Collette Bell to get some possessions from the house at 9 Arran Crescent while -- obviously it had been secured by 10 the police? 11 Yes, I mean it was at the -- it was at the meeting I had Α. 12 with the family, Collette or maybe Collette's mum, or 13 maybe both, were needing to get into the house to get 14 clothing as well as I think it was powdered milk and 15 important things like that for the child. 16 Was that something that you expected someone to pick Q. 17 up --18 Α. Absolutely. 19 -- and follow through? Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Who would that have been the responsibility of? 22 So for me it is the PIRC, they are leading on the Α. 23 investigation so arrangements need to be made to get 24 whatever it is out of that house to the family. 25 Thank you. The next paragraph is: Q.

1 "Ch Sup discusses Family~..." 2 And the word there used is: 3 "... desperate to know about PM [post mortem], and 4 also arrangements on having them conveyed to mortuary in 5 Edinburgh." 6 So it appear that they understood there would be 7 a post mortem and they wanted to know about arrangements 8 for having them conveyed, taken to the mortuary in 9 Edinburgh. Is that something you were aware of from 10 your visit with the Johnsons? 11 So that was -- part of the discussion at the visit was Α. 12 around, you know, next steps and post mortems and such 13 like, and the family were clearly, you know, desperate 14 for information around the process that was going to 15 follow. My commitment to them at the time was that, you 16 know, I didn't know the answers to that, that wasn't my 17 role but I would raise it, as I did then, at the Gold 18 meeting with PIRC and others there to say this is what 19 the family needs to know. 20 And that was minuted --Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 -- at that meeting. Then it says: Q. 23 "TASK - To address all family issues raised." 24 Whose task was that? 25 It would be helpful if it was saying who the task was Α.

1		given to but for me it is who is in charge of the
2		investigation, needs to not maybe personally deal
3		with these things but needs to initiate them and make
4		sure that they're completed.
5	Q.	So it would have been PIRC that would've followed
6		through with these items from the minutes?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Can I ask you briefly about item 10, "Media
9		strategy/communications plan". I think Kate, the media
10		person, has spoken to this. There has been media
11		interest:
12		"Media statement prepared was rejected by the Crown.
13		A brief release re condolences to the family was put
14		out. Refer any media interest to PIRC."
15		Can you tell us a little bit more about this media
16		statement that was prepared, who it was prepared by?
17	Α.	No, I did have sight of or added some value to a media
18		statement on the day but rightly I mean, that is
19		absolutely correct, that PIRC are leading the
20		investigation, they are reporting to Crown, so it
21		is you know, they have responsibility and they can
22		determine what goes out into the media.
23	Q.	From your perspective, you told us about your role that
24		day, would you have preferred for Police Scotland to
25		issue a media statement?

1	Α.	I think they do need to be co-ordinated. I think it
2		would be wrong for one organisation to be putting
3		certain messages out that contradict or have not the
4		other organisations have not had sight of. So PIRC are
5		in charge of this investigation, I do think it is right
6		that they have control of what and oversight of what
7		is getting released into the media, to ensure that it is
8		accurate.
9	Q.	Can I ask you to look at the final page. So this
10		follows on from 12, "Any other business". I would like
11		to look at some of the items there. It says:
12		"A definitive resolution is required re contact with
13		family and reassurance to them.
14		"Ch Sup McEwan not adverse to going back to house if
15		required to assist with retrieving items for the baby of
16		Collette Bell but telephone contact to be made by
17		PIRC re the family and arrangements et cetera for
18		conveying to Mortuary."
19		So it appeared that PIRC were going to make
20		telephone contact with the Johnsons about arrangements
21		for conveying to the mortuary. That would be for the
22		post mortem?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	And that you had said you weren't averse to going back
25		to assist with retrieving items for the baby. Can you

1		tell us a little bit more about that?
2	Α.	It probably comes across as probably part of my
3		frustration. At that meeting I have said: look, I'll go
4		and do, I will go and get if we need to get this
5		stuff, I will personally go and get it. Let's just go
6		and get it for the family.
7	Q.	You were frustrated at that time?
8	Α.	We just needed to we needed to make these things
9		happen.
10	Q.	I think you told us yesterday about your priority in
11		relation to the family?
12	Α.	Yes, absolutely.
13	Q.	Can I ask you a question about something we've not
14		heard from Keith Harrower yet, so we've not heard his
15		evidence. We hope to be able to take that at another
16		hearing, a future hearing. But we have a PIRC statement
17		from him. For those in the room it's 00007. I won't
18		ask for it to be put up on the screen at this stage.
19		He indicated that during a meeting with you, you
20		indicated:
21		" that Mr Bayoh's family had articulated
22		significant concerns over information disclosed to them
23		by representatives of Police Scotland and additionally
24		it became apparent that Police Scotland FLOs had not
25		been deployed as previously intended and that

1

2

Chief Superintendent McEwan and others considered it inappropriate to do so."

3 I appreciate I am just reading out that section but 4 do you remember a conversation with Keith Harrower 5 giving you -- well, giving him the impression that you 6 considered it inappropriate for Police Scotland FLOs to 7 be deployed?

8 Yes, I do actually. I think I -- I think -- I am trying Α. 9 to think of the timing of it. After the five -- the 10 4.45 or the 5.45 meeting with Graeme Dursley it was 11 clearly apparent that the trust and confidence in the 12 police at that point with the family, because of the 13 death messages, and the delays, et cetera, was not where 14 it needed to be. My view was you know, whatever 15 arrangements had been considered before that, we need to 16 get PIRC FLOs into that family because, you know, we 17 need that independence that I talked about yesterday, to 18 even suggest putting Police Scotland FLOs in at that 19 point I don't think personally will have added any 20 value.

That then came to fruition and was clearly apparent when I met with the family because they had a real upset and distrust towards Police Scotland at that point, so for me that is an additional reason why we needed to get or why Keith needed to get PIRC FLOs into that family at

1 that point.

2	Q.	Can I ask you one other thing which is we understand
3		was happening around about this time, so prior to the
4		Gold Group meeting at 20:15 but after your visit to the
5		family.
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	So in that sort of period. We have an Inquiry statement
8		from a Gary Wilson and he is community lay adviser with
9		Police Scotland. He was contacted by Chief Inspector
10		Gill Boulton, do you remember Gill Boulton?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	Can you tell us a little bit about Gill Boulton?
13	A.	I don't know what rank she was at that time, maybe
14		a Chief Inspector, I don't exactly recall, but she was
15		in a national division called Safer Communities, and
16		part of the roles and responsibilities of
17		Safer Communities was to work with minority communities
18		across Scotland and to revise policies and procedures.
19		So Gill attended at Kirkcaldy Police Station that
20		afternoon at some point, I don't remember exactly when,
21		but in advance of me going to see the family, the ACC,
22		who I think I mentioned yesterday part of his remit was
23		to be in charge, I think, of Safer Communities, he asked
24		me to and Nicola Shepherd to get a briefing from Gill
25		around diversity issues, just to make sure that we would

1		not be going into that family environment and by any way
2		sort of insulting them by any actions or behaviours that
3		we adopted. So we had that was part of the quite
4		a significant part of the delay of me not going up to
5		the house until whatever time it was
6	Q.	Ten past six?
7	Α.	Ten past six was because I'm not sure Gill was there
8		at that point or if she travelled in but Nicola and
9		I were waiting for her to arrive to get the briefing for
10		us then to go up to the house.
11	Q.	I think yesterday you talked about preparation with you
12		and Nicola Shepherd; was this part of the preparation?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	The statement we have from Gary Wilson suggests that
15		Gill Boulton phoned him at 1855 hours, five to seven in
16		the evening, and talked about the potential need for
17		an independent lay adviser to be identified for
18		deployment. I think this particular witness, Mr Wilson,
19		he is has protected characteristics himself in
20		relation to LGBT. He had indicated to her that he
21		didn't think he was the most appropriate person to
22		provide that input and advice as a lay adviser, and he
23		understood that she agreed with that suggestion and he
24		didn't get involved further. I'm interested, did anyone
25		else get involved in the role of a community lay adviser

1 to assist you or to assist the investigation in any way? 2 So I did on that day -- I'm pretty sure it was that day Α. 3 or the Monday but I'm pretty sure it was that day, I did 4 phone the chap I mentioned earlier, Adnan, who had done 5 a lot of work with Free Fife and the Fife Arabic Society 6 and I phoned him and had a discussion with him. Where 7 it became sensitive was that Adnan knew the family 8 really well, and he was not comfortable in becoming that 9 sort of -- not spokesman but you know that -- my go-to 10 person because he knew the family really well, which 11 I absolutely respected and Adnan was a real gentleman.

12 But what we agreed was that should he -- so 13 community trust, community reassurance is about -- you 14 know, I spoke yesterday around disorder but it's also 15 about vulnerability and how communities can feel 16 vulnerable at times like this. Because of what has 17 happened, you know minority communities can feel really 18 vulnerable and threatened and insecure, absolutely 19 understandably so, so Adnan and I agreed that should he 20 get any sense of that across Fife, he would pick up the 21 phone and we would have a discussion around it, and that 22 conversation didn't need to happen thankfully because 23 these issues did not really boil to the surface at that 24 early time.

25 Q. Thank you. So apart from your discussions with Adnan,

1 2 was there anyone else appointed as a lay adviser at that time?

3 No, and then we convened -- we kicked off the community Α. 4 reassurance group which -- that was the purpose of that 5 was then -- you know, that was a group -- I've used the 6 sort of the lay advisers before, again when I was in 7 charge of a murder and it was a transgender was the 8 victim of that. For me to truly lead that investigation 9 and understand the implications and the thoughts and the feelings of that community, I really needed to speak to 10 11 an adviser that could help me with that so what is what 12 I did. So the purpose then of the reassurance group had 13 a similar premise to try and, you know, have a true 14 understanding and appreciation but also it's not just 15 about one set of -- you know, it's not just the black 16 community here, it's other minority communities as well 17 that may be affected or disaffected by the scenario that 18 was playing out.

Q. Can I ask that we move on to minutes from -- or an agenda from 4 May. So this is the day after on the Monday. And they are from 12.30 that day and it's PS03161 and this was a further Gold Group meeting as I say at 12.30 the day after. You will see these on the screen. If we look at the bottom of that page just above the number 3, does it say there:

1		"Family seem to have disengaged with Police."
2		Sorry, I should have perhaps said at the top of that
3		page, sorry, there's no note of who was actually present
4		at that meeting. Do you remember if you were present at
5		that meeting?
6	A.	I'm pretty sure I was there, yes.
7	Q.	Do you remember who else was there?
8	A.	No, but I would imagine there would be a lot of the
9		similar obviously Pat Campbell was there because he
10		has given the factual update, as is DI Stuart Wilson, he
11		was part of the Major Investigation Team, it may well be
12		if you go down you may see some of names as per the key
13		updates.
14	Q.	We can do that as we go through it. So any names that
15		are mentioned will definitely have been there?
16	A.	Yes, and I would imagine media would likely have been
17		there.
18	Q.	Were PIRC there?
19	A.	I don't recall. I don't think so, but I am not 100%
20		sure.
21	Q.	When you say you don't think so, do you remember why
22		they wouldn't have been there?
23	Α.	No, I don't know why they wouldn't have been there but
24		I don't recall seeing any members of PIRC at Kirkcaldy
25		Police Station on that morning but that is not to say

1 they weren't there, but I don't recall seeing them. 2 Thank you. Can we look -- sorry to go back to the Q. 3 bottom, just the line above number 3, the last line on 4 the page. It says: 5 "Family seem to have disengaged with Police." 6 What was the position by this stage? This is 12.30 7 on the day after, the Monday 4 May. 8 So we had had the meeting at quarter past eight or Α. 9 whenever the Gold Group was the previous night, Keith 10 and I had had the discussion and I later learned --11 I didn't know at that point but I later learned that he 12 had, as I understand it, phoned and perhaps went to the 13 meet the family on the evening. I only know that 14 through statements, to be honest with you. I didn't 15 know that at the time. But then, when I was at the Gold 16 meeting, you know, the family had disengaged. I'm not 17 convinced that was specifically or only the police, I think it may have been PIRC as well, but I am not sure 18 19 on that. And, well, the reasons behind that have 20 no doubt been explained by other family members. 21 Q. Item 3 is the investigative process. This time it's 22 spoken to by DI Stuart Wilson, who you have mentioned 23 was MIT? 24 Yes. Α. 25 Q. It says at the top of the next page:

1		"Locus protection is still ongoing."
2		So there is a general update on what the
3		investigation are doing at this stage. Would you say
4		then it was MIT that were involved in progressing the
5		investigation?
6	A.	Yes, they would be progressing it on behalf of PIRC, who
7		had responsibility for the investigation.
8	Q.	Right. Can I ask you to we will see it just at the
9		bottom of the page. There is a task:
10		"Advice to be gained from PIRC regarding the
11		disclosure of the post mortem results to the officers
12		involved in the incident. Supervisor to be identified
13		to carry this disclosure out."
14		Do you remember that discussion or a discussion
15		around that task?
16	Α.	So that would suggest to me that PIRC weren't at that
17		meeting, the fact that we are asking for advice to be
18		gained from PIRC, around that. So I suppose that
19		answers the earlier question. I think it is right that
20		we Police Scotland would need to ask for the PIRC's
21		view on that, because they are leading the
22		investigation.
23	Q.	Who was it that raised this issue about disclosure of
24		the post mortem results?
25	Α.	I'm assuming it will be part of who was doing that

1		update, was that ~?
2	Q.	It was Wilson, DI Stuart Wilson from MIT.
3	Α.	So I am assuming it was probably I don't know who
4		initiated the task, to be honest with you, but I'm
5		assuming it is on the back of the update given by Stuart
6		Wilson. DI Wilson.
7	Q.	Thinking back now to this meeting, do you remember why
8		they wanted to disclose the PM results to the officers
9		involved in the incident?
10	Α.	Well, from my perspective it would be why would we not?
11		Back to that, why would we not tell the officers? They
12		have been involved in a harrowing, tragic incident, and,
13		you know, this is now 24/36 hours later. If we as
14		an organisation knew what the likely cause of death was
15		or the initial synopsis of that, why would we not tell
16		the officers?
17	Q.	Were you aware we will come on to statements later in
18		more detail but were you aware at this time that none of
19		the officers had provided operational statements?
20	Α.	Yes, I probably was. I'm getting mixed up with timings
21		but yes, I am pretty sure I will have been. Yes.
22	Q.	So, in the absence of their own statements, was it
23		discussed that maybe advising them about the results
24		of the post mortem was unwise, or shouldn't be done?
25	Α.	No. I mean, I don't know if you have the post mortem

1 summary but from memory it was literally a sentence 2 long, so there wasnae a lot of information there that 3 would in my view have impacted or affected any statement 4 they would have provided. I was aware -- I don't know 5 if it was at this point or if it was in the following 6 days but I was aware of the advice that officers were 7 getting from their Federation advisers not to give 8 statements, so that could and I think did go on for 9 a long period of time. So, you know, why would you not 10 tell the officers and give them that form of words, that 11 very short summary? 12 Q. You've said why would you not. Was it normal practice 13 for officers involved in an incident to be advised about 14 the post mortem results? 15 Α. Yes. In my sort of final years in policing, you know 16 part of my remit was in charge of custody and there are 17 sadly a number of deaths in custody every year, and the 18 cause of death, if it is known and there is nothing to 19 suggest that there is any criminality, then why would 20 you not tell the witnesses; why would you not tell the 21 witnesses what the cause of death was? 22 In the absence of statements from the officers who Q. 23 attended the incident, who were there the main 24 eyewitnesses to the incident, how can a decision be 25 taken or a view formed as to whether or not there is

1 criminality?

2 Well, from the post mortem would be one significant Α. 3 piece of evidence, from other eyewitnesses that had 4 maybe given statements by then would be critical. 5 Forensics. You know, it would be helpful to have the 6 officers' statements but, you know, the officers not 7 giving their statements, as I understood it, was on the 8 advice of their Federation reps. I'm sure you have had 9 the officers -- all the officers in here and they will 10 give their own personal view on why they did or did not 11 but certainly the feedback I was getting at the time, 12 not from the officers but from others at the time, it 13 was the advice and direction from the Federation reps, 14 that they were not to give a statement, it wasn't 15 because the officers were feeling as though they may 16 incriminate themselves. 17 Q. We've heard a lot of evidence from different officers

18 and I would probably be doing them a disservice to 19 summarise but I think certainly at that time -- I have 20 asked a lot of witnesses about whether they thought they 21 were witnesses or suspects, and there may have been 22 a view that if they were suspects of potential 23 criminality, they would not be wanting to -- they would 24 want to reserve the right of privilege to avoid 25 incriminating themselves. They were not satisfied that

1 they were going to just be witnesses, so there was
2 a concern and the advice they received at that time was
3 not to give operational statements. And they didn't
4 give those until 4 June.

5 A. Right.

6 So there were obviously concerns, legitimate or Q. 7 otherwise, that they were perhaps going to become 8 suspects. So there was a question about whether there 9 would be criminality alleged. I'm just trying to 10 understand, the officers themselves involved had that 11 concern in their minds, or possibly had that concern in 12 their minds, and didn't give statements but there seems 13 to have been a view from what you've been saying today 14 that you would happily -- you wouldn't have any concerns 15 about disclosing the post mortem results because there 16 was no suggestion of criminality. I'm just wondering 17 about that ...

18 Α. Yes, I mean, and I'm being absolutely honest, it was not 19 my decision ultimately it's the -- the ask is of the 20 PIRC there. But if there is no criminality then for 21 me -- or if there is no criminality apparent at that 22 point, for me the welfare of the police officers is very 23 important and you know, they have been involved in this 24 tragic death, the day before, and of course they will be 25 worried. There is absolutely no doubt of that. So you

1 know, if -- if the initial cause of death is known, why 2 would we not disclose it to the officers? And that 3 would be for PIRC to make that decision but why would we 4 not? 5 Was there an assumption or a belief at this stage that Q. 6 there wasn't criminality on the part of the officers? 7 There is -- well, again I'm not part of the Α. 8 investigation but these officers at this point were 9 witnesses to this tragic death. That's -- you know, 10 there was no -- there was nothing else being suggested 11 at that point that I was aware of. 12 Q. The investigation is at an early stage, it's ongoing, 13 Campbell is the SIO. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. You talked yesterday about hypotheses and one of those 16 being that it could have been -- the underlying 17 motivation could have been some sort of racial 18 discrimination. You've not been able to rule out that 19 hypothesis because you don't have any statements from 20 the officers. I'm just wondering against that 21 background, where it's at an early stage, there's 22 various hypotheses which are considering, if nothing 23 more, that there could be criminality, but there seems 24 to be a view, in Police Scotland at least, that no issue 25 with disclosing PM results. Was any of that raised or

25

1 discussed or considered at the Gold Group meeting? 2 I can't exactly recall. I'm sure -- I'm pretty certain Α. 3 it was and that is probably where it got to the bottom 4 line there, which is advice to be gained from PIRC 5 around the disclosure. You know, a direction -- you 6 know, the decision has to be made, and hindsight is 7 great, or if it's the right or wrong decision but 8 a decision needed to be made. 9 For me, if the cause of death, which I have not seen 10 in a long period of time, suggested criminality then 11 that would put a different perspective on it but from 12 memory it didn't, so on that basis we're back to the 13 question that I asked yesterday around 14 Collette Bell: why would you not give the officers --15 their welfare needs to be a key factor in this. 16 What would you have expected from the post mortem if it Q. 17 had -- if it was going to raise issues of criminality? 18 What would you have thought would be present? 19 Could be -- again, I'm speaking very generally, there Α. 20 could be 100 different things that could come forward on 21 a post mortem that could infer criminality. Genuinely 22 there's various different ways that could manifest 23 itself. 24 Q. In relation to an incident where there has been police

contact, what -- can you give us an example of what you

1		might have expected?
2	Α.	I actually cannae think of any specific incidences, but
3		I spoke about the incident down south yesterday briefly
4		around a police contact or a police shooting and the
5		individual died, so that could be a scenario. There
6		could be a vehicle could a police vehicle could
7		hit someone. That could be a scenario.
8	Q.	So where there's a death and there is police contact, it
9		could be criminality or it could be a justified use of
10		force?
11	Α.	Yes, could be. Yes.
12	Q.	So if there is a restraint and someone dies, that could
13		equally be a justified use of force or it could be
14		criminality?
15	Α.	Yes, it could. Yes.
16	Q.	Looking at that task it says:
17		"Advice to be gained from PIRC about disclosure."
18		But then it says:
19		"Supervisor to be identified to carry this
20		disclosure out."
21		That sounds like a disclosure will be carried out
22		and we need to appoint a supervisor to do that. So it
23		sounds like on the one hand: let's get advice from PIRC,
24		but on the other there's going to be a disclosure?
25	Α.	No, I wouldn't read it like that actually, I would read

1 it as we need to get a decision from PIRC and you know 2 we need to identify -- on the basis that PIRC may agree 3 and may decide yes, we need to identify the right 4 supervisor. Because again, you know, this has to be 5 done very, very sensitively with these police officers. 6 It is not a matter of, you know, just plucking somebody 7 out the air who maybe has no rapport, no understanding 8 of the incident, you need somebody who's got the skill 9 set because despite the PM, the initial PM as I would 10 say not inferring criminality, you know it is still 11 a very big thing for these police officers to hear, so 12 it's about identifying the right person I suppose 13 I would put in there, to carry out the disclosure, if 14 indeed it is approved. 15 Q. Do you remember who was appointed? 16 I don't actually and I probably should know that, but Α. 17 I don't. 18 MS GRAHAME: I'm conscious of the time. 19 LORD BRACADALE: We will take a 20-minute break. 20 (11.32 am) 21 (A short break) 22 (11.58 am) 23 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame. 24 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. We were talking about the events 25 surrounding the post mortem.

1 A. Yes.

2 And we've heard evidence that took place on 4 May, the Q. 3 Monday after and we've looked at the minutes from the 4 Gold Group meeting. They were PS03161, where we were 5 talking about what the officers were being advised. 6 Let's -- this was 12.30 on 4 May. Let's look at that 7 section again. It's down towards the bottom of the 8 page, I think. No, it's in the middle of page 2. Sorry 9 about that. The task there, you were seeking advice 10 from PIRC and a supervisor would be appointed or 11 identified to carry out the disclosure. 12 We've heard evidence from Conrad Trickett to the 13 Inquiry and I'm just going to ask you about what he said 14 to see if you had any awareness of this. It was his --15 he gave evidence on Day 46, which was 8 March, and this 16 year he said that he understood: 17 "... the legal advice was to not provide 18 a statement~..." 19 This was the advice to the officers who had 20 attended: 21 "... because they couldn't confirm what their status 22 was: we won't be able to confirm your status until the 23 cause of death is known~..." 24 That was his understanding of the advice that was 25 being given:

1 "... hence the importance of the cause of death in 2 relation to -- from a post-incident point of view that 3 would be a trigger and for the legal advice and the 4 officers there to provide a statement." 5 So he understood, as I understand his evidence, that 6 once they had that information about cause of death, 7 that would be, as he put, it a trigger for the officers 8 then to clarify their status, and provide statements to 9 the Inquiry. 10 I wonder if during that Gold Group meeting that we 11 have been looking at the minutes of, so this is on 12 4 May, 12.30, there was any discussion around that, that 13 the officers were waiting to hear about cause of death 14 before they would be prepared to give statements? 15 A. I certainly don't recall it, and I would hope if there 16 was, that there would be something in the minute of that 17 because that is a pretty important discussion to have. 18 But I certainly -- I can't recall now. 19 Q. So in the absence of anything like that in the minute, 20 do you remember, yourself, any -- being aware of a sort 21 of quid pro quo, "Tell us the cause of death and we'll 22 give you a statement", arrangement? 23 A. No, I don't remember that, no. 24 Q. Is that the sort of information you would have wanted to 25 have been made aware of in your role as Silver

1 commander?

2	Α.	Not necessarily. And of course, you know, it's almost
3		a sort of a it's a meaningless agreement and what
4		I mean by that is that that position could change the
5		next hour, you know, the there's no formal commitment
6		there as I understand it, as you describe to me, so
7		it's it's no really relevant. But I'd certainly
8		never heard that that was in any way a sort of
9		quid pro quo, no.
10	Q.	Did you think it was of any interest that the officers
11		were taking that position, that they didn't want to give
12		statements?
13	Α.	My understanding, as I said earlier, was this was the
14		advice coming from the Federation and the Federation
15		lawyers. And I'm not surprised that the officers took
16		that position bearing in mind they were getting legal
17		advice from the Federation and their lawyers.
18	Q.	We've heard evidence from the doctor, the pathologist
19		who carried out the post mortem, and her name was
20		Shearer, she explained to us that initially her report
21		said the cause of death was, "Unascertained, pending
22		toxicology".
23	Α.	Okay.
24	Q.	Were you aware of that?
25	Α.	I wasn't actually, I thought the one I read was a bit

1		more detailed than that.
2	Q.	I am not suggesting that it wasn't detailed, having
3		carried out the post mortem, but the cause of death was
4		listed as "unascertained" initially.
5	Α.	Right.
6	Q.	Pending the toxicology investigations.
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	That was dated that report was dated 6 May, so
9		a couple of days after the post mortem itself was done.
10		It says, "Unascertained pending investigations", and she
11		explained that those investigations were toxicology
12		investigations and things along those lines.
13		It wasn't until 18 June 2015 that a final
14		post mortem report was actually signed by her and her
15		colleague. So initially at least, on 4 May, on 5 May,
16		as far as the official report was concerned, cause of
17		death was unascertained.
18	Α.	Yes, I'm that is the first I've heard that to be
19		honest with you, and I am surprised at it because I'm
20		pretty certain and I would have to revert to my
21		statement, but I'm pretty certain we had there was
22		a cause of death, an initial cause of death passed
23		either the 5th or 6th May. And yes, so that was my
24		understanding. Now, I don't know where that came from,
25		whether it's from that lady's final or initial

1		finding report or the officers or the PIRC staff or
2		whoever was at the post mortem. I couldn't even tell
3		you who was there, I assume it was PIRC staff. So, I am
4		surprised at that.
5	Q.	"Unascertained" wouldn't rule out any criminality at
6		that stage, would it? Would you agree with that?
7	Α.	No. I would agree, yes.
8	Q.	I think we also heard from Trickett, Conrad Trickett,
9		the post-incident manager, that he was then told on
10		5 May, so that is the Tuesday, the day after the
11		post mortem is carried out, he has a conversation on the
12		phone that morning, and he said that:
13		"Officers had been advised last night~"
14		So on Monday night, 4 May:
15		" that pending toxicology, the cause of death did
16		not result from blunt-force trauma."
17		So there seems to have been at least some
18		understanding that at that stage that whatever the
19		cause of death, it wasn't blunt-force trauma.
20	Α.	Yes, that was my understanding.
21	Q.	Right.
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Do you remember why there was so much interest in ruling
24		out blunt-force trauma?
25	Α.	Not specifically. No, I mean that was the cause of

1 death that was passed, that I got sight of. 2 I assumed -- I assumed that came from the pathologist. 3 But I think you are suggesting it didn't come from the 4 pathologist. 5 I don't want to make any suggestions to you, but Q. 6 certainly the post mortem had been carried out on the 7 4th, blunt-force trauma had been ruled out by the 8 pathologist and that seems to have been shared with the 9 officers on the Monday evening. 10 Α. Yes, so that was my understanding, yes. 11 We've heard evidence that one of the officers had struck Q. 12 Mr Bayoh. He gave evidence to this effect, that he 13 struck Mr Bayoh on the head a number of times with his 14 baton, and we've also heard evidence from other officers 15 that the officer who did this was quite upset when he 16 went back to Kirkcaldy Police Office. I wondered if 17 that was a situation that you were aware of, that there 18 had been concern that he had contributed in some way to 19 the death, at least at that stage? 20 A. Yes, I'm trying to -- because I did watch at least one 21 of those interviews on here, so I'm trying to deconflict 22 what I knew a few months ago to what I knew eight years 23 ago. So I'm not entirely clear to be honest with you. 24 Can I ask you -- so you were not part of any discussion Q. 25 about all of the ramifications to do with --

1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	the pathology results, the post mortem results?
3	A.	No, that wasnae my role so I was not any discussions
4		that were happening between PIRC and police, that most
5		likely happened I'm totally assuming probably
6		between PIRC and the Detective Super.
7	Q.	In terms of welfare issues, if an officer was very
8		concerned and worried about what the impact was of
9		actions he had taken, was that something that you would
10		have expected to know about?
11	A.	Well, that was the purpose of that was one of
12		the purposes for Conrad Trickett to be there. That was
13		his main role, was around the welfare of the officers,
14		so I would certainly expect him to be sighted on that.
15		Any sort of, you know, anecdotal comments, you know,
16		I wouldn't Conrad wouldn't come running to me and
17		say: this is what officer A said ten minutes ago and \ldots
18		that is not how we would be operating. We've all got
19		our distinct roles, and, yes, so I would expect Conrad
20		to know that.
21	Q.	So if an officer has said he struck the subject over the
22		head with a baton, he was concerned about that and upset
23		about that, from a welfare perspective
24	Α.	Yes.

25 Q. -- you wouldn't have expected that to be brought to your

1 attention?

2	Α.	There is a possibility that would come to my
3		attention I don't remember it distinctly but yes
4		there is the possibility. That is quite a serious or
5		important point. I suppose the point is though that
6		it's the provenance of that, you know, where is is
7		that coming third hand? I don't know, where is that
8		coming from? Is it coming from the officer directly,
9		did he mention it directly to Conrad? Did it go up the
10		tree in that way? I don't know.
11	Q.	Can I ask you about the timing of the statements. Did
12		you have any concerns from what you knew at the time
13		that the officers had declined to provide statements on
14		the basis of advice that they had received?
15	Α.	Did I have concerns about it?
16	Q.	Did you have concerns about that, how that might impact
17		on the investigation, for example?
18	Α.	I'm not I don't I genuinely, they weren't
19		concerns, it was the reality of the fact, which was that
20		they had been given legal and/or Federation
21		independent Federation advice not to give statements.
22		There is nothing that me or anyone else could do in that
23		circumstance to make them you know, that wasnae my
24		role, so it was a matter of fact and it was something
25		for which me we just have to move on from.

Q. We've heard a lot of evidence that this is a situation where people haven't come across it before, where officers have been involved in an incident and have declined to provide operational statements. Would that accord with your experience?

A. Because I was in charge of custody, and you know,
I would imagine there's probably six or seven -- that's
probably a slight exaggeration, maybe three or four
deaths in custody in a year in Scotland and I would have
oversight of them, then I am aware since 2015 of that
having happened since, but not for such a prolonged
period of time.

13 Again, my view on it is that it is the -- you know, 14 it's about the best evidence not the quickest evidence 15 is the phrase that I always use. If officers need 16 a period of time to properly formulate in their head 17 exactly what happened and to document that on paper, then give them the time and the space to do that, let's 18 19 not -- let's not rush hem into that position because 20 actually what we want to get is the facts and the most 21 coherent and comprehensive response, so I have -- I am 22 aware of occasions where there has been delays in police 23 officers or police staff giving statements and I have 24 accepted that as the commander of Criminal Justice 25 Services division to say, well, let's just give them

1 a bit of space to do it. 2 When you are talking about a bit of space, what sort of Q. 3 timescale? 4 Α. Genuinely I wouldn't put a time on it. If it went on to 5 weeks and weeks I would be asking serious questions, but 6 you know these are traumatic incidents, people -- taking 7 this incident to one side, but police officers who are 8 present or find very vulnerable people dead in police 9 cells in a condition that probably very few in this room 10 have ever witnessed so you need to give police 11 officers -- they are just human beings like the rest of 12 us, you need to give them a bit of time to fully absorb 13 and come to terms with what they faced and then you 14 know -- and some deal with it different and quicker and 15 slower than others, so it's about giving them the time 16 and space because it is the best evidence, not the 17 fastest evidence that is important.

18 Q. Yesterday you talked about -- we talked about conferral 19 and you talked about the risks of people starting to 20 discuss an incident, and that discussion, finding out 21 what other people recollect, can have an impact on their 22 recollection. Is that something that was concerning you 23 in relation to the absence of statements here? 24 It wasn't -- it wasn't concerning me because the reality Α. 25 is we were where we were, and what I mean by that is

1 they had been given legal advice not to give 2 a statement, so you know whether it's concerning to me 3 or not didn't actually matter. The fact of the matter 4 was that that has been -- that was the position they 5 were taking. So we just need to move on from that. 6 Q. Did you take the view that you didn't have any leverage 7 at all to try and persuade the officers or encourage 8 them to give statements? 9 I certainly wasn't going to encourage them. The Α. 10 Federation and legal representation is there for 11 a reason, and I think we have to respect that. 12 A Public Inquiry is a perfect scenario of that, we need 13 to follow proper and due legal process so I was allowing 14 them to do that. 15 Q. Can I ask you something that has been suggested by 16 someone from PIRC. Now, we've not heard evidence from 17 this gentleman, his name is McSporran -- this is what he 18 says, and I would just like to ask you what you think of 19 this suggestion: 20 "Police Scotland could have instructed or ordered 21 the nine officers ..." 22 That's the nine attending officers: 23 "... to provide a statement on the grounds that it 24 is within their standards of professional behaviour, 25 ie constables give and carry out only lawful orders and

1 instructions. Failure to obey a lawful order may 2 constitute misconduct under the Police Service of 3 Scotland Conduct Regulations 2014." 4 Can I ask you what you think of that suggestion, 5 that there could have been an order or an instruction 6 given? 7 A. So I have not looked at the regulations and I am pretty 8 distant from those sort of regulations just now but 9 I think that would have been wholly inappropriate to do 10 that. What could you potentially have got from the 11 officers there? Maybe a single sentence. You know, 12 "I commenced duty at such and such, that is all 13 I recall". If you begin to force officers to do it when 14 they have legal advice to say not to do it, I think that 15 is a -- that is not the best approach to take. That is 16 not the approach I would take anyway. 17 Q. We've heard some evidence that operational statements 18 can be very brief. They don't need to give a lot of 19 detail about the events but they can certainly pin down 20 when the duty commenced and -- an outline if no more. 21 You didn't think it was appropriate to seek that from 22 the officers? 23 A. It is back to -- you know, I feel as though I am 24 repeating myself but you know, you ask the officers to 25 give statements, they are refusing on the basis of their

1		legal advice not to give a statement at that point, then
2		for me you have to respect that decision.
3	Q.	All right. So in terms of you and the Gold Group, once
4		the officers received the advice they received, and took
5		the view they wouldn't provide statements, no further
6		steps were taken or suggested by the Gold Group in
7		relation to obtaining those statements?
8	A.	Certainly not that I was involved in or can recall, no.
9	Q.	I have slightly gone on to statements, I want to just
10		come back and just complete something about
11		the post mortem.
12	A.	Okay.
13	Q.	So officers were told on the evening of 4 May, as
14		we understand the evidence from, for example,
15		Conrad Trickett, which was the evening of the day that
16		the post mortem took place. Were you advised that that
17		was going to be done or were you advised retrospectively
18		that it had been done?
19	A.	I can't remember. I was certainly advised, I don't know
20		if it was before or after. It may be in my statement.
21		I just can't quite remember.
22	Q.	We've also heard evidence from the family that they
23		didn't hear that the post mortem had taken place. They
24		weren't arrangements weren't made to take them to
25		attend the prior to the post mortem. They didn't

1 find out the post mortem had taken place until the 2 Tuesday, which was the 5th May. 3 Α. Okay. 4 And that was during or after a meeting with their Q. 5 lawyer. I wondered if you have concerns -- you've 6 talked about how the family were a priority, and 7 concerns about tensions, potential tensions in the 8 community. Looking back at that timeframe, do you have 9 concerns about the fact that the officers were told on 10 the Monday night after the post mortem had been carried 11 out but the family weren't told until the Tuesday? 12 Α. Yes, absolutely. Yes. 13 Can you explain to the Chair what your concerns are? Q. 14 Well, you know, the family of someone who has died Α. 15 following police contact is -- there has been 16 a post mortem carried out on their body, and they have 17 been oblivious to, one, that fact and, two, the results 18 of that post mortem. It's -- it's -- that's wrong. 19 If you'd been in charge of that situation -- you have Q. 20 explained PIRC taking over the investigation but if 21 you'd been in charge of that situation, how would you 22 have handled it? 23 A. You know, I don't want to get into the -- it's 24 difficult -- you know, because looking back, the 25 hindsight brigade, it's easy to say, "I would've done it

1 differently, I would've done it better", but my 2 experience of having been involved in deaths and having, 3 you know, been a senior investigating officer is that 4 the results of the post mortem, either the first or one 5 of the first group of people that you tell is the 6 family. And even more so nowadays where, you know, 7 social media, things can leak very, very quickly so out 8 of respect and dignity for the family, you need to --9 they need to be at the top of your list for informing of 10 the result. In my view. 11 Can I take it from that that you would have told the Q. 12 family first? 13 I certainly -- you know, yes. Yes. Α. 14 Can I ask you some questions about the impact of telling Q. 15 officers first where the cause of death is 16 unascertained, drawing on what you said yesterday about 17 when people start talking about things, it can influence 18 or change their own recollection. We've heard some 19 evidence that an officer prepared a statement initially 20 very soon after the events, and said nothing in that 21 about hearing about a rib fracture. We have heard from 22 the pathologist about subsequent investigations that did 23 discover a rib fracture. We've also heard evidence that 24 at a later statement that was given after the 25 pathologist had discovered the rib fracture, that there

1		was mention of the officer having heard the rib
2		fracture. Are you following what I am saying? So
3		an apparent difference between two statements.
4	Α.	Right, okay.
5	Q.	In between those dates the pathologist has identified
6		that there was a rib fracture. Is that the type of
7		thing that can happen when I'm not asking you to
8		explain that apparent difference but is that the type of
9		thing that could happen if information is given to
10		an officer in relation to pathology, that things that
11		statements will change?
12	Α.	It could happen, yes. Yes, of course it could happen.
13		I felt the cause of death that I seen was so brief and
14		succinct that I have explained it, I think it was the
15		right thing to do. But yes, that scenario as you play
16		out could happen.
17	Q.	So it's possible, if additional information comes to the
18		attention of someone, that they can then absorb that and
19		think that is something that they
20	Α.	Yes, I would agree with that.
21	Q.	All right. Can I ask you now to look, please, at your
22		original operational statement. PS03136, please. This
23		is if we can look at page 3 now, please. It's
24		paragraph 5 that I'm interested in. You say that:
25		"About 7 am on Monday 4 May, I commenced duty at

	Kirkcaldy Police Station~"
	So this is the day after:
	"Later that day"
	And you say 1545 hours:
	" accompanied with Supt Milton, I again attended
	at and spoke to Ade and Kadi."
	So you went back to the Johnsons' the day after.
	This is after the Gold Group meeting that we looked at
	a moment ago.
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And you went back to where they lived to speak to them.
Α.	Yes.
Q.	It says:
	"A number of other family members and friends were
	present. My primary concerns at this time remained the
	immediate family of Sheku and local community tensions
	which were beginning to grow predominantly via social
	media. Family and local community reassurance was vital
	throughout this period and my focus remained on this
	aspect whilst the PIRC continued their investigations.
	On arrival the family were pleased to see me and
	articulated a dissatisfaction with PIRC involvement to
	date, stating that they had had little contact and not
	received any further information. They requested that
	they be afforded the opportunity to lay flowers on
	Q. A.

1		Hayfield Road and that an explanation as to why they
2		(PIRC) still had control of the house and why access to
3		the deceased home address had been prevented by the PIRC
4		the previous evening. I reiterated my role and advised
5		that I would pass on their queries to the PIRC."
6		Can I ask you a little bit about this visit. Do you
7		remember going back to speak to Ade and Kadi that
8		afternoon?
9	Α.	I do, yes.
10	Q.	So that was at quarter to four on the Monday?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Tell us about that visit. How were the family with you
13		that day?
14	Α.	So I felt and as I outlined there, I do feel the
15		family were pleased to see me. They were clearly
16		clearly still very, very distraught and emotional.
17		I learned at that point that they had had a conversation
18		with PIRC. It did not come across as being the most
19		positive of conversations, and then we spoke around
20		I explained, or reiterated most likely, that PIRC had
21		a role had a job to do and that was their
22		responsibility but, you know: I am here as the
23		commander, what support can I give you that is not
24		crossing across their boundaries, if you like? And then
25		that is when they came and mentioned the flowers, and

1 I can't remember the ins and outs of it but from 2 recollection the family were simply wanting to attend at 3 the place where Sheku had fallen unconscious at the 4 point of police contact, or thereabouts, and you know, 5 to -- which wasn't far from their house from memory, and 6 to, in a sort of dignified way, leave some flowers and 7 pray or whatever it is they wanted to do and mark the 8 respect for that place where Sheku lay. So -- and 9 they'd asked for that to be facilitated and it hadn't 10 happened. So I said: well, leave that with me and we'll 11 make that happen. 12 Q. Who had they asked to facilitate that? 13 You would have to ask Kadi or Ade and other family Α. 14 members. I genuinely don't remember. It will have been 15 either PIRC or -- I don't know, maybe one of 16 the detective constables but I can't remember. 17 What did you do, knowing about this issue with the Q. 18 family; what steps did you take? 19 So I -- it's interesting just to go back a little bit, Α. 20 so the reason that I took Superintendent Milton with me 21 was the same purpose that I took Nicola Shepherd the day 22 before, so I would lead on the discussions, and Dougie 23 would be there and maybe not take notes at the time but 24 would have an independent view to ensure -- and Dougie 25 I think from memory took a couple of notes around what

1 it was the family were wanting us to do, and then we
2 left and we made those arrangements for that to happen.
3 I genuinely can't remember if I phoned the PIRC or if
4 Dougie, when I was driving, phoned the PIRC. It was the
5 minute we pretty much left the house, I says, you know,
6 this needs to get done, so we just arranged for that to
7 happen.

8 If you'd been leading the investigation at that time or Q. 9 involved in taking control of matters, what would you 10 have done to assist the family in this regard? 11 You know, I would have -- I would've made that happen Α. 12 very quickly and I would've arranged for it to have been 13 done at a time and in a way, in a fashion that would 14 suit the family. Because it's a really important point 15 for me. If it was my family, you really want that to 16 be -- to happen in a very dignified and in a way that 17 suited the family.

18 Q. Can you tell the Chair are there any reasons why that 19 can't be done quickly?

A. So I don't know at what point the locus protection, the crime scene management work was ongoing and I did explain that to the family because I was unsighted. But I think from memory they were even happy to go to a point as close to the site where Sheku fell unconscious, so it didn't actually need to be right at

1		that they were not flexible but they were happy to
2		work within they understood the importance of the
3		crime scene and the examination of that, so they were
4		happy to work around that.
5	Q.	If it had been you organising it, would you have
6		arranged an escort of some kind to take them?
7	A.	If they wanted that because, you know, they may not have
8		wanted that because from memory I think they were going
9		to walk down because it wasn't particularly far. But,
10		yes, anything that they had asked for, then I would have
11		tried to facilitate it.
12	Q.	You talk there about:
13		" local community tensions were beginning to grow
14		predominantly via social media."
15		How were you aware of that?
16	Α.	So I was on social media, I may have picked up pieces on
17		that. There was most likely the role of media in the
18		Gold Groups, that would be one of the lady's roles
19		would be to brief the Gold on any significant media
20		and/or and that includes social media interest. So
21		if there was anything that was beginning to because
22		it can go a number of different ways that, can't it? It
23		could be that there's a you know, a hatred towards
24		the police could emerge, it could be a hatred towards
25		the family, it could be who knows what could happen

1		on social media? So it's important again as police
2		officers in the Gold Group we would be sighted on
3		anything that was particularly nasty or inciteful or
4		dangerous.
5	Q.	So from your role at that time it was important that you
6		were able to identify tensions as they were growing, if
7		they did grow, and what area they might be in?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Was apart from yourself being on social media was
10		there also monitoring of social media?
11	Α.	Sorry, the media, and the Gold Group I think was her
12		name Kate? But the media lady, that would be one of
13		their roles, would be to monitor social media and to
14		bring any pertinent posts or whatever to the Gold Group
15		if it was deemed appropriate.
16	Q.	Can I presume that the monitoring of social media is in
17		relation to publicly available information on the
18		internet?
19	Α.	Yes, yes.
20	Q.	Was there monitoring of the Justice for Sheku Bayoh page
21		that was set up?
22	Α.	I don't know. There may have if it was a public
23		site, you know, media would tell you that they may well
24		have done that. I don't know when I don't know when
25		that was actually established, if it was established by

1 that time or not.

Q. Can I ask you something about what is on the next paragraph. If we look to the bottom of this page, the statement:

5 "The family raised the issue of an article published 6 in the Dundee Courier that morning regarding Sheku's 7 death. They were unhappy that I was quoted therein. 8 I advised that I was simply expressing my sincere 9 condolences to the family and that I had no control over 10 what the media printed. I informed them that it was 11 unlikely that I would release further statement to the 12 press as the enquiry rested with the PIRC but if it was 13 necessary, out of courtesy I would advise them prior to 14 doing so."

So it would appear this is another topic the family raised with you at that the meeting, at 15.45 that afternoon. If we can maybe see the whole paragraph on the screen if possible. You say they were unhappy that you were quoted. Do you remember --

20 A. I do.

21 Q. -- this conversation?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Can you tell us more about that?

A. So the night before at whatever -- it was back at
6/7 o'clock, when I had been in the house, because

1 Sheku's mum was to travel up, they were wanting to be 2 the ones that notified her directly, understandably so. 3 The media release that was put out, from memory -- and 4 I'm sure you have it, I've not seen it since then -- was 5 a more general, you know: there has been a death has 6 occurred, the police and/or PIRC are investigating it. 7 And it was to provide reassurance to the wider community 8 because you know, this is -- it's back to that community 9 reassurance, community tension, community concern. The 10 public, when they read that a male has died, they are 11 concerned so we need to -- as I was then the police 12 commander we need to put some reassurance out, because 13 it's important for the wider community.

14 But I did apologise in that I didn't think that the 15 article that went out would have in any way alerted 16 Sheku's mum to the circumstances, but then I did, as it 17 says at the end there, pledge that if there were any 18 other media releases to go out, I would share it with 19 them in advance and I've done that as -- that is not 20 an unusual -- that is, within reason, quite normal 21 because you want to -- that is what FLOs would probably 22 do, in various enquiries, it would be dependent on the 23 circumstances, where we are about to appeal for 24 witnesses or we are about to do this or that and you 25 would share that with family in advance so then they are

1		not taken by surprise.
2	Q.	So looking back now, in an ideal situation there would
-	2.	have been a FLO liaising with the family or appointed to
4		the family who could update them in relation to media
5		
		statements that were being released?
6	Α.	Yes, sorry yes. That would be certainly one of their
7		key roles, of course, yes.
8	Q.	Is that really the ideal situation, rather than
9		expecting someone in your role to advise the family?
10	A.	Yes, exactly.
11	Q.	You have said there out of a courtesy you would advise
12		them prior to doing so in the future. Is that
13		a practice you have adopted since?
14	A.	Yes, for and to be honest with you and probably prior
15		to this, depending upon the enquiry. Then yes, I would
16		out of I think you know my beliefs around the family
17		being a central tenet to any investigation, so, yes,
18		I think that is a good practice to adopt.
19	Q.	With hindsight, if they had known about that in advance,
20		do you think that would have helped the relationship
21		between the family and Police Scotland or even with the
22		investigation generally being led by PIRC?
23	Α.	I don't know it certainly couldn't have done any
24		harm. I did apologise to them at the time and I do
25		genuinely feel they accepted that. That first media

1 article that went out was done very early on, it was 2 probably written pre the meeting the day -- the meeting 3 with the family the day before, and it was pretty 4 general. But it could have been done better. 5 You have said there that -- it was published in the Q. 6 Dundee Courier and you have said that you have no 7 control over what the media printed. Can I ask you 8 about that; how did it come about that the Dundee 9 Courier had a quotation from you? 10 Α. So basically -- again, media would be the ones that 11 would tell you this but what happens when there is 12 a flurry of police activity on Hayfield Road, as it was 13 here, where you've got various police officers and 14 police staff guarding various loci, five different 15 addresses, the media hear about that sort of thing 16 really quickly. Not from the police but from members of 17 the public, or local media might drive past so they then 18 phone -- they would then phone -- the likelihood is they 19 would then phone the Police Scotland media department 20 and say, "What's happening in Kirkcaldy? There seems to 21 be a lot of police activity". The short quote that 22 I gave was about -- it was a reassurance piece and that 23 would have been what media gave to -- sorry, what 24 Police Scotland will have probably circulated to media 25 outlets.

1	Q.	Can I understand, any contact you have with the media is
2		through the media department of Police Scotland?
3	Α.	Yes, by that time, yes.
4	Q.	Was this statement or quote given to the media
5		department prior to PIRC taking over the lead of the
6		investigation?
7	Α.	I'm not sure about the timings, again media would be
8		able to tell you that, unless you have the media
9		statement to hand. But I can't recall the exact
10		timings.
11	Q.	If PIRC had taken over the investigation by this stage
12		and had had a handover from the police, in that scenario
13		would Police Scotland customarily be issuing media
14		statements or would you be handing that responsibility
15		over to PIRC?
16	Α.	So I suppose it's down to everybody's there's
17		probably not any clear definitive answer to that. My
18		view was or is that at least the initial messaging
19		should go out from the commander of that area. In 2015
20		very, very few people, I suspect, really knew and
21		understood what PIRC were there to do and their roles
22		and responsibilities, so bearing in mind, as I say,
23		there's significant policing presences at various
24		addresses including Hayfield Road, I think the commander
25		of that area is probably best placed to provide a bit of

visible communication that hopefully provides a bit of 1 2 reassurance to the local communities. 3 Just in light of what you've said, on the day that this Q. 4 happened, did you yourself have a clear idea of what the 5 remit of PIRC was or what they were going to do? 6 I knew that the PIRC were taking responsibility for the Α. 7 lead, they were the lead in this investigation. 8 I personally had -- I don't know how -- how new the PIRC 9 were by that time, maybe only a year old or 18 months or 10 something, so I had had some involvement with the PIRC 11 before but not a huge amount because they were a really 12 young organisation. But, yes, my understanding was they 13 were going to take -- they are there to take oversight 14 and lead on this investigation, this enquiry. 15 Q. What was the extent of that investigation at the time, 16 as far as you understood? 17 So there was a -- there was agreed parameters that were Α. 18 set in place but I'd have to see them to refresh my 19 memory, but you know it was about the investigation, and 20 probably the precursor, the lead-up to that 21 investigation. It does stick in my mind that that was 22 expanded a little in the days following the death of 23 Sheku, following police contact. It was expanded, but I couldn't tell you exactly to what degree. 24 25 Although you can't tell us today, at the time did you --Q.

1		is your recollection that you had a clear impression of
2		the scope of the or the limitations on the
3		investigation by PIRC?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	You did?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Where did that come from?
8	Α.	Probably from probably from Pat Campbell and/or
9		Keith Harrower and/or Gold.
10	Q.	Thank you. If we can move away from this meeting that
11		you had with the family, which was 4 May, 3.45. I'd
12		like to look at an agenda for a further Gold Group
13		meeting on 6 May. Actually, before I move on to that.
14		Can I look at PS01336. This is a critical incident
15		communications strategy document. If we can move away
16		from this to PS01336.
17		The author is Lucy Adamson, it's dated created on
18		4 May, 2015, and it is headed:
19		"Critical incident communications strategy
20		Operation Birnie."
21		You talked about declaring a critical incident
22		yesterday in your evidence?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	This is a document that has been prepared by
25		Lucy Adamson. Do you know who Lucy Adamson is, was?

1 So I'm not sure of her formal title but she was director Α. 2 or head of Corporate Communications for Police Scotland. 3 Q. And the purpose is given at number 1: 4 "To outline a communications strategy that supports 5 the objectives of the Gold strategy following the death 6 of Sheku Bayoh in Kirkcaldy on Sunday 3 May. This 7 document will be regularly assessed and refreshed to 8 ensure it continues to meet the needs of the Gold 9 strategy." 10 Did you have any involvement in the preparation of 11 this? 12 Α. No. 13 No, it wasn't you. You may not be able to assist me Q. 14 with this but can I ask you to look at number 8 which is 15 on page 3. This relates to the family. It is titled 16 "Risks", and it says: 17 "There are a number of risks identified that will be 18 regularly reviewed to assess their impact on our 19 communications approach." 20 Bullet point 1: 21 "The role of extended family and any subsequent 22 representatives will have a key impact on external 23 comment and as our media comment is currently limited, 24 effective engagement with the family and their 25 interested representatives will be key."

1		I know you have spoken a lot about the family being
2		a priority and I am wondering if you can help me
3		understand why are the family and the role of the
4		extended family being listed under "Risks"?
5	A.	I'm not sure actually. No, I don't know.
6	Q.	No?
7	Α.	No.
8	Q.	If we go on to the next page, page 4. The third bullet
9		point says:
10		"Early comment on social media channels has already
11		referred to 'police brutality' and there are many
12		worldwide examples of the impact this has had on
13		community/police relations. There will be a role for
14		PIRC in providing reassurance around the investigation
15		and through continued measured visibility of local
16		engagement and reassurance."
17		Is that a recognition really of what you were
18		talking about yesterday, when you gave evidence?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	So that was something known to you. Were you aware that
21		on social media channels there were references to police
22		brutality?
23	Α.	I can't recall exactly but that was the sort of thing
24		that I was touching on earlier in my statement, where
25		you know I was aware that there was certainly stuff

1 ongoing on social media. 2 Right. Then the next bullet point talks about: Q. 3 "There may also be impacts on hate crime and regular 4 monitoring of communications channels through for 5 measuring public sentiment and gathering intelligence on 6 future activity which may impact on community relations 7 will be key." 8 I am interested in the phrase, "regular monitoring 9 of communications channels". What was that about; do 10 you know? 11 No I'm quessing -- no, I don't know exactly. Probably Α. 12 it is another way to describe social media, national 13 media, written press, radio, and just all the different 14 forums of communication. 15 Q. You have said that monitoring of that type of thing 16 would be through the media department? 17 Yes, so that is exactly -- so Lucy is in charge of that Α. 18 and that would be my expectation, that they would be 19 doing that monitoring and **_____**, I think, is a piece of 20 IT equipment or a company that can measure some of that 21 for Police Scotland if they --Is that outsourced from Police Scotland? 22 Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And then it says: 25 "... gathering intelligence on future activity which

1		may impact on community relations~"
2		Do you know what that was in reference to,
3		"gathering intelligence on future activity"?
4	Α.	No. No.
5	Q.	No?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Right. Then the next bullet point:
8		"While there is no evidence to suggest the incident
9		was terrorism related, it may cause concern either
10		amongst other police officers and staff or amongst
11		communities."
12		Were you aware if that was a concern being caused
13		amongst other officers?
14	Α.	No, I wasn't actually. I said it yesterday, there was
15		no suggestion that this was a terrorist-related
16		incident.
17	Q.	Then Ms Adamson has said:
18		"You are invited to comment on the draft strategy."
19		Was this something you were ever invited to comment
20		on?
21	Α.	I don't recall seeing it certainly.
22	Q.	Can I ask you to look at your Inquiry statement, please.
23		It's paragraphs 134 and 135. I think you may have
24		mentioned something about this yesterday and I just want
25		to clear that up. It's 134 and 135, please. Thank you.

You talk about the conversations you had with Ade,
 Ade Johnson, practical things that you did and, you talk
 about:

4 "There were family members from all across the world
5 who didn't have accommodation. I made sure we would pay
6 for them to stay in hotels locally when family came
7 across from overseas. It was that kind of help which
8 I was keen to provide."

9 Then you've read one of the Inquiry statements that 10 expressed the view that perhaps it was more of a cynical 11 exercise on your part:

12 "Again I can only say that I am saddened by that.
13 I tried to do all that I could to involve the family and
14 build a relationship which might be of use to them at
15 a difficult time. I did it in good faith and in
16 recognition of a devastatingly painful loss for the
17 family and an incident which impacted on the local
18 community."

19Can I ask you to expand on that a little?20A. I think that does -- that genuinely articulates how21I felt. That was -- if Ade felt that I was in some way22trying to provide that support as a cynical exercise I'm23really disappointed in that because that was absolutely24not the case. There was never an ulterior motive. It25was -- you know, a large number of family members were

1 coming from, you know, other parts of the world and, you 2 know, what I said to one of my inspectors was, if 3 they're looking for accommodation, if they're needing 4 transportation, let's do all we can do to help them 5 because the immediate family have enough on their plate 6 to be getting on with, so let's just do what we can to 7 help them. And that's genuinely what we -- or what, you 8 know, Fife division at the time tried to do. 9 Q. Can I move on and ask you some questions about what 10 would have been the Wednesday, 6 May. If we could look 11 at the Gold Group minutes from that date at 1100 hours, 12 which is PS09779. Again you will see this is headed up 13 "agenda". There's no details of who was present during 14 this. Some names are given after each item. 15 Α. Okay. 16 I'm interested in -- if we can go to the bottom of that Q. 17 page, just above item 3. You will see in the blue pen 18 it says: 19 "Question raised regarding contact with 20 Connie Barcik. Confirmed PIRC have been made aware 21 regarding the need for contact, however no update to 22 confirm this has taken place." 23 Can I ask you what you were aware of? We've heard 24 that Connie was -- and I think we spoke about this 25 yesterday when you gave your evidence, that she had had

1		an arrangement, according to Collette Bell, on the
2		Sunday to meet with Mr Bayoh. She was the mother of his
3		oldest child. Can you tell me what you knew at this
4		stage about Connie's involvement?
5	A.	From memory, not a great deal other than what you
6		reminded me of yesterday. But it does what day is
7		this? The Wednesday?
8	Q.	This is the Wednesday after he died.
9	A.	Yes, it does sort of reinforce to me that, you know, the
10		priority of family, immediate family, next of kin, you
11		know, if we're now talking four/five four days later
12		was still not where it needed to be and was still not
13		the priority that myself and others felt it should be.
14	Q.	We've heard evidence from Collette Bell. She understood
15		that Connie found out through Facebook. Does that cause
16		you concerns that someone would find out in that way?
17	Α.	Yes, most definitely.
18	Q.	In looking at this agenda or minutes, do you looking
19		back at what happened, would you have handled that
20		differently?
21	Α.	Yes. The priority should be the family in these
22		circumstances. Connie is clearly was, you know,
23		a previous partner of Sheku and they had a child
24		together, so, for me, she, as with others, needs to be
25		a priority, and that is what never never happened as

1		quickly as it should have with the investigation that
2		was being led at that time by the PIRC.
3	Q.	Certainly we have heard evidence from Collette Bell
4		which indicates that Connie was never approached by the
5		authorities, if I can put that way, and does that cause
6		you concern?
7	Α.	Absolutely. Yes.
8	Q.	If we stay with this page, just above item it is part
9		of item 5. Actually, if you can go up the page, sorry,
10		there is a passage that says sorry, if we can go to
11		the bottom of that page. Sorry, I was confused there
12		for a moment. At the bottom of that page, item 4:
13		"Family concerns."
14		Is spoken to by yourself. Then if we can go on to
15		page 2, at the top it says:
16		"Extended family is starting to arrive in the
17		Kirkcaldy area and Police Scotland have accepted the
18		responsibility to locate accommodation and
19		transportation for them. Police Scotland has also
20		confirmed they will cover the costing for these
21		actions."
22		Were these things that you were continuing to be
23		involved in on the Wednesday, even though by that stage
24		PIRC were present and leading the investigation?
25	A.	Yes. So this was, for me, nothing to do with the

1 investigation now, this was just about common decency 2 and working with the family to make arrangements as easy 3 for them as they possibly could. And, you know, there 4 was a lot of costs that were coming and, you know, that 5 was the last thing the family needed to be worrying 6 about. So I decided that I was -- we were going to pay 7 for certain pieces of accommodation, transportation, 8 et cetera and make the arrangements. I think, from 9 memory, we -- it wasn't me but one of my sergeants or 10 inspectors, we got a minibus or a bus of some sort and 11 picked up family members and done, you know, for me 12 really important things to help -- to help them through 13 the early stages. 14 Thank you. If we continue going down the page, please, Q. 15 within item 5, "Community issues", there is a reference 16 there, the third paragraph says: 17 "Potential procession/march being organised of 18 Facebook - intelligence suggests this is being linked to 19 Connie ... action taken for Barcik to be spoken to." 20 Can I ask you if you remember that aspect of the 21 meeting? 22 No, I don't. I remember the march that took place. Α. 23 I don't remember the exact date, but I'm actually --24 I didn't realise, if that is one and the same, that that 25 was organised by Connie or if that is something

1		different. I'm not sure.
2	Q.	We see beneath that it says:
3		"Discussion to be had regarding the requirement of
4		a protest liaison officer."
5		Can you help us understand what a protest liaison
6		officer is?
7	Α.	I don't know the ins and outs of it. It's, again,
8		another skill set that a member of staff can volunteer
9		for which I suppose probably allows them to understand
10		the implications of a march, or a protest by default,
11		that the liaison is there to do. I don't know the ins
12		and outs of their roles and responsibilities.
13	Q.	All right. Thank you. I'd like to move on to 14 May.
14		So this is over a week, less than two weeks after the
15		events, and I would like you to look at paragraph
16		let's look first of all at PS04984. This is an email
17		and, as with these threads, it is probably easier to go
18		to the bottom and work our way up. I am going to ask
19		you a few questions about this.
20		I am conscious of the time?
21	LOR	D BRACADALE: If you are moving on to a new chapter, we
22		will stop for lunch and sit at 2 o'clock.
23	MS (GRAHAME: Thank you.
24	(12	.57 pm)
25		(The short adjournment)

1 (2.04 pm) 2 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame. 3 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. Before lunch I asked you a number 4 of questions about the media, a media release. 5 That is correct. Α. 6 Q. I would like to ask you to look at another document, 7 which I didn't put to you before lunch. It is PS02751. 8 You will see -- again, we will start at the bottom 9 really, it is one page. You will see it is an email 10 sent from Nicola Shepherd on 3 May 2015 at 1515 hours. 11 It is to "Edinburgh, Media", is that the media 12 department? 13 Yes. Α. 14 Q. And to yourself, Garry McEwan. It says: 15 "Death in police custody, Kirkcaldy." 16 And begins by talking about: 17 "At around 7 am this morning (Sunday 3 May) police 18 in Kirkcaldy responded to a number of calls from members 19 of the public reporting a man brandishing a knife in the 20 Hayfield Road area." 21 It goes on to detail some -- give some brief 22 information. Can we move down the page, please. It 23 says: 24 "Divisional Commander Chief Superintendent 25 Garry McEwan said~..."

1 And this is in quotation marks: 2 "... 'This is a tragic set of circumstances and my 3 condolences go to the man's family. We currently have 4 officers with them to provide information and support 5 where appropriate. 6 "'We recognise that this is an extremely difficult 7 and distressing time for both the family and the 8 officers involved and I have instigated the necessary 9 post-incident procedures. 10 "'The investigation of deaths in Scotland is the 11 responsibility of Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal 12 Service, who have instructed the Police investigations 13 and Review Commissioner to lead on this enquiry. The 14 circumstances into the death will be fully explored and 15 reported to the Crown Office in early course'." 16 Then you ask for information to be shared. Is that 17 the media -- the comment that you were talking about 18 earlier before lunch? 19 Yes, I think so. Α. 20 Then can we look at the top of that, because I think Q. 21 there is a response to this media release from you, from 22 Garry McEwan, and that is circulated to a number of 23 areas within Police Scotland, including Nicola Shepherd 24 and Media in Edinburgh. It says: 25 "DCC/ACC"

1		So is that Deputy Chief Constable and Assistant
2		Chief Constable?
3	Α.	Correct.
4	Q.	ACC, was that Nicholson or was this someone else you
5		were referring to?
6	Α.	No, if you look at the yes, it's Nicholson just with
7		the two Crime OCCT, that was his title at the time.
8	Q.	" this is the proposed media release that will go to
9		PIRC and Crown for approval prior to us releasing it.
10		"Kate~"
11		I understand that is a reference to Kate, the woman
12		who had was related to media and we have heard
13		reference to in the Gold Groups:
14		" this SHOULD NOT be released until approval and
15		confirmation that the FLO is with next of kin. Could
16		you confirm this is understood?
17		"Garry."
18		Seeing that now, do you remember this email?
19	Α.	Yes. Yes. It's certainly me, it has come from my
20		email, so yes, I wrote it.
21	Q.	Does it make it clear you did not want the media release
22		to be released to the media until you could be satisfied
23		FLOs were with the family?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Do you know what happened with this media release, was

1 this the one you refer to in your Inquiry statement that 2 was later not approved by the Crown or not released by 3 the Crown or was it a different release? 4 Α. I think that was the one that then -- and rightly -- so 5 there are two elements to that for me. One is at 3.156 on the 3rd, you know, PIRC are in command of that hence 7 why this needs to go to PIRC and by default to Crown for 8 approval, so PIRC are leading on the investigation. 9 Secondly, I don't want this released until the FLO -- we 10 have confirmation that there is FLOs deployed with the 11 next of kin to enable the FLOs to undertake part of 12 their role which would have been to talk through this 13 media release with them to ensure the family had sight 14 of the detail before it went in a national newspaper 15 and/or read out on radio or whatever. 16 This is a media release that would have gone out from Q. 17 Police Scotland, if it had gone out? Yes, if it was approved by PIRC it would have went out 18 Α. 19 from Police Scotland. 20 It is not the same as the Dundee Courier evidence? That Q. 21 is not what we are talking about here, is it? 22 I think it might be -- I don't know but I suspect the Α. 23 Dundee Courier may or may not have taken elements from 24 that release and built it into a sort of wider media, 25 you know, for their paper the following day.

1	Q.	All right. Thank you. Let's go back to the email that
2		I was going to turn to, which we started. It was
3		PS04984 and if we could have that back on the screen.
4		This was from a later date, 14 May 2015 and I think we
5		are going to start at the bottom and work our way up, if
6		that is all right. So if we start there, this is who
7		the email is from, William Little, I understand some
8		people call him Billy?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Deputy Senior Investigator with PIRC. And if we move up
11		to the next page, keep going, please. So we see that
12		this is an email from William Little, sent on 14 May,
13		7.55 in the morning, to Keith Hardie we've heard he
14		was involved with MIT and Stuart Wilson, who I think
15		you mentioned earlier in your evidence today.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	The subject is, "Family press conference". The email
18		reads:
19		"Morning Keith/Stuart.
20		"The PIRC was made aware of this late yesterday
21		afternoon, John McSporran spoke to Mr Anwar late last
22		night~"
23		Now, we understand we have not heard from him yet
24		but we understand John McSporran is someone who is
25		a member of staff in PIRC?

1 Α. Yes, that is correct. 2 Q. He: 3 "... spoke to Mr Anwar late last night and it would 4 appear that the thrust of this conference will be to 5 criticise the police officers' decision not to provide 6 statements regarding their involvement." 7 Then if we look at the press release, this is dated 8 Wednesday, 13 May so the day prior to this, from 9 Aamer Anwar & Co solicitors regarding the death of 10 Sheku Bayoh in police custody: 11 "Family plea for justice -- press conference 12 Thursday 14 May~..." 13 So it was a press conference scheduled for the 14th, 14 and this was prepared --15 A. On the 13th. 16 Q. And sent -- discussed with Mr McSporran of PIRC. It 17 says: 18 "Press conference at 10.15 Thursday 14 May ... with 19 the family of Sheku Bayoh~..." 20 In Edinburgh: 21 "Followed by a private meeting with Lord Advocate at 22 Crown Office ... at 11.30. 23 "On Sunday morning 3 May ... [Mr Bayoh] a 31 year 24 old, father of two young children died after an incident 25 on Hayfield Road, Kirkcaldy. The cause of death is

1 still unknown, with inquiries still being carried out by 2 Crown pathologists and our own independent 3 pathologists." 4 I think that is correct at that time, that the final 5 post mortem report was pending toxicology results: 6 "It is believed that multiple officers 'detained' 7 Sheku Bayoh after which he lost consciousness and died. 8 The family who have serious concerns will speak at the 9 Press conference along with their solicitor Aamer Anwar." 10 11 Do you have any concerns about the accuracy of 12 anything I have read so far? 13 Α. No. 14 "This will be followed by a meeting with the Q. 15 Lord Advocate at Crown Office. The Lord Advocate has 16 already instructed that the ... (PIRC) to take over the 17 investigation into Mr Bayoh's death from Police Scotland." 18 19 And we have heard that that is correct: 20 "Background notes for editors." 21 And there is some additional information there that 22 the Crown Office has directed PIRC to carry out 23 an independent investigation into the circumstances 24 surrounding the death of Mr Bayoh and it mentions the 25 reform of services in April 2013, and PIRC being

1 established at that time and their role. 2 Is there anything contained in there that causes you 3 concern in terms of accuracy or the representation of 4 what had happened? 5 No, I am not sure that the cause of death is still Α. 6 unnoted -- sorry, the enquiry is still being carried out 7 by Crown and own independent -- I wasn't sighted on that 8 but it doesn't cause me concern, I am pretty sure that 9 will be accurate. 10 Q. Thank you. If we can carry on up the page, please. So 11 this has been sent by Billy Little to Keith and Stuart 12 who are involved in the investigation. I understand 13 that this had been given to PIRC by the family's 14 solicitor. Certainly it would appear from that email 15 that there has been some communication between them. 16 Then we see that the next email in this thread is from 17 Stuart Wilson. Do you see halfway down the page there? 18 Α. Yes. 19 From Stuart Wilson to Dougie Milton, who you have Q. 20 mentioned already today, Nicola Shepherd and yourself. 21 Cc'd to Keith Hardie, sent at 7.59, so within 22 a few minutes of that being received it has been 23 forwarded on by Stuart Wilson to you, and others. Ιt 24 says: 25 "You will likely already be aware but for

1 information." 2 So he has very quickly passed that on to you from it 3 being received. Were you aware of this prior to the 4 email coming in? 5 Α. No. 6 Then if we move up, we see an email from you at 8.08 on Q. 7 the same day, "Family press conference": 8 "Lucy ..." 9 Is that Lucy Adamson? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Is that the member of staff that did the communications Q. 12 document we saw earlier? 13 The director of Corporate Communications, yes. Α. 14 "Lucy, please see below. I think we need to be in Q. 15 a position to respond to this. I think the best avenue 16 is most likely Federation. We need to be quick with 17 this. Will you link in with Exec and Feds around this?" 18 So by the time you have received this email it is 19 14 May, which is the date that the press conference is 20 scheduled. Can you tell us a little bit more about your 21 response here. You are talking about linking with Exec 22 and Feds and things, could you~...? 23 A. So the concern for me was not what was in the press 24 release, it was the -- if we can go back just down 25 a little bit, it's the email from PIRC or the couple of

1		sentences from PIRC that raised the concern for me.
2	Q.	If you hold on a moment we will get that moved down on
3		the screen. Sorry. If we can go back down, I think you
4		wanted, wasn't it?
5	A.	Please.
6	Q.	To the press release.
7	Α.	That one there, up a little bit.
8	Q.	Just up a little bit please.
9	Α.	That is it.
10	Q.	So this is the email circulating the press release from
11		Billy Little?
12	A.	Yes, and the thing that raised concerns for me, which
13		isn't in the press release below, is that:
14		" [Mr] McSporran spoke to Mr Anwar late last
15		night and it would appear that the thrust of this
16		conference will be to criticise the police officers'
17		decision not to provide statements regarding their
18		involvement."
19		So that was the concern for me and what I felt, if
20		we go back to the top, was that in fairness to all of
21		the above, the police officers in particular, and the
22		decision or the instruction and guidance that the
23		Federation had given that
24	Q.	Perhaps it we can have on the screen your response,
25		sorry. It's very difficult with these emails to know

1 when to stop.

2	Α.	It's always the same. I just felt, my initial feeling,
3		as you will see, over that sort of 8 minutes when I took
4		it and passed it on was that if Mr Anwar was going to be
5		criticising police officers and their decision to not
6		give statements, I felt somebody should be in a position
7		to provide an ulterior or a different perspective or on
8		whatever Mr Anwar was going to say.
9	Q.	Why did that cause you concern, that there was going to
10		be criticism of police officers for not giving
11		statements?
12	Α.	Because, you know, I felt it was inappropriate at that
13		time, 12 days in, for police officers that were right in
14		the middle of this critical investigation to be
15		criticised without having any any point of rebuttal
16		or commentary or putting forward their perspective.
17		I felt it would have been one-dimensional.
18	Q.	In terms of your role as you have described it to us, is
19		it of concern if the police are criticised?
20	Α.	I think it is healthy to get two sides to every story.
21		So it's interesting for me in that the fact that it was
22		passed on from PIRC to police, that would suggest to me
23		there was a similar nervousness around what Mr Anwar was
24		going to say. So yes, so I felt the right thing to
25		do was to to move that up towards our Corporate Comms

1		and to enable, you know, them to consider should they
2		put something out media-wise or not to explain further,
3		perhaps, whatever Mr Anwar was going to say.
4	Q.	So it wasn't the press release, the terms of the press
5		release that concerned you?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	It was email
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	saying there was going to be criticisms of police
10		officers?
11	Α.	Of course, yes.
12	Q.	In your role, do you feel it is part of your role or was
13		part of your role to defend police officers from
14		criticism?
15	Α.	I don't think it is defending them, but it's you
16		know, that would be whatever Mr Anwar was going to
17		say on that day, which he is absolutely entitled to say,
18		it is his perspective, so I felt if there was going to
19		be criticism of police officers then I should at least
20		alert Corporate Comms and you know potentially the
21		Federation to consider if they wished to provide
22		a different perspective or maybe agree with whatever
23		Mr Anwar was going to say.
24	Q.	Are SPF, the Federation, known to agree with criticisms
25		of police officers?

1	A.	I couldnae tell you. I mean, the Federation are
2		predominantly there to defend police officers but I do
3		know occasions where the Federation will you know,
4		if they can take a different perspective. They are
5		not going to defend the police if it is wholly
6		inappropriate to do so.
7	Q.	Who are Corporate Comms? Is that the department that
8		Lucy Adamson was involved in?
9	A.	Yes, so that is
10	Q.	That prepared that document we looked at earlier?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	Is part of their role to facilitate a sort of balanced
13		reporting in the media?
14	A.	Yes, I would hope so. Yes.
15	Q.	In terms of your receipt of that email from Mr Little,
16		were you given any additional information around the
17		circumstances whereby he had been given the press
18		release?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Or any information about any conversation that McSporran
21		had with Mr Anwar apart from what is in that email?
22	A.	No, all I knew was what was in that email.
23	Q.	Did you have any concerns about sharing that information
24		from PIRC with Corporate Comms or the Federation?
25	A.	None whatsoever. I didn't share it with Federation,

1		I shared it with Corporate Comms but I suggested that it
2		may be worthwhile sharing with Federation.
3	Q.	What did you expect Corporate Comms to do with that
4		suggestion?
5	Α.	They would make an independent assessment and they
6		would they would take whatever they felt was in the
7		best interests and the best decision to take.
8		I actually don't know they did. I don't know if you
9		know but I don't actually know what action they took
10		from memory.
11	Q.	We've not heard yet from PIRC in relation to the press
12		release. We will be hopefully hearing evidence about
13		this next year. You have said here:
14		"I think the best avenue is most likely Federation."
15		Can you explain why you thought that was perhaps the
16		best avenue?
17	A.	From my perspective, bearing in mind, as I said earlier,
18		it was because it was the Federation legal experts
19		and/or the Federation that were advising the police
20		officers not to give statements, and Mr Anwar was going
21		to criticise police officers for not giving statements,
22		I felt the Federation should be in that position to give
23		their perspective and their view on why they are
24		instructing the officers not to do that, or advising.
25	Q.	Did you have any views about how likely it would be that

1 the Police Federation would put forward a defensive 2 position towards any criticism levelled? 3 A. I don't know, I suppose it would be dependent upon what 4 was said at the press conference because, as I said at 5 the beginning, there's nothing in that original email 6 there that raises any concern for me, it's the -- it's 7 the off-table -- it's the discussion between Mr Anwar 8 and Mr McSporran that raises the concern for me. 9 Q. You have mentioned that obviously Mr Bayoh died on the 10 3rd, and the police officers didn't give statements 11 initially and didn't give them until 4 June, which was 12 just over a month from the date of death. Did you feel 13 that there was any merit in the officers being 14 criticised for that delay in providing operational 15 statements? 16 As I said this morning they were taking the advice of Α. 17 a Federation legal representative, so for me that was --18 you know, legal advice is there for a reason, and they 19 were taking that legal advice, so that was a decision 20 and, you know, for me it was about moving on from that. 21 If somebody independent was going to come and 22 criticise the police officers for taking that legal 23 advice, I felt at the very least that the public should 24 be aware of the full circumstances, rather than perhaps 25 potentially a one-dimensional side of things.

Q. Can we look at your Inquiry statement, please. If we can look at paragraph 159. This is under a reference to the same email that we have been looking at:

4 "Mr Anwar was going to appear on the TV and 5 criticise the police officers. It was important that 6 somebody is in place to respond to that. The Federation 7 is the staff association and may choose to respond. For 8 the welfare of our police officers somebody needs to be 9 able to give a formal response to what Mr Anwar was 10 going to say. The reason I'm referencing the Federation 11 in advance is that the Federation are the ones who are 12 giving advice to police officers. The Federation may 13 have gone public around that time about why the police 14 officers were involved and were doing or not doing 15 certain things."

16 When you say at the end they may have gone public, 17 do you mean they had gone public around that time or you 18 thought they might in response to this press release? 19 No, I had no idea what the Federation would do, because Α. 20 I had no contact with the Federation at all, but round 21 about that time, you know, I was conscious in the papers 22 there was -- there was Federation commentary but I don't 23 know if that was before this, after this, or before and 24 after. I just -- I was aware that there was some 25 Federation input in the media, but I couldn't tell you

1 exactly when.

- Q. You've talked about the monitoring of social media, which I think you said would be the media department's role?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Was there monitoring of the social media or the media7 engagement of SPF?
- 8 If there was -- there would be monitoring of any open Α. 9 source media that made reference to most likely anything 10 to do with this enquiry, so if the Federation had put 11 media messaging out, I would assume -- you would have to 12 confirm with the Comms -- that the Comms would pick up 13 on that and would capture that as well. So it wasn't 14 just one, it was every -- every piece of open source 15 media that was going out in relation to this enquiry 16 will have been getting captured by Corporate Comms. 17 And that would include any SPF media engagement? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 If there was media engagement of some description by SPF Q. 20 which of itself appeared one-sided, would you have 21 expected Police Scotland to be liaising with the family
- 22 to say their solicitors might wish to give
- 23 an alternative view?
- A. I certainly would -- I mean, I have previously publicly
 put media messaging out that contradicts or that

1		challenges some Federation media that has been put out,
2		it was all about staff redundancies and a remodeling
3		exercise, a restructuring exercise that I led on, but
4		I felt that some of the information that the Federation
5		were putting out in the media was wholly inaccurate so
6		I went to the media and explained why it was.
7	Q.	In relation to the death of Mr Bayoh, were you involved
8		at any stage in countering any one-sided media expressed
9		by SPF?
10	A.	No, that was not my role, I was not the media lead for
11		this, so, no.
12	Q.	Were you involved in any way with Comms or Media in
13		relation to responding to any criticisms or comments by
14		SPF?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Were you aware of any communications with the family
17		obviously you were heavily involved for a time where
18		they were invited to respond to perhaps criticisms or
19		comments from SPF?
20	Α.	I certainly didn't do it, no, I'm not aware of that.
21	Q.	From a wider perspective, in the role that you were in,
22		in terms of carefully monitoring possible community
23		tensions, did it cause you concern that there may be
24		different perspectives playing out in the media or in
25		social media, the family's perspective perhaps and the

1 SPF's perspective, insofar as they may have differed? 2 Did that cause you tension in regard to trying to take 3 the heat out of any possible community tension? 4 So I was conscious of that, but I did not -- there was Α. 5 nothing that alerted me that that had led to an increase 6 in community tension or community impact, so there was 7 no need for me to basically go to the media or to do 8 anything publicly because there was no sense of 9 community unrest as a consequence of what was getting played out in the media. 10 11 Did the Media department or anyone in that that Q. 12 department, or in Comms come to you and say: there is 13 situations being inflamed because of things that are 14 appearing in the media, can you advise us or assist in 15 that? 16 No, so that is the crux of it, if that had happened then Α. 17 I would have made an assessment and made a decision 18 around doing something. Who knows what that would have 19 been because it didn't actually happen, but if that had 20 happened always in the forefront of my mind is -- was 21 the community -- was there any tensions that may arise. 22 But thankfully that didn't happen. 23 When you say that didn't happen, do you mean that didn't Q. 24 happen, there wasn't tension between media engagement 25

with SPF and the family, or the Media department and

1 Comms didn't come to you and ask you about possible 2 tensions arising? 3 Certainly I -- so I was not aware of any heightened Α. 4 community tension as a consequence of this particular 5 press release or whatever -- whatever public statements 6 were getting made in the media from lawyers or lawyers 7 from either side. 8 Q. I know you explain some of the background to this in 9 your Inquiry statement, but am I to understand that you 10 were involved with the Sheku Bayoh investigation for 11 some time after 3 May, I think you say at one point you 12 were supposed to be starting a new job? 13 Yes, so -- so I was meant to start my new national job Α. 14 on 4 May, so I had actually technically finished as the 15 commander of Fife probably on 1 May, if that was the 16 Friday, that was my last working day. I just happened 17 to be working that weekend and then of course when the 18 incident kicked off on the Sunday and I met with the 19 family on the Sunday night, I had a conversation with 20 the Chief Constable and I actually asked to stay on 21 because I felt there needed to be some continuity with 22 the family, and I said I was happy to do that. 23 I actually wanted to do it, and that's -- he agreed and 24 that is what we did. 25 Q. So despite moving to your new role, you kept

1 an involvement in the Sheku Bayoh enquiry? 2 So I actually -- I'd basically done both jobs, Α. 3 I remained the commander of Fife, worked with the family 4 as best I could and began my new job. 5 Right. Can we look at your Inquiry statement again, Q. 6 paragraph 165: 7 "Examples in this case ties into what I stated about 8 my initial actions. Despite PIRC having oversight of 9 the investigation, the fact they didn't have the 10 capacity or will to speak to the family meant that the 11 organisation would be criticised for this would be 12 Police Scotland not the PIRC." 13 Was that an ongoing concern that perhaps PIRC would 14 not be the focus of criticisms but it would in fact be 15 Police Scotland, even though you were no longer leading 16 on the investigation? 17 Yes, and I think -- I mean, I might be doing the Α. 18 communities a disservice but Police Scotland and PIRC, 19 I don't think the majority of the public would have 20 noted a difference between the two organisations. They 21 probably felt they were one, and by default the actions 22 of the PIRC would then -- any inaction or failings of 23 the PIRC I felt could -- you know the public would see 24 that as a failing of Police Scotland. 25 Q. So was this another factor -- although PIRC are leading

1 the investigation, even beyond the sort of initial days, 2 that -- did you still have an interest in your role 3 because of the potential criticism in relation to 4 Police Scotland as an organisation and the community 5 tensions that could grow? 6 A. Yes, as I said yesterday, any -- the community tensions 7 would or could come from the inappropriate or the 8 service -- the inadequate service that was provided to 9 the family, and other, you know, community tensions 10 could be raised just by actions or inactions of PIRC or 11 any other organisation and I was really conscious of 12 that. And you know, because that was at the forefront 13 of my mind, that could be a trigger or a catalyst 14 towards action from, you know, groups of people that 15 I didn't want to see that could harm wider communities. 16 So even although long-term the PIRC are leading the Q. 17 investigation, it's not as if the police can simply back 18 away from that and avoid any criticism; is that fair to 19 say? 20 I think it is just because -- because PIRC was not Α. 21 widely known I think that was a real risk at that 22 particular time. It may be different now, but at the

23 time, you know, I think the vast majority of the public 24 will never have heard of the PIRC and even understood 25 what their role was because they were a very new and

1 young organisation, whereas policing had been around for 2 hundreds of years, so it is easy to then you know focus 3 on the police. 4 Q. It says: 5 "The family and papers wouldn't say it was PIRC who 6 was responsible, it would be the Police Service. It's 7 one of reasons I went to see the family from 8 a Police Scotland leadership point of view. It's also 9 about media responses being balanced and not one-sided. 10 Police should be balanced and informed in what they are 11 saying publicly." 12 Was that your view that things that come from 13 Police Service should be balanced and informed and not 14 one-sided? 15 Yes, absolutely. Α.

16 When you say "police" do you mean not just the Q. 17 Police Service but individual officers, or is it 18 different when you get down to that level? 19 I would hope individual officers. You can't control Α. 20 what every individual officer was to say but as 21 an organisation, if we are to maintain that public trust 22 and confidence and police by consent then the public 23 need to trust and rely on us to be honest and open in 24 our actions.

25 Q. Thank you. I asked you earlier before lunch some

questions about the statements and the notebooks and I wanted to ask you about a particular paragraph, paragraph 80 in your Inquiry statement. This relates specifically to use of spray and use of force forms. I will just explain to you -- we will read out the paragraph first of all:

7 "It's not a legal requirement to complete Use of 8 Force or spray forms. I don't know if it's in the 9 guidance but it's really seen as best practice for me. 10 There's a few elements to it. The use of spray when the 11 form is completed it gives others the opportunity to 12 scrutinise it for best practice. It's also good for the 13 statisticians in Police Scotland to understand whether 14 officers are using spray and why."

You have said there that it's not a legal requirement but I wonder if you could just look at something. I know you are retired. It is a memo from Police Scotland PS11500, and this is dated 1 April 2013. We've heard a number of people give evidence about this.

Q. So it's effectively the date that Police Scotland came into being, and it was a memo sent by the Assistant Chief Constable to divisional commanders and heads of department. You will see at the beginning of this it says:

1 "Police investigations and Review Commissioner --2 operational discharge of CS incapacitant spray. 3 "From 1 April 2013 there is a legal requirement for 4 the Police Service of Scotland (PSoS), the ... (SPA), 5 and the Police Investigations and Review Commissioner 6 ~... to ensure compliance with the provisions of ... " 7 The Act that's set out there. And: 8 "This means that on every occasion where CS spray is 9 discharged operationally there is a legal requirement to 10 record the incident and report onwards to PIRC within 11 24 hours." 12 I wondered if maybe, on reflection, having seen that 13 memo, you maybe want to alter that --14 It clearly is a legal requirement. Yes. Α. 15 Q. Thank you. I just wanted to give you that opportunity. 16 Thank you. Α. 17 I would like to ask you about paragraph 199, if we can Q. 18 go back to your Inquiry statement, please: 19 "I'm asked about racially discriminatory behaviour 20 in the police." 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes. 23 "Yes, I have come across racially discriminatory Q. 24 behaviour in the police. I've chaired misconducts where 25 a serving member of staff on Facebook put a racial image

1 on Facebook. I am going back maybe 5 years~..." 2 Is that five years from the date of your statement? 3 Α. Yes. "... and somebody was jumping off a cliff with 4 Q. 5 a parachute and it was a black man or woman and the 6 police officer had said 'no strings attached' or 7 something. I chaired a misconduct and sacked him for 8 that. I have been aware of this over the years. 9 There's nothing that I have witnessed to my face but 10 I've been aware of through chairing misconduct hearings 11 around ethnicity or gender or whatever. These hearings 12 were not in the dozens, I've terminated employments 13 based on it." 14 You have said you have chaired misconducts. Can you 15 describe to us what your role was? 16 So you have sort of various different levels of Α. 17 misconduct hearings, you have misconduct hearings that 18 have different -- I can't remember the phraseology now, 19 punishment is not the right word but different levels of 20 punishment, let's just call it that. So there's the 21 more serious ones, which could involve the option of 22 fining an officer or police member of staff or 23 dismissing them or taking annual leave off them, there 24 are various different levels of authority there. So in 25 the sort of latter part of my service I was involved in

1		chairing the most serious misconduct hearings which had
2		that option in them to one of many options but had
3		the option to dismiss staff. So it was the more serious
4		allegations that had been investigated in the service.
5	Q.	How many years did you take that role chairing
6		misconduct proceedings?
7	A.	Probably 10/15 years maybe.
8	Q.	Can you help the Chair understand how often you would
9		come across a misconduct allegation which related to
10		racially discriminatory behaviour?
11	A.	Not, not often. Across the whole of Scotland, again the
12		facts and figures would you could get access to those
13		facts and figures. I would be surprised if there was
14		you know one, two, maybe three misconduct hearings in
15		a year that were focused on hate or racial
16		discrimination. But I might be genuinely there
17		will be others Professional Standards will be able to
18		give you those facts and figures.
19	Q.	But in relation to those, Police Scotland would take
20		those seriously and people could be dismissed?
21	A.	Yes, so that was just one example where I did exactly
22		that, yes.
23	Q.	Can you remember over those ten or 15 years how often
24		you were personally dealing with matters relating to
25		racially discriminatory behaviour?

1 Α. Not often. I would be predicting over the course of 2 that 10/15 years, maybe three or four times maximum. 3 Can you explain to us whether, after a misconduct Q. 4 hearing, if someone was dismissed for example, what 5 learning opportunities were created out of that, if any? 6 So what would happen with a situation such as that is Α. 7 I would make the decision but then the member of staff 8 would have the right to appeal that decision and then it 9 would go to the Scottish Police Authority, and I would 10 present my justification to the police authority and 11 then they would uphold or otherwise my decision. The 12 Professional Standards department then would retain my 13 rationale, you know, my words of conclusion, which 14 should run to pages to be honest with you, and then they 15 would retain them.

In relation to feedback across the organisation, I don't think that was something that we did very often and I would suggest could be improved upon if it has not already been improved upon.

20 Q. So from what you are aware of at the moment,

I appreciate you have retired, can you help the Chair understand what improvements could be made in this area? A. It depends on the specific case, I suppose. You know. But for me sometimes -- sometimes ignorance is actually a greater -- not with this one but in general terms

1 ignorance is sometimes as strong an aspect of certain 2 cases that, you know, if we could cascade the learning 3 from that and educate the officers and police staff 4 around why certain things were inappropriate, then that 5 would hopefully move towards preventing further cases 6 around that. 7 It wasn't done necessarily at the time though? Q. 8 I don't -- not that I remember, no. This is not Α. 9 ignorance, this one that's on the screen, that is clear 10 offensive racial hatred and that is why I sacked that 11 person. But in a general sense the cascading of 12 decisions maybe could -- maybe they are better now, I'm 13 not really entirely sure. 14 We may hear more about that. Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Can I ask you to look at another document, please. Q. 17 PS03470. This is a letter that was sent to you. It's 18 headed up, "Fife Islamic centre, 14 May 2015" sent to 19 yourself. And it relates to an incident. Sent from 20 I think -- if we go down the page, I think it says the 21 chairman of the association. Thank you. If we go back 22 up: 23 "Fife Islamic Centre has for many years worked 24 closely with Fife police to address many issues and

concerns of importance to Muslim community. We

25

1 acknowledge the hard work that Fife police do. However 2 in this instance we believe police did not act 3 responsibly, this incident happened on 3 May and the 4 people living in the house was thrown out of house 5 (including 7 weeks old baby and a disable person who is 6 an~...) into the street without any explanation. As 7 this incident has been reported to Islamic Centre's 8 committee we are seeking a meeting with yourself to 9 safeguard safety of our Muslim community as soon as 10 possible thank you very much for your kind attention." 11 We have heard evidence about this letter, that it 12 was sent at the request of Mr Ahmed who is the father of 13 Zahid Saeed. 14 Right. Α. 15 And it was the family home that was seized on 3 May by Q. 16 officers, and they were asked to leave the house and 17 there were considerable concerns by members of the 18 family about the way they were treated on that day. 19 Now, when you received this letter, what did you do with 20 it? 21 Α. I genuinely -- I can't remember. I actually can't 22 remember seeing that letter, but -- I'm not saying 23 I didn't but I just genuinely can't remember. 24 If we look at PS03477, so this is a response from Q.

25 Sir Stephen House, the Chief Constable, to Mr Ahmed. It

1 says: 2 "Dear Mr Ahmed ..." 3 So this is a response: 4 "Complaint about Police [redacted]. 5 "Thank you for attending at Levenmouth Police 6 Station on Tuesday 26 May ... in order to discuss issues 7 which occurred ... on 3 May~... 8 "... officers from P Division ... attended at 9 [redacted] in connection with an incident which had 10 occurred earlier at Kirkcaldy. 11 "... [they] were instructed to secure the premises 12 as there was potential forensic evidence within which 13 required to be secured. 14 "On arrival the premises were occupied by your wife, 15 son and daughter. The police subsequently secured the 16 premises and requested that the occupants find 17 alternative accommodation until the forensic examination 18 was complete. 19 "You have raised concerns that the police officers 20 failed to communicate the purpose of their attendance 21 fully to you which resulted in confusion. 22 "Having discussed the matters with you in detail it 23 is clear there have been shortcomings with regard to 24 the standard of communication between the police and 25 members of your family."

25

1 Then it goes on to comment about potential forensic 2 evidence and a balance to be struck. So it appears this 3 letter was a response to the complaint that was made, 4 and that there had been a meeting. We saw that 5 referenced in the first paragraph, and this is 6 a response from the Chief Constable himself. Were you 7 involved in any of this at all? 8 I genuinely don't remember. I would expect that the Α. 9 local area Chief Inspector, so you hence one of reasons 10 that I asked Nicola Shepherd to come out for the 11 incident that happened in Kirkcaldy because she had the 12 local knowledge, the local Chief Inspector for 13 Levenmouth, whose name escapes me, it might be on the 14 bottom of the letter --15 Q. Let's go to the bottom of the letter. 16 A. I don't know who signed the letter. Yes, so Tom Brown, 17 so that is the right -- that is the right person. He is 18 the Chief Inspector currently I think in Fife, 19 somewhere. Him or the Chief Inspector are the right 20 people to deal with that, in my view, because they know 21 the local community, they have the relationship, the 22 contact, the trust, so I am pleased to see that Tom did 23 meet with that individual. 24 Q. So although the original letter was addressed directly

to you, you were named, is it possible for that simply

1 to be re-routed to Tom Brown, the inspector at 2 Levenmouth, without you having become involved at all? 3 I think most likely I would have been given sight of it, Α. 4 I just can't remember it. But Tom Brown is the right 5 person to deal with that. It's like, you know, in any 6 organisation a lot of members of the public write to the 7 head of the organisation but the head of any 8 organisation doesn't then write a letter of response or 9 meet with every complainant or interested party. It's 10 about the local contact, the local relationships, and 11 the local inspector is the appropriate level for that. 12 Q. So can we look at the date -- I'm not sure if I read out 13 a date actually. This letter talks about a meeting on 14 26 May. So by that stage there had been a meeting, 15 sorry in the first paragraph, it mentions a meeting at 16 Levenmouth Police Station and this letter was after that 17 meeting. 18 Α. Yes.

19 Q. Given your role and your involvement in the incident, 20 does it -- did it cause you any concern to know that 21 a complaint has been received about the securing of 22 these premises?

A. I mean, complaints are received a large number of times.
It is more about if the complaints are properly
investigated, and if the matter is resolved to

1 a satisfactory conclusion is the bit that interests me. 2 You'd have to ask Tom Brown or Mr Ahmed to come in, the 3 sense I am getting is that has been a positive and 4 constructive meeting, but clearly I wasn't there. 5 All right. Thank you. Are there records kept by Q. 6 Police Scotland of complaints of racist behaviour? 7 There should be, yes. Α. 8 Is there anyone -- you've talked to us earlier about Q. 9 Gillian Boulton, is there anyone in the Police Service 10 whose task is to identify racist attitudes amongst 11 officers or staff in Police Scotland? 12 A. You'd be better to ask a serving -- a current serving 13 officer about that. I'd be -- I'm too long out the 14 service to probably add value to that. 15 Q. In your experience dealing with misconduct proceedings, 16 so 10/15 years you said, and from your own experience of 17 being in the Police Service, do you have any views on 18 whether there is under-reporting of racist attitudes or 19 racist behaviour? 20 I don't have any evidence of it. I suspect -- I suspect Α. 21 there will be because what my experience has told me --22 not in the police, I'm talking about across society --23 is that there is under-reporting of hate-related 24 incidents and/or crime, so why would that not manifest 25 itself in a huge organisation like Police Scotland?

1 So ...

2	Q.	Knowing what you knew at the end of your career, the
3		10/15 years where you were deal with misconduct, is
4		there anything, looking back at that now, that where
5		you think reporting mechanisms within the police may
6		have hampered reporting of racially discriminatory
7		behaviour?

- 8 Not hampered. No, I can't think of any -- like Α. 9 technological or cultural issues that would hamper the 10 reporting, but again I'm not the best person to ask. 11 The best person or the best people to ask would be those 12 that are underrepresented in the organisation to see if 13 they felt that there were any barriers or inhibitors 14 towards them reporting racial discrimination or whatever 15 it is we are focused on here.
- 16 Q. When you went to visit the family on 3 May, did they 17 raise issues with you at that meeting about whether 18 possible racism or racially discriminatory behaviour had 19 been a contributor to Mr Bayoh's death?
- A. No, the meeting was too -- was too frantic at that
 point. No, that was not ... that was not a sort of
 point of conversation. No.
- Q. Can I ask you to look at paragraph 37 of your Inquiry
 statement, please. This is at an early stage in the
 Inquiry statement when you have been asked about

Q.

1 the critical incident being declared. You have said: 2 "I took learning from other events across the 3 country in which policing had been criticised for the 4 response. One example which I was aware of was the 5 tragic death of a Vietnamese delivery driver in 6 Edinburgh. It was in 2009 or thereabouts. One of 7 the learnings of that incident was it was never declared 8 a critical incident by the police. That failure to 9 declare a critical incident meant the police were unable to step up their response and to give it the priority it 10 11 perhaps deserved. I didn't want to make that mistake." 12 I'm interested in your reference to this death in 13 2009. Was that something that had been -- had learning 14 been cascaded by the police at that time? 15 Α. Yes. Both by -- we were individual police forces at 16 that time, so it wasn't a single Police Service in 2009 17 but the tragic death of Simon San and the subsequent 18 enquiry into it certainly made it clear and the learning 19 that I took from reading the various publications was 20 that the police could have identified this as a sort of 21 racially motivated crime, and acted differently. The 22 outcomes and the findings are for all to see but that 23 was certainly part of the learning that I took 24 personally from it. 25

Did this actually relate to -- it is public knowledge,

1		it was a Simon San?
2	Α.	Yes, delivery driver.
3	Q.	At Lochend in Edinburgh?
4	Α.	That is right.
5	Q.	Your recollection, interestingly, is that he was
6		Vietnamese and as I understand the situation he had been
7		wrongly described as Vietnamese at the time
8	Α.	Right.
9	Q.	when in fact he was Chinese. I think that was
10		something that the police reflected on as a part of the
11		review. Is that an interesting example how
12		misinformation at an early stage can still linger in the
13		mind and be remembered? You know, this happened in
14		2009, here we are in 2023 and we see in your statement
15		that he is a Vietnamese delivery driver?
16	Α.	Yes, it could be.
17	Q.	So does this reflect, in a way, the significance of
18		misinformation being shared at an early stage and the
19		<pre>impact it can have long-term?</pre>
20	Α.	Perhaps, yes.
21	Q.	I have a few more questions if I may. The CCTV cameras
22		in the police office at Kirkcaldy and in the van, the
23		first van to arrive at Hayfield Road, were out of order
24		and had been for some time. Can you help us understand
25		whose responsibility would that have been to ensure

1		maintenance of CCTV, either fixed CCTV in an office or
2		a a police office or in a van?
3	Α.	So in 2015 that would be the responsibility of the
4		Estates department, which would be located centrally.
5		I couldn't tell you exactly where. Yes.
6	Q.	Was it their responsibility to monitor CCTV and check
7		that it was working, or was that not part
8	Α.	No, that would be done locally, but the reality was
9		I don't know if it still is but the reality was that
10		there was more equipment the estate of certain areas
11		of Police Scotland, including CCTV, some were in more
12		dire need of improvement and repair and upgrade than
13		others, so what the Estates department, or part of their
14		responsibility would be to prioritise. There is no sort
15		of bottomless pit of money so it would be about trying
16		to prioritise the areas of highest risk for upgrade or
17		repair. So was that the backyard, did you say?
18	Q.	Yes, in Kirkcaldy Police Office it was at the back.
19	Α.	Yes, so that is a pretty low risk CCTV. There are other
20		far more important areas, such as custody cells, custody
21		booking-in areas, that would you know, if we are
22		going to invest or if policing are going to invest their
23		limited pot of money to upgrade, it would be those areas
24		and it would just be a prioritisation exercise.
25	Q.	Thank you. Yesterday you mentioned the Police

1 Commanders Forum? 2 Α. Yes. 3 At an early stage yesterday morning. You -- I think Q. 4 from recollection you said they met every four weeks or 5 every month? 6 Yes, I think so. Α. 7 Something along those lines, and you talked about them Q. 8 discussing best practice and sharing ideas. Thinking of 9 that in 2015, after the events involving the death of 10 Mr Bayoh, at the subsequent Police Commanders Forum was 11 there discussion about what had happened, lessons that

12 could be learned, improvements that could be made, that 13 type of thing?

14 Yes, I mean I certainly -- I would have given a briefing Α. 15 to the commanders around, you know, the circumstances --16 yes, the circumstances, the transfer of command, and you 17 know just a briefing as from a policing commander's 18 perspective, and any emerging lessons or initial lessons 19 learned or whatever, I may -- I likely would have 20 communicated them because the important part of that for 21 me is that this could happen anywhere in the country, it 22 just so happened sadly it happened in Hayfield Road in 23 Kirkcaldy but it could have happened in Glasgow or 24 Aberdeen or one of the islands, so it's important that 25 we as an organisation learn from these and try and -- if

1 it happens again tomorrow, which it can, it could, 2 different ethnicity, different location but something 3 not dissimilar could happen again and we need to be in 4 a position to try and perform better than we did on this 5 occasion and continually improve. 6 Would there be -- would that be a standing item on the Q. 7 agenda going forward, to think about lessons learned and 8 improvements that could be made or would it have been 9 discussed at the first forum meeting after Mr Bayoh's 10 death? 11 I couldn't tell you. You know, it depends. I don't Α. 12 know when the next commanders meeting was after 3 May 13 but I would imagine the Chair would have asked me 14 because that was what we did, he would have asked me to 15 give an initial insight to my colleagues around the case 16 that we are here for today. 17 Who would have identified those lessons learned? Would Q. 18 it be you in your role as commander? 19 It would -- it would have been -- yes, it's actually Α. 20 less a formal lessons learned to more my experience, so 21 it was the commander's experience of it, so other 22 commanders that were round the table could gain 23 an insight, so if he or she was in a similar situation 24 at some point in the future, then they could reflect 25 back on some of the experiences that I had, and maybe do

1 things differently or follow good practice or whatever 2 that may be. 3 Apart from the Police Commanders Forum, was there Q. 4 a formal lessons learned process that Police Scotland 5 engaged in after Mr Bayoh's death? 6 I'm not sure to be honest with you, because I moved --Α. 7 as I said, I then moved to a national job, probably you 8 know, at least six weeks after that, so the commander 9 that came in after me would have been responsible for 10 whatever role they played. So I don't know to be 11 honest. 12 Q. Do you remember who that was? 13 There was -- there was two that came in, in very quick Α. 14 succession. Andy -- aye, Andy Edmonston or Angela 15 McLaren. One is retired and Angela works down in London 16 now. 17 Thank you very much. Finally can I ask you to look at Q. 18 SBPI 00270. This is another statement which I didn't 19 have in your folder yesterday and I would just like to 20 refer you to it today, just to complete the bundle that 21 you have looked at. This again is a response to 22 a Rule 8 request from the Inquiry, and it is from you 23 and I think on the final page we see it was signed by 24 you, all pages were signed on 24 February this year. 25 Α. Okay.

1	Q.	This relates to training and the extent to which you
2		were engaged as a strategic firearms commander. I think
3		you explained yesterday that you were it wasn't
4		declared a firearms incident.
5	Α.	No.
6	Q.	And you were not acting in your role as a strategic
7		firearms commander?
8	Α.	That is correct.
9	Q.	But this really is your response to that. Sorry, we
10		just had the last paragraph there, it said:
11		"I believe the facts stated in this witness
12		statement are true. I understand that this statement
13		may form part of the evidence before the Inquiry and be
14		published on the Inquiry's website."
15		And you've signed every page. Can I ask you one
16		thing. It relates to paragraph 3 or answer 3. And it's
17		on the following page. If we go up to 3, you were
18		asked:
19		"When you were first informed of the circumstances
20		of the incident, did you consider that it could or
21		should have been declared a firearms incident? Please
22		explain in as much detail as possible."
23		Then I think your response is:
24		" I was first made aware of the incident,
25		Sheku Bayoh had already been restrained by officers.

1		The matter was already under control. The declaration
2		of a firearms incident certainly could have been made at
3		an earlier stage by officers engaged earlier but that
4		would be based on their assessment of the information
5		available at that time.
6		"As set out in the Armed Policing SOP at
7		paragraph 8.4.1, an incident can still be treated as
8		a firearms incident, even if not involving
9		a firearm"
10		We have heard other evidence from people that it
11		could actually be a bladed instrument?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	" where it is 'otherwise so dangerous that
14		the deployment of police firearms resources may be
15		required to safely control the situation'."
16		So it doesn't have to be a gun effectively, it could
17		be something else. If there are if it is dangerous
18		situation.
19	Α.	That is correct.
20	Q.	"The question of whether that should have happened is
21		really one for the officers who were on the scene.
22		"Personally, had it been my decision then I might
23		well have declared it a firearms incident depending on
24		the information that was available at that time. But
25		these are immediate operational decisions taken in

1 a fluid operational setting and the officers on duty 2 were entitled to take their own decisions based on what 3 they knew."

You have said there that you might well have
declared a firearm incident depending on the information
available at the time. What sort of information would
you have required if you had been going to declare
a firearms incident?

9 A. It's -- it's -- each scenario can be different but if 10 you look at this scenario in its basic sense, where you 11 had multiple calls of an individual in possession of 12 a knife at 7 o'clock, give or take, in the morning and 13 I think I mentioned before that to me you know it 14 strikes me as being accurate, as being real, whereas you 15 sometimes do get the odd call at 2 o'clock in the 16 morning and you know it's probably unlikely to be true. 17 But for me this -- there is no doubt in my mind with the 18 independence of the calls that it's likely to be true, 19 and the time of morning. So on that basis alone 20 I may -- if I had been the inspector in Bilston, I may 21 have considered it appropriate to declare this 22 a firearms incident and may have deployed firearms 23 towards the scene. 24 MS GRAHAME: Thank you. Could you give me a moment, please.

25

(Pause).

1 Thank you very much, I have no further questions. 2 LORD BRACADALE: I will come to Mr Hamilton in a moment but 3 are there any other Rule 9 applications? Ms Mitchell 4 and Mr Scullion. Mr McEwan, would you mind withdrawing 5 to the witness room, please. 6 (The witness withdrew) 7 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Mitchell. 8 Rule 9 Application by MS MITCHELL 9 MS MITCHELL: I have headed them under topics, the first one 10 being race. A question I would like to ask this witness 11 arising from his evidence was that he gave evidence 12 yesterday that an important factor in the matter having 13 been called a critical incident was, amongst other 14 things, the ethnicity of Mr Bayoh. He immediately 15 realised that, as he said, that might cause concern. So 16 what we have heard from this officer is that he 17 immediately thinks that is important, yet nowhere in any 18 of his statements does he mention race in particular, 19 does he say this is a particular issue. 20 The Inquiry has also heard evidence from all the 21 police officers, who almost every one came in and said 22 they simply hadn't thought of the issue of race, that in 23 all the time they were there waiting, that hadn't 24 crossed their mind. So what I would like to ask this

witness was firstly, does he think that there is

25

1 a problem within the police force of people being scared 2 to mention race, is there some reason why that is not 3 being mentioned? Further, to ask him whether or not, 4 had a white man died in custody would he have been 5 concerned also, as he describes it, about "looting, 6 widespread disorder and criminality", or was his race 7 a factor in that? 8 I would also like to ask this witness was he trained 9 in unconscious bias, and was, in the period of time he 10 was working in the Police Service, a colour-blind 11 approach used in relation to the police? 12 Moving on, Lesley Boal, who we will come to hear 13 evidence of, gave her statement on race, and a statement 14 was put by my learned friend to Lesley Boal which was 15 taken, and Lesley Boal said: 16 "Chief Super McEwan and I didn't discuss the nature 17 of the investigation. Race as a possibility was not 18 discussed with Chief Super McEwan. However, it is in 19 the back of your mind when you are thinking about all 20 the possibilities. In terms of hypotheses, one would 21 have been that the male had been treated differently 22 because he was a black male. I can't remember having 23 a conversation with anyone else about it but the feeling 24 I got was that everybody was thinking along the same 25 lines as me, that there was a possibility that

1 the actions of the police officers or a police officer
2 was because Mr Bayoh was black."

3 I would like to ask him is that something that he 4 was thinking, was that a hypothesis that he had? And 5 I should remind the Inquiry that this comes within 6 a context where this witness has given evidence that he 7 has considered various hypotheses because in relation to 8 giving out the post mortem reports he has given evidence 9 that he considered there was no question of criminality 10 arising with the police.

11 I would also like to ask him about a further 12 hypothesis. The officer describes the "match fit" 13 investigators that were all involved: Pat Campbell, 14 Colin Robson, Graeme Dursley, and said that from the 15 outset, for example, counter-terrorism was considered 16 and it was ruled out. What I would like to ask this 17 witness was: do you think any part of this discussions 18 should have considered the issue of positional asphyxia? 19 And whether or not, if he did that, he mentioned it to 20 anyone at that meeting or subsequent meetings.

21 Moving on a different topic, attending at the family 22 home. Pat Campbell on Day 49 gave evidence in relation 23 to the attendance of the witness, McEwan, at the family 24 home and that is from line 55 onwards. What he 25 indicated was that:

1 "DCI Chief Superintendent McEwan had indicated he 2 was aware of the brother-in-law of the deceased and that 3 also came back from the two officers who had delivered 4 the second death message that a family member ~... " 5 LORD BRACADALE: Can you slow down a little bit, 6 Ms Mitchell, I think the stenographer is struggling 7 a bit. 8 MS MITCHELL: Sorry. I will cut it short to say that it was 9 made clear that the family had asked for Mr McEwan to make contact with the family or provide them with some 10 11 further information. So it is just to get his 12 reflection on that because his evidence yesterday was 13 that he had decided to go and that he didn't remember at 14 that particular time that he knew the family members, 15 but it appears there is contradictory evidence 16 suggesting it was the Bayoh family that requested 17 a senior officer to come to the house and explain to 18 them what was happening. And, with respect, I think 19 that is important when it comes to the issue of 20 post-incident management as to whether or not it was the 21 family who had to ask for someone to attend. 22 Further, resistance from family. Pat Campbell gave

evidence on Day 49, at line 80 and following, that there was still resistance from the family about attending to identify Mr Bayoh prior to the post mortem actually

1 taking place the following day. When he was asked about 2 that level of resistance he said: 3 "Answer: It really came from Garry McEwan, to be 4 honest with you, and it was really about the fact that 5 they had made it clear that they would not attend for 6 the post mortem examination for the identification 7 aspect." 8 Then it was put to this witness: 9 "Question: We have heard evidence that they wished 10 to give time for the deceased's mother to arrive from 11 London before they would formally identify Mr Bayoh 12 prior to the post mortem~..." 13 And he was asked about his recollection of that and 14 I would like to put to this witness what his 15 recollection of that matter was as well. In particular, 16 whether or not he recalled that the family rather than 17 simply not cooperating wished to wait until Mrs Bayoh came up the next day. 18 19 The next issue that I would like to raise with this 20 witness is treating officers differently from the 21 friends and family of Sheku Bayoh. This officer has 22 explained the balance between investigation and ensuring 23 the welfare of officers. What I would like to set out 24 for him is the various ways in which the friends and 25 family of Mr Bayoh were treated, including Zahid Saeed,

his family, the home of Martyn Dick and Kirsty MacLeod, them being interviewed, Collette Bell being interviewed 2 12 minutes at maximum after she had been told her partner may be dead, because the rationale for that was it was important for the police to get as much information as soon as possible.

7 There are various other examples of that, that it --8 not to tell Collette Bell that it was after contact with 9 the police that her partner may have died as it might 10 have compromised the investigation. Yet, when this 11 officers comes to the issue of how to treat the police 12 officers, he explains that it's about the best evidence 13 and not the quickest evidence and went on to explain 14 that in some detail.

We have the position in contrast with the police that were put into a room together, it appears there were clearly discussions about whether or not to give statements and in what circumstances that would happen, and it took no less than 32 days, over a month, before Police Scotland got statements from professional witness who were present and who were active in his restraint.

22 What I want to ask against that background is does 23 he see why there might be a public perception that 24 the police officers who were not providing statements 25 were being treated in a way that above all took

1 consideration of their wellbeing and that the 2 investigation was being carried out with considerably 3 less consideration to the wellbeing of the friends and 4 family of Sheku Bayoh? 5 In relation to -- moving on in relation to 6 the outcome of the post mortem, the evidence of 7 Pat Campbell at Day 49 was at page 90, line 24: 8 "Answer: I think it was Garry McEwan that had raised 9 the matter around it and he raised it to the Chair 10 around about the disclosure of post mortem results to 11 the officers involved in the restraint. So there is 12 quite a detailed discussion around that and PIRC were 13 involved in it to an extent. But again, the main 14 representative from PIRC was Billy Little." 15 Then it goes on. What he also says was that -- it 16 was put to him: 17 "Question: We may have heard the suggestion that 18 without information about the cause of death from the 19 post mortem, officers would be unwilling to give any 20 sort of statement. Was that part of the discussion that 21 you either had at the Gold Group meetings or the meeting 22 with PIRC at 7.30 in the evening?" 23 And Pat Campbell says: 24 "Answer: There was some reference to it. I think it 25 came from Chief Inspector Shepherd or potentially

1 Garry McEwan. I'm not sure that there was information 2 about the fact that officers were not going to provide 3 a statement until the results of the post mortem 4 examination, so that there was information there that 5 hadn't come directly to me but it was discussed at the 6 Gold Group and I knew it was perhaps an extension of 7 what I already knew, that they weren't willing to 8 provide statements."

9 So what I would like to ask in relation to that is 10 obviously whether or not that jogs his memory that it 11 was him that may have brought it up and that there was 12 some kind of discussion with regard to what might be 13 looked at as a trade of information for information.

Moving on to the issue of tensions growing, we heard
evidence from one of Mr McEwan's colleagues,
Detective Superintendent Nicola Shepherd, on Day 52, and
that was 17 March 2023. She says at page 10:

18 "Answer: There was a question around the issue of 19 counter-terrorism or whether or not this had been 20 a terror-related incident."

21 And she said "yes". The question is put to her:
22 "Question: Put short, your evidence was I wasn't
23 able to help them' ..."

24 And this is lay people and the public:25 "... I wasn't able to help them because I wasn't

1 able either confirm or deny whether this was 2 a terrorist-related incident because the time I was 3 dealing with the PIRC had control of the investigation." 4 So we know that from an early point in time the 5 issue of terrorism had been ruled out in this case. We 6 know that Garry McEwan was intent on trying to avoid 7 public unrest and the question I would like to put to 8 him was: does he recall whether or not he spoke about 9 the issue of terrorism that might put people's minds at 10 rest, as was being flagged up by 11 Detective Superintendent Nicola Shepherd. 12 Further, given the evidence that he has already 13 given, does he consider that Nicola Shepherd's 14 explanation for that ties in well with his role of 15 continuing to deal with Comms even though PIRC was 16 involved. 17 Coming to the end, in relation to media, there was 18 a document which -- I think my learned friend indicated 19 that she would cover these issues, but perhaps not this 20 particular -- she didn't say anything about 21 the document, she just said the issues would be 22 explored, and that was a document where the Comms 23 department had set out a summary of media coverage and 24 had identified the media coverage in certain ways. 25 For example, if it was favourable or not favourable to

1 the police. That was sent on to Garry McEwan, who 2 replied to the media summary that it had been 3 first-class and I just want to ask him about 4 the contents of what was contained there, including 5 phrases -- where they appeared to be balancing whether 6 or not these reports reflected a benefit to the family 7 or to the police. And as part of that question and 8 asking those questions I want to know what part of the 9 post-incident management procedure was looking at the 10 press and managing the press response to matters. 11 LORD BRACADALE: What was that document you were talking 12 about? 13 MS MITCHELL: That document is PS02581. Finally a matter 14 arising from the questions by my learned friend in 15 relation to the email of 14 May about the family press 16 conference. I would like to ask in particular questions 17 about the use of the corporate "we" as he described it 18 was a collective. He has set out why he thinks that it 19 would be a good idea -- or why he thinks it is a good 20 idea to have balance in the reporting and he set out 21 what his view is, and my question is: if that was the 22 position why didn't Police Scotland put out the media 23 report? Why was it better to send it and to be sent 24 from PIRC? Also what does he mean by "we" in that 25 context. Because it is clear that the "we" seems to

1	reflect the police as a whole and not differentiate
2	Police Scotland from the Federation.
3	That is all.
4	LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Mr Scullion.
5	MS GRAHAME: I am sorry to interrupt proceedings.
6	I understand that the stenographer is in need of
7	a break.
8	LORD BRACADALE: In that case we will take a 15-minute
9	break.
10	(3.25 pm)
11	(A short break)
12	(3.45 pm)
13	LORD BRACADALE: I am going to hear Mr Scullion's
14	application and then adjourn for the night in order to
15	consider the applications.
16	Mr Scullion.
17	Rule 9 Application by MR SCULLION
18	MR SCULLION: Sir, there is a written Rule 9 application and
19	it relates to issue 2 in that application. But I would
20	seek to ask the witness questions about his evidence
21	regarding the delay and ultimate failure to deploy PIRC
22	family liaison officers during 3 May 2015.
23	The witness was highly critical of PIRC in relation
24	to this issue, and I would seek to explore the factual
25	basis upon which the criticism was made. In particular,

1 I would like to ask him about his knowledge of 2 an instruction given by Deputy Senior Investigating 3 Officer Keith Harrower on the morning of 3 May 2015 that 4 the family liaison officers were initially to be 5 deployed by Police Scotland which would then transfer 6 later to PIRC. I would like to ask him about his 7 knowledge on the morning of 3 May 2015 of an agreement 8 by Police Scotland that they would be deploying family 9 liaison officers.

I would like to explore with him his knowledge of difficulties which arose internally for Police Scotland in relation to the deployment of family liaison officers on 3 May 2015.

14 I would like to ask him if he was aware that 15 the family liaison officers initially identified by 16 Police Scotland turned out not to be on duty and, by 17 reference to the Gold Group meeting minutes, the 18 Gold Group at 14.30 hours, which he attended, I would 19 like to ask him to comment on the entry in those minutes 20 which would appear to confirm that family liaison 21 officers from Police Scotland had in fact been 22 identified. I would like to invite him to comment on 23 the proposition that by 2.30 in the afternoon 24 arrangements were in place for Police Scotland family 25 liaisons to be briefed, including a briefing in relation

1

to equality and diversity.

2 Yesterday and again today the witness gave evidence 3 regarding his visit to the family home of Sheku Bayoh. 4 He said he visited the family because of PIRC's failure 5 to deploy their family liaison officers. I would like 6 to ask him to comment on whether in fact he visited the 7 family because he was told that the family had demanded 8 to see him. Yesterday the witness gave evidence about 9 the detail of that meeting and, in the course of his 10 evidence, senior counsel put to the witness evidence 11 which was obtained from the family in relation to 12 information said to have come from him at the meeting. 13 The information would appear to be very similar to that 14 noted in the manuscript version of the document produced 15 at the first Gold Group meeting and I would like to ask 16 the witness whether the family's upset and feelings of 17 hostility towards Police Scotland seemed to be 18 exacerbated as a result of his meeting and whether he 19 felt his meeting added to their concern that they had 20 been given changing and contradictory information by 21 Police Scotland.

I would want to ask him if it was following his visit to the family and because of the family's reaction during his visit that a decision was taken that it was no longer possible to deploy Police Scotland family

1 liaison officers to the family and if that is in fact 2 the reason why the family were not visited on 3 May 2015 3 by trained family liaison officers. And finally if, in 4 fact, that is why Deputy Senior Investigator Harrower 5 had to go and see the family late on the evening of 6 3 May before deploying PIRC family liaison officers on 7 4 May. 8 Those are the questions that I would wish to raise. 9 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Is there an issue? 10 MS GRAHAME: I understand that there is a technical issue 11 with the YouTube channel which is streaming proceedings 12 and, as a result, we are no longer at this moment 13 streaming the hearing. 14 LORD BRACADALE: We are about to adjourn in any event, but 15 no doubt, if it is being recorded, it can be put on the 16 website and people can catch up. 17 Very well, I will adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow 18 morning. 19 (3.50 pm) 20 (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Thursday, 21 31 August 2023) 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX
2	
3	CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT GARRY MCEWAN1
4	(RTD) (continued)
5	Questions from MS GRAHAME (continued)1
6	Rule 9 Application by MS MITCHELL
7	Rule 9 Application by MR SCULLION149
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	