25

1 Thursday, 9 March 2023 2 (10.00 am)3 LORD BRACADALE: Good morning, Detective Chief Superintendent Campbell. Will you take the oath? 4 DETECTIVE CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT PATRICK CAMPBELL (sworn) 5 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Grahame. 6 7 Questions from MS GRAHAME 8 MS GRAHAME: Good morning. 9 Good morning. Α. 10 Q. You are Detective Chief Superintendent Patrick Campbell? A. I am. 11 12 Q. What age are you? 13 I'm 51 years of age. Α. 14 And how many years' service do you have? Q. 15 Α. I have completed 27 years. And in 2015, you were a detective superintendent? 16 Q. 17 A. I was. Q. And that was for local policing in the whole of Lothian 18 and Borders? 19 20 A. That's correct. Q. We've heard, I think, one of our previous witnesses, 21 Colin Robson, say that you were in charge on 3 May of 22 basically the east of Scotland? 23 24 A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. Were you the on duty detective superintendent for the

- 1 east of Scotland?
- 2 A. For the east of Scotland, yes.
- 3 Q. Did that include Kirkcaldy?
- 4 A. It did, yes.
- 5 Q. We've also heard that you were a very busy man that day.
- 6 Would that be fair to say?
- 7 A. Yes, I was, yeah.
- 8 Q. I'll ask you more about that later.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. There's a blue folder in front of you, as you can see.
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. What we've done is we've provided you with hard copies
- of all of your statements and things. Now, if at any
- 14 time you want to have a look at any of those, that
- folder is for your use, and please feel free to make any
- use of it.
- 17 A. Okay, thank you.
- 18 Q. If I'm going to refer you to a document, it will also
- 19 come up on the screen, and on the screen we have
- 20 redacted copies of things which we can display. But if
- 21 you prefer the hard copy, you do have it.
- 22 A. Okay, that's great.
- 23 Q. Can we first of all look at -- I'll take you through all
- your statements -- PS00288, and I think this is
- an operational statement by you. It's got your name at

- 1 the top, and if we look at the date, it says 19 May 2015
- 2 at 1500 hours, and it's a self-penned statement that you
- 3 wrote at Livingston police station.
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. So this was just over two weeks after the events.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And I think you say in your Inquiry statement that you
- 8 did your best when you wrote this to be accurate and to
- 9 be honest about the events you were describing?
- 10 A. I did, yes.
- 11 Q. Now, in addition, I think you have seven statements that
- 12 you gave to PIRC. First of all, before I go through
- these in turn, can you explain why there were so many?
- 14 A. Yeah. I think it's really due to the kind of extensive
- 15 role I had on 3 May, involvement in various strands, as
- obviously we will discuss. Also at the time I was still
- operationally deployed as a detective superintendent
- 18 within an area dealing with live incidents, so to take
- maybe one or two days out of your week was really
- 20 difficult, so it was more kind of ad hoc statements,
- 21 you know, split over quite a significant period of time
- 22 to facilitate basically my work schedule more than
- anything at all. I think that's probably the best way
- 24 to explain it.
- 25 Q. So PIRC would come multiple times to fit in with the

- busy work schedule that you had?

  Correct, yes.
- Q. Let's start with the first one, PIRC 211, and this
  should be a statement to PIRC dated 19 June. You'll see
  that on the screen. It was taken by investigator
  Kareen Pattenden at Livingston Police Office, and I'll
  just go through the basic details at the beginning of
  each statement before I ask you one or two questions.
- 9 The next one is PIRC 212, and this was then taken on 10 3 July 2015, again at Livingston Police Office. And do 11 you recognise that?
- 12 A. I do, yes, yeah.
- Q. And then 6 July 2015 was a statement, PIRC 213. Again, taken at Livingston.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And you recognise that as well?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. 7 July 2015, PIRC 214. Do you see that --
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. -- on the screen, again taken at Livingston?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Then 215, dated 8 July 2015, again at Livingston. You were based at Livingston Police Office at the time?
- A. I was based between Livingston and Dalkeith, I had an office at both offices, yes.

- 1 Q. Then we have one for the 15 July 2015, PIRC 216.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. So that was essentially six statements that we've looked
- 4 at on the screen --
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. -- between 19 June and 15 July.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All given by you at Livingston Police Office to PIRC?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You recognise all of them?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. You've had an opportunity in the past to look at all of
- them, haven't you?
- 14 A. I have, yes.
- Q. Were all of them your best efforts to be accurate and
- true in your recollection?
- 17 A. Yes, they were.
- 18 Q. Then finally there was another PIRC statement given in
- January 2018, so almost three years later,
- 20 12 January 2018, and this is PIRC 217. Again, have you
- 21 had a chance to look through that statement?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And again, was that true and accurate?
- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Now, I think in your Inquiry statement, at paragraph 13

- for those that are looking, you've said your memory then
  was probably better than it maybe is now?
- 3 A. Yeah, I think that's true.
- Q. Lots of witnesses have said the same to us. So if
- 5 there's a difference between your first set of PIRC
- statements, say in 2015, and your evidence now, we'll
- 7 obviously ask you to talk about any of that, but if
- 8 there is a difference when the Chair looks at that
- 9 later, should he prefer your PIRC statements or should
- 10 he prefer your evidence? Or do you not want to be that
- 11 general?
- 12 A. It's pretty -- a kind of generalisation to be honest
- with you, I don't think I'd like to be specific around
- 14 that at this stage.
- 15 Q. That's absolutely fine.
- Now, if we hear that PIRC did have some difficulties
- 17 getting a statement out of you -- you're nodding,
- I think, you would accept that, would you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you want to make any comment about that?
- 21 A. Erm, no, I think it was just that it's quite challenging
- 22 to facilitate the time that PIRC were looking to speak
- 23 to me during that busy period in my service. But other
- than that, I did my best to accommodate it.
- 25 Q. I wondered if you'd like to say anything about the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sort of, the pressures on you at that time in terms of
the work you were doing. You've talked about being on
duty as a detective superintendent. Could you help us
understand to some extent the type of work you were
involved in at that time?

Yeah. It's -- so there is a detective superintendent Α. for each of the territorial divisional areas so it's a really busy portfolio looking after major crime, local crime, public protection issues within that particular territorial area. And also in 2015 it was the very early stages of Police Scotland, so again some legacy issues still existed within particular areas. So again it was quite a challenging role at that time to look at bringing everyone together into Police Scotland as such, and even two years -- in 2013 obviously we became Police Scotland, but two years at 2015 there were still challenges round about access to IT, we'll probably hear, round about the bringing together standard operating procedures and legacy issues and policies that were being merged into a national policy as such, it was still in the stages of working through.

So, that aside, you had -- well, I had oversight of all significant crime matters within that particular area, Lothian and Scottish Borders, which was a huge area covering West, East Lothian as well as the

1 Scottish Borders, so~... 2 And other than that, there was other aspects I still carried with me through my portfolio round about leading 3 4 on particular areas for Police Scotland such as I led on 5 identification round about some of the issues that we were looking at through identification, as a national 6 7 role, and also had involvement in quite a significant cold case which I had dealt with earlier on in my 8 service but due to the very nature of it, I kept that as 9 10 the kind of lead SIO officer on it, so as well as the 11 local issues I still had some national portfolio issues 12 and other legacy areas that I was still basically running with at that particular time. 13 Was that a cold case from the Lothian and Borders area? 14 Q. 15 No, it was a cold case from Lanarkshire. Α. 16 So actually still continuing with a case from Q. a different area as well? 17 18 Yeah, yeah, and it was a case that obviously had a lot Α. 19 of media attention around it at the time, and just with 20 the liaison and the close contact I'd built up with the 21 family, it was deemed appropriate that I continued with 22 the SIO aspect of that particular case. Did that take up a lot of your time as well? 23 Q. It did, yes, yes. So, as I say, it led to areas such as 24 Α. exhumation of various graves and things such as that at 25

- the time, and also round about further significant
  searches of areas within the Lanarkshire area for the
  remains of this particular individual. So, as I say, it
  took up quite a significant period of time as well.
  - Q. Has that case gone to trial now, has that completely finished?
- 7 Α. Yeah, so basically the male deemed to be responsible is 8 deceased, so it was dealt with at that time and led by the Lord Advocate Frank Mulholland, and, as I say, the 9 10 focus just now and still is on the recovery of the remains of the particular individual. This is a case 11 12 going back to 1957 within Coatbridge in Lanarkshire. So, as I say, there is no aspect of prosecution still to 13 be progressed around it, it's simply body recovery just 14 15 now unfortunately.
  - Q. You've talked about the sort of the jurisdiction and the area that you were working on at that time. Can you give us some sense of understanding about the number of cases or the number of people that you were involved in?
  - A. Yeah, so, I mean, I had oversight of all CID public protection intelligence personnel within that divisional area, which was round about 350, 400 --
- Q. Thousand?

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. No, 400, yeah, within that particular area. So you had that strategic oversight of all criminal, crime-related

1 matters, you were part of that divisional executive team 2 led by a divisional commander, so you were responsible 3 for that, the feed of anything that was ongoing into 4 your command team as such, which thereafter you 5 progressed that through into the executive of Police Scotland at that time. 6 7 So that's not the population, that's the number of Q. 8 ongoing matters that you're actually dealing with? No, sorry, maybe --9 Α. 10 Q. It's maybe my -- I probably misunderstood. I think you asked about how many resources, so it was 11 Α. 12 roughly about 400 resources within Lothian and Borders 13 that I had oversight of. Is that officers and staff? 14 Q. 15 Officers and sergeants and staff. So that's Α. encompassing intelligence, public protection, and 16 criminal investigation. 17 18 Q. I see. Sorry. 19 But thereafter you are dealing with aspects that emerge, Α. 20 so through the three years I was there, there was 21 homicides, there was significant public protection 22 investigations, there was a chance of an external review as well with Scottish Government, there was significant 23 other crime matters you were dealing with on 24 a day-to-day basis. So, as I say, it was anything 25

- emerging, it was live-time investigations you were
  basically thereafter overseeing strategically.
- Q. We've heard evidence that things can come in urgently or as emergencies, and have to be dealt with very quickly.
- 5 A. Yeah.
- Q. Is that the sort of day-to-day reality of the job you were doing at the time?
- 8 Yeah, yeah. So, I mean, you had basically -- you are Α. 9 reacting to, as well as being proactive to some of the 10 investigations, but you are reacting to incidents that are developing through the course of any particular day 11 12 and thereafter when you are on call, as I was on 3 May, 13 you have multiple divisional areas to look after. So 14 you're on call for a seven-day period, so anything 15 outwith normal working hours, so anything after 5 o'clock through to 7 o'clock the next morning and all 16 17 through the course of any weekend, you have the whole divisional -- the whole east of the country to basically 18 19 manage the crime aspect of that, if that makes sense. 20 The force itself, and still is, was divided into three 21 particular areas, so north, west and east, and there 22 would be a detective superintendent such as myself that would have that responsibility for each of the 23 territorial areas across the country. 24
- Q. It sounds very busy?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And was there any time to sleep during that seven-day
- 3 period? You don't have to answer that question.
- 4 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 5 Q. So on -- slightly taking you away from the statements
- 6 that we were looking at, but the statements you gave to
- 7 PIRC were given during that period that you were working
- 8 as detective superintendent?
- 9 A. Yes, they were.
- 10 Q. Can we look at now what's called a Rule 8 response,
- SBPI 00134. Now, we've heard of these from other
- 12 witnesses, and just so that the public understand, this
- is when you receive a number of questions from the
- 14 Inquiry team --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- written questions, and you were then given the
- opportunity to respond to those in writing, and this is
- 18 what happened --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- here. You were sent a number of questions, and you
- then prepared a response to that Rule 8 request.
- 22 A. I did, yes.
- 23 Q. So essentially this is the first statement, if you like,
- 24 to the Inquiry --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- from you. We'll see it on the screen. You've got
- 2 a hard copy as well.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. If we look towards the bottom of that document, we will
- 5 see that it was signed on 16 May last year.
- 6 A. Yep. That's correct.
- 7 Q. So if we can go right to the bottom, please. You'll see
- 8 your signature's been redacted but the date you signed
- 9 it is there at the bottom.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. And there are 25 answers to 25 questions, and then at
- the end there's a paragraph that says:
- "I have answered these questions to the best of my
- 14 recollection, but without access to all relevant
- 15 material. Notwithstanding this, I believe the facts in
- this witness statement are true. I understand that this
- 17 statement may form part of the evidence before the
- Inquiry and be published on the Inquiry's website."
- 19 And you understood that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You were asked to put that paragraph in, and you
- 22 understood that would be the position when you signed
- 23 it?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 Q. Then can we look at SBPI 00256. This is an Inquiry

- 1 statement, so effectively the second contact that you
- 2 had with the Inquiry team.
- 3 A. Yep.
- Q. And it was taken by a member of the Inquiry team on
- 5 10th, 11th and 17 November and 14 December last year?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So there were a number of discussions with you and the
- 8 Inquiry team, and those are the correct dates?
- 9 A. They are, yes.
- 10 Q. And then, as I understand the procedure, you're sent
- 11 a typed draft, if you like, statement.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. You were then given the opportunity to go over that,
- 14 make any changes that you wished to make --
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. -- additions, redactions, removing things, altering
- 17 wording, and then you would -- you had a fresh version
- incorporating all the changes which you would then be
- 19 asked to sign.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If we can look at the bottom of your statement, it's
- 22 117 pages, and we will see that it's -- on your copy
- 23 you'll see you've signed it on every page. We have
- 24 a redacted version on the screen. And it was signed by
- you on 1 February this year.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And that was on the basis you were content with the
- 3 final format --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- as you amended it. Again, if we look at the final
- 6 paragraph, which is 499, you do say:
- 7 "... there is relevant documentation that I have not
- 8 yet seen, I believe to the best of my knowledge, that
- 9 the facts stated in this witness statement are true.
- 10 I understand that this statement may form part of the
- 11 evidence before the Inquiry and be published on the
- 12 Inquiry's website."
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And in light of that you signed. Just so that people
- understand where it's been said publicly in the Inquiry
- that disclosure to core participants such as yourself is
- on an ongoing basis.
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. So much documentation has been disclosed which you've
- 20 had an opportunity to speak to your --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- advisers about, but that disclosure will continue,
- as we continue with our work.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So I think this is you've just wanted to say here that

25

1 you've not yet seen everything? 2 Α. Yes. Because we're continuing to disclose on an ongoing 3 Q. 4 basis? 5 Yes, that's correct. Α. And if something new comes to light over the next few 6 Q. 7 months or later, would you be -- you'll obviously have 8 a copy of that, as you're a core participant --Mm-hmm, yeah. 9 Α. 10 Q. -- but you've maybe reserved the right to comment on that in the future if there is something else? 11 12 Α. Yes, that's correct. 13 So this statement was signed on the basis of the Q. 14 information that you had to date? 15 Α. Yes, yeah. 16 Q. Right. 17 Right, there's three other things I'd like you to have in front of you. As part of your statement, you 18 19 talk about a daybook. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Now, we've heard some evidence about daybooks and the 22 use they're made of by other officers. Would you look, please, at PS18269. Now, we've got a version on the 23 24 screen. If you give me a moment, I'll take you through

that. But the pages I'm particularly interested in

- which haven't been redacted are roughly about 5, 6, 7, 8
- and they've got handwriting on them. Do you see that
- 3 handwriting on the screen at the moment?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. Is that yours?
- 6 A. That is, yeah.
- 7 Q. And as I understand it, this is an extract from your
- 8 daybook?
- 9 A. It is, yeah.
- 10 Q. Now, you'll see that's very, very small, it's been
- 11 condensed in size to get it on to the screen, but I've
- 12 asked if the hard copy could be made available to you
- 13 today. Now, would you have a look at that? Is that
- 14 your original daybook?
- 15 A. (Pause). Yeah. That's correct.
- Q. I thought it may be easier for you to have the
- 17 full-sized version.
- 18 A. Absolutely.
- 19 Q. You'll see that there's a pink Post-it at the beginning
- 20 which should be marked --
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- in this to highlight the page that we also see on the
- 23 screen.
- Now, you'll appreciate that your original book is
- 25 not redacted, but the versions on the screen have had

- 1 things like personal addresses and details removed.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So as we go through the pages, where there's
- 4 a redaction, I'll ask you not to read out any personal
- 5 addresses.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. You will have that in front of you as we go through it.
- 8 For the Chair's benefit, can you explain how you used
- 9 a daybook in May 2015?
- 10 A. Yeah. It was -- basically the daybook is mainly used by
- 11 detectives, I've used it for the last 25 years of my
- 12 police service. It mainly documents your day-to-day
- activities, what you're responding to and what you're
- managing and dealing with. So, for example, you would
- date it at the day you came on duty and the time you
- came on duty, and thereafter, if you're attending
- 17 meetings or whatever else, you would maybe note down
- 18 anything at all relevant.
- 19 If you're dealing with a particular incident, as
- I was, I would detail that within my daybook, detailing
- 21 it within your police issue notebook is virtually
- impossible, to be honest with you. You would go through
- a notebook a week dealing with some of the incidents we
- deal with and how detailed some of them become. So it's
- far more effective and far more easier to use a A4-type

- 1 daybook to manage particular incidents and detail your
- 2 thoughts and your priorities around that, rather than
- 3 trying to manage it within a small notebook, if that
- 4 makes sense.
- 5 Q. What size is your notebook?
- A. Goodness, it's just a -- it's a really small document.
- 7 Q. Smaller than the daybook?
- 8 A. Yeah, it's a really small -- normally it fits in the
- 9 pocket of your jacket almost, know what I mean, type
- 10 thing, that's the way it was designed. And it was
- designed, as the name suggests, for taking notes, not
- for taking more extensive details of particular
- 13 significant incidents that you may be dealing with as
- such, so it's far more effective to manage it within, as
- we call it, a daybook. And that's now common across
- 16 Scotland.
- Q. And you said when you come on duty you might start a new
- 18 page and --
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Are the notes prepared contemporaneously to events
- 21 happening --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- or are they prepared -- not retrospectively?
- 24 A. Not retrospectively.
- 25 Q. So if you have notes from 3 May 2015, they would have

- been prepared on 3 May 2015?
- 2 A. Yeah, they are live-time notes that I have detailed at
- 3 the time.
- Q. What do you do with the notes that you take in that
- 5 daybook?
- 6 A. So I think everyone's got a different method of how they
- 7 manage their daybooks, I keep all my daybooks in that
- 8 particular manner, I store them all, I date them all,
- 9 I number them all, and the notes are thereafter utilised
- for any subsequent investigation or review that may be
- 11 carried out, you can thereafter go back and refer to
- 12 your daybook at that particular day or date around that.
- Or, as I say, if it's to thereafter note a full
- 14 statement from yourself you can thereafter go back and
- say: well, I can recall that on 6 December I was here
- and this is what I've noted for it, and thereafter you
- can note your operational statement from the notes
- 18 you've taken at that particular time.
- 19 Q. I understand that in fact you had the daybook with you
- when you gave your Inquiry statement; is that right?
- 21 A. I did, yes.
- 22 Q. And was that the same when PIRC took statements from
- 23 you?
- 24 A. It was, they seized my daybook at the end of that,
- I think, yeah.

1 Q. Then can I ask you to look at something else, it's 2 PS17854. I think in your Inquiry statement at 3 paragraph 482 you call this an SIO management policy file; is that correct? 4 5 That's correct, yes. Α. And there should be a hard copy of that in your blue 6 Q. 7 folder. Let's just have a look at -- this is headed up 8 on page 1 on the screen: 9 "Death in police custody investigation. "Sheku Bayoh." 10 11 Then if we can carry on, you see your name: 12 "Detective Superintendent Pat Campbell." And then if we can -- yes, if you could just come 13 14 down slightly. No, sorry, up. That's it. 15 So at the top we see the heading: 16 "Management policy file." 17 And it says: "Decision no: 1." 18 19 Is each decision numbered chronologically? 20 They are, yes. Α. 21 Q. And we will see in the top right there of that page the number 61451. So that's effectively page 51 or page 1 22 23 of the ... 24 Can we move down slightly, and just looking at this 25 page, the top part says:

1 "Decision."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 So can you explain to us what this policy file is?

- Yeah, so the policy file is something that we'd maybe Α. utilise for any major incident, critical incident or significant incident that you're managing or you are SIO for, or senior investigating officer for. So you wouldn't deal with, or you wouldn't raise a management policy file for any normal day-to-day matter, it would be a more significant incident. So for example if I was dealing with a homicide, I would begin my management policy file around that, about the -- and it would document, when you look at the guidance for it, and the training around it, it's not a decision-making log, it's for to basically make -- it's more about your strategic priorities and your strategic decisions, not every decision you make. So it's the kind of high level decisions that you would make in responding to a particular incident as such. But it's only for those high level, those critical, those significant incidents
- Q. Can you give us some examples generally so we can understand what you mean when you say high level decisions?

that you would deal with.

A. Yeah, so I normally, and people -- the various senior investigating officers set them out differently, if you

1 know what I mean. But, for example, that aspect of the page you're looking at just now is the initial 2 3 notification of the incident and the direction for me to 4 be appointed as senior investigating officer. So again, 5 it's just that introductory aspect that the telephone call from who was Detective Chief Superintendent 6 Leslie Boal at the time asking me to attend Kirkcaldy 7 8 office to thereafter take on the role of SIO in respect of the ongoing incident. 9 10 So again that was really significant, so again just the appointment of myself as SIO is a strategic 11 12 decision, is something there to make. But again, the 13 decision to, for example, contact various detective 14 inspectors around the country to look at resources, 15 I probably wouldn't document in that. That would be more a kind of lower level decision round about the 16 17 bureaucracy of managing and resourcing a major incident, 18 if that makes sense. 19 Yes. Q. So it would be a high level, round about areas such as 20 Α. identification protocols, appointment of family liaison 21 officers --22 Q. Forensic --23 A. -- forensic capture and so on and so forth, so it's 24 25 those kind of high level strategic pillars of

- an investigation you would probably detail within your
  management policy file.

  Q. As you mention here, we can see the decision is noted
- As you mention here, we can see the decision is noted
  here as 07.45 hours and then can you read what this
  says?
- 6 A. Yeah. So basically:
- 7 "0745 - Informed via telephone call from 8 DCS Leslie Boal of developing incident within Kirkcaldy 9 area in respect of male following arrest by police. Has 10 now been conveyed to hospital and is giving significant cause for concern. Requested by DCS Boal to attend 11 12 Kircaldy P. Office as a matter of urgency and provide 13 strategic direction for investigation. Requested to 14 liaise with MIT [which is major investigation team] 15 on-call detective inspector to identify relevant resources." 16
- Q. So this was a call you received from DCS Leslie Boal?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And that was at 7.45 in the morning?
- 20 A. It was actually 08.15.
- Q. Sorry, I thought that's --
- A. Yeah, it does say 07.45 there but after looking at my daybook it was actually 08.15, the call at 07.45 was a further call I made to Leslie, not to do with this incident. So it was a bit of confusion when I was

- actually penning my policy file around that, but that

  call was at 8.15.

  Now, I'll ask you to explain that in a bit more detail
- Q. Now, I'll ask you to explain that in a bit more detail,
  but you mentioned your daybook and one of the things
  I wanted you to explain is how information in your
  daybook relates to information in this policy file.
  - A. Yeah. So again, it's extremely difficult to detail and note your decisions that you make at that particular time within your management policy file responding to a live-time incident when there's multiple things that you actually have to manage and deal with. So the guidance and the best practice in respect of policy files is they should be completed as soon as reasonably practical around that.

So again, what I do is that I would detail significant decisions and issues and areas that I would want to thereafter transfer into my policy file in my daybook, and thereafter, when I had time, perhaps if there's a quiet hour later on that day or whatever, I would thereafter sit down and thereafter transfer some of the significant decisions I had made from my daybook into my management policy file.

- Q. So you use the daybook first for your notes in live-time, contemporaneous?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. This file will take information from the daybook and you
- 2 will prepare it retrospectively, perhaps the same day,
- 3 but after the daybook?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Can entries in the policy file also be completed days
- after or is it only on the day?
- 7 A. They can be, but again with this particular incident,
- I think I completed it on 4 May, because I handed my
- 9 policy file over on the morning of 5 May to
- 10 DCI Keith Hardie, so my management SIO policy file, what
- 11 you do is if there's a further SIO coming on to take on
- the investigation I would simply detail in it, hand it
- over to DCI Keith Hardie from the Major Investigation
- 14 Team and Keith would also note, "Received policy file
- from DSU Pat Campbell now lead for investigation", and
- such. So again, this one was completed on the 4th,
- I think just there's a notation on the 5th just about
- 18 the handover to Keith Hardie.
- 19 Q. So the daybook's prepared on the day, on 3 May?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. The policy file you said completed on the 4th, so a day
- later, from information in the daybook?
- 23 A. So I started to complete it on the morning of the 4th,
- 24 as in like 2 o'clock, 3 o'clock in the morning of the
- 25 4th.

- Q. Early hours?
   A. Early hours, yeah.
- 3 Q. Based on information in the daybook?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. Or your recollection and the daybook?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. And then on 5 May you handed over the policy file to
- 8 Hardie?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And that was the end of you completing --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- the policy file?
- 13 While we're on this page, you were talking about the
- 14 call from Leslie Boal and you said it was 8.15 actually
- about the incident?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. And you said when you checked your daybook. Can you
- 18 explain that a little bit more?
- 19 A. Yeah. So Leslie Boal at that time on 3 May was the
- 20 senior officer from the crime portfolio that was on duty
- 21 within Police Scotland as on-call, so, as I said before,
- there would be three detective supers for each of the
- 23 territorial areas, from east, west and north. We would
- 24 manage time-related matters within each of the districts
- and thereafter we would contact Leslie on

1 a morning-to-morning basis before she attended the executive meeting with the ACC who would be on call and 2 3 provide an update round about that 24-hour grab of 4 crime/public protection matters. So again, it would 5 feed into Leslie, Leslie would feed into the force executive almost, round about these are the pertinent 6 7 relevant crime matters that are developing just now across the country. 8 9 So the 07.45 hours call would be myself basically 10 giving Leslie a brief from the east of the country around crime matters other than the incident at 11 12 Kirkcaldy, but other matters that were developing. So 13 that day we had -- I think we had an unexplained child 14 death, for example, we had I think a stranger rape, we 15 had a number of different other matters ongoing, so I would feed into Leslie round about these other matters 16 17 at that call and it wasn't until 8.15 that we discussed in more detail round about the incident at Kirkcaldy. 18 19 So you were the on-call detective superintendent, you Q. 20 were on for seven days? 21 Α. Yes. Was 3rd May your first day? 22 Q. No, so we at that time it was now -- I think it ran 23 Wednesday to Wednesday, so that was --24 Halfway through? 25 Q.

- 1 A. Halfway through, yeah, yeah.
- 2 Q. And was this a normal sort of call with --
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. -- Leslie Boal at 7.45, that you would have every day?
- 5 A. Yeah, 7.30, 7.45 Leslie would get into the briefing with
- 6 the ACC round about 8.30, so again we would feed into
- 7 Leslie just about the relevant matters that were
- 8 occurring across the country.
- 9 Q. So that was a normal start --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- to the morning, and then at 8.15 she calls you
- 12 back --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- and informs you about the incident in Kirkcaldy?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Was that the first you heard about that incident?
- 17 A. So Colin Robson had given me information earlier that
- 18 there had been an altercation within Kirkcaldy but
- 19 I didn't get any more information at that time around
- it, that's -- again, just to complicate things even
- 21 further, each of the divisional areas that I'm looking
- 22 after within the east of the country, so the four
- 23 divisions have an on-call detective inspector,
- 24 Colin Robson was the on-call detective inspector for
- 25 Fife, so Colin would feed into me regarding crime

- 1 matters for that particular area, it's almost like 2 a pyramid system, and I would then have to -- he would 3 feed into me, I would feed up to Leslie, Leslie would 4 feed into the force executive as such. 5 So I was aware that there was an incident but I didn't have very much detail of it, all I was aware 6 7 was that Colin was going to provide me with an update as the day progressed. 8 We actually heard from Colin Robson that, and we have 9 Q. 10 Airwaves -- I don't need you to go to this, but he mentioned on an Airwaves message at 7.43.05 from 11 12 Hayfield Road that he was making various calls and he'd 13 spoken to Chief Inspector Stones, updated him, and he 14 was going to contact the on-call super, and his evidence
- 16 A. Yes.

15

17 Q. -- shortly after that Airwaves message. So would that be the call?

was that he did in fact make a call to you --

- A. It was, yes, it was very brief because, as I say, I was
  still managing other aspects across the regional area at
  that time and I wasn't aware of the significance of it
  at that particular stage.
- 23 Q. Do you remember what Colin Robson told you about?
- A. I genuinely can't. It was a really short call, just the fact that there had been an incident in Kirkcaldy, and

25

Hayfield Road.

1 that he was waiting on a further update and he would 2 contact me as soon as around it, so it was really kind 3 of short, around the information that I had at that time 4 about it. 5 Would we be able to see anything in your daybook that Q. 6 notes it? 7 No, I don't think there is anything, I can check. Α. 8 Would you? Q. 9 Yeah. Α. 10 (Pause) No, I think the only thing -- there is a -- and 11 12 I think this is after the incident, there's a mark on 13 the page after the -- it's been highlighted here about 14 Kirkcaldy/MITs, I don't know if you have that. 15 Q. If we could get that back on the screen, so that's from the daybook, that's PS18269? 16 I think it's just the page before. 17 Α. Thank you. We can see on that page there it says Sunday 18 Q. 3 May 2015? 19 20 Yeah. Α. 21 Q. If we go down, please, it says E division, P Division? 22 Α. Yeah. And then keep going down, the redactions will redact 23 Q. anything that doesn't relate to the events at 24

1

2 Α. Yeah. And then there's an entry with an asterisk, Kirkcaldy 3 Q. 4 MITs. Is that the one you are referring to? 5 Yes, I think that's after I have spoken to Leslie Boal, Α. I don't think I've noted anything from Colin Robson's 6 7 phone call at the time. 8 All right. No, that's --Q. 9 That points back to the entry around about -- to make Α. contact with the MITs round about resources. 10 Q. Can you read that out for us, since we're looking at it 11 12 on the screen: "Kirkcaldy - MITs. 13 "2~..." 14 15 A. So: 16 "2 x officers approached male in street - walking 17 wounded." 18 So that refers just now that there was an injured officer, so it's just shorthand for myself, but I recall 19 20 what that was. And thereafter the line below that is: "CPR~..." 21 So I think it's noted that CPR had basically 22 23 commenced, and ... 24 Can you read what's after that? Q. 25 I can't read that. I don't know if that says: Α.

Then we see is that J division, E division?

"... DSU - on-call ..." 1 I don't know what that word is in the middle, but 2 3 "on-call", so I don't know if that's in respect of 4 looking at the on-call MITs to make that phone call 5 round about resources, but it's just the early notations around the incident as I was beginning to get it through 6 7 as such. 8 So by the time you've made this entry you're aware that Q. 9 something's happened in Kirkcaldy? 10 Α. Yes. And whether that was from Leslie Boal or Colin Robson --11 Q. 12 Α. I think it was Leslie. You think that was Leslie? 13 Q. 14 Yeah. Α. 15 Q. And when it says: "2 x officers approached~..." 16 17 What does that mean? So it's really shorthand and it was just the early 18 Α. 19 stages of the information that I was getting at the time 20 round about the initial call, the initial two officers 21 in attendance had been approached by a male in the street and one of them had been injured but was walking 22 wounded as it was described to me, there was no 23 significant injury at all to the individual. 24 Just for completeness, there's nothing on the next page 25 Q.

1

about this, is there? 2 Α. No. It moves on to a different phase? 3 Q. 4 Α. Yeah. 5 If we could look at that on the screen so everyone could Q. 6 see, so that appears to be the end of the entries in 7 relation to that? Yes, I think the only thing above it is the details of 8 Α. 9 two E division, two C division, two J division, two 10 MITs. That was about the identification of early 11 resources to come and assist the investigation at that 12 time, so I'd identified resources from the surrounding 13 divisional areas, even at that early stage, to come to Kirkcaldy immediately to start to manage the incident. 14 15 Q. You think that's part of the redaction? No, that aspect of it is to the right of it here. 16 Α. Right. We can put that to one side, but there's 17 Q. 18 another -- sorry, can we go back to the policy file, 19 please, we were on a page relating to that. We're 20 looking at the one that started 7.45. Then if we can 21 move down the screen, do we see there that there's 22 another section on that page which says, "Reason". Now, what is it that you insert in this? 23 So the decision that's been made and thereafter the 24 Α. reason would be the justification why that decision has 25

been made and the rationale behind it. 1 So what have you said here? 2 Q. A. So basically what I put there is that obviously that 3 4 incident has occurred, the significance of the incident is known at that stage, that -- from the discussions 5 with Leslie it was clear that there was going to be 6 7 quite a significant investigation around what had 8 occurred. So she'd asked me to attend and provide 9 strategic lead for the investigation at that early 10 stage. So what I've put down there is the reason or the 11 12 rationale for me attending is: 13 "To attend and provide strategic direction for the 14 investigation." 15 And also: "To identify suitable resources within [the Major 16 17 Investigation Team] structure to support any potential enquiry." 18 And effectively was that you being appointed by 19 Q. 20 Leslie Boal as SIO? 21 Α. Yes. So was that the moment that you were -- your appointment 22 Q. was made official, if you like? 23 A. Yeah, so that discussion at 8.15 into about 8.20, 8.30 24 25 was around about what we were looking at was a death in

```
1
             police custody or if it didn't actually become a death
 2
             we were looking at a significant investigation round
 3
             about police contact which had led to significant
 4
             injury.
 5
                 So the aspect of that was the fact that it was
             deemed to be appropriate, and I agreed with Leslie, that
 6
 7
             a detective superintendent should lead on that
 8
             investigation. In legacy forces prior to
 9
             Police Scotland coming online, the standard at that time
10
             for Strathclyde Police, which I was part of, was that
             any death in custody should be dealt with and managed by
11
12
             an officer no less than the rank of a detective
13
             superintendent. And again -- so I fully supported
14
             Leslie's decision to take the lead on this.
15
         Q. Just for completion, can we look at decision number 8,
             and this is at page 61457. The numbers are at the top
16
17
             of the page. Thank you. Keep going. So that's
             decision number 8 in the book, 61457. Do we see there
18
             the decision, can you read that out for us, please?
19
20
         A. Yeah:
                 "Identification of ..."
21
22
                 (Pause).
                 "Identification of ... [single point of
23
             contact]~..."
24
25
                 I don't know what that word says, actually, I'm
```

1 sorry. 2 No, it's fine. Q. 3 "Identification of ... [single points of contact] and Α. 4 strategies to take forward the investigation." 5 So it's my early kind of thoughts round about --I mean, the priorities was crime scene or scenes, the 6 7 forensic strategy, the house-to-house strategy and what 8 that entailed, victim strategy as well as the FLO 9 strategy, which I've detailed there. So they begin to 10 look at that investigative framework to manage the 11 investigation. 12 Q. So four things that were viewed at that stage as 13 strategies to take the investigation forward? 14 Yes. Α. 15 And one of them is crime scene or forensic strategy? Q. I would suggest crime scene and forensic strategy. 16 Α. Crime scene and forensic strategy. Then if we move down 17 Q. to the reason, what does that say? 18 19 Α. That's: 20 "To provide governance and framework for the 21 investigation." 22 Then could you look, please, at another document, and Q. again you'll have a hard copy of this, PS01298, which we 23 24 see is a forensic strategy document. If we can move 25 down, please, we have this on the screen, it's

- a separate document entitled: 1 2 "Forensic strategy~..." 3 In relation to the deceased, Mr Bayoh. 4 If we can move down, I think it's only about 5 four pages, if I remember rightly. 6 Α. Yeah. 7 This sets out a summary of events, and then as we move Q. 8 down on to the next page, page 3 of 7, you'll see 9 priorities listed, and there are a number of loci listed 10 with bullet points under each. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And then keep going, please. That comes to an end on 13 page 5 of 7. Then in my copy, as we go on to page 6 of 14 7, is a forensic strategy meeting 16.45 hours on Sunday 15 3 May at Kirkcaldy police station. You were present? Yeah, I chaired that meeting. 16 Α. As was a Detective Chief Inspector Stuart Houston, and 17 Q. that's -- is this an agenda for the meeting? 18 No, I think this is minutes. 19 Α. 20 Or minutes? Minutes. Q. 21 Α. There is an agenda, I don't know if the agenda is part of this document. 22
- 23 Q. It's not part of this document but --
- A. There is a separate agenda, yeah.
- 25 Q. This is the minutes of the meeting --

- 1 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- showing who was present?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain to the Chair, we have your policy file
  mentioning a forensic strategy, crime scene forensic
  strategy, we see this document which is a forensic
  strategy document, tell us how this document comes into
- 8 being?

22

23

24

25

Yeah, so for any significant incident, any homicide, 9 10 major crime response that we are dealing with, nine times out of ten we'll move towards some sort of 11 12 forensic strategy meeting, so for example if it's 13 a homicide we would schedule that for as soon as 14 reasonably practical to get the relevant individuals and 15 specialists around the table. So, for example, for a homicide we'd have an SIO, senior investigating 16 17 officer, crime scene manager, some production officers, we would have the Procurator Fiscal representative, we 18 would have some of the specialists from the forensic 19 20 side, such as a biologist, we would have somebody from 21 scene examination.

So again, what we would have, we would have a cadre of specialists around the particular table to thereafter develop the forensic priorities that exist for that particular incident. So that will be about what is

deemed to be most appropriate, what specialists should be put in first to a particular scene to ensure that we capture the optimum evidence at that particular stage. So again we will take -- I will, as SIO chairing, that I would take advice from the various specialists saying we should maybe put someone in first; or we need to recover the body, this is the best way to do that; or do we need a pathologist out at this particular time. So it would be after the scene is secure we would basically take a step back at that time and thereafter come round the table with the specialists involved in it and identify what the priorities are.

So basically this is what's occurred here, we are

So basically this is what's occurred here, we are basically having the specialists around the table looking at what are the priority areas, what we -- what's PIRC going to lead on or take on as such and what are Police Scotland going to support them with. And where are the priority scenes, so is it a priority scene first of all at Hayfield Road, for example, because that's where the incident occurred, it's a thoroughfare, we knew that weather was poor or again one of the other scenes.

And the scene is a deceased as well, so again we deem that to be an actual scene, and again the priorities around that at that time, moving Mr Bayoh

1 from the hospital to the mortuary as such and how we would do that and transfer. 2 3 So again it's pretty detailed, but it's almost 4 putting your foot on the ball, as I say, and taking 5 a step back and looking at priorities to ensure that you do not lose anything evidentially. And, as I say, 6 7 that's the whole purpose of the forensic strategy 8 briefing document/meeting that occurs, and that would 9 only be the first of usually many forensic strategy 10 meetings as you progress through an investigation, depending on the complexities within a scene. 11 12 Q. When was the forensic strategy document prepared? Was 13 it in advance of this meeting? Yes, it was. So, yeah, that was, and it was presented 14 Α. 15 at that meeting, yeah. And who prepared that document? 16 Q. That was DCI Stuart Houston. 17 Α. 18 Q. And what was his role that day? 19 So Stuart Houston was also on call that particular day, Α. 20 he was covering the Edinburgh city area, and I knew 21 Stuart, and I contacted Stuart to come over to Kirkcaldy 22 to manage a particular aspect of the investigation which 23 was round about the multiple scenes that we had 24 identified. So almost to oversee strategically and 25 co-ordinate the five scenes that we had at that

24

25

1 particular time. 2 So again, what we would normally do if we have one 3 scene it's simple there, a crime scene manager would be 4 deployed who would manage the scene, but because of the 5 complexities of this incident there was five scenes as 6 such. So again what I was wanting, I was wanting 7 someone to have an oversight of the various scenes to 8 ensure that everything was done as I was wanting it done 9 as such, if that makes sense. But it's quite an unusual 10 posting, we have done it on a number of occasions, you have a co-ordinator when there are multiple scenes, and 11 12 that was my reason for bringing Stuart over, to deal 13 with that. 14 We may have heard reference to him as crime scene Q. 15 co-ordinator, would that be a fair description of his 16 job? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. We may have also heard a description of him as deputy 19 SIO. Would you agree with that description? 20 He was probably the next senior in command to myself. Α. 21 Leslie Boal was obviously there just to provide support to them as Detective Chief Super, but for the 22 investigation side Stuart was the next rank-specific 23

officer as DCI. Because of the aspect that we knew this

was moving to PIRC, the appointment of a deputy SIO

1 wasn't really -- it wasn't really necessary at that 2 particular stage. We knew within 24 or 36 hours we were 3 going to hand it over to PIRC to manage and take on the 4 investigation and lead on the investigation, so although 5 Stuart was there as a confidant, as someone to support me as SIO, the appointment of him as deputy SIO I think 6 7 potentially on paper but in theory it just didn't 8 happen, we didn't have the investigation, we didn't 9 manage the investigation long enough, to be honest with 10 you. So crime scene co-ordinator is maybe a more accurate 11 Q. 12 description --13 Yes, absolutely. Α. 14 -- for that day? You've talked about PIRC leading the Q. 15 investigation within 24 to 36 hours; was that the timescale you envisaged on 3 May? 16 It's difficult to say, because I had never actually been 17 Α. involved in a live-time response to a critical incident 18 19 such as this with PIRC. The timescales round about the 20 notification of PIRC to lead on it, I got from a PSD, 21 a professional standards rep, Craig Blackhall, at 09.35 hours on the 3rd and the notification at that time 22 was that -- from David Green at the Fatalities Unit at 23 24 Crown Office, that this would be a PIRC-led 25 investigation supported by Police Scotland, so at 9.35

- I was aware of that, but it wasn't until round about

  10.20, 10.22 hours that Keith Harrower, the DSI from

  PIRC, made contact with me and we agreed various

  priorities at that particular stage.
- So I think in answer to your question I wasn't
  really aware how long I would manage it as SIO at that
  particular time, I think I anticipated it certainly
  would be until the arrival -- minimum arrival of PIRC.
- 9 Q. So there was uncertainty about that --
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. -- handover for you, and did that uncertainty exist for 12 others as well, as far as you were aware?
- 13 Yeah, absolutely, I think it was -- it was new business Α. 14 to Police Scotland at the time. I had been involved 15 with PIRC mainly over telephone calls and direction for 16 deaths following police contact previously, but it was 17 mainly round about they were looking for direct -- they were giving direction remotely almost. This was the 18 first time that I had ever actually been physically 19 20 deployed alongside PIRC to manage such a critical 21 incident.
- Q. You said the first contact you had with PIRC was about 10.25, did you say?
- 24 A. 10.22, I think it was.
- Q. You mentioned Keith Harrower?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you know Keith Harrower's background?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Tell us about that.
- 5 A. Keith was an officer with Strathclyde Police, so --
- 6 Q. Had you known him?
- 7 A. I had known him. I hadn't worked with Keith. Keith was
- 8 mainly, I think he was in the serious crime squad and
- 9 thereafter he was in the intelligence arena. Our paths
- 10 crossed during various roles I had within headquarters
- 11 at Pitt Street in Glasgow with Strathclyde at the time,
- but I had never actually worked with him as such. But,
- as I say, our paths had crossed during various meetings
- or forums that we were at together.
- Q. Do you know what rank he'd held when he was in the
- 16 police?
- 17 A. I think he was Detective Chief Inspector, but I'm not
- sure, I think that's what he maybe concluded in the
- 19 Inquiry.
- Q. Do you know what experience he had in dealing with
- 21 sudden deaths, deaths after police contact or in police
- 22 custody?
- 23 A. No, I don't.
- Q. We'll no doubt hear more about this.
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. Did you share with Colin Robson that this was going to be a PIRC-led enquiry?
- 3 A. I did, yes.
- Q. We've heard that you'd had a number of calls with
  Colin Robson during that day.
- 6 A. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. I think in your Inquiry statement, paragraph 374, but

  I don't need to have that on the screen, you describe

  yourself as the owner of the forensic strategy, and you

  actioned the development of the forensic strategy. So

  how was the -- you've told us it was written by

  DCI Houston, but how was the strategy, how did it

  evolve?
- A. It evolved during the course of that morning through

  consultation and discussion I had with

  DSI Keith Harrower remotely as he was pulling together

  PIRC resources and attending at Kirkcaldy office, so

  there was a number of conversations around some of the

  forensic priorities with myself and Keith during the

  course of the morning.

PIRC arrived round about 13.35 hours that day, and
we -- I went into an initial briefing with Keith and
PIRC representatives as well as some of my team probably
round about 13.45/14.00 hours that day before the second
Gold Group where we discussed in more detail around some

25

of the priorities that we had. In addition to that, 1 DCI Stuart Houston had a separate forum within that 2 3 hour/two-hour period with representatives from PIRC to 4 identify the forensic priorities as well, so that was 5 delegated to Stuart to manage and look at that. Thereafter, Stuart began to develop the document 6 7 round about -- which you see in front of you, and myself 8 and Stuart had a meeting to discuss the document: was it 9 suitable? Was there anything else we should put within 10 the document? Which thereafter led it to be presented at the forensic strategy meeting where again, through 11 12 discussion with Keith and the PIRC representatives, we 13 obviously discussed whether or not there was anything 14 else we had to put in it or take out or whether there 15 were any other priorities we had to look at around it. So again, that's exactly the process that we had, 16 17 and at the conclusion of the forensic strategy meeting we were both happy from a Police Scotland side, as 18 19 myself as SIO as well as from Keith as the lead PIRC 20 investigator. 21 Q. So you effectively, as I understand it, provided strategic direction, I think this is what you say at 379 22 of your Inquiry statement: 23 24 "Strategic direction about the relevant loci sits with me as SIO."

1 Α. Yes. 2 Is that a fair --Q. 3 Yeah. Α. 4 Q. -- summary? 5 Now, you've mentioned a number of timings, very precisely. Are they from your daybook? 6 7 Some of them are and some of them are from statements. Α. 8 Q. So you've refreshed your memory on the statements and 9 the daybook? 10 Α. Yes. Do you have in your daybook the time that PIRC arrived 11 Q. 12 at Kirkcaldy? 13 (Pause) 14 I think if we go back to your daybook that's 15 PS18269. 16 (Pause) 17 If we move down the page, I have it as page 5, but ... that's it. Is that page 4, pdf? And then over 18 19 on to the next page, do you see anything on either of 20 those pages, or the next page, that helps you identify 21 when PIRC arrived? No, but I know they arrived at half past 1 or 22 Α. thereabouts, it was half past 1 or 25 to 2, I'm not 23 24 clear in my mind around that. 25 I'll have your statements checked. Q.

1 Α. Yeah, yeah. 2 Thank you. Q. 3 Now, a moment ago when we were looking at the 4 forensic document, the forensic strategy document, you 5 described to us about what would happen if you were dealing with a murder, and you talked about getting the 6 7 experts in to that forensic strategy meeting, you talked 8 about a Fiscal, you talked about maybe pathology, a pathologist, maybe forensic experts. 9 10 Now, if we look again at the minutes at the back of the forensic strategy document, PS01298, then I just 11 12 want you to look at who was present. The agenda. The 13 minutes at the back. Thank you. Just there. 14 I don't see the name of a Procurator Fiscal there. 15 Yeah. No, I mean, there won't -- a Procurator Fiscal Α. will not attend all forensic strategy meetings. I mean, 16 17 as I say, it depends on the circumstances. For homicide 18 they generally will attend all, but for other 19 significant incidents it's really up to themselves if 20 they want to attend that. 21 Q. Was a Fiscal invited to attend that meeting? 22 I'm not sure, it was Dave Green who was the on-call Α. Procurator Fiscal for fatalities, I had spoken to Dave 23 a couple of times during the course of the day around 24 various aspects of the investigation, but I'm not sure 25

1 if -- I know Stuart had spoke to SPA Forensic Services, and Stuart probably will be able to explain that better, 2 but he'd spoke to a number of individuals, I see we have 3 4 one of the scene examiners, Gordon Young, at the forum, 5 but I'm not sure. I think there was notification for COPFS rep to 6 7 attend the Gold Group, but again I don't think that occurred either, as far as I'm aware, during the time 8 I was involved in the investigation. But it's not on 9 10 every occasion that a Procurator Fiscal will attend a forensic strategy --11 12 Q. We'll come on to the Gold Group meetings. Yes, yeah. 13 Α. So when it says senior examiner, is Gordon Young what 14 Q. 15 you would call a forensic expert? Yeah, scene examiner, yeah, he is. I don't know if he 16 Α. is a senior examiner, but he's from 17 18 SPA Forensic Services, yeah. 19 Q. Let's move on to 3 May. Now, it may be that you'll wish 20 to have your daybook in front of you for this. I'd like 21 to ask you about your connection with Colin Robson, because we'd like to understand who was SIO. 22 We've heard from Colin Robson that he was the 23 on-call duty SIO for Fife division. You've already said 24 that yourself. And we understand that you were 25

1 appointed SIO, and we were trying to understand who was 2 the SIO at the time that~... 3 Α. Yeah. 4 We've heard from Colin Robson that he attended Q. 5 Hayfield Road, when he was listening to the Airwaves. He attended the first time, he described it as simply 6 7 effectively as a reinforcement to assist his colleagues. 8 He took an officer to Victoria Hospital, realised things 9 were progressing at Hayfield Road, then returned to 10 Hayfield Road as duty on-call SIO. And his evidence was that at that second visit he had his duty SIO hat on, if 11 12 you like. I'm summarising. I think he said: 13 "I had the SIO thinking cap on in terms of the needs 14 and priorities of the investigation~..." 15 On that second -- on that return. 16 Α. Yes. Then we've obviously heard from him and from you that 17 Q. you both had a conversation, you'd been appointed SIO by 18 19 Leslie Boal, and we're trying to understand, as I say, 20 who was SIO? 21 Α. Yeah. There can be only one SIO. I mean, there is only 22 one SIO, I mean, and I was appointed SIO, so from 8.30 onwards there was only one SIO. I think there's 23 potentially a bit of confusion with some of the legacy 24 25 postings of individuals, and what I mean by that is that

the on-call detective inspector within a particular divisional area, so legacy Strathclyde, the on-call detective inspector wouldn't be named or deemed to be the on-call SIO, they would simply be the on-call detective inspector covering a particular area.

To be an SIO you really have to have an incident to lead on. So it's almost -- in Fife there's a generalisation that he's the on-call SIO for absolutely everything that occurs over that particular period he's on call, which again probably brings a bit of confusion to that.

But to be very clear there is only one SIO and there can only be one SIO. If you have multiple SIOs, it just totally blurs the lines and confuses things. So, on my appointment as SIO, Colin was managing aspects of the response by Police Scotland at that time on my behalf through the consultation that we were having, and he may have seen himself as the initial SIO because he was physically there at that particular stage, but from 8.30 onwards it's very clear in my mind through Leslie Boal, through ACC Nicholson, that there was only one SIO because of the extent of the investigation that was going to be required around this. This wasn't going to be something that would be quickly resolved in a number of hours, we knew that the consequences of this was

- going to basically carry on for days and weeks ahead.
- 2 Q. So your appointment as SIO came from Nicholson and Boal
- 3 directly on a call?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So in terms of, if I can call it, the official SIO, that
- 6 was you?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You were providing that strategic direction?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And if we've heard from Colin Robson that it was his
- 11 understanding he was SIO, then -- you've talked about
- an initial SIO, do you think he's wrong to say that he
- was SIO or would you accept that he was an initial SIO?
- 14 A. Yeah, I mean, he was leading on the initial response of
- 15 that incident from a CID perspective as such. For me it
- 16 would be too early to appoint him as an initial SIO, it
- would simply be as the on-call lead for crime for that
- particular area, he was leading on the response to it.
- 19 As, I mean, within 30, 40 minutes, or an hour after the
- incident, I was appointed the SIO as such. So ...
- 21 I don't -- I'm not sure how significant it is, to be
- 22 honest with you, it seems more a kind of play on words
- that Colin deemed himself to be the initial SIO.
- I would see it more that he is the initial lead officer
- 25 responding within that territorial area to quite

to such an incident.

- a significant incident but with the machinery sitting in the background round about ACC Nicholson and Leslie Boal and myself, I mean, it was clear that there was going to be -- there was going to be a requirement for a greater strategic governance around how we were going to respond
- 7 So if we've heard from Colin Robson that it was his Q. 8 impression that he was SIO and then at the first 9 Gold Group meeting at 11.30 -- you may have listened to 10 Colin Robson's evidence, he talked about that was the point of handover. So he provided the update at the 11 12 first Gold Group meeting and then there was this 13 handover to you from round about 11.30, and I took that 14 from him on 1 March.
- 15 A. Yeah.

- Q. You obviously have listened to that. So again, do you feel that's accurate or do you think that's inaccurate?
- A. I mean, I'd ask the question who appointed him as SIO
  because there was no appointment of SIO. So, as I say,
  I think I take it his response was simply the lead
  officer in respect of the response to this incident.
  There was only one SIO appointed for this, and that was
  myself.
- Q. And is it necessary for someone to be officially appointed SIO in order to take on that role?

- 1 A. Yeah. Yeah, I would say. I mean, you are appointed as
- 2 SIO to lead on an investigation by a senior officer.
- 3 Q. Is that the normal practice?
- 4 A. That is the norm. And there was so much going on in the
- 5 background that Colin was unaware of, such as my liaison
- 6 with PIRC, with PSD into Crown Office, into Leslie Boal,
- 7 back into ACC Nicholson, which was all ongoing from 8.30
- 8 to I arrived at 9.15 within -- or 9.20 within Kirkcaldy
- 9 office.
- 10 So all that aspect of SIO lead-in to, such as phone
- 11 calls to Craig Blackhall round about: this is the
- 12 circumstances, can you link in with on-call Crown Office
- 13 Fatalities Unit round about where this investigation may
- 14 sit if Mr Bayoh succumbs. So all this is ongoing in the
- background that Colin's probably unaware of and sitting
- with myself as SIO to lead on that strategically.
- Q. And is that the type of information the SIO would
- normally be --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- involved in?
- 21 A. Yes. That high level strategic oversight of okay, we've
- 22 got what we have at Kirkcaldy but the machinery sitting
- in the background, how do we thereafter notify the
- 24 relevant agencies around that, was also a priority at
- 25 that stage, obviously, with the circumstances, the whole

aspect of the statutory notification of a potential death or a serious injury following police contact and to Crown Office Fatalities Unit. And thereafter that went from Fatalities into PIRC on-call, and thereafter that decision-making round about where the investigation is going to sit at that time was all taking place probably without Colin Robson even being aware that this was all happening.

- Q. Right. If you've listened to Colin Robson's evidence, do you have any concerns that he was going off in directions prior to 11.30 that you have concerns about?
- A. No, I think probably the main concern, and I think I've put this in my statement as well, is that -- and I think Colin also highlights the fact that the understanding and appreciation at the initial stages, particularly at the scene at Hayfield Road and how critical that was, not leaving in situ for example the knife and various aspects is not best practice.

So again -- and Colin quite rightly points out that if he could do it differently he would do it, so he had done it with the best of intentions but I don't think he realised the potential consequences of what we were actually dealing with at the time.

- Q. I think he did give evidence about his own views on --
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. -- the recovery of the knife, and is that a view that
- 2 you share, you have concerns about that?
- 3 A. Yeah.

20

21

22

- Q. To be specific, what are your concerns about the way the knife was recovered from the scene?
- A. It doesn't lead to the best forensic capture that we could have had at that time.
- 8 Q. What do you mean by that?
- So, if you're looking for the optimum forensic 9 Α. 10 capability of capture from that knife, round about fingerprints, DNA, you would have left that in situ, you 11 12 would have got it covered, even with a bucket or 13 whatever else, just to leave it in situ at that 14 particular stage. We would thereafter have photographed 15 it, videoed it, 360 camera around that whole locality, and we could have thereafter done measurements round 16 17 about from where the incident occurred to where the 18 knife was and so on and so forth; best evidence as such 19 around that.
  - So again ... I can see why he done it, he done it with the best of intentions, but regarding, I mean, best practice it's probably not been adhered to in respect of that.
- Q. When you talk about all the things that could have been done, would that have been done by forensic scientists

1 or experts? 2 Yeah, we would have deployed a crime scene manager and Α. 3 again we would have taken the various specialists with 4 us to the scene and done what we had to do around that, 5 round about measurements, round about 360 cameras, round about what's the best aspect of securing the knife, 6 7 seizing the knife, to allow fingerprints or DNA to be obtained at that level, as such. 8 9 So again, that's a normal consequence of any 10 investigation at that particular level we would deal with. I mean, we would generally leave the scene as it 11 12 was when we turned up other than beginning to remove 13 things from it at that point, which thereafter causes, 14 brings with it complications later on, as you begin to 15 basically investigate. You've also mentioned the involvement of PSD, the 16 Q. Professional Standards Department? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Can you explain a little about why they get involved? Q. Yeah, so the on-call PSD, who was Craig Blackhall at the 20 Α. 21 time, is really the gateway into Crown Office Fatalities 22 Unit and ultimately into PIRC, so I wouldn't naturally 23 contact the Fatalities Unit on my own, I would usually,

with a -- particularly for a death or serious injury

following police contact, the normal gateway is through

24

1 the on-call PSD -- Professional Standards rep, who would 2 thereafter make that phone call around that. Which is 3 really beneficial because as an SIO you've got so 4 many -- you're spinning so many plates at that 5 particular time, so it's great to take that away and 6 say, "Look, I have made Dave Green from Crown Office 7 aware of it and I'm waiting for him to come back to me. 8 Leave it with me just now and as soon as Dave Green comes back I'll give you a call back around that". And 9 10 Craig did. So again it's just -- that's the natural route in respect of protocol or procedure into PIRC. 11 12 Q. So that relieves you of the responsibility of making 13 contact --Yes. 14 Α. 15 -- with -- and we're hoping to hear from Mr Green --Q. 16 Α. Yeah. -- in the future. 17 Q. 18 So that side of things, however, was dealt with by 19 Craig Blackhall? 20 It was. Α. 21 Q. You having contacted him to do that? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Apart from the recovery of the knife, during the period Q. 24 that Colin Robson was -- from the time he was at the scene and up until 11.30, at the first Gold Group 25

1 meeting, did you have any other concerns from having listened to his evidence about things that he was 2 3 dealing with? Erm ... no. I mean, I arrived quite quickly at 4 Α. 5 Kirkcaldy, I arrived about 9.15 that morning, and I had an initial meeting with Colin round about 10 o'clock 6 7 that morning, and again I think I've detailed it in my 8 daybook, but --9 Do you want to --Q. 10 Α. Yeah. -- refer to that? 11 Q. 12 Α. So --We can go back to your daybook and we can have that on 13 Q. 14 the screen as well. 15 Α. Yeah. So that's PS18269 and if we go down to about page 4. 16 Q. So maybe just a bit of background around this. So 17 Α. basically when I arrive, I'm contacted by Craig --18 sorry, I'm contacted by Colin Robson around 9.10 hours, 19 20 Colin explains to me that Mr Bayoh is -- unfortunately 21 has passed away, so that's the first notification I get 22 at that time. And I say to Colin, "Look, I'm really nearby just now", and I wasn't sure how to get into the 23 24 office, so I said could Colin meet me in the backyard, 25 the car park. So I arrived around about 9.15, 9.20 and

1 prior to going into the office I made contact with Leslie Boal and Craig Blackhall to inform them of the 2 3 unfortunate death. So at that time I went into the 4 office I initially met with Garry McEwan, Garry made his 5 way to Kirkcaldy and Garry had explained to me that he'd identified it as a critical incident at that stage. 6 7 That thereafter led me to move to an adjacent room with Colin and we began to identify the priority actions 8 at that particular stage around it. So what I did, 9 10 I did ask Colin to do was to pull -- to examine the 11 STORM system, which is an incident management system, 12 and to basically get copies of all the incidents that 13 basically referred to Hayfield Road or nearby around 14 that, and so what I've got on my daybook, as you see 15 there, is round about the initial priorities --So we see at the top you've got a time there 09.10? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. We actually see in your policy file --19 Α. Yeah. -- I'll just refer you to these very, very briefly and 20 Q. 21 we'll come back to this page in the daybook. 22 Α. Okay. 23 But if you look at the policy management file, page 4, Q. decision 4, so this is PS17854, and if we go on to 24 page 61454, decision number 4, you'll see there, 25

1 I think, you've written in: 2 "Informed by DI Colin Robson~..." 3 I'm not sure what: "... ref deceased~..."? 4 "... ref deceased now PLE'd at 0904 hours." 5 So that was you being informed by Colin Robson --6 Q. 7 Α. Yeah. 8 -- that Mr Bayoh had passed away? Q. 9 Α. Yeah. 10 Q. Then on the next page, I think, which is I5, decision 6, 11 you say: "Brief~..." 12 13 Is it? 14 "Briefing to Divisional Commander P division Α. 15 Garry McEwan Chief Super, 0910 hours critical incident called." 16 17 I'm just looking at this now and I see that decision Q. number 5 is, I don't see that in my copy. Do we have 18 19 decision number 5? It goes from 4 to 6. On your copy, 20 can you ... you'll have the same copy as I do, actually. 21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. We'll maybe see if we can check the original on that 23 one. 24 It's maybe just the numbering I've done on it. Α. 25 Right, so you got a call from Colin Robson to tell you Q.

1 that Mr Bayoh's died? 2 Yeah. Α. You then speak to -- you find out that Garry McEwan has 3 Q. 4 declared a critical incident. That's at ten past 9. 5 And you go into Kirkcaldy Police Office and the first thing you do is start calling up the call cards, the 6 7 STORM cards? 8 Yes. Α. 9 To see what the messages were? Q. 10 Α. Yes. And is that you familiarising yourself with the 11 Q. 12 information in the call cards? 13 Α. Yes. You mentioned priority actions; is that the point at 14 Q. 15 which you start thinking about --Yeah. So basically, yeah, on the ... 16 Α. Will we go back to the daybook? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yeah. 19 Q. Let's do that, and so that's PS18269, and if we can go 20 back to page 4, I think it is. 21 Α. Yeah. So again, while I'm sitting with Colin I'm detailing some of the priority areas that I'm looking 22 at, so you'll see down at the left-hand side there I've 23 24 got "Deceased", "Locus" --

Q. Is this under a box that appears to maybe say,

"Priorities XX"? 1 "Priorities", then I have "XX" next to it, yes. 2 Α. 3 Q. Right. Yeah. So I've got "Deceased", "Locus" ... I'm not sure 4 Α. 5 exactly what that says after that. (Pause). But below that again is: 6 7 "Unidentified male who is associate of deceased --?? 8 Injured??" 9 So that's referring to Mr Saeed. 10 Q. We can move up, please. House-to-house. 11 Α. 12 Q. Is that "H2H"? 13 A. Yeah. Co-ordination paperwork around it, so beginning 14 to start to think about let's review the parameters that 15 we're looking to put in place. Then below that "Operational statements", sometimes officers involved, 16 17 and thereafter intelligence priorities -- intelligence 18 and: "Intelligence profiles of deceased + Saeed." 19 20 At that stage. 21 Q. Only of those two at that moment? 22 Yeah. It was so early on at that particular stage, Α. that's the priorities that we were looking at. So 23 24 that's almost kind of mind-mapping exactly, putting down 25 exactly what I'm trying to get done with the resources

- 1 that we had at that time.
- 2 Q. Where had you heard about Zahid Saeed?
- 3 A. Erm ... I'm not sure. I don't know if it came from --
- 4 at that time, I think we were aware or I was aware,
- 5 Colin had briefed me around about Collette Bell's call
- around that time, so I've a feeling it came from the
- 7 call card from Collette Bell.
- Q. We have heard about a call that Collette Bell had made
- 9 to the police at 8.36 in the morning --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- regarding her partner not being at home, and her
- house, and I think she'd mentioned his friend had
- contacted her, that's why she'd returned home?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And he was Zahid Saeed?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So could that be how you knew about that?
- 18 A. I think it is, and then if you look at the opposite
- 19 page, I mean I have -- the call cards are detailed on
- 20 the opposite page there and one of them is
- 21 Arran Crescent, Kirkcaldy, which has Collette Bell's
- 22 name across from it, so that's one of the call cards we
- 23 have, and Colin's got in front of him at that particular
- 24 time.
- 25 Q. We'll look at the facing page, if we can move to the top

25

1 of that, please. So it says at the top there, is that, "ID Sheku Bayoh"? Or does that say "IP"? 2 3 Α. Sorry, whereabouts are you? 4 Q. On the next page. Sorry, yeah. 5 Α. 6 Have you finished the --Q. 7 Α. Yeah. So I was -- the only point I've made, I've noted 8 up the top of the first page there that the Federation 9 rep has been called out, Amanda Givan, and Amanda Givan 10 comes into the room with myself and Colin Robson round about 10 o'clock or thereabouts and she introduces 11 12 herself. 13 Where do you see that? Q. 14 The very top line of the --Α. 15 Q. Top of the left-hand side? Yeah, the left-hand side. 16 Α. And you say that was about 10 o'clock? 17 Q. I think it was just after 10 o'clock. 18 Α. 19 Q. So underneath that, you've got: 20 "0910 - critical incident." 21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. So are some of the entries made at different times or ...? 23 A. Yeah, they may have been. But they've all been done 24

round about the material time when I'm getting so much

1 information in at that stage. I'm also informed that there's a Gold Group been scheduled now for 11.30. 2 3 Q. Right. Then -- so we're looking at 9.10 the critical 4 5 incident, the first Gold Group meeting will be at 11.30, and you've underlined that a couple of times, what's the 6 7 word after? 8 A. "Member". So I was asked to make sure I could 9 facilitate membership of the Gold Group. 10 MS GRAHAME: Now, I'm going to come on briefly and ask about this concept of a Gold Group, but I'm conscious it's 11 12 11.30. 13 LORD BRACADALE: We'll take a break, then, for 20 minutes. 14 (11.30 am)15 (A short break) 16 (11.57 am)17 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame. MS GRAHAME: Thank you. 18 19 Detective Superintendent, before we -- sorry, 20 Detective Chief Superintendent, before we broke for the 21 short break, I was about to come on to ask you about the 22 Gold Group meeting. 23 A. Yes. Q. In the sense of: could you explain what this Gold Group 24 25 is and what -- explain the structure of gold, silver and

25

1 bronze for us, please. 2 Yeah, no problem. So it's something familiar to Α. 3 policing in the UK. It's a strategic governance 4 structure for how we manage critical, major incidents. 5 It ensures that there is governance, oversight and accountability around not just the investigation but 6 7 other aspects that are pertinent to the enquiry, or 8 investigation, such as community impact, media, 9 community reassurance, equality, diversity, and various 10 other strands that may be particular to the incident you're responding to. Again, you may have Crown within 11 12 that Gold Group structure, and again it really depends 13 on the nature of the -- what you're responding to. It 14 may have partners involved in it as well. 15 So gold, the gold commander as such has that oversight of not just what I had with the investigation 16 17 but has all the other different powers that are 18 impacting on the response to the investigation, so 19 a really significant role. 20 Down from that you have silver, who supports gold 21 and the command structure that he has or she has put in 22 place, and would normally run an adjacent or parallel 23 group round about some of the other aspects that maybe 24 gold has asked him or her to take on as such.

And under that there are a number of bronze leads,

1 or bronze commanders, depending on what you call them as such. But for example, you would have bronze 2 investigation, so bronze investigation for this incident 3 4 was myself, and thereafter you would have bronze media, 5 bronze community impact, bronze EDI -- equality, diversity, inclusion -- bronze community reassurance, 6 7 bronze community impact, bronze finance, various other bronze leads who would thereafter feed through at the 8 overarching Gold Group meeting round about any feeds 9 10 that they want to present to the gold commander. So myself as bronze I would have a separate 11 12 briefing, a separate group which basically would 13 encompass the investigation. For example, if it was 14 a homicide -- I keep going back to homicide but that's 15 probably the best example -- I would have a briefing in the morning and at close of play every day and 16 17 thereafter I would also attend the Gold Group and give 18 the highlights of the investigation as it progresses over that period as such. Really kind of high level 19 20 strategic actions that I've carried out over that 21 period, to inform the gold round about how it's 22 progressing as such and if there were any issues that 23 I would want to share with the wider group, which, as I say, encompasses the various strands that had impacted 24 on any investigation. 25

1 So it ensures that there is that accountability, 2 governance, around what we're dealing with. And I mean, 3 this is a really good example, there is more to the 4 investigation into Mr Bayoh than simply the 5 investigation side of things. That's only one significant strand, but there are so many others that 6 7 the gold commander, who was ACC Nicholson at the time, 8 obviously he's looking for information on a regular 9 basis, as to how that's progressing so he can thereafter 10 inform the force executive regarding the progress of the investigation and round about the aspect of critical 11 12 incident, and eventually how we can return to some 13 sort of normality. 14 That's really the gold structure, how we can 15 thereafter move through the various phases of the enquiry to return to some sort of business as normal. 16 I think that's probably the best way I can describe it. 17 Was this gold command structure set up after it was 18 Q. declared a critical incident or before? 19 I think it was after, yeah, so it was declared at 09.10 20 Α. 21 by Garry McEwan, the Chief Super, who was the on-call for that part of the country at the time. So the 22 meeting for -- the executive meeting at that time was at 23 9.30, so ACC Nicholson would have chaired a kind of 24 25 strategic meeting, which is a daily meeting at the

weekends that the ACC on-call chair with senior 1 2 representatives from crime, from operational support, 3 from various parts of the country, to provide 4 an overview of what's happening in Scotland in respect 5 of criminal matters and other aspects of public 6 reassurance, community impact, even events that are 7 ongoing as such. 8 So these would all feed into that executive meeting 9 in a morning over a weekend whereby the ACC would 10 thereafter -- on taking that information in would thereafter make that further phone call to the on-call 11 12 detective -- sorry, the on-call deputy Chief Constable, who would be on call for the force at the weekend as 13 14 such. So again that's how that would work. 15 Q. And on 3 May gold command you've said was ACC Nicholson? 16 Α. Yes. And there was one silver, he was Garry McEwan? 17 Q. Garry McEwan, yeah. 18 Α. And there are multiple bronze? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And one of those was yourself? 22 Α. Yes. As SIO of the investigation part? 23 Q. A. Yes, bronze investigation lead. 24 Q. Is that what it's called? 25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Bronze -- so you were SIO, bronze investigation and
- 3 we've also heard that you were maybe an IIO, initial
- 4 investigating --
- 5 A. (Witness nods).
- 6 Q. Is that a phrase used from the post-incident procedures
- 7 SOP?
- 8 A. Yeah. Exactly.
- 9 Q. That's three separate titles but was it effectively one
- 10 job?
- 11 A. One job, yeah.
- Q. And we've talked about Colin Robson, you've explained
- his role that day. Was he aware or made aware of his
- 14 place in the structure and his place in the
- investigation team?
- 16 A. Yeah. So, a response to an unexplained death in
- 17 general, it's -- you automatically move to experience
- and to the mode of "this is what we do", so I think I'm
- 19 trying to explain that the fact is that you don't
- 20 actually have to really tell people, inform people
- 21 exactly what the actions are around it. I mean, we
- 22 would normally, as we did that particular day, you
- 23 almost go into that investigative mode around about
- 24 responding to an unexplained death at that particular
- 25 time. So the areas I've got down as priorities I have

almost a standard lift from any death investigation I've been involved in over the last 25 years and you simply would just move into that automatic mode around that.

Colin was there to support me in the investigation and round about some of the priority actions around that. So -- and I've known Colin for a period of time, so there's been various other deaths in Kirkcaldy and in the surrounding area in Fife that I've responded to as on-call where Colin has been on-call as well at that particular stage. So we had a relationship, we knew exactly what was required and the standard investigation and so on and so forth.

So it wasn't anything new, it wasn't anything where I'd have to sit down and say to Colin, "Well, you know your role here", I mean, this almost became automatic, the fact is that we knew how we would respond and the great majority of the CID personnel involved in these investigations are very experienced and they know that if they're asked to go and detail an action or take on some sort of activity in respect of a direction I'd given, I mean, you don't have to physically sit there and say, "This is what I want you to do, I want you to go to the door, I want you to bring them into the office, I want you to get a statement from them". They generally know that. I mean, as I say, if we say,

- "Well, we're looking for a statement for Pat Campbell",
- 2 so they'll know they'll go and get Pat Campbell, get
- 3 a statement from him and come back in and feed back into
- 4 the investigation.
- 5 Q. On the morning of 3 May you've described about your
- 6 arrival at Kirkcaldy at roughly 9.15?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. We've heard about Colin Robson returning, the officers
- 9 returning, we've heard evidence from DS Dursley and
- 10 various other officers who were involved that day. Was
- 11 there a point at that sort of time in the morning, after
- 9.15 when you arrived, where you had a sort of
- introductory discussion or briefing with officers to
- introduce yourself, explain what was going to happen?
- 15 A. No. So it was too fast-moving to allow that to happen.
- There was priority actions already being in progress,
- for example Collette Bell was already within the office
- at round about that time, we knew the significance of
- 19 Collette Bell's call into the control room, round about
- 20 the concern -- the concerned for call and the nature of
- 21 it. So with the limited resources I had at that
- 22 particular time we were still waiting on resources
- 23 coming from the surrounding divisions into Kirkcaldy.
- In a great number of occasions when you're running with
- 25 major investigations or incidents that are live-time you

8

20

21

22

23

24

25

- generally wouldn't have a briefing immediately, I mean,
  you would -- that -- sometimes in the manuals they call
  it this golden hour whereby you basically try to look at
  what you have in front of you and try to manage that and
  deal with it and get the evidence or the intelligence
  and information that will eventually point your
  investigation in a particular manner through the various
- 9 Q. Let's explore the hypotheses that you've mentioned.
  10 Explain to us what you mean when you talk about
  11 hypotheses.

hypotheses you maybe have in front of you.

- 12 At the start of any investigation you keep an open mind Α. 13 at all times around the potential reasons why someone 14 may have died. So again, it's crucial for that 15 transparency and accountability that you have that open mind around it. So I can recall even driving through to 16 17 Kirkcaldy, I mean, I had about four or five different 18 hypotheses that were running through my mind in respect of what may have occurred. 19
  - Q. Tell us what they were.
    - A. So, obviously I was aware that there had been restraint by the police officers, so again one of the aspects is:

      did Mr Bayoh die as a result of restraint? Did Mr Bayoh die as a result of an earlier assault which subsequently led him to Hayfield Road? Did Mr Bayoh die as a result

of some sort of medical condition that we hadn't identified at that time? Did Mr Bayoh die as a result of drink, drugs, intoxication, overdose? And thereafter probably the fifth one, which at that time was round about: was there some aspect of counterterrorism, CT, ideology aspect to what we were looking at, at that particular time? Although there was no intelligence indicating anything as such. But again what I'm trying to entail is you keep an open mind around what you're dealing with and you don't go down one particular road.

- Q. Explain to us, once you've identified these different hypotheses, what do you do in relation to each of those?
- A. Well, through the course of the investigation, you begin to actually identify or eliminate that the potential aspect is one of these. So again, you begin to cross them out, almost, and put the rationale behind why you actually are now moving towards that more than this one other as such. So again, as the investigation progressed that morning, we were aware of the calls, for example, as the morning/afternoon progressed, around about Arran Crescent, around about the relevance to the altercation with Mr Bayoh and Mr Saeed. We obviously had the calls from the three members of the public at Hayfield Road about Mr Bayoh acting erratically, in possession of a knife, and we thereafter had the coming

25

your --

1 together on Hayfield Road with the police officers and 2 the restraint. 3 So again, it became quite clear over the course of 4 the morning/early afternoon that this was the 5 circumstances or the sequence of events that we were going to prioritise. So again, the hypotheses round 6 7 about restraint, drink, drugs, intoxication, medical 8 condition, they're all still very much in your mind and 9 you're working through each of them as such round about 10 background checks you're getting done, round about intelligence: is there anything at all indicating -- is 11 12 there anything, as I said, round about ideology or 13 CT-related which we were able to eliminate very, very 14 quickly around that aspect of it. So thereafter you're 15 moving through your various other hypotheses, at all times keeping an open mind around that, but again as the 16 17 day progresses, as we begin to get information into the incident room, it becomes clear exactly what we are 18 dealing with at that particular time. 19 20 Just so that people are completely clear about what Q. 21 you're saying, we've heard reference to CT as counterterrorism? 22 23 A. Yes, correct. 24 Q. Have you noted down your hypotheses in your daybook or

- 1 A. No, I've not.
- 2 Q. -- policy file? Is there somewhere else that you note
- 3 those down?
- 4 A. No, I think it's just about keeping an open mind around
- 5 it, and, as I say, I've always approached any incident
- 6 with that, I mean round about the fact that you
- 7 detail -- you don't focus on a particular area. I mean,
- 8 the fact is that the information coming to me at that
- 9 time was simply round about this occurred due to
- 10 restraint. But again, as I say what I am looking at is
- 11 the wider aspect of other potential consequences and why
- this has happened.
- Q. How do you, when you're considering your hypotheses,
- 14 you've explained what the five were, how do you work out
- how to exclude them or eliminate them from your working
- 16 hypotheses?
- 17 A. Through information, intelligence, evidence that we
- obtain during the course of the investigation, you begin
- 19 to be able to eliminate the various hypotheses that you
- 20 have developed.
- 21 Q. When do you decide that you have sufficiently
- 22 investigated a hypothesis and you're comfortable with
- eliminating it?
- 24 A. So that's -- it's probably difficult to say, it's really
- 25 when you believe that you have sufficient information,

1 intelligence that points you in a different direction. So, the aspect of the incident on 3 May, the hypotheses 2 3 round about restraint, alcohol, drug intoxication, 4 medical condition, they were all still very, very 5 relevant, because it was really difficult to eliminate any of them at that particular time. So again they 6 7 continued, the hypotheses around that, the CT aspect we 8 could eliminate pretty quickly around any ideology 9 aspect of this, that this was motivation around it. 10 So again that was -- we could eliminate that through some of the background checks we could do across the 11 12 network of policing in the UK. But again, there were 13 a number of hypotheses that were left open and continued 14 to be left open as I handed the investigation over 15 because of the absence of operational statements, for example, the result of the post-mortem examination 16 17 pending toxicology. So again, there was a number of hypotheses that I could not basically conclude or 18 eliminate as I handed the investigation over. 19 20 Q. You have mentioned counterterrorism and how it was 21 eliminated quite quickly. How was it that you were able 22 to eliminate that? Well, there was no information or intelligence 23 indicating that there was any motivational aspect, that 24 25 this was some sort of CT-related attack. The climate in

1 2015 was very different than it is now, particularly within policing, whereby the threat level to police was 2 3 severe. We had various guidance put out internally 4 around keeping safe, round about travelling to work, we 5 had CT-related incidents across Europe in the preceding months to this. So again, that aspect was very much in 6 7 the minds of officers as well. I mean, it was about, 8 like, don't travel to work in your uniform, don't be 9 deployed in a single-man car, or a single-person car, 10 make sure that it's double-crewed cars that are out at that particular time. So again, it was a different 11 12 environment that we find -- or landscape that we find 13 ourselves in just now. 14 But to answer your question, there was nothing, no 15 intelligence at all that we could see identifying any CT-related aspect to this incident. And again, what we 16 17 would do is, as I say, it's not just internally within Police Scotland, we have obviously other law enforcement 18 partners across the UK that we can link into their 19 20 network as such, basically to see if there is anything 21 at all that we should be concerned of, or that we should

- Q. What checks did you have or instruct to carry out in relation to counterterrorism?
- 25 A. Yeah, so it was really to link into the wider UK

be considering at that particular time.

22

23

24

8

9

10

25

- policing network, particularly the CT side,

  counterterrorism side, to see if there was anything all

  that could indicate that there was any aspect of

  terrorism, of terror-related motivation around us, and,

  as I say, we could quite clearly eliminate that there

  wasn't.

  Q. In terms of considering counterterrorism as one of the
  - Q. In terms of considering counterterrorism as one of the hypotheses, was there anything that caused you to consider that in the first place, from the circumstances that --
- It was really the circumstances of the incident. What 11 Α. 12 we had was a male with a knife, at 6 o'clock in the 13 morning in a relatively quiet town, Kirkcaldy, acting 14 erratically. So again, that wasn't the initial focus, 15 it was -- that was just one of the hypotheses, as I say, just to keep it extremely open and transparent that we 16 17 were looking at absolutely everything that we could basically consider to ensure that we -- there was 18 19 rationale, there was accountability around my 20 decision-making around that. So, as I say, it was only 21 one aspect of it, but from the necessary checks that we 22 carried out through an intelligence side we could quite clearly identify that there was no aspect of 23 terror-related motivation around this. 24
  - Q. We've heard evidence from other officers that knife

1 incidents and calls about knife incidents were very common in -- at that time in 2015, and in fact we heard 2 3 some evidence that multiple calls about knife incidents 4 would be made on a shift basis for officers. What was 5 it in particular that turned this incident into one where you considered counterterrorism as a hypothesis? 6 7 So again, it was just -- I think it was just the Α. 8 circumstances that, as I was running through what I was 9 dealing with, and my kind of wider considerations around 10 that, and I think it was raised at the Gold Group as well by ACC Nicholson, was -- it was an unusual type 11 12 incident at that time of the morning for a ... And 13 again, this came independently from three particular 14 members of the public who witnessed this. So again this 15 wasn't coming from a single source, this was coming from multiple sources round about the erratic behaviour of 16 17 an individual in a town at that time in the morning which you -- I mean, you potentially find, my experience 18 is knife crime, knife-related incidents usually occur --19 20 they occur at all times of the day and night but 21 predominantly it's a kind of afternoon, early 22 evening-type thing within a domestic setting or within a dwelling house as such, you know what I mean. You 23 24 don't very much -- I don't think I've ever seen 25 a similar incident to this in 27 years, at that time in

- the morning, of a male acting as such.
- 2 So again, this wasn't a single focus, to reassure
- 3 you, this was just one strand of multiple hypotheses
- 4 that I was looking at.
- 5 Q. I'm interested in the particular circumstances that
- 6 caused you to consider counterterrorism. So you've said
- 7 it was raised by Nicholson at the Gold Group meeting --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- but you're describing travelling to Kirkcaldy in the
- 10 car in the morning thinking about the possible
- 11 hypotheses?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. This was long before the Gold Group meeting, and you've
- 14 talked about the particular timing on a Sunday morning,
- you've talked about the number of calls you'd received
- from members of the public into the police; were there
- any other factors or circumstances which caused you to
- 18 consider the possibility of counterterrorism?
- 19 A. As I said before, I think it was also the climate within
- 20 the UK at that time in 2015, and it was to appreciate
- 21 there was a threat to policing in the UK, the threat
- level was, as I say, raised to severe, that attack on
- law enforcement or police was highly likely. We had had
- a number of force memos, memorandums, we had had
- 25 information passed from the force executive around about

- 1 stay safe, round about take care, around responding to incidents and travelling to and from your workplace, 2 round about the security of police offices, police 3 4 stations, where you parked the motor vehicle, how you 5 interact off duty and things such as that. So I think it was the climate at the particular time within law 6 7 enforcement and the threat to policing in general, and 8 with a really unusual incident that made me consider that as a hypothesis, do you know what I mean? To be 9 10 honest with you, that was my main considerations.
- 11 Q. Anything else that you can think of?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. You've not mentioned the fact that Mr Bayoh was black.
  Was that one of the factors?
- 15 A. No, absolutely not. If it was a white male with a knife

  16 restrained by police officers I would still have the

  17 same -- I would still have the same hypothesis around

  18 let's get the checks done around is he linked to any

  19 particular aspect of the terror network as such, around

  20 that. So again the -- Mr Bayoh being black had no

  21 relevance at all to it.
- Q. So the -- if it had been a white male involved in those circumstances --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- would counterterrorism checks have still been carried

1		out?
2	Α.	Absolutely.
3	Q.	Who was it that you instructed or directed to carry out
4		those counterterrorism checks?
5	Α.	So that would be the intelligence cell that was
6		established to manage that.
7	Q.	Is that a separate department, if you like, within the
8		service?
9	Α.	Yeah, the intelligence cell is linked to the
10		investigation, it's a structure basically that you would
11		ask for the necessary background checks, wider network
12		checks on particular individuals that are involved or
13		come into the incident as such. So they support the
14		investigation.
15	Q.	We've heard from DS Dursley that checks were carried
16		out, he invited checks to be done instructed,
17		I should say, checks to be done by a member of staff in
18		Kirkcaldy Police Office in relation to Mr Bayoh himself
19		and Collette Bell during the morning.
20		That was just by a member of staff in Kirkcaldy
21		Police Office is that separate from this intelligence
22		cell you are talking about?
23	Α.	Yeah, I mean, we have a 24/7 capability based within
24		Scottish Crime Campus in Gartcosh that we can link in
25		with around any further more in-depth checks, checks

Q. So --

25

1 that we would want carried out in respect of anything. So again, there is that local aspect but there's 2 3 a wider aspect, that we have governance 24/7, 365 days 4 a week -- a year, sorry, around the wider checks across 5 the network within the UK, which links into other law 6 enforcement partners, not just within Police Scotland as 7 such. 8 So UK-wide? Q. 9 Yeah. Α. 10 Q. And who was it you contacted in the intelligence cell to 11 carry out these checks? 12 Α. So that would have been through discussion with 13 Colin Robson, with the initial stages of the 14 investigation asking for these checks to be carried out, 15 and I think it came as an action from one of the Gold Groups to ensure that that had been done as well. 16 Do we see that noted in your daybook? 17 Q. 18 No, I don't think we do. Α. 19 No. By the time you wrote the daybook, had you ruled Q. 20 out counterterrorism as a hypothesis? 21 Α. No. No. No, my daybook was written as I was basically 22 going through the day as such. Is there anything in your policy file regarding checks? 23 Q. I don't think there is, no. 24 Α.

- 1 A. It's a standard process. I mean, we would naturally --
- 2 anyone that comes into an investigation such as this or
- 3 any homicide or organised crime investigation, we would
- 4 have an intelligence structure to support the
- 5 investigation round about who is this particular
- 6 individual? Is there any particular threats? Is there
- 7 any information that we require as we progress the
- 8 information round about officer safety as such and
- 9 anything else we need to know around it?
- 10 Q. And that would be done through DI Robson?
- 11 A. Yeah, I think it was DI Robson or he had allocated --
- I think he'd allocated it to someone else to take on.
- Q. Who did you have checks done on initially?
- 14 A. So I didn't particularly have any checks done on anyone
- initially, I delegated that through the team supporting
- me as such.
- Q. So, although you're providing strategic direction, and
- this is a working hypothesis, did you dictate who the
- 19 checks would be carried out on?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. So normally, in the normal course of events, would it be
- 22 wider than just simply the deceased?
- A. Yeah. Yeah.
- Q. Who would it encompass, these checks?
- 25 A. So we would -- we would generally, in any investigation,

7

8

9

16

- we would -- any relevant particular person of interest
  that came into the investigation, we would do some
  checks on, background checks on, to see if there is
  anything at all that we should be aware of as such.

  What constitutes a person of interest?

  A. Some -- anyone that comes into the investigation, round
  - A. Some -- anyone that comes into the investigation, round about a more, a greater understanding of when we are speaking to them or we're engaging with them as such that we're fully aware of the background.
- Again, it's -- it's really relevant to all
  investigations, we would have that more detailed
  intelligence structure sitting behind any investigation,
  supporting it with the necessary information, just to
  provide you with a greater understanding of the
  particular individual you may be dealing with as such.
  - Q. Would it include Zahid Saeed?
- 17 A. Yeah, I think it -- I believe it encompassed the

  18 majority of people, during the time I was involved in

  19 the investigation, that came into it. I mean, we would

  20 do the necessary checks around that as such.
- Q. Would it include someone like Collette Bell, who was the partner of the deceased?
- 23 A. I'm not sure about Collette Bell.
- Q. Martyn Dick, a friend?
- 25 A. It may have. As I say, as SIO I have strategic

1 oversight of the investigation, the intelligence structure would generally look at all of the persons 2 3 that have come into the enquiry and look at if there is 4 anything at all that we should be aware of. And again, 5 that we would just do through checks round about access to police systems that we have, around anything at all 6 7 that -- again, we inform the investigation as we move forward. 8 Q. But it's a person of interest rather than just every 9 10 name that comes to your attention in the investigation? 11 Yeah, it would be a person of interest rather than every Α. 12 single witness that crosses into an investigation. And who decides who those persons of interest are? 13 Q. So that would be really for the intelligence structure, 14 Α. 15 the intelligence cell, to make that decision around it. I mean -- or the individual officer who has been 16 allocated the action around to interview Pat Campbell, 17 18 so again they may want to look at the background of 19 Pat Campbell before they go and speak to him, round 20 about is there any warning signals? Is there background 21 that we should be aware of? Is there a warrant live for 22 him, for example? Is there anything at all that there is intelligence held which indicates that we should be 23 concerned around approaching Pat Campbell? 24 25 So again, the individual officers getting the

- actions would carry out some background checks and go
  through the intelligence structure to see if there is
  anything at all that they would have. And it's really
  to go and speak to someone with as much information as
  you possibly can at that particular time of any
  investigation.
  - Q. So when we look at your daybook there was a part on intel, wasn't there, that you mentioned earlier? If we can have that back on screen, please. Sorry, it's PS18269. And I think page 4 towards the bottom of that page there is, you made reference to one of the priorities being intel.
  - A. Yeah, and it's basically, at that early stage, probably the two most relevant individuals are the deceased and Mr Saeed, so I'd asked for intelligence profiles to be created around both of them at that point. And the justification around that was obviously to look at the background of Mr Bayoh, but also round about the fact we were unaware at that time of what involvement Mr Saeed had within the incident, and I think I detail that just a couple of lines up where it's:
- "Unidentified male is an associate of the deceased

   is he injured?"
- So again, that was reference to Mr Saeed at that time from the information we had from Collette Bell's

- call into the call centre.

  2 Q. That's item 4?
- Yeah. So again, the relevance and -- of Mr -- we were 3 Α. 4 unaware of. So again, was there -- and that goes back 5 to the hypothesis, was -- one of them was, the fact was, was the deceased injured as a result of an earlier 6 7 incident which thereafter took him to Hayfield Road? 8 So -- and was the unidentified male, who we believe was Mr Saeed, had he been injured, because what we had was 9 10 the deceased a short time after this with, in possession of a knife. 11

So you keep an open mind, you're unaware exactly how relevant Mr Saeed is to it. Was he a contributing factor to an earlier assault on the deceased or was he simply a witness to this? Or is he lying injured elsewhere within that particular area? So again, it's around that, I mean, who is Mr Saeed? Where does he live? How can we get hold of him; how can we contact him? So these things are all going round. So again, the profiles, the intelligence update round about both the deceased and Mr Saeed, were important at that time.

- Q. Then, looking at the hypotheses you mention about perhaps drink and drugs, intoxication --
- 24 A. Yeah.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q. -- of some sort.

1 A. Yeah.

- Q. Tell us how you prioritised steps, investigative strands in relation to that hypothesis?
  - A. So -- and again, a lot of this came from Collette Bell and from the information we were getting from Collette.

    We were aware that he'd obviously been at a family gathering I believe on 2 May and there had been contact with Collette, I think about 11 o'clock that evening where she thought he was -- I can't remember her words in her statement around it, but he seemed to be a bit annoyed about something, and thereafter we -- we were aware through Collette that he'd attended at the house of Martyn Dick and through the course of that morning, speaking to Martyn Dick and his partner it became clear again round about the aspect of Mr Bayoh being out of character within Martyn Dick's house, which they pointed out.

And speaking to Mr Saeed, obviously he indicates that as well. He appeared to be under the influence of alcohol or some sort of drugs or substance, which was extremely out of character for him. The information we were getting, that Mr Saeed was indicating that he believed somebody had disrespected him within Martyn Dick's house, so again, there was a wider aspect to the hypothesis round about alcohol, drink, drugs,

- intoxication, which may have been a contributory factor
- 2 to his death.
- 3 So again, that was one of the areas of obviously
- 4 focus as well.
- 5 Q. So that hypothesis, you were able to find information
- 6 that perhaps supported that hypothesis?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Then you talked about a medical condition being one of the possible hypotheses. What actions or steps did you
- 10 take in relation to that?
- 11 A. So that was more about the statement from Collette Bell
- 12 round about was there anything at all that -- was he
- suffering from any illness? Did he have any injury?
- 14 Was there anything at all that we should be aware of at
- 15 this time? So again, on most unexplained death
- investigations one of the standard hypotheses is: is
- there an underlying medical condition? And we're not
- going to find that out really until after the
- 19 post-mortem examination, but we might have some
- information from family, friends that there has been
- 21 some sort of either illness or medical condition that is
- in the background to this as such. So it's again just
- one of the hypotheses I had.
- Q. So not something you can perhaps eliminate until after
- 25 the post-mortem?

23

24

25

live.

1 Α. Yeah. 2 But was there anything that you were able to identify Q. 3 from information that day that indicated there was 4 a medical condition? 5 Not that I can recall, no. Α. And then you talked about an earlier assault, and you've 6 Q. 7 mentioned the situation with Zahid Saeed, so that 8 continued to be a working hypothesis? Yeah. 9 Α. 10 Q. And was that -- you've described how you were interested 11 in Mr Zahid Saeed and was that something you wanted, you 12 wanted to take a statement from him? 13 Yeah, it's a priority action. Α. Then you've also mentioned restraint --14 Q. 15 Α. Yeah. -- as being a possible hypothesis. Tell us about that 16 Q. hypothesis? 17 18 Α. The initial information that came to me from Leslie Boal 19 was that there was a restraint by the police on 20 Mr Bayoh. That was also emphasised by Colin Robson once 21 I spoke to Colin Robson, and Colin had explained that 22 PAVA CS had been discharged and also there had been

baton strikes. So again just with that information that

was an obvious hypothesis that it was going to stay

- Q. When you say "stay live", what does that actually mean?
- 2 A. So it was one that I ran with through the course of the
- duration of -- when I was SIO and through the enquiry
- 4 that I was unable to eliminate at that time, that
- 5 restraint was a potential contributory factor to the
- 6 death of Mr Bayoh.
- 7 Q. So you were not able to eliminate that hypothesis?
- 8 A. No, in the absence of any operational statements or
- 9 eyewitness accounts or anything at all during the early
- 10 stages I had the investigation, I could not eliminate
- 11 it.
- 12 Q. Were those the main planks of how you would have gone
- about eliminating it if you had received operational
- statements or eyewitness accounts?
- 15 A. It would have significantly assisted.
- Q. So in the meantime, that remained a live working
- 17 hypothesis?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Were there any other avenues or priority actions that
- 20 you sought to assist you in this hypothesis and moving
- forward with this hypothesis?
- 22 A. Yeah, no, I mean, there's a number, some of them are
- 23 listed there as well round about operational statements
- of -- I mean, I've noted that down at a very early
- stage, the requirement for them.

- 1 Q. I think you read that out earlier from your daybook?
- 2 A. Yeah, I think it is number -- the second line from the
- 3 bottom of the page.
- Q. Is that 8, "Operational statements"?
- 5 A. Yeah, and even one above it, house-to-house, so
- 6 house-to-house at Hayfield Road, is that going to
- 7 identify witnesses to the restraint? The custody van,
- 8 the potential CCTV within the van, which again I say
- 9 that is an urgent action, let's get the technical
- support unit out as a matter of urgency to basically
- download that, which greatly assisted as well. CCTV in
- general, the wider aspect of a CCTV trawl: is there
- anything at all around public/private space CCTV that we
- 14 should prioritise that would give us a further
- indication of exactly what occurred at Hayfield Road.
- So there are various aspects of it, I mean, even to
- the media, the media was doing an appeal for
- information, their own witness appeal around passing
- 19 motorists, dashcam footage and so on and so forth. So
- 20 things such as that would have greatly assisted that
- 21 hypothesis and supported it as such.
- 22 Q. You've perhaps watched some of the evidence where we've
- 23 played different parts of CCTV, dashcam footage, mobile
- 24 phone footage; have you seen any of that?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. We understand from earlier evidence there was an issue
- with the CCTV in the van.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Tell us about that.
- 5 A. So, I mean, it would have greatly assisted because of
- 6 the position where the van was; if we had that footage
- 7 there, it would have almost picked up the actual
- 8 restraint and the incident with Mr Bayoh. So again, I'd
- 9 asked for the TSU to be called out, I think it was
- 10 through Colin Robson and eventually DI Dursley, I think,
- 11 to basically make that call around it's a Sunday morning
- but it couldn't wait until the Monday, until they came
- back on, so I wanted them called out to basically
- 14 examine did we have that footage that we could get that
- early insight into exactly what did occur there. So
- again, that was something that was considered and deemed
- a priority by myself.
- Q. We've heard that the CCTV in the van wasn't working?
- 19 A. I don't think it had been working for some time,
- 20 I gather.
- 21 Q. And then in relation to restraint, you've talked about
- 22 this as a hypothesis and --
- 23 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- things that you were pursuing, to consider that
- 25 hypothesis. Can I ask you, did you bear in mind that

23

24

25

1 Mr Bayoh was black and did you consider at that time a number of very public inquiries down south about 2 3 issues arising where black men in particular when they 4 are restrained by the police may have a poor outcome? 5 So the fact that Mr Bayoh was black didn't really have Α. any bearing on my direction at the time. If it was 6 7 a white male that had been restrained and died I would 8 have still carried out the same actions around that. 9 I was aware of a number of the incidents, investigations 10 down south, in particular regarding black males who have died following police contact or restraint. So that 11 12 was -- I was aware of that, I was aware of some of the 13 reviews that had been carried out around that. But 14 again, regarding the actions that I developed and put in 15 place at that time, there was no bearing on the fact that he was black, I would have carried out the same 16 actions and managed it the same way if it was a white 17 18 male. 19 So that knowledge or awareness didn't actually impact on Q. 20 the actions which you identified as requiring to be 21 carried out? No, I think, as any senior officer within 22 Α.

Police Scotland we were aware of these incidents down

south, in particular where restraint had been used or

either excessive force or the manner of handcuffing or

- 1 placing in cells or vehicles had eventually caused the
- 2 death of the particular individual. So again it was --
- I was very aware of that, but, as I say, the actions
- 4 I carried out would have been carried out if it was
- 5 a white male involved in this incident.
- Q. When you're talking about putting people in vehicles,
- 7 are you thinking of positional asphyxiation as
- 8 a possibility?
- 9 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 10 Q. So that was something else you were considering?
- 11 A. Yeah, and I mean I dealt with a death in custody whereby
- it was a male who was arrested in Edinburgh who had
- basically been placed into the rear of a police van face
- 14 down handcuffed, heavily intoxicated, and on arrival at
- 15 St Leonards custody suite was unresponsive and
- subsequently died. So again, I was very much aware of
- positional asphyxia and about the implications around
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. What was the cause of death in that particular
- 20 situation?
- 21 A. I'm not sure because I handed the investigation over.
- 22 Again, I was on call unfortunately and dealt with the
- initial stages of it.
- Q. Is that the case that although you're on call and maybe
- deal with the initial stages you don't always find out

1 what the outcome --2 Α. Yeah. 3 Q. -- ultimately is? 4 I simply handed that over to a further SIO at that time. Α. 5 I think I've asked you this, but is there any document Q. in front of you where we can see the hypotheses that you 6 7 identified and see how those progressed? Is there 8 anything in your policy file or ...? No, I don't think there is. No, I mean, it was 9 Α. 10 something I would -- I would probably detail if I was maintained as SIO, I would thereafter begin to note down 11 12 the hypotheses around it and how I've basically been 13 begun to eliminate them and how they have developed, or 14 if I have been unable to eliminate them as such. But 15 with the fact that I only really had that for the best part of 36 hours, I didn't detail those as such. 16 17 But they were very much in, as any SIO would explain to you, you know, I mean, that's the initial stages of 18 19 your thinking round about the development of a number of 20 hypotheses for exactly what occurred and it's that open 21 mindedness, it's about that transparency and 22 accountability round about let's not eliminate anything at this particular time. 23 Q. You talked earlier about the policy file containing high 24 25 level actions and decisions. Is the policy file

- 1 generally where you would expect to note down
- 2 hypotheses?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you prepared this largely, I think you said, on the
- 5 4th and then handed it over on the 5th?
- 6 A. Correct, yeah.
- 7 Q. But is there anything in here at all that would assist
- 8 the Chair when he comes to consider this document that
- 9 would even identify that you had identified hypotheses
- or anything along those lines?
- 11 A. No, I don't think there is around it. As I said, it was
- so fast-moving at the time that I was around, I don't
- think I detailed exactly what my thought processes were
- around the various hypotheses that I had.
- 15 Q. Looking back now, is that something that you maybe wish
- 16 you had done?
- 17 A. Yeah, I mean I think the policy files have came a long
- 18 way since 2015, you know what I mean, around about
- 19 a more thorough, detailed approach to what you're
- 20 actually completing and writing down as such. So again,
- 21 that was a period in time where the policy file which
- I was completing was really kind of quite short time
- 23 high priority actions and more so now I would probably
- 24 detail, and a lot of detail round about the hypotheses
- 25 that I was working through in my mind as such so that

- there is that rationale and accountability around it.
- 2 Q. Nowadays with the policy file is there a section that
- identifies an area where you should note down your
- 4 working hypotheses?
- 5 A. I'm not sure, to be honest with you, unless it's changed
- 6 recently. There wasn't, but it may have changed over
- 7 the last couple of years.
- 8 Q. But in terms of your own personal practice, that's
- 9 something that you now do, is note down hypotheses?
- 10 A. Yeah, it's something I've always done, not note down,
- but something I've always kept an open mind around about
- what exactly are we dealing with at this particular
- 13 stage. Now, in 2015, a number of people would
- 14 probably -- a number of SIOs would probably have written
- them down and detailed them, I worked them through in my
- 16 mind, you know. I mean, I took time to basically work
- out what we had and thereafter focus on what the
- priority hypotheses were, which were the ones we have
- 19 already discussed.
- 20 Q. So you've always worked through that --
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. -- process in your mind?
- 23 When did you start noting down those hypotheses in
- your policy file?
- 25 A. Erm ... I'm not actually that sure, to be honest with

- 1 you, I'd need to look back at the various policy files
- 2 that I've done since 2015 to be honest with you to give
- 3 you that answer.
- Q. But in 2015 it's not something that you were --
- 5 A. It's not something I was doing, no.
- Q. I just want to check, it's not that this is the only
- 7 incident where you didn't note down --
- 8 A. No, no.
- 9 Q. -- your hypotheses?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. It's a more recent development in your practice, is it?
- 12 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Thank you.
- 14 Can I move on and ask you a little about
- 15 Collette Bell's call to the police in the morning?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- Q. We've heard evidence from DS Dursley and DI Robson that
- they were very conscious that there could be a possible
- 19 link --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- between the reason she'd called the police and the
- 22 male and the events at Hayfield Road. Was that
- 23 something that was brought to your attention?
- 24 A. Yeah, it was brought to my attention by Colin Robson at
- 25 the briefing that I, myself and him had around about

1 10.00 -- between 10.00 and 10.30, round about the development of the various priorities and thereafter the 2 3 linked incidents, which is in my daybook. 4 So again, the aspect of Collette Bell, it was really 5 significant round about the call card and what that 6 detailed. 7 Q. Can I just ask you about the timings of that? We've 8 heard evidence that Collette Bell called at 8.36 in the 9 morning, that DC Mitchell and DC Parker were sent to the 10 house to do what they described as a welfare check on Collette Bell and the property, and they arrived at 11 12 approximately quarter past 9 in the morning and waited 13 for her to return to the house. Yeah. 14 Α. 15 She had a baby at that time about 15 weeks old, and she Q. was with her mother, Lorraine Bell. So at the time that 16 17 Mitchell and Parker were sent to the house, you weren't aware that there was a possible connection? 18 19 No. Α. 20 Were you aware that anyone had been sent --Q. 21 Α. No. 22 Q. -- to Collette Bell's house? So in terms of your involvement with that location, 23 you had no knowledge, influence or responsibility in 24 25 relation to that?

- 1 A. No, I can remember speaking to Colin around it and
- 2 saying how relevant that was and he explained to me that
- 3 this action was already in progress, I think he said to
- 4 me that Collette Bell was already at the office at that
- 5 stage.
- 6 Q. So by the time you had this briefing, between 10.00 and
- 7 10.30, you think Collette Bell had already been brought
- 8 to Kirkcaldy?
- 9 A. Yes, or was en route. One or the other. I think that
- 10 was the update that I got.
- 11 Q. So all the decisions that were made in relation to
- 12 Collette Bell and her property and being brought to
- 13 Kirkcaldy Police Office were not done by you?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. And in fact you didn't have any awareness until that
- 16 briefing with Colin Robson?
- 17 A. Yes, correct.
- 18 Q. So was it Colin Robson who was handling that matter
- 19 before he spoke to you?
- 20 A. Yes, and, I mean, because I'd said to him, I says, "Oh,
- 21 do we have someone basically actioned to basically go
- 22 and speak to Collette?" And he said, "It's all in
- 23 hand", he either said she's en route or she's in the
- office just now.
- 25 Q. Did you have any involvement with arrangements to bring

1 her into Kirkcaldy --2 Α. No. -- or taking her -- we've heard she went into the 3 Q. 4 number 1 interview room in Kirkcaldy, did you have any 5 involvement with that? 6 Α. No. 7 Tell us about this briefing with Colin Robson between Q. 8 10.00 and 10.30. So again it was to identify the priorities and again, 9 Α. 10 Colin has the call cards printed out in front of him, this would be the hard copies I believe at that time, 11 12 and we're talking through the various call cards that 13 appeared to be relevant to the incident that we're 14 dealing with at that time, and I think probably the 15 page ... it's at the top of that page: "Death in custody - Kirkcaldy." 16 Maybe just come down slightly. Sorry, go up, sorry. 17 Q. It's that aspect, "Death in custody - Kirkcaldy", which 18 Α. I've got DI Colin Robson opposite that. 19 20 Right, yes? Q. 21 Α. So again what you've got is that I've got Hendry Road 22 Kirkcaldy and it's quite exact timings, which is reflective of the call cards, so 07.14 hours, and Colin 23

has read out exactly what it said on the call card.

Q. So is this Colin Robson had brought call cards with him

24

25

- 1 to the briefing?
- 2 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 3 Q. And --
- 4 A. Yeah. So I've simply written down what he has given me
- 5 and I've asked him to -- I'm saying, "Who are the
- 6 callers, or who has reported that?" And you'll see
- 7 through the right-hand side of that there's "TST", and
- 8 then there's "Rep", which is reporter, and then there's
- 9 two names, I think Harry somebody or other and then --
- 10 Q. Right, I won't ask you to mention all the names but we
- 11 have heard evidence from a Harry Kolberg who was
- 12 a caller, and we've heard evidence he made two calls.
- 13 A. Yeah. So all I'm trying to indicate there is I've
- 14 identified that as an urgent action so TST is to take
- statement, so at that time when I'm going through the
- 16 call cards in my mind I'm basically saying, "Priority,
- 17 priority, let's get statements for these individuals",
- so the TST is the action I'm thereafter generating in
- 19 respect of that.
- Q. I can't read your writing there but then the other one
- 21 may be a Linda?
- 22 A. Linda Lambert, I think it is.
- 23 Q. And we heard evidence from a Linda Lambert.
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. So these were direct references to calls that had been

made to the police that morning? 1 That's correct. 2 Α. And then underneath, what does it say, is this you 3 Q. 4 noting down things from the call cards? 5 So, yeah, so Colin's reading the call card out to me, so at 07 -- he's basically what has been read out to me: 6 7 "African looking male chasing complainer's cars 8 jumping in front of cars and stopping them." 9 So again, all I've took is the main aspect of what 10 the call card says and thereafter developed the actions around that. 11 12 Q. Then the second thing that you have there is -- does 13 that say Hayfield Road? Yeah. 14 Α. 15 And what do you have here? Q. 16 Α. So: 17 "Hayfield Road Kirkcaldy. "0715 hours. Black male in possession of large 18 19 knife - white T-shirt, no jacket, 9-inch knife. Male 20 subdued - ASP strike / CS spray. 21 "Hospital - Victoria Hospital." And then there's two again witnesses above that 22 I think it's Joyce Joyce and Alan Pearson, I think it 23 is, and again that's the calls that have come in at that 24 25 time from the individuals.

- 1 So Colin's read the call card out and I've reduced
- 2 what he has said to me into that synopsis as such.
- Q. Where it says "male subdued", what was the reference
- 4 after that?
- 5 A. It's ASP strike, which is a baton strike.
- 6 Q. So you were aware at that time that the man had been
- 7 struck with a baton?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And CS sprayed?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And then the third entry is -- again, this a note from
- 12 the call cards?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. And we have a redaction in this section, which is in
- 15 relation to Collette Bell's address.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- Q. But where it says "Bell", can you tell us what you've
- said there?
- 19 A. Yeah, so again it's come from the call card:
- "Bell came into the house at [her address] to find
- 21 house trashed. She had received call from deceased's
- friend stating he had been assaulted by her boyfriend -
- assault took place about one hour ago in Kirkcaldy."
- Q. And we've got a redaction on the line under that, but
- what's the first entry there, does it say:

"(1st phone ..."? 1 2 Α. Erm ... 3 (Pause): "(1st phone in here / 2nd person ...)" 4 5 I cannot understand exactly what I've written there unfortunately. Something to do with -- I don't know if 6 7 there's been two calls or the phone back and there has been further communication around that. 8 So again was this from a call card or was this 9 Q. 10 information from -- (inaudible - overspeaking) --Yeah, I believe it was. I believe it was a call card, 11 Α. 12 yeah. And I've got an action there about, "Take 13 statement from Collette Bell", and that's that 14 discussion I said I had with Colin who informed me that 15 that's in hand. Q. So in terms of the instruction to take a statement from 16 17 Collette Bell, had arrangements already been put in place by the time of this briefing? 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 Did you approve those or did you have any input in that Q. 21 at all? It was -- I believe it was ongoing or it was about to 22 Α. 23 commence, but I did agree with the fact that she did 24 require interview. Can you explain us to why you agreed with that? 25 Q.

1 Α. She's a significant witness, I mean, in respect of 2 the -- she has contacted the police with a concern for 3 the deceased, so it was critical that we engaged with 4 Collette as soon as possible to find out what is the 5 background to this incident we're dealing with, can you fill in some of the blanks to support some of the 6 7 hypotheses that we -- that I have at that particular 8 time. And again, even who is the deceased at 9 Victoria Hospital. I mean, what is -- who is -- can we 10 identify who this is at this particular time. So she was a really significant witness. 11 12 Q. Did you have any input at that time about the death 13 message? 14 No. Α. 15 Q. Did you have any input into the wording of the death message? 16 17 No. Α. 18 Q. When or where it was relayed? 19 Α. No. 20 Did you -- were you aware at the time of this briefing Q. 21 whether there had been a death message relayed?

No, it hadn't been done at that time.

Did you ask, say, Colin Robson about the possibility of

25 A. No.

Α.

Q.

a death message?

22

23

24

1 Q. Why not? My understanding was she was either not at the office or 2 Α. 3 she was en route to the police office at that stage, so 4 it was very early on, my expectation was that we were 5 unsure exactly how relevant Collette Bell was at that particular time, although with my experience I thought 6 7 there was something significant with what she was 8 telling us. 9 Again, the aspect of death message to Collette at 10 that time didn't cross my mind to be honest with you, I wanted to get a more kind of updated version of 11 12 exactly what was she reporting, what was her concern, 13 who was she concerned about, and when was the last time 14 that she had seen the person that she was reporting 15 missing and so on, so again it was very early on with that so I was more concerned about, or more interested 16 17 in the fact of what does Collette Bell almost have to offer the investigation and provide us with that 18 information in respect of that. 19 20 MS GRAHAME: I do want to go into this in a little more 21 detail but I'm conscious that it's now 1 o'clock. LORD BRACADALE: That's a convenient point, we'll stop for 22 lunch and sit at 2 o'clock. 23 24 (1.01 pm)25 (The short adjournment)

- 1 (2.07 pm)
- 2 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame.
- 3 MS GRAHAME: Thank you.
- 4 Can I go back to something we asked about before
- 5 lunch, in particular the CCTV of the van?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Which van was it where the CCTV should have been working
- 8 but wasn't?
- 9 A. I've no idea, I was informed that there was a van with
- 10 CCTV at the locus.
- 11 Q. Right. We've heard about a custody van as it was
- 12 called, a transit van --
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. -- driven by the first two officers at the scene. Was
- that more likely to be the van that was being discussed
- 16 or --
- 17 A. I think there was only one van with CCTV potential at
- the scene.
- 19 Q. We've heard about a fish van, it was called a fish van,
- 20 but it was a much smaller van.
- 21 A. No, it's not that.
- Q. It wouldn't be that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So much more likely to be the custody van?
- 25 A. Yes, yeah.

- Q. And after you'd discovered that there was a problem with the CCTV, it wasn't playing, and you've told us that it hadn't been working for a while, did you carry out any further investigations into gathering in an explanation as to why that hadn't been working?
- A. No, I did not. I would have expected the divisional
  area to pick that up, Chief Superintendent Garry McEwan
  was the commander who was involved in the incident so I
  would imagine he would have picked it up, but certainly
  not for myself.
- Q. So why would Garry McEwan pick that up if -- you'd obviously wanted to identify CCTV for the purposes of your investigation --
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. -- and narrowing down the hypotheses. Why would
  Garry McEwan be the one to pick that up?
- A. So the information came back from the technical support
  unit representative that came out to examine the van and
  the CCTV that it was inoperational and it looked as if
  it hadn't been recording for some time was the
  information that came back to myself around that and
  I think it was discussed at one of the Gold Groups as
  well around that aspect of it.
- As SIO it's nothing I would have actually got involved in round about maintenance and repair of CCTV,

- 1 I would have left that to a divisional rep within that 2 particular area. So Garry McEwan is the divisional 3 commander of that particular area so I would imagine 4 Garry or the area commander, who was Nicky Shepherd at 5 the time, would have picked up on the aspect that it wasn't working, the fact that we had the T issue called 6 7 out, they would have detailed the fault with the system 8 anyway and it would have thereafter went through the 9 whole process of being repaired, I would imagine, trying
- Q. Right. So was it effectively as soon as you discovered that there wasn't any evidential value from the purpose of your investigation, your interest came to an end?

to come out and identifying it as not functional.

14 A. Yes.

10

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And thereafter any ongoing interest would be seen as maintenance and repair?
- 17 A. A local matter I would suggest it would be, yeah.
- Q. Right. Did you consider whether there was a reason behind the fact it hadn't been playing or working on that day?
  - A. No, however the information came back was it hadn't been working for some time, it wasn't just that particular day it hadn't been recording, but the information that came back, I think through Colin or through one of the other persons involved in the investigation, was it

25

1 hadn't been working for some time. So it wasn't just that day it hadn't recorded. I'm not sure exactly the 2 extent how far it went back but the information was it 3 wasn't just that day, the information that I received. 4 5 Did that information then lead you to think there was no Q. nefarious reason behind that? 6 7 Yes, absolutely. Α. Did you consider who commonly drove that custody van? 8 Q. 9 Α. No. 10 Q. And whether the first two officers at the scene we've heard evidence I think last year in the first hearing 11 12 that they often drove that particular van, did you carry 13 out any investigations in relation to why the situation had continued for some time? 14 15 A. No. As I said in the kind of introductory remarks, I was there as an on-call senior investigating officer 16 dealing with the critical nature of the investigation. 17 Areas such as that would have undoubtedly been picked 18 19 up, I would imagine, through the course of the enquiry, 20 but for myself dealing with that critical aspect of the 21 first days or first day of it, it was something that 22 wasn't a priority for me to be honest. 23 The other aspect is I wasn't aware who regularly drove that van, and I had no knowledge at all of the 24

officers involved in the incident, I'd never met any of

- 1 the officers before that were involved actually as the
- principal officers involved in it.
- 3 Q. We've heard that you were brought in from Lothian and
- 4 Borders because you were independent, if you like --
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. -- of the response team and those involved?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Just before lunch we were talking about Collette Bell.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I'd like to go back to that, and you talked about you
- 11 were not aware of the situation with Collette Bell until
- between around 10.00 and 10.30, when you had your
- 13 briefing --
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. -- from Colin Robson. And we looked at your daybook,
- PS18269, and you have talked us through some of the
- 17 entries within your daybook which related to information
- 18 from the call cards?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Just before lunch you said you weren't really sure how
- 21 relevant Collette Bell was at that particular time when
- you first became involved. I think you'd been advised
- or you were aware that there was a potential link
- 24 between the reason she'd made a call to the police and
- 25 the events in Hayfield Road?

25

Α.

1 Α. I would say it was more than a potential, it was 2 a significant link, I think I highlighted that. I mean, 3 to me that call was really significant, and it did 4 appear to link into the timescales and what had -- she 5 was reporting. So again, it wasn't just a potential then, it was a really significant line of enquiry. 6 7 I think you did say before lunch that she would be Q. 8 a significant witness or a significant person --9 Yeah. Α. 10 Q. -- for you to speak to --11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. -- as part of your enquiry. 13 And as well as noting the entries in the call card 14 which you have gone through, I think on the next page of 15 your daybook you do note down matters in relation to Collette Bell in particular? 16 Yeah. 17 Α. So you've noted down "Bell" and entries from the call 18 Q. 19 cards on the first page 4, but on the following page 20 there's entries next to "Collette Bell"? 21 Α. Yes. Can you explain when you prepared these notes? We'll 22 Q. 23 wait until they're on the screen. Thank you, that's 24 them.

So that must have been between 10.00 and 11 o'clock. It

- looks as if it's been whilst Collette Bell has been within the office being interviewed. I've got noted down at 10.20 hours the call from Keith Harrower at the PIRC which had detailed at the time of the call.

  Q. Is that the first sort of entry?
- ~
- 6 A. Yeah.
- Q. What does -- under the redacted passages, what does that actually say? It starts --
- 9 A. "Interview advisers."
- So again, I'm just kind of noting in my mind I'm looking for an interview adviser as well potentially, so this is just --
- Q. Would that be in relation to Collette Bell in particular or --
- 15 A. No, just in general, just for the investigation, yeah,

  16 yeah, it was just something I hadn't picked up earlier

  17 on in my priorities.
- 18 Q. So this is another priority that you're thinking about?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. And would that be in relation to interviewing witnesses?
- 21 A. In general, yeah, yeah.
- Q. And what sort of role did they take?
- A. They produced an interview plan for the interviewing
  officers, they would collate the relevant statements
  prior to that and produce an interview plan. Thereafter

- they'd brief who's going to interview the particular
  individual and develop a strategy around triggers,

  pointers in respect of that, areas to be covered. So
  again quite a significant role as well.
- Q. And then underneath that you've said you've noted a call at 10.20?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. With Keith Harrower from PIRC?
- 9 A. Yeah.

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. What was that in relation to?
- That was the first call from Keith identifying himself 11 Α. 12 as the PIRC lead officer at that time. So again, we had 13 a discussion at that stage that Keith was trying to 14 identify resources from PIRC, they may be a considerable 15 time, what his intention was to attend at Kirkcaldy Police Office obviously to lead on the investigation at 16 17 the direction of Crown, and that he would -- we spoke about, I gave him a brief, where we were with some of 18 19 the early stages of the investigation, just round about 20 some of the priority actions that I'd identified.

So again, going back into the previous pages of the book, round about the priorities, round about the various themes we'd identified at that time. And we kind of agreed that these would be the areas of focus at this stage and Keith indicated he'd give me a call later

25

1 on that morning once he began to make his way towards 2 Kirkcaldy. Did you have concerns about -- you have mentioned PIRC 3 Q. 4 resources, can you explain what impact those had on 5 their ability to come to Kirkcaldy and take the lead? Yeah. I mean, I think it -- I believe it was probably 6 Α. 7 the most significant deployment of PIRC at that 8 particular stage. They came online obviously in 2013, 9 just after Police Scotland and, as I said before, I had 10 previous dealings with them, mainly remotely, around deaths following police contact. 11 12 But I think it was the mechanics about how the 13 PIRC/Police Scotland investigation would thereafter 14 materialise and what that would involve. So again 15 I think it was the capability, capacity of PIRC at that particular time to respond to such a significant 16 17 incident caused me a little concern at that point, not 18 knowing exactly when they would arrive and what sort of resources they would attend with. I spoke to Keith 19 20 about kind of strategy moving forward and Keith had 21 indicated round about such as joint deployments of some of the more crucial witnesses or areas of note such as 22 23 joint crime scene manager deployment to Arran Crescent -- sorry, to Hayfield Road. 24

So again, because it was so fast-moving it was

24

25

1 really, really difficult to hold this back because it 2 was -- as I say, we had Collette with us, we knew we had 3 to trace Saeed, Martyn Dick was becoming relevant at 4 that particular stage as well, and because of the 5 fast-moving nature of it, it was really, really difficult to basically hold it back or stop doing what 6 7 you were doing at that particular time, and to Keith's 8 credit he agreed with that, the fact that we would just 9 simply progress the activities and the actions that we 10 were progressing at that particular time and I was saying to Keith I would keep him fully updated where we 11 12 were with it. 13 But it did give me a bit of concern round about how 14 practically that would work once PIRC arrived in respect 15 of ownership and direction and the ability to have the 16 capability and the capacity to respond to what we were actually dealing with. 17 Q. You talk about having difficulty holding back. 18 relation -- you've talked about -- at 10.20 there you've 19 20 noted the first contact with PIRC, with Keith Harrower 21 over the phone? 22 Α. Yes. Where was this sense of being held back coming from? 23 Q.

Was it the police feeling they should hold back because

PIRC were going to take the lead, or was that coming

1 from PIRC wanting the police to hold back? Erm ... I mean, I think the areas that I had identified 2 Α. 3 as priority I ran by Keith and said: look, my suggestion 4 just now, you are the lead investigator of this, this is 5 sitting solely with the PIRC, with support from Police Scotland, however we have such as Collette Bell 6 7 is essential, we need to trace Saeed, critical that we 8 speak to him, we're aware that the deceased had attended 9 Martyn Dick's house to watch the boxing, so again, Martyn Dick was concerned(?). 10 So there were areas that I'd spoke to Keith about, 11 12 about the relevance aspect of it and how crucial it was. 13 There were other areas we spoke about, about the 14 house-to-house, round about the priority of the scene at 15 Hayfield Road, again which we were happy to stand back from, secure it as it was at that stage and we would 16 17 thereafter look at the joint deployment at that stage of a crime scene manager from PIRC as well as folk from 18 Police Scotland round about production officers and 19 20 such. 21 So again, I think putting on hold -- I don't think 22 it was the case that we were putting anything on hold, I think it was just that I was giving Keith 23 an understanding the fact is that it was -- it would 24 25 have impacted on the potential success of the

1 investigation if we basically had such as, "Okay Collette, you're at the office but we can't speak to you 2 3 just now because we're waiting on PIRC", or, 4 "Detectives, don't go to speak to or try to trace Saeed 5 because we're waiting on PIRC attending". So that call is at 10.20 hours we don't have PIRC arriving until 6 7 13.35 hours. By that time of the arrival of PIRC 8 a great deal of these statements and the identification 9 of the priorities have either been progressed to 10 an extent where we know exactly or we potentially know or have an understanding of what's occurred. 11 12 So again it's really difficult because traditionally as an SIO I would fast-track a lot of these actions 13 14 around it, and I did do so that day as well, but again 15 through consultation with Keith that look, I know you're coming through to lead on but this is my suggestion that 16 17 we still progress some of these aspects of the 18 investigation. 19 Q. It sounds like although PIRC were lead investigators, 20 that the direction, the strategy, the actual tasks were 21 all being done by Police Scotland at that stage in the 22 morning? 23 A. Yes, they were, but it was through consultation with Keith who agreed that they would be the priority action, 24 25 so it was difficult for Keith to lead on

1 an investigation is actually not within that particular territorial area or he's not aware of some of the 2 3 competing challenges that we're facing. So, as I say, 4 through communication -- and there was a number of calls 5 we had during the course of the day before he arrived -it was around making sure that he was aware and he 6 7 endorsed as lead investigator and the lead agency being PIRC what we were actually progressing and taking on. 8 And thinking to your evidence earlier about the gold, 9 Q. silver command structure, you talked about the 10 investigation being part of the bronze investigator --11 12 Α. Yeah. -- column of work holding up this structure. Were PIRC 13 Q. effectively coming in to take over that element? 14 15 Α. Yeah, yeah, so --16 Not the whole thing? Q. No, no, the bronze investigation aspect of it at 9.35 17 Α. 18 when Craig Blackhall makes me aware that PIRC would want 19 to lead on it, they'd been appointed by Crown, I knew 20 eventually that once the arrival of PIRC and there was 21 an effective handover, that that bronze investigative 22 strand would sit solely with PIRC with the support of Police Scotland. 23 Q. But all the other work that Nicholson as gold command 24

was in charge of and the silver command, Garry McEwan

25

and the other bronze --

1

2 Α. Yes. -- members of that structure, they were still under the 3 Q. 4 charge of Police Scotland? 5 Α. Yes. So PIRC's only role was in relation to the investigation 6 Q. 7 side? That's correct, and I believe thereafter they got 8 Α. 9 a terms of reference from Crown Office, I think it was 10 the following day, round about exactly what they would take on as part of the investigation and what 11 12 Police Scotland would manage as such, I think that came 13 the day after in respect of that. Q. In terms of your ongoing role, you were SIO appointed by 14 15 a senior member of the police? 16 Α. Yeah. How did your relationship with PIRC develop after they 17 Q. did arrive at Kirkcaldy? I mean, you've talked about 18 19 them having a handover --20 Α. Yeah. 21 Q. -- and being in charge, but you've also told us today 22 that you continued to be involved for a while? Yeah, I did, and it was difficult to stand back from the 23 investigation when it was moving at such pace and we 24 were progressing so much activity and actions around it, 25

and, as I say, PIRC arrived round about 13.35 on 3 May. 1 At that time, there was a limited number of PIRC 2 3 resources that were available at that stage. We did --4 I did go into a briefing with Keith and some of the team 5 that arrived from PIRC as well as some of my resources, and we explained to them where we were and some of the 6 7 priority areas that we were focusing on and what 8 information, evidence, intelligence we had at that 9 particular stage, and these were thereafter the priorities around that. So that was almost 10 an investigative briefing/handover to the PIRC in 11 12 respect of where we were at that time. That was prior 13 to the 14.40 Gold Group. 14 However, I did continue to basically maintain some 15 sort of ownership in tandem with Keith Harrower around the enquiry through the course of that day because, as 16 17 I say, it was so fast-moving and we had significant resources from Police Scotland involved in it at that 18 19 particular stage. 20 How effective do you think that handover process was? Q. 21 Because we're -- we've heard evidence about PIRC come in 22 and they take over, they're the lead investigator, and there could be some suggestion that that appears -- that 23 24 they slot in seamlessly and Police Scotland step back. 25 What was the reality actually like on the day?

1 A. I think there's two points to that. I think the structure and the response by PIRC today is as you 2 describe about that almost seamless transition, that 3 4 PIRC come in, and would take on the investigation. The 5 challenges we had in 2015 was that there was no tried and trusted model round about how this relationship 6 7 would merge and work, and was it seamless? No it wasn't. I mean, there was challenges with it. 8 9 But we are in a far better place now in respect of 10 that because of collaborative working, because of testing, because of immersive training and so on and so 11 12 forth. But at that time it was difficult because we had PIRC resources, and again, round about the extent of 13 14 even areas such as forensic awareness and capability, 15 the crime scene managers, was it up to date, was that as accurate as resources we had from Police Scotland round 16 17 about such as forensic opportunities that existed at 18 that particular time? 19 I knew a lot of the PIRC resources that turned up 20 because they were ex-police officers, mainly from kind 21 of the Strathclyde area, so again I know they had 22 been -- they had left the police for a number of years. So again, I had slight concerns round about their 23

awareness of capability and also the capacity round

about the number of resources that turn up at that time

24

25

- to take on an investigation such as this, which was

  gathering pace, there was significant media attention

  around it. So it wasn't just investigative side, there

  were other areas that were playing out at that time.
  - Q. When you say you had concerns about their capacity, what do you mean by that?
    - A. Resources-wise. I think we had about -- I recall at one time we had probably about 20, 22 resources on it at one time from Police Scotland, detective officers involved in the investigation. I think at that day, I think they turned up with four or five PIRC.

So it was clear that PIRC on their arrival had to prioritise what they thought were the significant scenes around that. So again, that was developed through the course of the afternoon of the 3rd round about they would prioritise the Hayfield Road scene and some other areas that we were examining with Police Scotland, looking at -- I think the decision at that stage was Keith and PIRC to look at Hayfield Road and the deceased's remains as a scene and for the other remaining scenes to sit with Police Scotland to progress under the instruction of PIRC, but for our resources to basically manage them as such.

- 24 Q. So Police Scotland continued to manage those areas --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- and those scenes? 2 Can I ask you if you have any comments about --3 you've mentioned the PIRC officers who arrived were 4 formerly, you knew some of them from Strathclyde --5 Α. Yes. -- at that time, and they'd left the police service 6 Q. 7 years prior to their work in May 2015. Were you aware 8 of any differential in terms of the rank, you know, when 9 they'd left the police, what rank they were at? You 10 were a Detective Superintendent at that stage. 11 Α. Yeah. 12 Q. So a senior officer, and you had a number of inspectors 13 working beneath you --14 Yeah. Α. 15 Q. -- and sergeants and constables. And you've talked about the numbers you had. Above you, you also had 16 17 Chief Superintendents, you had an ACC in charge of the Gold Group? 18 19 Yeah. Α. 20 You've talked about the numbers of PIRC personnel, but Q. 21 what about their experience in the police force, the 22 ranks that they had reached before they left? Did you
  - A. Yeah, one or two of them, I did. One or two, they

investigations?

23

24

25

have any sense of their prior experience of police

- 1 worked under me in various parts of the country, so
- 2 I was aware of their capabilities.
- 3 Q. Do you remember what their names were?
- 4 A. Yeah, so John Ferguson, so John -- unfortunately he's
- 5 passed away now, but John was one of the crime scene
- 6 managers, when I was DCI he was a detective sergeant, he
- 7 was one of the crime scene managers in Lanarkshire when
- 8 I was a DCI in Lanarkshire so I knew John pretty well.
- 9 Q. And he'd been a sergeant?
- 10 A. He'd been a detective sergeant?
- 11 Q. Detective sergeant?
- 12 A. Yeah, yeah. So, as I say, I knew a number of them but
- I wasn't really aware of their full background. They
- 14 knew me as well. So ... but again, I think it was --
- Q. Did any of them have your rank --
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. -- for example?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. So no detective superintendents?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. What rank, from memory now -- had they been inspectors?
- 22 A. Yes, I'm sure they were inspectors or DIs and I think
- 23 Keith was a DCI, I may be mistaken, but I think Keith
- 24 was a DCI.
- Q. Keith Harrower?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 We'll no doubt hear more evidence about this in the Q.
- 3 future.

6

- 4 Α. Yeah, yeah.
- 5 So did that cause you any concern about the level of Q. experience that these former police officers had?
- 7 So the level of rank structure with PIRC or the previous Α.
- 8 ranks they were in didn't really cause me any concern,
- 9 it was more about how effective they could be in
- 10 an environment such as we were faced with, with the fact
- is that this was -- and I may be wrong -- I think this 11
- 12 was the first critical deployment en masse as PIRC
- 13 responding to a critical incident. Again, I might be
- mistaken with that, but that's my knowledge of it. 14
- 15 Q. We have heard this might have been their first
- involvement of a death in this situation. 16
- Yes, so it was -- as well as the circumstances and the 17 Α.
- nature of the death being extremely significant and 18
- 19 challenging and the fact is that it was very, very
- 20 unusual, what had occurred, we had the added or I had
- 21 the added, I would say it was a challenge of PIRC being
- deployed probably in the first instance to a critical 22
- incident and how that relationship would bed in and how 23
- 24 we would manage that.
- And again, I think I've noted that in my statement, 25

but there was a reluctance perhaps on my part to relinquish control of the incident due to the fact that I was conscious that it was moving at pace and I believed in the right direction at that stage, so, as I say, I had a bit of trepidation round about would it set it back, the investigation, if we'd done a complete handover at that particular time, and again that was the kind of reluctance I was thinking and feeling around it. 

- Q. So you were reluctant to hand over because of concerns that you had about where things might go?
- A. I think it was just the fact that, what could the PIRC actually do and take on with the numbers that they had when we had so many different priorities, as detailed in my daybook, all ongoing at that particular day. And that's even before we come to the status of officers and operational statements.

So again, if you look at some of the early priorities, that had to be managed and we had to deal with that at that particular time. We had five potential scenes which required a crime scene co-ordinator, a crime scene manager at every scene, production officers at every scene. So again the resources were so significant, and because of the relevance to it, because of the aspect that these were -- a number of these places were -- scenes were the

1 dwelling houses of basically witnesses, it was crucial we'd done what we had to do and handed them back to the 2 3 families and to the owners of the properties. 4 So again, there was things we couldn't delay and we 5 couldn't basically hold back on, we had to progress. So I did do a lot of that, but I did do it through 6 7 consultation with Keith to say, "Look, I know you're not 8 here yet but this is my next thought, this is where I'm 9 going with this. What's your thought round about status 10 of officers, for example? I mean, I see them as witnesses, what do you see them as?" 11 12 You'll probably come on this, but, as I say, there 13 was -- it was being managed from 9.30 remotely by PIRC by Keith being the DSI, deputy senior investigator, for 14 15 PIRC on call and being allocated the role to lead on it. In reality the incident's been ran by myself at 16 17 Kirkcaldy with the support of Police Scotland but I'm 18 keeping Keith up to date with where we are with it, and 19 there was that early lines of communication as expected 20 with it, and, as I say, there was no way there was any 21 friction or any animosity with that, that was -- I mean, it was completely fluid. 22 23 I was going to ask you if that reality had caused any Q. tension --24 25 Α. No.

- 1 Q. -- or concern?
- 2 A. No, not at all.
- ${\tt Q.}$  You mentioned earlier the terms of reference in relation
- 4 to PIRC and, as I understand it, is that essentially the
- 5 part of the instruction from Crown Office to PIRC?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. What clarity did you have about PIRC's terms of
- 8 reference?
- 9 A. So my understanding -- I don't think the terms of
- 10 reference came through until the next day. So in
- 11 general my understanding is that -- from Keith was that
- they had been asked to look at the aspect of the
- incident at Hayfield Road involving the restraint of
- 14 Mr Bayoh, the subsequent aftermath of that, with the
- 15 earlier part of the timeline as described earlier round
- about Arran Crescent, the involvement of Saeed,
- Martyn Dick, Kirsty, his partner, and also the motorists
- prior to the confrontation with the police officers at
- 19 that time. That would sit with Police Scotland.
- So that was the kind of early terms of reference
- 21 that Keith described to me that they would deal with
- particular aspects of it. So, for example, we're into
- detail round about the house-to-house parameters and
- 24 strategy, for example, once we began to look at the
- 25 priorities around that. Because the Hayfield Road site

1 was a high priority to do that, and we know from experience, I mean, it's -- you really need to get 2 3 detectives out there on the ground chapping doors 4 looking for any eyewitnesses in regard to this. 5 So again, the decision would be that -- and myself 6 and Keith discussed this -- that we would simply put 7 Police Scotland door-to-door, house-to-house, officers 8 in Hayfield Road but any significant witness who was 9 an eyewitness we came across that we would simply make 10 PIRC aware and PIRC would thereafter deploy staff and interview that particular person. 11 12 Q. So it was clear to you at the beginning that PIRC were 13 to focus on Hayfield Road --Yes. 14 Α. 15 -- and the events there. But even in relation to that Q. aspect, the house-to-house in that area had to be 16 conducted by Police Scotland officers? 17 That's correct. 18 Α. 19 But on the understanding that if you did come across any Q. 20 witnesses, they would be -- PIRC would be alerted and 21 they would handle that from there? That's correct. 22 Α. In relation to the earlier matters, so you're talking 23 Q. about -- we've heard evidence about the calls to the 24 police and Mr Bayoh being seen with a knife --25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- coming towards Hayfield Road. Who was in charge of
- 3 that aspect?
- 4 A. So that was ourselves, that was Police Scotland.
- 5 Q. So that was still Police Scotland?
- A. Yeah, yeah, so we had -- they were priority
- 7 actions that I had generated, as I showed you in my
- 8 daybook, round about TST, take statement from ~...
- 9 Q. Harry Kolberg and --
- 10 A. Yeah, so they were all high priority actions round
- about: let's get detectives out there just now and --
- 12 Q. And PIRC didn't have any involvement with that?
- 13 A. No. No, the subsequent involvement is obviously they
- 14 would go back and re-interview if they deemed it to be
- appropriate over the coming days or weeks.
- 16 Q. So on 3 May?
- 17 A. Yeah, that was Police Scotland.
- 18 Q. That was all Police Scotland.
- 19 Arran Crescent, Collette Bell's address?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. Was that Police Scotland --
- 22 A. That's Police Scotland, yes.
- 23 Q. So even though there was an apparent connection that
- 24 appeared to you to be made between Hayfield Road and
- 25 Arran Crescent, PIRC weren't involved with that aspect

of it? 1 2 So I'm not actually -- Arran Crescent, I'm sure that Α. 3 there was some sort of oversight with PIRC on that one, 4 but there were selected areas that they were looking at, 5 obviously there was a real relevance with it ... I'm sure it was Police Scotland, we put crime scene managers 6 and resources into that, but it may have been a joint 7 8 deployment, but I need to check on that, to be honest 9 with you, but it certainly wasn't PIRC on its own. If 10 it was anything at all it was a joint deployment. Then you mentioned earlier the name Martyn Dick. We've 11 Q. 12 heard evidence from Mr Dick. 13 Yeah. Α. 14 Was that a PIRC matter or was that Police Scotland? Q. 15 No, Police Scotland. Α. Anything else that was Police Scotland? What about the 16 Q. 17 family address of Zahid Saeed and tracing Mr Saeed? Police Scotland. 18 Α. Police Scotland as well? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yeah. 21 Q. And Collette Bell's house, Police Scotland? 22 Α. Yeah. The journey to Hayfield Road, Police Scotland? 23 Q. 24 Yeah. Α.

Martyn Dick's house, Police Scotland?

25

Q.

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. Zahid Saeed's family home, Police Scotland?
- 3 A. Yes.

Q. So was PIRC largely in charge of the events at Hayfield Road and then the hospital?

whereas PIRC didn't at that time.

- A. Yeah. I think it's unfair to say that they were only in charge of that. They were in charge of the full investigation, I mean, they had that strategic oversight of everything, the resources around the areas of detail that Police Scotland were involved in was because we had the capacity to basically manage these different areas
  - Q. Can you explain the concept of -- I know they were the lead investigators and you've now said they were in charge or they had oversight, in what way did they have charge over you and the actions that you were taking?
    - A. So that was down to communication. So the lead for PIRC, as I say, was DCI Keith Harrower and obviously I was the lead for Police Scotland for the investigative strand, and it was about that one-to-one communication between myself and Keith round about, "Keith, this is what I'm thinking, what are you thinking? This is where the priorities lie. How are we going to resource this, are you happy for us to progress this aspect or do you want PIRC involved in this?" So there was that

1 communication through the course of the day round about how we would look at managing the priority areas that we 2 3 had at that particular stage between police and PIRC 4 with the knowledge -- and it was always, and it was 5 always in my mind that there was no doubt that PIRC were the lead investigating agency around this, and that was 6 7 clear at 9.35 in the morning, I think the point I'm 8 trying to make was that to enable them to meet the 9 demands of the requirements of that day they required 10 significant resources from Police Scotland to assist 11 them with that. 12 Q. And as part of that, were you satisfied that you could 13 provide those resources and that those resources would 14 be available to PIRC? 15 Α. Yes. And were you satisfied that those resources would be 16 Q. independent? 17 From Police Scotland? 18 Α. Q. From the situation that had arisen in relation to 19 20 Hayfield Road which involved Police Scotland officers 21 from Fife Police or Police Scotland as they were but 22 from the Kirkcaldy area? A. Yeah. So I brought in a number of officers from outwith 23 the Fife area as well as Major Investigation Team 24 25 officers who came in as well to support the

1 investigation. There were one or two from Fife still involved in it, and that was due to the fact that some 2 3 of the significant actions were already, were already 4 moving and the officers had been involved in it and 5 I deemed it to be ... I didn't deem it to be competent to remove them from it when they had built up 6 7 a relationship or they had begun to, such as the two who 8 were dealing with Collette Bell were Fife officers. 9 So again, a lot of this was already in progress, so 10 to stop it and bring in other officers who -- a lot of them hadn't arrived at that time in the morning, they 11 12 were all kind of making their way to Kirkcaldy to get briefed around it. 13 Q. We've heard that a number of officers travelled to 14 15 Kirkcaldy office? 16 Α. Yeah. They weren't necessarily Kirkcaldy officers, they may 17 Q. 18 have been from other areas or other offices in the 19 Fife --20 Α. Yeah. 21 Q. Did you have a concern that any officers even from the 22 region of Fife was too close a connection? So myself and Leslie Boal discussed that quite early on 23 around if possible to utilise officers from outwith the 24 25 divisional area, and again that was really just to

1 protect them as well as to ensure transparency and 2 integrity with the investigation as it was progressing. 3 So again, we got officers from the surrounding 4 divisional areas as well as the Major Investigation Team 5 but because of the number of priorities that were sitting there we had to utilise some Fife resources who 6 7 were from outwith the Kirkcaldy area, but in general the strategy was to utilise officers from outwith 8 P Division, the Fife area. 9 10 Q. And where were the Major Investigation Team from? They're from all over Scotland, they're based in 11 Α. 12 a number of different hubs across the country and it was 13 just who was on duty at that particular time, but they 14 respond nationally to any demand. 15 Q. We may have -- in the future we may be hearing from Keith Hardie. 16 Yeah. 17 Α. Who, as I understand it, is from the Major Investigation 18 Q. 19 Team? 20 He was. Α. 21 Q. He was, and is he one of the people who came in to --22 Yeah, Keith came in at the end of day one, and the Α. intention -- one of the instructions of the ACC was that 23 24 Keith would -- this was moving kind of late 25 afternoon/early evening of the first day into a PIRC-led

1 investigation supported by the Major Investigation Team 2 of Police Scotland, and it was thereafter also moving on 3 to a HOLMES platform, which is -- which would manage the 4 incident as it began to develop. 5 Q. Thank you. I'd like to go back to the situation with 6 7 Collette Bell. 8 Yes. Α. 9 We were just about to look at your daybook entries in Q. relation to Collette Bell, so I wonder if we could do 10 that. I think we're on page 5. We can see under the 11 12 reference to the call with Keith Harrower from PIRC, you 13 have got the name Collette Bell written? 14 Yes. Α. 15 I wonder if you can tell us what you have here? Q. Yeah, so I think this information's came to me while 16 Α. 17 she's been at the office and I've been briefed in respect of it. I think it probably came through 18 Colin Robson. So: 19 20 "Collette Bell - [interviewed] IV..." Which is interviewed: 21 "Has child to him. Last spoke to him at 11.40 hours 22 last night and said someone had 'disrespected him'." 23 24 And I've thereafter put an arrow to: "Afternoon of 2 May." 25

1 And: 2 "Appeared under influence. 3 "Watching boxing at Martyn Dick's home address with 4 Zahid Saeed - mobile numbers have been provided for both." 5 6 Q. So on this page you have the names Martyn Dick and 7 Zahid Saeed --8 A. Yeah. 9 Q. -- mentioned. 10 What actions or steps did you take once you had that information? 11 12 Α. So the actions from that was obviously to trace 13 Martyn Dick and also for Saeed as well. 14 Do you remember that briefing with Colin Robson? Q. 15 Vaguely, yeah. It looks as if it's came directly after Α. the briefing to Keith, just with -- as I say, I've noted 16 17 a lot of this had a particular time around it. So it's ... 18 19 Q. Was that sort of mid-morning or ..? 20 I think this was -- it was before 11 o'clock, so it's Α. 21 whilst Collette's at the office. So she's still at Kirkcaldy Police Office at that time? 22 Q. 23 Yes, yes. Α. She's being interviewed at that time? 24 Q. 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you're getting an update from Colin Robson?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Were you aware at that time that Collette Bell had her
- 4 baby with her?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Had you been made aware that she was breastfeeding?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you know whether she had her -- were you made aware
- 9 that she had her mum with her?
- 10 A. No, I wasn't.
- 11 Q. Were you aware at this stage that a death message had
- 12 been passed to her just shortly before the statement was
- 13 started?
- 14 A. No, I wasn't.
- 15 Q. Had you been involved in any way with the decision to
- deliver that death message to Collette Bell?
- 17 A. No, I wasn't.
- 18 Q. Is that the sort of information you would have wanted to
- 19 know?
- 20 A. Yeah. So there are aspects of any investigation you
- 21 have to delegate and trust your officers to -- you know,
- 22 your DIs and DSs and detectives to take on and deal with
- 23 you. It's really difficult to try to manage under
- 24 a microscope every action you put out when it is really
- 25 moving at a really fast pace, I mean, I'm speaking to

1 Keith, Dave Green, one or two others. Mr Nicholson's 2 arriving, Leslie's going in as well as some of the other 3 actions you're looking to put out, as well as the 4 officers who are within the Kirkcaldy office at that 5 time. 6 So the briefing I got from Colin was very, very --7 was as briefly as probably I've noted down there. The 8 areas that you've asked me about he's not gone into in 9 respect of that. I wasn't actually aware until I heard 10 Collette Bell's evidence, for example, that she had attended with her child and her mother. So I was 11 12 unaware of that. 13 Is that the sort of thing you would have liked to have Q. 14 been made aware of? 15 Α. Yeah, and I don't know if Colin's probably used that, that it's under control and he has a grip of it and he 16 17 doesn't need to inform me around that, and there's enough going on that I'm dealing with that he's quite 18 19 happy to take that aspect away and manage it as such. 20 But as I say, I can see maybe why he's done it but it's

Q. If you had been made aware of the situation with

Collette Bell, the baby and breastfeeding and the fact

that a death message had been passed to her --

I would want to be aware of.

something as SIO, when it's as critical as that, that

21

- 1 Α. Yeah. 2 -- which we've heard was quite a shocking and upsetting Q. 3 moment for her, how would you have handled 4 Collette Bell, if you had been aware of all of that? 5 Yeah. I mean, there's a number of different options. Α. I think it was probably right to bring her to the 6 7 Kirkcaldy office. I wasn't aware, and it would probably 8 beneficial if her mother could maybe have taken her 9 child, but I appreciate she was breastfeeding and that's 10 obviously more difficult. So to be honest with you a mother and a child has no place in a police station as 11 12 witnesses, so again with hindsight it probably shouldn't 13 have been done there, we could have done it at a more 14 appropriate location, we've got a number of kind of 15 family suites across the country now that we can -victims of any kind of sexual abuse or historical crime 16 17 we can take them to, which is a more convenient 18 location. 19 Would you have had something available like that in Q. 20 2015? 21 Α. Yeah. So with hindsight, yeah, it's probably not the 22 best place to take a mother and child, and again the
  - wouldn't do these days, you know what I mean, it's

aspect, and a witness statement from her at the time

when she is extremely distressed is something we

23

24

1 something that we would give her time to basically be 2 consoled by her family and friends and we would make 3 arrangements over the coming days to go and get a more 4 thorough statement from her. The most important aspect of engaging with Collette 5 would be to get that initial information, which doesn't 6 7 need to be in a statement form at that particular stage, 8 it's really just about: you've called the police, can 9 you explain why you've called the police? And 10 thereafter we kind of went subsequently and noted a statement from her. 11 12 Q. Could that have been more of a conversation to get the 13 information you needed? Yes, and it normally is. The first run-through of any 14 Α. 15 statement is a conversation, you don't initially write 16 the statement as soon as the person sits down, you will 17 say to them, "Look, tell me exactly what happened". And 18 they will run through exactly what the statement 19 eventually consists of as such. But that first full 20 recall, as we put it, during any interview is just 21 a verbal recall. 22 When you said give her time and then you talked about Q. 23 maybe in the next few days, I think you said? 24 Α. Yeah.

Would you have gone back at a later stage, not even

25

Q.

- 1 necessarily that day?
- 2 A. Yeah, yeah, it's -- you know what I mean, it's -- she is
- 3 a witness, a significant witness, but the information we
- 4 had verbally from her would have allowed us to
- 5 for example identify Martyn Dick's relevance, or Saeed's
- 6 relevance as well because she gave us mobile numbers for
- both of them, for example. That we already had, but and
- 8 again, it's easy to be critical of the officers now,
- 9 looking back, and I think they've done it for the best
- intentions, I mean, it's -- I mean, they would have been
- informed by Colin to note a statement from her, and
- again it seems really hard and cold in the cold light of
- day that that's what we did do and retrospectively we
- 14 could probably have stood back from it and said, "We'll
- 15 come and see you in two days' time, can you give us
- a phone when you're ready to speak to us", but they did
- do it with the best of intentions to do that, but
- 18 I appreciate the sensitivity around that.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of an SOP that requires a statement to be
- taken as soon as possible?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. We heard from Collette Bell that she also gave
- 23 information to the officers about Kadi Johnson, who was
- the sister of Mr Bayoh and was his next of kin.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Were you aware of Kadi Johnson as being the next --
- 2 A. I was, yes, just after the briefing from Colin Robson
- 4 Q. Was that information given to you by Colin Robson or at
- 5 a later stage?
- A. It wasn't at that time but it was later that I was made
- 7 aware that we believed that the next of kin was
- 8 Kadi Johnson.
- 9 Q. Do we see that on your daybook there?
- 10 A. I see just at the bottom of that page, I note Kadi's
- name is not mentioned but there is an aspect of FLO:
- 12 next of kin and equality diversity considerations, just
- at the bottom of the page.
- Q. And that was, that related to the next of kin?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Does it mention Kadi Johnson --
- 17 A. It doesn't, no.
- 18 Q. -- anywhere there? It may be that there's an entry in
- 19 your policy file?
- 20 A. I think there is, yeah.
- 21 Q. Do you want to have a look at your policy file? Now,
- I think at some point you do mention at page 61462,
- 23 which is decision 13, there may be a reference there to
- 24 Kadi Johnson at that stage.
- 25 (Pause)

- 1 I mean, this may have been a later entry. Sorry, is that decision 14? 2 Α. 13, I think, it will be 61 -- it's the right page on the 3 Q. 4 screen. 5 Oh, yes, yeah. Α. 6 Q. And the name Kadi Johnson is there? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. It says: 9 "Next of kin - sister." 10 Α. Yeah. So, at least by this stage in the file, I don't think 11 Q. 12 there's a time given there, there is a time at the 13 bottom but you've already told us that this is prepared 14 retrospectively? 15 A. Correct, yeah. And I think your Inquiry statement says the timings on 16 Q. 17 the pages aren't necessarily the time of the event, rather they are the time you completed this or 18 19 a recollection? 20 A. Yes, a recollection. 21 Q. Were you aware, when Collette Bell was in the police
- A. No, I wasn't.

22

23

25 Q. And we've heard evidence from Collette Bell that he had

a child with another lady, Connie Barcik?

station, that she'd also mentioned that Mr Bayoh had

- 1 plans to meet Connie that day and to have contact with
- 2 his child. Were you aware that Connie existed?
- 3 A. No, I wasn't. That information didn't come to me, no.
- 4 Q. Had you been aware at that time that Mr Bayoh had plans
- 5 that day and Collette Bell was concerned she didn't want
- 6 Ms Barcik to be standing waiting with her child for the
- 7 handover, what would you have done if you'd known?
- 8 A. I mean, the priority was informing the next of kin,
- 9 Kadi, of the death of Mr Bayon. Thereafter, I mean, in
- 10 general the conversation would be for: would Kadi mind
- 11 whether or not we made contact with his ex-partner to
- 12 basically inform her around this matter? So I wouldn't
- automatically have went directly to Connie at that
- 14 stage. The priority was more about the next of kin and
- the family being informed of the death.
- Q. So if you'd been aware of the existence of Kadi Johnson
- 17 as next of kin when Collette Bell was still in the
- 18 station, what would you have -- what actions would you
- 19 have instructed if you'd been aware of that?
- A. Had been aware of?
- 21 Q. Kadi Johnson as next of kin. We heard evidence from
- Collette Bell that she passed that information to the
- police officers.
- 24 A. She did, yeah, yeah.
- 25 Q. You've said that you weren't aware of that when you took

- 1 your notes in your daybook.
- 2 A. No.
- Q. If you'd been aware in the morning when Collette Bell
  was in the police office, what steps would you have
  taken in relation to Kadi Johnson?
- So we were looking to deploy -- as one of the early 6 Α. 7 priorities was the identification of family liaison 8 officers to deploy to the family, and this came in 9 an early discussion between myself and Keith Harrower 10 when we spoke about the early priorities was -- one of them was the identification of family liaison officers, 11 12 and there was discussion with Keith at that time, and 13 Keith had indicated that he'd rather have trained family 14 liaison officers from Police Scotland deployed to the 15 family at the initial stages. And thereafter what that did bring was complications about identifying suitable 16 17 family liaison officers that were on duty within Police Scotland at that particular time. 18
- 19 Q. What were the difficulties?
- 20 A. So there was a number of challenges, we didn't have
  21 anyone on within the divisional area that was trained
  22 and there was no one on within the surrounding divisions
  23 that we could find. I think the nearest one was Dundee
  24 or Perth, Aberdeen I think we had identified, and what
  25 we had also which further complicated matters was when

1 I'd actioned Colin Robson and I spoke to Stuart Houston about this as well, about identifying suitably trained 2 3 family liaison officers, and I think I spoke to Keith Hardie also about it. 4 5 The STORM/SCoPE systems were a personnel system which identifies who's on for a particular skill, so you 6 7 can -- there was a drop-down menu you can put on, 8 a drop-down menu that you can look at, put in "family 9 liaison officer" and who's on duty at that particular time or who's available. 10 The initial aspects of that were inaccurate, and 11 12 I was getting a feedback from Colin Robson that the 13 person they thought was on duty was not on duty, so 14 there was a delay with that as well. The two that we 15 had identified, who were from northern divisions, were 16 going to take a significant amount of time to basically 17 come down from where they were, and there was discussions, as I say, between myself and Keith Hardie 18 at the time round about: was there any suitable MIT 19 20 resources on that were family liaison trained around it? 21 So we had identified -- there was no one on from the 22 MIT who were family liaison trained, as I can recall, but we had trained family liaison officers from --23 I think they were based out in Edinburgh, that were off 24 25 duty, one being Kevin Houliston and I believe from

consultation I think it was Keith Hardie that contacted 1 2 him, or Colin Robson. I think it's Keith because he was 3 part of the MIT structure at that time. And we 4 basically asked or recalled Kevin Houliston back to 5 duty, and we identified, I think, a further female within one of the other divisions who was off duty, and 6 7 again asked her to recall to duty at that particular 8 stage, and asked both of them to make their way to 9 Kirkcaldy Police Office for a briefing. 10 Q. I'll come back to that, if I may. Yeah, yeah. 11 Α. 12 MS GRAHAME: I'm conscious of the time for the transcribers. 13 LORD BRACADALE: A 15-minute break. 14 (3.03 pm)15 (A short break) 16 (3.18 pm)17 (Proceedings delayed) 18 (3.24 pm)19 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Grahame. 20 MS GRAHAME: We were talking just before the lunch about the 21 FLOs and appointment of the FLOs to family members. You gave me a number of issues that caused difficulty that 22 23 day, if I can describe it as that. 24 Α. Yes. Q. Can we go through those individually just to get more 25

1 information about this.

2 The first was you described them as -- there as

3 being an inaccuracy in the information that you were

4 able to obtain from a drop-down menu. Was this on

5 a computer system?

A. Yeah. So this came from Colin Robson, that he was

7 trying to identify suitable FLO resources, and we have

8 a system called SCoPE, which is a personnel system that

9 all police officers have access to, but within that they

10 have a search facility that you can search for

11 specialisms, so crime scene manager, CBRN, interview

12 adviser, various things like that.

13 Q. Family liaison officer?

20

21

25

14 A. Yeah. So again you can put that and then you can look

at who's on at that particular time when you're actually

doing the search for it, who's on duty. And the

information came back to me subsequent to that was that

it would appear there was inaccuracies on that system,

that the person that appeared to be on duty when Colin

or one of his staff had contacted them to see for them

to come out, they were made aware that they were off

22 duty. So again that was the initial delay with it,

23 which took us a bit of time to work out exactly, well,

24 who was it who was on duty that was FLO trained as such.

Q. Who was it that was on duty that was FLO trained?

- 1 Α. So Kevin Houliston -- sorry, we couldn't find anyone who was -- sorry, the two that I think I've mentioned --2 3 I think there was one in Dundee and one in Perth, and I think there was one other, I think, in Aberdeen 4 5 area -- that we could find that were on duty, but it was going to take a bit of time for them to actually come 6 through to, obviously, Kirkcaldy to manage this. 7 8 When you allocate or obtain the services of a FLO Q. 9 trained officer, are they based in the area that you're 10 working in, or do they --11 Α. No. 12 Q. -- come from anywhere in Scotland? 13 Anywhere. It's a national specialism, so they could Α. 14 come from anywhere. 15 Q. So is it always the case that, when you're trying to find a FLO, that they could be coming from different
- 16 parts of Scotland? 17
- 18 Α. Yeah.
- 19 Q. And on this occasion you talked about the distance 20 between northern division, is that because the officer 21 who was FLO trained was --
- 22 Yeah, it would be, I think -- as I say, this was coming Α. to me from Colin. I think the officer, there was one in 23 24 Dundee and there was one, I think ... I may be wrong, 25 I thought it was Aberdeen, I thought it was A Division,

1 I think that was given to me, and it was going to take a bit of time for them to actually attend to take on the 2 role of FLO, which was going to cause some further 3 4 unnecessary delay. 5 Was that because of the travelling distance --Q. 6 Yes. Α. 7 -- between Dundee or Aberdeen, wherever they were? Q. Yeah, yeah. 8 Α. Were they actually on duty there, though? 9 Q. 10 Α. The information I got was that they were on duty, yeah. So did you look elsewhere for someone --11 Q. 12 Α. Yeah, yeah. -- who was closer? 13 Q. So I'd spoke to Keith Hardie around this, just that: was 14 Α. 15 there anyone within the MIT structure, Major 16 Investigation Teams, that were on duty at that time that 17 were FLO trained? And I don't think there were at that 18 time across the country on a Sunday morning within the 19 MIT cadre that were on that were FLO trained. And, 20 again, subsequent to that we identified -- and I believe 21 both of them were off duty, one of them being 22 Kevin Houliston, and I can't recall the second officer's 23 name, but we thereafter made arrangements for them to be recalled to duty, to come back on, to basically attend 24 at Kirkcaldy for a briefing around that. 25

- 1 Q. And where was Kevin Houliston based?
- 2 A. He was at home.
- 3 Q. And how far away was he from Kirkcaldy?
- 4 A. I think he was within the both Lothians and Borders
- 5 area, I'm not sure exactly where his home address is,
- 6 but I think he lives in that -- in that area.
- 7 Q. A relatively short geographical distance?
- 8 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 9 Q. And you mentioned earlier another officer, a female
- 10 officer, you can't remember her name.
- 11 A. I think she was in the same general area, but again
- 12 I was just getting this from Keith and from Colin.
- Q. Who did you contact or speak to, to have them recalled
- 14 to duty and to travel to Kirkcaldy?
- 15 A. So that was -- I spoke to Leslie Boal about this as well
- 16 because of the delay. So we had -- I think it was
- 17 Keith Hardie that arranged for both of them to basically
- be recalled to duty and attend.
- 19 Q. Were they MIT?
- 20 A. I've a feeling they were, yeah. Yeah, I think Kevin was
- 21 with the Major Investigation Team at the time.
- Q. When did they arrive at Kirkcaldy?
- 23 A. So they arrived I think about 2 o'clock.
- 24 Q. And is that something we can see in your daybook?
- 25 A. I'm not sure if it is, to be honest with you, I need to

1 check. Please feel free. 2 Q. So I think it's down at the page ... the 1140 hours 3 Α. 4 Gold Group. 5 Right. Let's go to page -- I think page 4 was the first Q. 6 sort of main ... and then 5 --7 Α. Yeah. 8 -- we were looking at just before the break. Q. 9 Yeah, so number 7 there. Α. 10 Q. On the right-hand side? 11 Α. Yeah. 12 "FLO - 2 x DI MITs called out and DC from Tayside 13 MIT." 14 So I think it was that aspect that I had updated the 15 Gold Group that we were having problems and we'd spoke to one of the DIs in the MIT, I thought it was the --16 17 I know I spoke to Keith Hardie around it, but I don't know if Colin had maybe spoke to one of the DIs in the 18 19 MIT as well, detective inspectors, and we were trying to 20 resource that whole functional area, which was really 21 challenging on a Sunday morning. And do we see in your daybook anywhere the time that 22 Q. they arrived? 23 No. However, as I say, that's ... that was an update at 24 Α. 25 the Gold Group at 11.40, which ran through til certainly

- 1 over an hour, so it would have been around about 2 1 o'clock or thereabouts, but I've a feeling that they 3 had not arrived because I hadn't -- I know I had spoke 4 to Leslie Boal around about 2 o'clock around the fact 5 that the delay was now becoming significant, and we thereafter basically just suggested -- we came to the 6 7 agreement we would simply deploy officers within the 8 divisional area who were attached to the investigation 9 at this time because we couldn't delay the delivery of 10 the death message any further.
  - Q. Explain, in a perfect world, how long would you like there to be between --
- 13 A. Yeah.

11

- Q. -- identifying the death of someone, and you'd already passed a death message to Collette Bell.
- 16 It's such a significant action and it's such a high Α. 17 priority action. Even back then, I mean, it's 2015, 18 it's crucial that you get that information to the family 19 as soon as possible. And in general the system works 20 very, very well, we do get that message out very quickly 21 to the family. It's one of the significant regrets 22 I have about being an SIO on that particular day about 23 the delay with the message going to the family. And it was ... there is absolutely no doubt, in a perfect world 24 we would have liked that message -- and I would have 25

- 1 certainly wanted that message to be delivered as soon as 2 possible, certainly within an hour, an hour and a half 3 of -- the problem usually is, is about identifying the 4 resources and getting them to come for a briefing to the 5 office, which takes normally around about an hour, hour and a half, 90 minutes, but certainly within a kind of 6 two-hour window you would be looking for a deployment, 7 8 once you've got that general identification of who you believe it is to go out. So I would've expected by 9 10 1 o'clock in normal investigations we would have had 11 that message delivered. 12 Q. So from the -- we've obviously heard about Collette Bell 13 getting the death message at a stage where, although 14 there hadn't been a formal identification --
- 15 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- there was a view taken that it was likely to be her partner.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And would it be -- you've talked about one to one and a half hours or a two-hour window, would that be from that point, essentially the moment you're giving the death message to Collette Bell?
- A. The moment we've got almost a 95%, 99% identification
  who it is, from that time -- which I believe we were
  about 1045 hours that day -- so certainly within the

1 space of 90 minutes I would have expected. And in 2 general it does happen as quick as that. And again it's 3 with regret just due to the circumstances, the 4 inaccuracies of the system, the aspect of travel for 5 some of the identified family liaison officers, and thereafter having to recall family liaison officers back 6 7 on to duty again that we, through agreement between 8 myself and Leslie Boal and ... that we decided we would 9 simply deploy two officers, and it was the two officers 10 that delivered the death message to Collette, so we directed them to speak to the family and inform them of 11 12 the death of Mr Bayoh. 13 LORD BRACADALE: Could I just clarify a matter, please, 14 Detective Chief Superintendent. 15 You said earlier that you weren't aware of the death message being passed to Collette Bell at the time. Did 16 17 you become aware of that at some point in the day and, 18 if so, when was that? 19 A. It was round about -- certainly before the first 20 Gold Group, so it was between 10.45 and 1130 hours. 21 Before I went into the Gold Group, I was aware of the death message having been passed. 22 LORD BRACADALE: And did you know about the terms of that 23 24 death message? I didn't, no, sir. I didn't. 25 Α.

- 1 MS GRAHAME: And how long were you involved in trying to
- 2 locate a suitably trained FLO?
- 3 A. So I wasn't personally involved in it. As I say, I'd
- 4 spoke to Colin -- Stuart Houston I think had been
- 5 involved in it as well, as well as Keith Hardie --
- 6 around identifying anyone who was on duty that could
- 7 attend quickly to basically take that on. So I'd
- 8 directed the actions around the three individuals to
- 9 identify a suitable resource to come to Kirkcaldy for
- 10 a briefing prior to being deployed to the family.
- 11 Q. What was it that prompted you to call Leslie Boal to
- discuss the issues with the FLO?
- 13 A. So Leslie was within Kirkcaldy at that time. She was
- there for the first Gold Group at 11.30.
- Q. So when was it you actually raised the issue with
- 16 Leslie Boal --
- 17 A. So --
- Q. -- about the FLO?
- 19 A. So it was round about -- so again I'd spoke to Leslie
- when she arrived at Kirkcaldy office, which was round
- about, I think about 10.30 or thereabouts, she was
- 22 coming through for the Gold Group with ACC Nicholson.
- 23 So I'd spoke to Leslie round about some of the priority
- 24 actions that were ongoing, and we spoke about the FLO,
- and I was saying that we were trying to identify

suitable resources. I think we'd identified, as I say,

one in Dundee, I think it was, that they were having to

travel a distance.

So she knew at that time that there was potential issues with the identification of a suitable resource, and again thereafter round about, I think it was about five to 2 or thereabouts, I'd spoke to Leslie around about -- my concern round about the fact that this was causing a significant delay.

We knew that Kevin Houliston and one other were coming out at that time, but they hadn't still arrived. So, again, because of now three hours after the death message being delivered to Collette, we couldn't wait any longer on that and, as I say, it's with regret that it did take so long.

- Q. And after Houliston and the other officer arrived, were they briefed at some stage as FLOs?
- A. Yeah. So, I don't know if you want to get into this later on, but this is round about the aspect of: what we had at that time was Kevin Houliston and one other arriving round about 2, 2.30. The decision was made prior to their arrival to deploy Parker and Mitchell to deliver the death message to the family around about 2.30, as far as I can recall, 2.35, and they did attend and deliver a death message to Kadi Johnson at that

1 time. 2 Kevin Houliston had arrived at that stage, however 3 what we were getting and what came back from the 4 feedback from Parker and Mitchell who had attended, who 5 had contacted subsequently Dursley and thereafter Robson, that the information that they had passed that 6 7 there was friction between Police Scotland and 8 Kadi Johnson and the family because of the information that had been passed, and they were looking for some 9 10 further information regarding how Mr Bayoh had ended up deceased. 11 12 Q. Can I take you through that --13 Yeah. Α. -- period of time a little bit more slowly. 14 Q. 15 You had been advised that Kevin Houliston and 16 another officer were being recalled to duty. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And they were going to make their way to Kirkcaldy. 19 Α. Yeah. 20 They were both trained FLOs. Q. Yeah. 21 Α. 22 And they arrived, I think you said earlier about 1400; Q. 23 you're now saying maybe nearer 1430? It was between 2 and 2.30, as far as I can recall. 24 Α. Is there anything in your daybook or your policy file 25 Q.

- that would assist us in identifying the time that they
  arrived?
- A. No, I don't think there is, but I can recollect they

  were there at the time that the feedback came from

  Mitchell and Parker to -- back into myself round about

  the first death message being delivered. So they were

  there at that time, and that was round about 3 o'clock,
- 9 Q. Can you help us understand why -- there's already been
  10 a delay, you've explained your concerns about that
  11 delay --

so they were definitely there at that time.

12 A. Yeah.

- Q. -- but you know that trained FLOs are on their way to

  Kirkcaldy, they're coming from the Lothian and Borders

  area.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. Why did you decide not to wait for the trained FLOs to arrive?
- A. Because there was that time delay again on them

  attending and thereafter being subsequently briefed

  around what we had and what we were dealing with and, as

  I say, the decision with myself and Leslie Boal was we

  would simply deploy officers to deliver the initial

  death message and thereafter we would deploy FLOs, as

  soon as they arrived, with a more thorough explanation

occurred.

- around what had occurred to the family and again to
  engage with the family at that time. So it was mainly
  due to the fact that both of us agreed that we couldn't
  delay any further. We knew they were on their way, but
  again it was going to take a bit of time for them to get
  there and also for -- to be briefed around what had
- 8 Q. What checks did you have carried out in relation to how
  9 long it was going to take them to arrive at Kirkcaldy?
- 10 A. I think I recall being told they were there within the
  11 hour, because they were coming on -- they were off duty,
  12 they were having to come back on to duty, so the
  13 information passed was round about within the hour.
- Q. And when did you receive that information?
- 15 A. When I was informed that they had identified Houliston 16 and one other to come out.
- Q. When was that?

- 18 A. That was round about -- I think it was about quarter to
  19 2, or -- between quarter to 2 and 2 o'clock.
- Q. Why did you decide it was a better option not to wait for that hour and to send two untrained DCs?
- A. It was just the delay. It was going to delay it another
  hour, another hour and a half. So by that time there
  was significant information within the community that we
  were picking up, there was information within the media

1 that we were picking up as well at that time and, as 2 I say, it was a decision we made to deploy untrained 3 FLOs to -- untrained -- not trained FLOs to the family 4 to deliver that initial death message. 5 Looking back at events now, you're aware of the Q. 6 situation --7 Α. Yeah. -- and the difficulties that arose; reflecting on that 8 Q. 9 decision now, do you think you should have waited until 10 you had trained FLOs in place? I genuinely don't think it would have made any 11 Α. 12 difference. I think the issue, with hindsight, is that 13 it would have been probably far more beneficial to 14 deploy PIRC FLOs who were independent to the incident 15 into the family unit, other than deploying Police Scotland FLOs when we have Police Scotland 16 17 officers involved in the restraint. So that's my reflection on it, I think -- and I know 18 that there was real issues round about PIRC FLO 19 20 resources being identified on that Sunday. I did speak 21 to Keith around that on a number of occasions, and 22 I think Leslie had spoke as well at the Gold Group, that 23 it was an opportunity for PIRC FLOs to deployed, but again there was no opportunity round about PIRC FLOs 24 being deployed on the Sunday we were informed. 25

1 So, with hindsight, would it have been more beneficial for Police Scotland FLOs to be deployed? 2 3 Yeah, probably. Would it have made much difference to 4 the potential issues and friction that came between the 5 family unit and Police Scotland officers at that time? I don't think it would. I think it would have been 6 7 probably more beneficial, with hindsight, that we 8 deployed PIRC FLOs who could have given a more 9 independent, transparent overview of their role, which 10 is independent from Police Scotland, and that may have assisted the family to perhaps understand that there was 11 12 now an independent body now coming in to investigate 13 exactly what had occurred. Q. First of all, is there anything else that you could have 14 15 done to speed up the arrival of Houliston and the other officer who was a FLO? 16 I don't think so. I mean, other than we identified them 17 Α. 18 at an early stage, that we were going to have to recall 19 individuals from being off duty. I think the surprise 20 was for a national force at that particular time to have 21 no FLOs on duty within that particular central belt area

23 and the nearest FLOs that we could get on duty were in 24 some of the northern divisions, so again that was ...

was something that we learned was pretty significant,

25 that was one of the challenges we faced.

```
1
                 As I say, I mean, it's something that ... I mean,
 2
             I significantly take learning from it about the aspect
 3
             of, I mean, early deployment of trained FLOs to the
 4
             family unit. But at that time, because of the
 5
             circumstances of the incident, it may have been
             beneficial that the deployment of FLOs came from PIRC
 6
 7
             and not from Police Scotland.
             When you use the phrase "pretty significant" in terms
 8
         Q.
 9
             of -- was it PIRC you meant didn't have -- you were
10
             referring to? PIRC didn't have trained FLOs was pretty
             significant --
11
12
         Α.
             No, I think --
13
             -- or Police Scotland trained FLOs?
         Q.
             Yeah, Police Scotland trained FLOs, to be honest.
14
         Α.
15
             I mean, it's -- for an organisation the size of what we
16
             are, or were, to have no on-duty capability at that time
17
             was surprising to me, and the fact that we had to look
             at some of the northern divisions to look at resourcing
18
             a critical incident was, again, pretty significant that
19
20
             we had to look as far afield as that. And also, as
21
             I say, the challenge with PIRC not having the capacity
22
             to call out FLOs from their cadre was an additional
23
             challenge.
            Whether we're thinking about PIRC FLOs or
24
         Q.
             Police Scotland FLOs, have they -- what skills do FLOs
25
```

have to help that liaison or interaction, engagement
with families?

- A. Yeah, they do. I mean, they have -- they went on a training course about that interaction round about the role of the PIRC as well as being -- the role of the FLO as well as being that direct link into the family of a deceased person, they're also part of that whole investigative structure as well. So it's a joint role in respect of that.
- So, and again a lot of the -- although they are trained, a lot of it comes with their deployment and their experience, and the real benefit of having an experienced family liaison officer involved in any significant investigation, the benefits they bring is really significant in my experience. So ...
  - Q. Can you give us some further explanation of that?
  - A. No, I think it's just that, as well as answering the questions from the family, you know what I mean -- I'm talking about homicides in general, or even child deaths -- as well as answering some difficult questions from the family, they can also assist the investigation with some of the antecedence around what's occurred and what the family unit are asking around, and again potentially point the investigation in a particular manner.

22

23

24

25

1 So the role is, as I say, it's almost a dual role of 2 being part of the investigation team but also being that direct link into the family unit. 3 4 Q. I was going to ask you about that, because we have heard 5 some evidence about their benefits in relation to the family welfare --6 7 Α. Yeah. 8 -- but we've also heard some evidence that they remained Q. 9 part of the investigation team. Is that your experience of the --10 Absolutely, yeah. It's, you know what I mean, it's ... 11 Α. 12 it's crucial that they have that dual role. They're not 13 there simply to support the welfare and the wellbeing of 14 the family, although that is a crucial role. They are 15 deployed, they are detectives, so that's the reason they're trained and deployed into that particular family 16 17 at that time is to, as I say, look at particularly 18 antecedence round about the family, what's occurred, 19 why's it came to this, what's the feeling around it, is 20 there any requests that they're looking for, as well as 21 access to the deceased, I mean, from the FLOs' side and

They also, in my experience, played a real crucial role to myself as an SIO round about what they can bring to the investigation, round about what the family are

they can do a lot of access transition round about that.

1 feeling or thinking, or of concerns around disruption, and thereafter they can put in place a strategy to 2 manage that as such. So it's a crucial role. 3 4 Q. Does that dual role exist whether they're Police Scotland FLOs or PIRC FLOs? 5 A. Yeah, I mean, I can't comment. I'm not sure of what 6 7 training the PIRC FLOs get, but I would imagine it is in 8 line with Police Scotland. Can I ask you about the strategy that you had in place 9 Q. 10 in relation to Collette Bell's house, Arran Crescent? 11 Α. Yeah. 12 Q. You've talked about when you became aware that she was 13 in the police office. In your Inquiry statement -- and 14 please feel free to look at it -- at 391, you say the 15 house was seized on your instruction. Maybe go to 391. 16 (Pause) 17 You were asked about Collette Bell's address here, 18 and you say: "The house was seized on my instruction. This was 19 20 after the call at 08.30 and her concern for her partner 21 Sheku Bayoh, that she had found him to be missing and also from the briefing regarding the lead up to the 22 alteration in this incident." 23 Yeah. 24 Α.

Can you explain to me, given what you'd said earlier

25

Q.

9

- about not really even knowing she was in Kirkcaldy
  initially, in what way was the house seized on your
  instruction?
- A. So it was originally seized on the direction of

  Colin Robson, but I endorsed that aspect of it. As you

  quite rightly put, that that attendance at that -- at

  Collette's address was done without my knowledge or my

  direction, but when Colin explained to me around it

I totally agreed with it and endorsed that aspect of it.

- 10 Q. And when you say endorsed, what does that mean?
- 11 A. So as SIO I supported Colin's decision to basically
  12 secure that property.
- Q. And as part of that endorsement, did you ask any questions about the authority on which it had been seized?
- A. No, I didn't at that particular time, but with the
  relevance to the investigation into an unexplained
  death, I could see that, the whole aspect why we would
  seize it at that stage -- we hadn't searched it at that
  point, but the aspect of seizing all the relevant
  locations, as I say, I couldn't see any issue with that
  at all.
- Q. And, not having any issue with it, what was your view about the legal authority for seizing Collette Bell's house?

- 1 Α. So that would be under common law power, I mean, to 2 seize a property linked to an unexplained death. We were unsure exactly what we had at that point. It was 3 4 relevant because of the call that Collette Bell had made 5 round about the disturbance within it. Quite rightly so, the officers that attended went into the house and 6 7 searched for any other person within or any other person that may have been injured, and thereafter obviously 8 came back out. And again, as I say, I mean, the amount 9 10 of incidents I've dealt with such as this, this isn't common practice for what we do. 11
  - Q. We've heard some evidence about the nature of consent --
- 13 A. Yeah.

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. -- and we've heard evidence from Mitchell and Parker

  about their views on that. Did you ask whether

  Collette Bell's consent had been obtained or ...?
  - A. No, I didn't, I didn't get into the details of that, but this is quite a common occurrence whereby through the course of any investigation there is a need to prevent the loss of any evidence, so we would seize initially a property and thereafter, depending on the aspect of the requirement to search, we would either go through consent with the householder or we would look at warrantry around it.
- Now, there's been a number of occasions I have been

1 involved in whereby we would seize a property off 2 a witness and they would thereafter refuse or not give consent to search. Now, we would simply go back to the 3 4 Procurator Fiscal and explain the circumstances of what 5 we had and we would get issued with a warrant, and the great number of times around that, and so we would 6 7 thereafter basically search the property in respect of 8 a warrant. So, as I say, the properties were all relevant and 9 10 all linked to the movements of Mr Bayoh prior to him becoming in contact with the police in Hayfield Road. 11 12 Q. So in relation to Collette Bell's address --13 Α. Yes. -- in terms of searching the property --14 Q. 15 Α. Yes. -- was that done on your instruction? 16 Q. No, it wasn't. So that was an action which I had given 17 Α. to Stuart Houston as the crime scene or the scene 18 19 co-ordinator to manage that. So Stuart had to take the 20 whole aspect of the five loci away from me. Although 21 I obviously chaired the forensic strategy meeting, 22 signed off the forensic strategy document, the aspect of search and the resources utilised for that all sat with 23 Stuart Houston. 24 Q. Let's look at that forensic strategy document, and this 25

- 1 was PS01298. If we can look at page 4 of 7, so PS01298, and page 4 of 7. Do we see at the top of that page 2 3 there's mention of locus 3, and it's Collette Bell's 4 property? 5 Α. Yes. 6 This document, the forensic strategy document says: Q. 7 "In this initial phase this locus will remain under 8 protection with a screen entry log in place." 9 There's no mention there of searching. 10 Α. No, it's a high level document, the strategy document. It wouldn't go into as much detail around it. It's 11 12 really around the discussion where the priority loci are 13 at that time. 14 Where would the discussion take place in relation to Q. 15 searching the properties? So that would have took place with Stuart Houston 16 Α. 17 briefing the crime scene manager around what was required at that location. 18 When would that take place? 19 Q. 20 That would have took place prior to the search of the Α. 21 property.
- Q. So where can we find that in your daybook?
- 23 A. So that's in the forensic strategy document.
- Q. So it wouldn't go back into your daybook then?
- 25 A. I'm not sure, I don't think it did, but ...

- 1 Q. Do you want to have a look at the daybook and see if
- 2 there is anything?
- 3 A. I think it's the whole aspect of the delegation by
- 4 myself to Stuart Houston of the various scenes, is what
- 5 I'm trying to put forward.
- 6 (Pause)
- 7 So the Gold Group at 1140 hours in my daybook.
- 8 Q. Right. Is this page 5, I think?
- 9 A. Yeah. So you'll see that the brief that I give him
- 10 around that is around the various relevant loci.
- 11 Q. This is the right-hand side --
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. -- five loci --
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. -- and one of them mentioned is Arran Crescent --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. -- and it has the name Collette Bell next to it.
- 18 A. Home address of Collette Bell, yeah.
- 19 Q. Does this mention search, sorry?
- 20 A. No. To be honest, it probably wouldn't. That is
- 21 a discussion I had with Stuart when we were looking at
- the forensic strategy document. The information we were
- 23 getting was that there was no friction, there was no
- 24 feedback they were getting that there was going to be
- any issues with the search under consent. And, again,

- if it did come to that, we would simply have reverted to
- 2 warrants.
- 3 Q. So if we've heard from Collette Bell that she didn't
- 4 consent to a search, does that differ from your
- 5 understanding of events that day?
- A. Yeah, there was no information coming back to me at all
- 7 round about any of the locations that there had been
- 8 a refusal for search in any of the locations.
- 9 Q. We've heard some evidence from a DC who's spoken to the
- 10 Inquiry about how you can go about obtaining consent --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- from a homeowner, and that can be part of
- a discussion with the homeowner where it's explained to
- 14 them what the nature of the consent is, that they can
- voluntarily agree to have the house searched or seized,
- that they can withdraw that consent at any time.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. And that a record of that can be kept in a notebook?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. And it can be signed, and that would be good practice?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And we have heard other evidence to suggest that is good
- 23 practice --
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. -- if you're seeking to search a house on the basis of

1 consent. 2 What was your understanding of the approach that would be taken by officers at these loci? 3 4 Α. So what you describe is best practice today. What we 5 had eight years ago, it wasn't as well known that that's what we would do. At locations just now, as soon as we 6 7 get there and we get consent to search, we'll either 8 note it in a notebook or we'll note it on a statement 9 and we will explain to them about their refusal. But it 10 wasn't as commonplace in 2015. And what was the normal practice in 2015? 11 Q. 12 The normal practice would be simply to ask if there was Α. 13 any issues, and if they reverted to any issues being 14 apparent around are the refusal, we would simply have 15 went for -- to search a property, for a warrant to search a property. And, as I say, I can think of 16 17 a number of examples, dealing with homicides in the west of Scotland, whereby there has been the refusal of 18 particular individuals to allow us to search and we have 19 20 simply went for warrants at that particular time. 21 Q. But you would -- even in 2015 you would expect 22 an officer to ask the homeowner if they consented? If they had any issues with it, yeah, yeah, I mean, 23 it's -- that would be a normal discussion around the 24 fact, "Look, you're a witness to this incident, it's 25

1 only because of the fact, because of the movements of 2 Mr Bayoh that we are looking at this location just now, 3 our intention is to seize it at this time to see the 4 relevance of it". And so I would expect the officers to 5 speak to the householders in respect of that manner and say, "Look, if there's any issues with that" -- and 6 7 again if the householders or the individuals concerned 8 with these properties had any particular issue, they 9 could have made us aware of it. As I say, we would have 10 explained to them, "Okay, we still basically need to search the property just now, and if you don't consent 11 12 to it we'll simply look at speaking to the 13 Procurator Fiscal about a warrant". Right. Did you personally carry out any checks to --14 Q. 15 Α. No. 16 -- ensure that the correct legal authority was in place, Q. whether consent or a warrant, in relation to 17 Collette Bell's house? 18 19 No, and I think it's difficult to explain round about Α. 20 ... my role in respect of that is a strategic role 21 whereby you're delegating various responsibilities to 22 individuals you can trust around particular aspects. As 23 I say, Stuart Houston, who -- I knew Stuart for a number of enquiries that we'd been involved in together, I knew 24 that what he would put in place would be sufficient to 25

- 1 basically account for the search by consent and if there 2 were any issues he would have made me aware of them as 3 such, so I wasn't particularly aware in drilling down 4 into, "Can I just check with you if Collette Bell's 5 house -- has she consented? Has she given us written consent?" 6 7 So, no, I wasn't involved in all that, to be honest with you, it was delegated down from my role. 8 So your position is that Stuart Houston would have been 9 Q. 10 looking into those level issues? So he would have had deployed crime scene managers to 11 Α. 12 all the different locations, who would have engaged with 13 the householders around what we were planning to do 14 about the return of the property how long it was likely 15 to take and so on. That's, as I say, a normal course of 16 events. Q. And that he would have been more interested in the 17 18 details of the authority --19 Α. Yeah. -- and whether it had been obtained? 20 Q. 21 Α. And if there was any feedback coming that there was any 22 issues with any of the consent that was given or
- Q. We've talked earlier today about Colin Robson --
- 25 A. Yes.

implied.

- 1 Q. -- continuing and the issue about whether he was SIO or
- 2 not. Would you have expected Colin Robson to have been
- 3 involved in some of those issues, given he'd been
- 4 involved in the morning?
- 5 A. I think Stuart was overseeing it, but I think Colin was
- 6 obviously involved in a number of locations because of
- 7 his involvement in the morning. But, as I say, with
- 8 Colin, Colin's experience, Stuart with his experience,
- 9 the whole aspect of the search of the properties was
- 10 delegated down to what I believe was a competent team to
- 11 deal with that.
- 12 Q. Do you know when Collette Bell's house was searched?
- 13 A. No, not exactly, but I think Collette Bell's was the one
- 14 house or the one property we kept overnight, I seem to
- 15 recollect.
- Q. We might talk about that.
- 17 A. I may need to check that. But there were -- some of the
- locations were very, very quickly resolved because there
- 19 was very little we had to do at them. I'd need to check
- 20 my policy file or my daybook around that, but I think
- 21 there was one property that we basically -- we held, we
- 22 held overnight, and I think it was Collette's house,
- 23 because of the signs of the disturbance within.
- So, again, that was -- I believe that I made the
- 25 decision round about holding on to that until after the

- 1 post-mortem examination, in case we required further 2 analysis of that property. But, again, I'd probably check my policy file around it. 3 4 I'd like to move on to another property. Martyn Dick; Q. 5 you've mentioned Martyn Dick a few times. We've heard 6 evidence from Martyn Dick he had a partner at the time 7 Kirsty MacLeod, who is now his wife, and I think you've 8 made mention of Martyn Dick in your daybook. 9 Can we look at any entries, first of all, where you 10 mention Martyn Dick? I'd like you to explain to the Chair what you knew about Martyn Dick. I think on the 11 12 previous page to the one we see on the screen there was 13 his name mentioned, at the top of the right-hand side. A. Yeah, so that's the -- so the previous page is the 14 15 priorities, so that's 12, I think: "Mark Dixon - NFD." 16 17 I'm not sure the relevance of that, but Martyn Dick 18 is obviously below that. 19 Q. It's no longer on the screen. We'd have to see slightly 20 higher. That's it. So, right-hand side against the 21 Roman numeral 12, and you can see the name Martyn Dick. 22 Α. Yeah.
- A. I don't know if it's A4 or 43, I'm not sure exactly what

like A3, but ...

23

24

Q. And what are the letters next to his name? It looks

6

- that is. But I think what you've got there -- and the

  other side of that, there's a potential third loci. So

  again this is early stages of this, we're just kind of

  unpicking exactly what's occurred. So that's the first

  notation of Martyn Dick in my daybook as such.
  - Q. Tell us what you knew about the connection between the events in Hayfield Road and Martyn Dick.
- 8 So Martyn Dick was, and Kirsty, were associates of Α. 9 Mr Bayoh and when we began to look at the timeline of 10 the deceased's movements from the evening of 2 May into the 3rd, his engagement initially with Saeed at the home 11 12 address and thereafter the attendance at Martyn Dick's 13 house to watch the boxing, which was on in the early 14 hours of the morning. When we began to note statements, 15 there was information coming from Saeed in particular that they had went -- Saeed and the deceased had went to 16 17 Martyn Dick's house to watch the boxing. This was 18 a pre-arranged event that they were going to watch the 19 boxing, they were friends, and on arrival at 20 Martyn Dick's house, they basically stayed to watch the 21 boxing. But I think when we began to look at what Saeed 22 was saying, and also from Martyn Dick and Kirsty, was 23 that it would appear that at that stage, 0500 hours in the morning or thereabouts, that the deceased was acting 24 out of character, and there was indications from Saeed's 25

- statement that he was mentioning that someone had

  disrespected him. So again the relevance was -- and we

  know that -- sorry, just to continue, to conclude that

  side of it, we know from Saeed's statement that the

  deceased thereafter left and Saeed left a short time

  later, and that's the last time Martyn Dick's house was

  relevant.
- Q. So in terms of the connection, there was no suggestion
  or information available to you at that time that
  Martyn Dick, or Kirsty MacLeod for that matter, had been
  anywhere near Hayfield Road?
- 12 A. No.

- Q. It wasn't in connection with those events, and no information available to you to suggest they had had some connection with his death in Hayfield Road?
  - A. Yeah, there was -- there was no information, but there was a lot we didn't know at that stage, so it's about keeping that open mind round about: is this relevant or not? The difficulty is if we do not take a particular action at that stage and secure particularly a property and it thereafter becomes relevant, there's a potential we may lose any evidential aspect to that, because we've not carried out that action. So I think it's quite easy to say that the fact there was no relevance, but we didn't know at that time what -- how relevant it was.

1	Q. So this was part of going to the house of Martyn Dick
2	was part of keeping an open mind about all the
3	possibilities, all the hypotheses which you had at the
4	back of your mind at that time?
5	A. Yes.
6	MS GRAHAME: Now, I will be going into this in more detail.
7	I wonder if that might be
8	LORD BRACADALE: Is that a convenient point to stop? Very
9	well, we will stop there and we'll continue with your
10	evidence at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
12	(4.10 pm)
13	(The hearing adjourned until 10 am
14	on Friday, 10 March 2023)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	PA	.GE
3	DETECTIVE CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT	1
4	PATRICK CAMPBELL (sworn)	
5		
6	Questions from MS GRAHAME	1
7		
8		
9		
LO		
11		
12		
13		
L 4		
L5		
16		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		