1	Thursday, 16 March 2023
2	(10.00 am)
3	(Proceedings delayed)
4	(10.05 am)
5	DETECTIVE SUPERINTENDENT INSPECTOR NICOLA SHEPHERD
6	(continued)
7	Questions from MS THOMSON(continued)
8	LORD BRACADALE: Good morning, Superintendent Shepherd.
9	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
10	LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson.
11	MS THOMSON: Good morning, Superintendent.
12	Yesterday afternoon we began to talk about your role
13	within the gold, silver command structure and you've
14	explained that in the Gold Group meetings you were one
15	of a number of bronze commanders and that your
16	responsibilities were portfolio based, around community
17	impact and resourcing and welfare.
18	Can we look at your operational police statement,
19	please, PS00643. If we can go to the second page of
20	that statement, where you say at the second paragraph
21	from the top sorry, the second page of the
22	statement I think I might have a different version,
23	sorry. If we scroll back up a little bit again. There
24	we are. It's the fourth paragraph down on this version:
25	"At 11.30 hours, same date, I attended Gold Group

1 meeting chaired by ACC Nicholson, my responsibilities around this incident centred upon police resources, 2 community issues and preparation of a Community Impact 3 4 Assessment." 5 And that's in line with what you told us yesterday 6 afternoon --7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. -- about your portfolio responsibilities. 9 Can we turn to the minutes from the first Gold Group 10 meeting. They're PS06491. You can see that these are the minutes from the meeting at 11.30 on 3 May at 11 12 Kirkcaldy Police Station. All parties present are 13 named, and we see just at the bottom of the screen 14 Chief Inspector Shepherd, as you were at that point in 15 time. Can we scroll through the minutes, please, until we 16 17 reach agenda item 6: "Community issues - (Safer Communities/CI Shepherd). 18 "Allocated to CI Shepherd who was to make local 19 20 elected members aware and also liaise with Fife Migrant 21 Forum and the independent advisory Service. 22 "Community impact was to be considered including community reassurance." 23 24 You were to "make local elected members aware". 25 Now, yesterday I asked you about the local elected

1		members, I had mistakenly thought they were elected to
2		membership of a group associated with the police, but
3		you've in fact explained that they're elected by
4		constituents to particular wards.
5	Α.	That's correct.
6	Q.	So, if I understand correctly, they are in effect local
7		councillors?
8	Α.	Councillors, yeah, that's right.
9	Q.	So the local elected members are councillors, and you
10		were allocated the task of making them aware.
11		What was the purpose of making the local councillors
12		aware of the incident?
13	Α.	Just probably similar to what I spoke about yesterday,
14		so the my role was going to be looking at community
15		tensions, you know, community impact, so to allow me to
16		assess that impact and have that, you know, that
17		scanning and monitoring capacity, then one of the means
18		by which I was going to do that was through elected
19		members. So that's how, you know, I did that on
20		a day-to-day basis with any other incident that may
21		happen. So the specific action there is make the
22		elected members aware, because I'm going to rely on them
23		to come back with any information that they hear that we
24		may need to act upon or know about as well.
25	Q.	So you would provide them with information about any

1		significant incident in the hope and anticipation that
2		they would then feed back to you information that was
3		relevant to your monitoring of community tension?
4	A.	Yeah, basically, and to reassure them as to, you know,
5		what we were doing.
6	Q.	Now that I understand fully who the elected members
7		were, can you help us to understand how many there were
8		for the Kirkcaldy or wider Fife area?
9	Α.	I mean, not many, but 10 to 15 approximately.
10	Q.	Was that for Kirkcaldy or for Fife as a whole?
11	A.	For Kirkcaldy.
12	Q.	Was it your task to make contact with all of them on
13		an individual basis?
14	A.	That was the action from recollection.
15	Q.	And what means of communication did you have with them;
16		would it be by telephone, by email?
17	A.	I think possibly both, to be honest.
18	Q.	So you would have current contact details for the local
19		councillors and a means of making contact with them for
20		the sharing of information around a significant
21		incident?
22	A.	Yeah.
23	Q.	Returning to the minutes, there is reference to you
24		liaising with Fife Migrant Forum. Who are the Fife
25		Migrant Forum?

1	Α.	So Fife Migrant Forum are a body that clearly represent
2		migrants in Fife, so a mixed representation of
3		ethnicities, nationalities, that obviously exist to
4		support diverse communities in Kirkcaldy. Fife, sorry,
5		apologies.
6	Q.	How big is their membership?
7	Α.	I wouldn't be able to say.
8	Q.	Do you know how members come to be on the forum; is
9		there an election process?
10	Α.	I'm not sure, to be honest.
11	Q.	You don't know. Had you worked with Fife Migrant Forum
12		before?
13	Α.	I can't recall any specific examples. Possibly.
14	Q.	You say that they represent people from a variety of
15		different backgrounds, were you aware of the ethnic
16		make-up of the Migrant forum?
17	Α.	I think I was probably aware enough to know it was
18		a mixed make-up within the forum.
19	Q.	Were you aware on 3 May of 2015 whether there was anyone
20		on the forum who was black?
21	A.	I wasn't aware of that, no.
22	Q.	African?
23	A.	I wasn't aware, no.
24	Q.	Muslim?
25	Α.	I wasn't aware, no.

1	Q.	What was the purpose of liaising with the forum?
2	Α.	At that point clearly that's the action that's come from
3		gold, so and I don't think I engaged them that day,
4		I think that was done through Safer Communities staff.
5		I certainly don't recall speaking to the Fife Migrant
6		Forum or a representative thereof, on that day. So
7		I think that was done by a member of the Safer
8		Communities staff.
9	Q.	What would have been the purpose of making contact with
10		them? What is their remit? How might they have been
11		able to help you?
12	A.	I suppose going back to that, the scanning and analysis
13		that I was looking to do to monitor, you know, community
14		impact was reaching out to representatives of our
15		community in Kirkcaldy to help us, you know, be informed
16		as to how that impact was being felt.
17	Q.	Returning again to the minutes, there's reference there
18		to you also being tasked to make contact with or to
19		liaise with the independent advisory group. Tell us
20		about the independent advisory group. Sorry, do you see
21		that? It's four lines up from the bottom of the screen.
22	A.	Oh, sorry, yeah, I do see it. So the independent
23		advisory group became probably more commonly known as
24		the lay advisory group that was put in place. So that
25		group was made up of representatives from diverse

1		communities to come and basically engage with, you know,
2		police colleagues, including myself, to bring that
3		knowledge of local communities.
4	Q.	There's a lay advisory group made up of individual
5		members from diverse communities. Are they individually
6		known as lay advisers?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	We've heard talk of lay advisers.
9	Α.	That's how I, you know, would know them, yeah.
10	Q.	Do you know how lay advisers found their way on to the
11		advisory group?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Do you know how many members there were in the group
14		back in May of 2015?
15	Α.	No (Shakes head).
16	Q.	Do you know what the make-up of the lay advisory group
17		was?
18	Α.	No.
19	Q.	Do you know whether in May of 2015 there was anybody on
20		that group who was black?
21	Α.	I couldn't say for certain
22	Q.	Or African?
23	Α.	Sorry, on the lay advisory group that came to
24	Q.	On the lay advisory group.
25	A.	Sorry, yeah. Well, I do, because clearly the lay

1		advisory, you know, members that we involved came from
2		different communities.
3	Q.	What communities did they come from?
4	A.	The two advisers were a Polish gentleman and, from
5		memory (Pause) I don't remember. I think the
6		second lay adviser was certainly Muslim, nationality I'm
7		not sure.
8	Q.	Right. We will come to how it came to be that these two
9		individuals provided assistance to you, but going back
10		to 3 May of 2015 when you were asked to make contact
11		with the lay advisory group, did you know at that time
12		whether there would be any members within that group who
13		were black?
14	A.	I didn't specifically, no.
15	Q.	Or Muslim?
16	A.	Not specifically, no.
17	Q.	Or African?
18	A.	Again, not specifically.
19	Q.	Can we pause here, we will return to the minutes, but it
20		might be helpful at this point to have a look at the
21		lay-community advisers standard operating procedure,
22		which is PS11955.
23		If we scroll down a little, please:
24		"Lay-community advisers.
25		"Standing operating procedures."

1 And we see that it was the version that was in force 2 in May of 2015. 3 Have you seen this before, Superintendent? 4 Α. From memory, no. We've heard that there is a vast number of SOPs on the 5 Q. intranet. If we can scroll through it, it's quite 6 7 a short SOP, and perhaps go to paragraph 1.3, where it 8 says that: 9 "Lay/Community Advisers are independent persons who 10 through their backgrounds or employment have a particular knowledge of a community group, but who do 11 12 not necessarily represent that community. The 13 Lay/Community Advisers are available to advise on all 14 areas of diversity, race, religion, sexual orientation, 15 faith, disability, gender and gender identity. Objectivity, insight and an independent overview are 16 17 regarded as necessary skills as well as an ability to communicate and relate to a range of people at all 18 19 levels in society. The advisers are comprised 20 predominantly of external community members, but in some 21 areas a number of Police Officers and members of Police 22 Staff are also available to give advice based on their 23 community backgrounds or personal knowledge. Lay/Community Advisers are available to be utilised at 24 a tactical and operational level, where advice may have 25

1		a bearing on an incident/investigation or event."
2		Does that fit with your understanding of the remit
3		of the lay advisory group?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	If we can scroll down to 3.1, please:
6		"Roles and responsibilities.
7		"The role of the Lay/Community Adviser may include:
8		"Monitor hate crime.
9		"Provide advice in critical incidents."
10		And as you've explained to us this was a critical
11		incident:
12		"Review Equality Impact Assessments.
13		"Provide advice and review policies and procedures.
14		"Sample complaints against the police."
15		At 3.2 we see examples of when lay or community
16		advisers can be utilised:
17		"Advise on the potential impact of an incident on
18		specific communities."
19		And that, I think, is what you explained to us would
20		be the reason for making contact with the lay advisers;
21		it's part of your scanning and your monitoring of
22		community impact.
23		"Advise on issues specifically relevant to the
24		individual or community affected.
25		"Advise on any potential impact, adverse or

1 otherwise, from a proposed course of action by the 2 police." 3 Again, does what you read on the screen today fit with your understanding of the role of the lay advisory 4 5 group? A. It does, yeah. 6 7 Had you worked with them before? Q. 8 No. Α. 9 Can we return, please, to the minutes from the first Q. 10 Gold Group meeting. 11 (Pause) 12 The first one, that's at 11.30, sorry. 13 (Pause) 14 PS06491. (Pause) 15 16 Lovely, thank you. Again, if we can scroll back to 17 point 6 on the agenda. (Pause) 18 So we've discussed the first sentence under bullet 19 20 point 6, you making contact with elected members, Fife 21 Migrant Forum and the independent advisory group: "Community impact was to be considered, including 22 community reassurance." 23 24 Now, this appears to be an agenda item, and I wonder 25 what discussion there was at that first Gold Group

1		meeting around community impact and community
2		reassurance. Can you help us with that?
3	A.	My recollection now is pretty limited, so I don't recall
4		much conversation about it, that's not to say there
5		wasn't, but now, no, I'd be struggling to recollect.
6	Q.	And the final point under item 6 is that:
7		"[Redacted] request to be submitted via SCD Internet
8		Investigations re monitoring of social media."
9		And I wanted to ask you some questions about that.
10		What discussion was there at the Gold Group meeting
11		around monitoring of social media?
12	Α.	I don't recollect now.
13	Q.	What might be the purpose of monitoring social media?
14	Α.	Probably, as I spoke about yesterday, so it would just
15		be that: what's the information that's, you know, being
16		spoken about this incident that we would need to either
17		know about, monitor and assess to make, you know,
18		an assessment of, does that then mean there's
19		a community impact?
20	Q.	So it would be another strand or stream of information?
21	Α.	I think that's what that reference is. But without
22		a real recollection of it being discussed on the day.
23	Q.	Do you recall what social media was to be monitored?
24	Α.	No.
25	Q.	Whose social media was to be monitored?

1	Α.	No, but it reads to me it's quite a general, you know,
2		social media presence as opposed to a direct feed,
3		clearly it doesn't mention that, so
4	Q.	So would this be things like Facebook, Twitter?
5	A.	Yeah, I'm certainly not Internet Investigations, but
6		broadly that's where I I think that is, yeah.
7	Q.	Would this be information that was, if you like,
8		publicly available rather than private information or
9		for example a private Facebook page?
10	A.	Publicly available, yeah.
11	Q.	Can you assist us in understanding whose social media
12		accounts were likely going to be the subject of this
13		monitoring?
14	A.	Not from that, no.
15	Q.	Do you know whether it would have included, for example,
16		friends or family of Sheku Bayoh?
17	A.	Erm, I don't recollect that.
18	Q.	Might there have been a reason to monitor the
19		social media, the public social media posts by friends
20		and family of Sheku Bayoh?
21	A.	If it was deemed appropriate and proportionate, then \ldots
22		but without knowing what the ask was that was made to
23		SCD Internet Investigations unit, I'm not exactly clear
24		what was asked for. But my general understanding is it
25		would be open, public social media.

1	Q.	If it's open and public, why is it that there's a need
2		to make a request via SCD Internet Investigations in
3		order for the monitoring to be carried out?
4	Α.	Just because then it would be done in a unified way, as
5		opposed to asking me in particular to go and do it,
6		I wouldn't have access to, you know, to a facility to do
7		that. Our computers are all networked, so they would
8		that was the action that was given to the Internet
9		Investigations unit.
10	Q.	I see, so SCD Internet Investigations, they were the
11		people who were to carry out the monitoring?
12	Α.	Yeah.
13	Q.	I see, so I think I misread this, I thought that
14		a request had to be made through them for
15		authorisation
16	Α.	No, no. No.
17	Q.	for you to carry out the monitoring?
18	Α.	No. That was the action. That's my interpretation of
19		that. That reference in the minute.
20	Q.	Alright. And is this a process that you're familiar
21		with, something that you've come across before?
22	Α.	Yeah.
23	Q.	In what sort of incidents?
24	Α.	Most, you know, incidents of you know, that I think
25		there's going to be a community impact, and that's not

1 unusual.

2	Q.	And certainly yesterday at the beginning of your
3		evidence when you spoke about all the different ways
4		that information might come to you: from media, from
5		intelligence, from officers, from members of the public,
6		would social media simply be another source of
7		information that would help you to monitor the impact of
8		an incident on a particular Community?
9	Α.	It would be, yeah.
10	Q.	If we can scroll down a little, please, to agenda
11		<pre>item 8, "Staff welfare":</pre>
12		"Allocated to [Chief Inspector] Trickett and
13		Police Federation reps (PC Givan)."
14		I wanted to look at that entry only in passing
15		because I understand you also had some degree of
16		responsibility for the welfare of the officers involved
17		in the incident at Hayfield Road and I wondered if you
18		might be able to explain how your role and
19		responsibilities fitted with those of
20		Inspector Trickett, who we've heard was a post-incident
21		manager responsible for balancing the welfare of the
22		officers with the needs of the investigation, and
23		Constable Givan, who was the Police Federation
24		representative?
25	Α.	So Chief Inspector Trickett, Chief Superintendent now

1 obviously, was managing the staff welfare from the point 2 of the PIM role that he was performing, so very much on 3 that day their welfare clearly was encompassed in the 4 PIM procedure. I was going to pick up the overall 5 welfare, you know, once that PIM procedure was either 6 completed or moved on to the point that, you know, that 7 it would fall to me. So you would pick up where he left off, essentially --8 Q. Yeah, basically. I mean, I'm overseeing welfare on all 9 Α. 10 staff at Kirkcaldy, so they were clearly members of staff, but just at that point in time, because they fell 11 12 under the PIM procedure, that that took primacy in terms 13 of, you know, dealing with their welfare and needs. And 14 in the aftermath of that I would pick it up like I would 15 pick up all the rest of the staff that work at 16 Kirkcaldy. And what about Constable Givan from the 17 Q. 18 Police Federation; how did her role sit alongside yours? 19 Complemented that role, you know, PC Givan was clearly Α. 20 a member of the Scottish Police Federation, so that was 21 a representative of their staff association as well. 22 Looking back to the minutes, we have item 9, Q. "Resources", and in brackets: 23 24 "(DCS Boal/CI Shepherd)." 25 And I want just to ask you, where we see names in

1 brackets does that suggest that the individuals named gave an input to the meeting or does it suggest that 2 3 there were perhaps action points for those individuals 4 to take away, possibly both? Possibly both, it's quite hard to tell, but yeah, either 5 Α. they're the lead in providing an update or they're 6 probably taking something away from the meeting, would 7 8 be my suspicion with the wording of that. Thank you. So there's reference here to: 9 Q. 10 "[Redacted] high-viz reassurance patrols." Can you tell us a little bit about those? 11 12 Α. Yeah, I think I mentioned yesterday, so, you know, part 13 of what we would -- or certainly I would put into place 14 in my role would be that reassuring presence. High-vis 15 just means that that would be a uniformed presence. So the ask clearly from gold is -- I don't know what the 16 17 first bit is, it's redacted there, but it would --18 reading it back it's to make sure we've got, you know, 19 a presence, a visible presence back on the streets of 20 Kirkcaldy. 21 Q. Beneath that: "Also local staffing to be considered for business 22 23 continuity." 24 Is this what you explained to us yesterday that you had to effectively replace team 4, who were in the 25

```
Transcript of the Sheku Bayoh Inquiry
```

1 са	nteen?

A. Basically, yeah, that's what that is.
Q. The next Gold Group wasn't until half past 2, or shortly
after half past 2 in the afternoon. I'm interested to
know what, if anything, you did in terms of the actions

6 that had been tasked to you between the conclusion of 7 the first Gold Group meeting and your attendance at the 8 next meeting at 2.40?

A. I honestly couldn't say what happened in that, unless
there's something in my notes that would assist my
recollection, but I don't recall specifically what I was
doing between both meetings. But yeah, if I was given
that action, then it would certainly be -- that's what
I would be looking to start instigating.

15 Q. Would it help you to look at your notes?

16 A. Possibly, yeah.

Q. Your daybook, I think, should be in the folder. Both
your notebook and daybook should be in the folder.
Let's begin with your notebook. Each page has been
given a different reference number, but if we begin with
PS18479, just so you can satisfy yourself that this is
your notebook.

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. Sorry, do you have the hard copy also?

25 A. I do, I do, yeah, thank you.

1	Q.	The first page that's relevant to 3 May is PS18480.
2	A.	Yeah, that's correct.
3	Q.	We see here Sunday 3 May 2015, KDY I think must be
4		Kirkcaldy?
5	Α.	Yeah, it is, yeah.
6	Q.	"Recall to duty 0930 hours.
7		"Around 1630/1645 contacted by DS Dursley, re issues
8		ongoing with family of deceased."
9		That appears to be the first entry that you've made
10		in your notebook for that day. It relates to events
11		that we'll go on to discuss later today that took place
12		around about 4.30, but there doesn't appear to be
13		anything in your notebook between you being recalled to
14		duty at 9.30 and being contacted by DS Dursley at 4.30.
15	Α.	Yeah.
16	Q.	So it may be that the notebook doesn't take us any
17		further forward.
18	Α.	If my daybook's in here, it would be more relevant,
19		I think.
20		(Pause)
21	Q.	Is the daybook there Superintendent
22	Α.	It is, and I'm just trying to find the right day, the
23		actual 3 May. I'm not sure if it is, actually. I don't
24		think it is.
25	Q.	You don't think it is. All right, we can maybe come

1		back to that. I'll make sure that we have that
2		available after the break. But for now, there doesn't
3		seem to be an entry in the notebook
4	Α.	No.
5	Q.	that assists us with what happened between the first
6		and second
7	A.	That's right.
8	Q.	Gold Group meeting, and I appreciate there's some
9		eight years have passed and you say at this distance you
10		don't recall.
11		So let's move on and have a look at the minutes from
12		the 14.40 meeting, and that's PS06514.
13		Sorry, that's a handwritten minute. It is in fact
14		PS07268, which is the typewritten version, sorry. My
15		fault. Here we are. So again, if we scroll down the
16		list of attendees we can see 14.40 hours on 3 May and
17		Chief Inspector Shepherd, you're on the list of
18		attendees.
19		Again, if we can go to point 6 on the agenda:
20		"Community issues - (Safer
21		Communities/CI Shepherd)."
22		What is Safer Communities, is that an organisation
23		or?
24	A.	So it's a division in Police Scotland a national
25		division that it's PPCW now, Partnerships, Prevention

1		and Community Wellbeing is what it's titled now, but at
2		that moment in time it was called Safer Communities.
3	Q.	Was there somebody from Safer Communities present?
4	Α.	If you could scroll back up, I'll tell you
5	Q.	We have a If we scroll back up we can see the list of
6		the attendees.
7	Α.	At that point, no.
8	Q.	No. All right, let's return to bullet point 6:
9		"Discussed and Chief Inspector Shepherd to contact
10		elected members, Fife Migrants Forum with regards to the
11		incident, chairperson of Fife Migrants Forum to be
12		invited in to discuss."
13		So this is in very similar terms to the entry in the
14		minutes from the meeting at 11.30, and I'm wondering why
15		the action points from the meeting at 11.30 have been
16		repeated in the meeting at 2.40 in the afternoon?
17	A.	I don't know, but then there's other points that are
18		seem to be reflective of that first meeting as well, ie
19		CIA, staff welfare, so I think they were almost
20		sub-bullets within the minute of that the gold would
21		clearly have or be seeking updates on, so that is my
22		sense.
23	Q.	The main difference seems to be that there's now
24		a reference to the chairperson of Fife Migrants Forum to
25		be invited in to discuss. Do you recall who the

1		chairperson of Fife Migrants Forum was at that point in
2		time?
3	Α.	I don't.
4	Q.	Do you recall what their ethnicity was?
5	Α.	I don't.
6	Q.	Can you help us understand what the purpose was of
7		inviting them in to discuss?
8	Α.	Just similar to the last point, that, you know, that we
9		could you know, as per that lay advice SOP, so it's
10		to give us, you know, an understanding of different and
11		diverse groups within our community, so we can be better
12		informed.
13	Q.	Point 7, "CIA review". Is that community impact
14		assessment?
15	Α.	It is, yeah.
16	Q.	Again, that's got your name after it:
17		"Tasked to CI Shepherd who was also to consider
18		security of Kirkcaldy Police Station re media interest
19		and any community tensions."
20		Now, we're going to spend quite a lot of time
21		looking at the community impact assessment later on, so
22		we'll perhaps leave that to one side for now, but what
23		were you tasked to do in relation to the security of
24		Kirkcaldy Police Station re media interest and community
25		tension?

1	Α.	From memory I'm probably struggling to remember that
2		now, but in a general sense the gold wasn't particularly
3		familiar with Kirkcaldy Police Station, so at that point
4		some stations have different measures of security that
5		prevent access, Kirkcaldy didn't, it's open, you can
6		basically, you know, access it reasonably readily. So
7		I think it was a general point of we were anticipating
8		is there a potential that media may attend at Kirkcaldy
9		Police Station, if so how would we, you know, facilitate
10		that.
11	Q.	Moving down to point 9, "Resources", again DCS Boal and
12		yourself are mentioned:
13		"Maintain business continuity.
14		"Staff will be utilised from across Scotland to
15		facilitate resilience~"
16		Again, does that fit with what you said yesterday
17		afternoon?
18	Α.	Yeah, and obviously because DCS Boal's there it's not
19		just resilience in the sense of the uniformed officers
20		I had locally, so that would be clearly DCS Boal looking
21		at the crime investigation resilience resource, so
22		I think it's almost like a dual action there.
23	Q.	So she would be dealing with detective officers, you
24		would be dealing with
25	Α.	I assume so, because she's been named in the action

1 there. Can you explain what action you took after this second 2 Q. 3 Gold Group meeting? I would hope that, you know, I put those actions into 4 Α. 5 place, I can't recall now exactly the activity, but just due to the passage of time, but certainly I took those 6 7 actions away so there would be an absolute expectation 8 that I would update back to gold as to what I'd done. 9 Q. So far as the chairman of the Fife Migrants Forum is 10 concerned, were they invited in for a chat or a discussion? 11 12 Α. Not that day. 13 No, but at a later point? Q. 14 From memory, I think it was the following day, but Α. 15 I couldn't be specific. Again, it would come back, there would be minutes from that meeting, whether it was 16 17 the following day or the days that preceded -- sorry, followed. 18 19 Do you recall that person, that individual, coming in to Q. 20 Kirkcaldy Police Station for a discussion? 21 Α. I think it was Kirkcaldy Police Station they came into. 22 Q. Or a discussion taking place? 23 Yeah. Α. That would be between yourself and that individual? 24 Q. Yes. 25 Α.

1	Q.	And you think that would have been the following day?
2	A.	Yeah, certainly in the days that followed.
3	Q.	Is that the sort of information that might be captured
4		in the community impact assessment?
5	A.	Yes, it will be.
6	Q.	We'll perhaps come back to that when we look at the
7		community impact assessment.
8		I'd like to ask you about something else now, if you
9		go back to your Inquiry statement, please. If we can go
10		to paragraph 13:
11		"Attendance at the canteen.
12		"I'm asked about when I went to the canteen to speak
13		with the officers on 3 May. I can't recall the time
14		I went down or if it was before or after that first
15		Gold Group meeting. I must have only been there for
16		a few minutes. The purpose of that visit was to check
17		on their welfare, to make sure they'd been asked if
18		there was anything they were going to need. I think by
19		that point, and again I don't know the exact time they'd
20		been there for what I believed would've been a couple of
21		hours by that point, give or take. I think I asked
22		an open question such as, 'Is everybody okay? Does
23		anybody need anything?' I'm asked who was in the
24		canteen at that time, I couldn't categorically say that
25		all of the nine officers were there. I don't think

1 Nicole Short was there at that point, but I can't be sure about that. I note that I can recall Amanda Givan 2 3 was present from the Police Federation. I note my PIRC 4 statement dated 18 June 2015 ... at page 2 records 5 'I can recall that Amanda Givan was present from the Police Federation. I'm unsure if anyone else other than 6 7 the principal police officers, with the exception of 8 Nicole Short, were present.' I can't recall anything 9 beyond that." 10 So you've explained that you had a role in relation to welfare. How did you go about checking on the 11 12 officers' welfare when you went to see them in the 13 canteen? Just as it's probably outlined within that paragraph 13, 14 Α. 15 I think I asked an open question as to how they were and 16 was there anything I could do. It was -- at that point, it was as simple and as basic as that. 17 18 Q. This was at a point in time when the PIM procedures were 19 in place or shortly to be put in place; is that right? 20 I think only because I know who's being described, Α. 21 I don't think the PIM was there. 22 Right, I beg your pardon, the PIM wasn't there, wasn't Q. 23 there yet. I certainly don't seem to document either at that point 24 Α. 25 and I think when I'm asked the questions and I reflect

1		back to my PIRC statement of 18 June 2015 I don't
2		reference the PIM being there, so
3	Q.	All right. I think we heard evidence from him that he
4		arrived, I'll be corrected if I'm wrong, mid-morning.
5	Α.	Okay, I don't actually know when he arrived.
6	Q.	So this may have been before he arrived?
7	A.	Possibly, he just wasn't there.
8	Q.	But certainly Amanda Givan was with the officers at that
9		point in time?
10	A.	Yeah, yeah.
11	Q.	I appreciate that your role was a welfare one but as
12		a senior officer yourself do you have any concerns
13		around the officers being together in that way in the
14		canteen, on their return from Hayfield Road?
15	Α.	At that point, no.
16	Q.	We can turn to paragraph 14:
17		"As to what was said, I don't think anything was
18		said by the officers other than an acknowledgement that
19		I'd been down and maybe a thanks for coming down to
20		speak to them. Nobody there asked me for anything or
21		for anybody to be contacted on their behalf.
22		Amanda Givan was there, so I assumed that Amanda would
23		have been asking the same question around whether
24		anybody was needing anything or if anyone wanted someone
25		contacted. Once I asked that, if there was a response,

1 I don't recall it, and it was such a short conversation 2 that I couldn't specifically tell you what I said but 3 the purpose was purely to check if everyone was okay and 4 if there was anything they needed of me. I don't 5 remember anybody asking for anything and after that couple of minutes of being there, I basically left and 6 7 didn't return to the canteen." 8 Did you have any further dealings with the officers in the course of that day? 9 10 Α. No. Can we look at paragraph 15, please: 11 Q. 12 "I'm asked about [constable] Daniel Gibson's PIRC 13 statement ... where it states 'I remember that 14 CI Nicola Shepherd, the Kirkcaldy CI came in at one 15 point, she said that the family have the right to know what happened. She only came in for about 5 minutes. 16 17 I don't know why she said that, I'm assuming that was because the Federation officer ... had told us to say 18 nothing at that stage.' I don't have any recollection of 19 20 that. That's not to say I didn't say it. I don't 21 recall that." 22 Now, you'll have had time to reflect since you gave this statement to a member of the Inquiry team, does it 23 remain your position today that you don't recall that? 24 I don't. 25 Α.

1	Q.	And was it your view that the family had a right to know
2		what had happened?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Were you aware at that point in time that the officers
5		hadn't given statements?
6	A.	I don't think I knew that.
7	Q.	I'd like to take you to a point further on in the
8		afternoon, and if we can perhaps begin by looking at
9		paragraph 26 of your statement. (pause)
10		When we looked at your notebook a few moments ago
11		there was a reference to officers Mitchell and Parker.
12		If we read through paragraph 26:
13		"In my operational statement at page 2 'About
14		1645 hours, same date, I was contacted by DS Dursley and
15		informed that two CID officers (DCs Mitchell and Parker)
16		had attended at the home of the deceased's family and
17		were faced with a confrontational atmosphere. Both
18		officers returned to Kirkcaldy Police Office following
19		the meeting and updated myself and C/Supt McEwan. It
20		was evident at the time that the officers had been
21		supplied with a pre-prepared brief with which to update
22		the family'. I'm asked if I was aware what the content
23		of that brief was that was provided to the family,
24		whether in advance or in the aftermath. From my
25		recollection, I wasn't aware beforehand. I think this

1 was the first I was aware was when they came back and explained that they'd been to the family's home address, 2 3 and they'd faced a number of questions that they were 4 unable to answer. It was at that point I became aware 5 that there had been a pre-planned brief. I'm asked if I saw the wording of the brief that had been provided to 6 7 the officers to give to the family either because it was 8 written down or perhaps, I had been told what the brief said. Possibly. I seem to remember being aware it was 9 10 quite a short brief, six or seven lines from recollection, I'm assuming from that I must have seen it 11 12 written down. However, whether I actually had 13 an opportunity to read it or had simply been aware of it 14 I'm not sure. I'm asked whether the officers told me 15 who provided the brief to give to the family. They possibly did but I don't recall now. I'm asked whether 16 17 I remember having any concerns about what the officers were told to tell the family. Nothing that I can 18 remember." 19

Now, we've heard evidence from
Detective Constable Mitchell that the brief was in the
following terms:

23 "Following an incident this morning in the
24 Hayfield Road area of Kirkcaldy, officers from
25 Police Scotland have been attempting to arrest

1		Sheku Bayoh, during which time he has become
2		unconscious, conveyed to hospital by the Scottish
3		Ambulance Service and despite best efforts by hospital
4		staff died shortly after 9 am."
5		Does that fit with your recollection of the terms of
6		the brief and the length of the brief?
7	Α.	It certainly fits with the length of the brief that
8		I can recollect, but as for the content, I wouldn't be
9		able to say.
10	Q.	Can we look at your notebook, we'll go back to the page
11		that we looked at just a few moments ago, it's PS18480:
12		"Sunday 3 May 2015 [Kirkcaldy]
13		"Recall to duty 0930 hours.
14		"Around 1630/1645 contacted by DS Dursley re issues
15		ongoing with family of deceased and two DCs at home
16		describing confrontational atmosphere.
17		"DCs Mitchell and Parker return and speak to
18		[Chief Superintendent] McEwan and I re concerns raised
19		by family, lack of information and transparency on
20		events surrounding the death.
21		"Brother-in-law of deceased very vocal about
22		demanding to see [Chief Superintendent] or he would
23		escalate to someone in Glasgow [redacted]? Nfd."
24		Nfd, would that be not further described?
25	Α.	Yeah.

"Perceived community tensions and overall 1 Q. 2 dissatisfaction with updates provided. ACC Nicholson 3 informed of intention for [Chief Superintendent] and I to attend and speak to the family." 4 5 I think we can stop there. So I would like to ask you some questions about that. You were contacted by 6 7 Detective Sergeant Dursley in the first instance and 8 made aware that there was an issue and DCs Mitchell and 9 Parker then returned to the police station and spoke 10 with yourself and Chief Superintendent McEwan, and from what you've written in your notebook it seems clear that 11 12 you took from what they told you that the family's concerns related to a lack of information and 13 14 transparency? 15 Α. Yes. Can you elaborate on that at all and help us to 16 Q. 17 understand what the family's concerns were? 18 Α. Not specifically... Q. You also refer to perceived community tensions. What 19 20 were the perceived community tensions? 21 Α. I wouldn't be able to say because I think that's what's 22 been translated to me. Q. Oh, I see. 23 That has come back, that's my capture of what the 24 Α. 25 detectives have come back and told us.

1	Q.	So they've reported to you, whether using that language
2		or other language
3	Α.	Yeah.
4	Q.	you interpreted that to be
5	Α.	Yeah.
6	Q.	that there are community tensions.
7		Were you aware that this was in fact DC Mitchell and
8		DC Parker's second visit to see the family?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	And were you aware that this was the second death
11		message that they'd given to the family?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Were you aware that the first death message didn't
14		mention the involvement of police in the events leading
15		up to Sheku Bayoh's death?
16	Α.	I didn't know that, no.
17	Q.	Can we return to your Inquiry statement, please, and
18		look at paragraph 27:
19		"I'm asked about training for police officers to
20		pass a death message. The investigation of death is
21		a core function of a police officer and training begins
22		as early as the initial training on recruitment through
23		the Scottish Police College. That training will not
24		only look at the responsibilities of the police to
25		report death to COPFS, but also how to deliver death

1 messages and dealing with bereaved families and next of 2 kin compassionately and sensitively. In terms of my 3 training over the years, it commenced back when I joined 4 as a constable and I attended numerous deaths with my 5 tutor who was experienced in dealing with families and passing death messages. I learned a lot from them and 6 7 also from formal training such as detective training 8 courses, first line manager training which have elements of death investigation and support available to the 9 10 bereaved. In short, all officers will receive training which will touch on passing death messages." 11 12 So you mention there the importance of dealing with 13 families compassionately and sensitively. How important 14 in your view and your experience is it to tell the

15 family the truth about what has happened to their loved 16 one?

17 A. It's Fundamental.

18 Q. And what would be the likely impact if the information 19 given by the police to a family was inaccurate or 20 incomplete or misleading?

A. It risks losing trust and confidence in our ability to,
you know, investigate, potentially, in their eyes, would
be my thoughts.

24 Q. Look at paragraph 28:

```
25
```

"I'm asked if, in my experience, what preparation

1 should be done by officers in advance of going to meet with a family to pass a death message. This can vary. 2 3 Sometimes there can be little time to prepare simply 4 because the nature and circumstances of the death have 5 not allowed it. What I mean by that is we operate now in the knowledge that details of an incident very 6 7 quickly become known in the public domain and often 8 through social media. We aim to trace next of kin, in 9 person, as quickly as possible to prevent them finding 10 out through other means and this also enables us to support them as quickly as possible. If you consider 11 12 the case of a fatal road traffic accident which has just 13 occurred, there is a pressing need to identify the 14 deceased and locate their next of kin. The next of kin 15 may not live in the area of the accident and we would need to make that request to another force area. That 16 17 of course is often reciprocated and in these cases we will have little information about the accident or the 18 deceased and their family. Officers will do what they 19 20 can to understand the needs of the family before they 21 attend but there are occasions where they will be asked to attend urgently with limited information. There will 22 be occasions where we have more time to check our 23 24 systems and understand if the family are known to us or 25 the local social work department or GP for example, so

we can better understand the family background. In
 terms of other preparation, they will rely on their
 training, experience of dealing with bereaved families
 and their interpersonal skills to act sympathetically
 and professionally."

6 You identify there the need to trace next of kin as 7 quickly as possible, living in the modern world there's 8 the constant threat of people finding information out 9 through social media and not from the police, and you 10 alluded to that.

Now, we know that Sheku Bayoh -- we heard evidence 11 12 that Sheku Bayoh died shortly after 9 o'clock in the 13 morning, life was pronounced extinct at 9.04. Collette Bell, his partner, provided a statement to 14 15 DCs Mitchell and Parker at about 11.12, and in the course of giving that statement she provided contact 16 details for Sheku Bayoh's sister, Kadi Johnson, the next 17 of kin. Kadi Johnson was visited by Detective Constable 18 Mitchell and Detective Constable Parker at about 3.10 in 19 20 the afternoon, so that was about four hours thereby 21 after contact details for the next of kin had been 22 passed to those officers.

Is that as quickly as possible, in your view?
A. I'm probably not the best person to ask -- to answer
that. It would be very much from the information that

1		was known, that wasn't information that was known to me.
2	Q.	But on the face of it, the information was made
3		available to the officers four hours before they
4		attended at the Johnston's address. Is that quick in
5		any view?
6	Α.	Four hours isn't quick, because the example I gave maybe
7		is one where there's an out of force element. Clearly
8		I know the family were in Kirkcaldy, so that without
9		knowing why it took so long, as long as four hours, it's
10		difficult to say. But
11	Q.	There has been some evidence that the delay might have
12		been due to the unavailability of FLOs.
13	A.	Okay.
14	Q.	In your view, would that fit well with there being
15		a four-hour delay on the face of things in sharing the
16		information with the next of kin?
17	Α.	I'm not personally I'm not sure that the requirement
18		to have a FLO would necessarily delay that, in my view,
19		but again, I'm not probably privy to all the
20		information, and I'm certainly not privy to when
21		statements were noted.
22	Q.	We can ask other officers about that.
23	A.	Okay.
24	Q.	Can we turn to paragraph 29 of your statement, please.
25		This relates to advice that you took, you sought and

1 obtained from Gill Boulton. You refer to your statement 2 which reads: 3 "... '[Chief Superintendent] McEwan and I agreed to 4 attend at the family home to attempt to answer some of 5 the questions being posed by the family to alleviate some of their concerns'." 6 7 And we saw your notebook entry that referred to 8 that --Yes. 9 Α. 10 Q. -- just a moment ago. "'Chief Superintendent McEwan and I spoke with 11 12 Gill Boulton (Force Equalities Unit) prior to our 13 attendance to ascertain any cultural issues in advance 14 of the meeting'. I'm asked what cultural issues were 15 identified by Ms Boulton and discussed with us. I don't recall. From memory, I think it was a generalised 16 17 awareness of culture as opposed to anything too specific. I note my PIRC statement dated 18 June ... at 18 page 3, states that 'Before the meeting took place with 19 20 the family Mr McEwan, myself and Gill Boulton had 21 a meeting in my office. Gill Boulton is the Force 22 Equalities Officer. The meeting related to cultural awareness matters. By way of example it was made known 23 to us that given that the family were Muslim, it should 24 25 be the case that they would expect Mr McEwan to take the

what her role was--

1 lead in conversations with the family. I also recall that it may be the case that family members would not 2 3 shake my hand'. I believe that this comment was noted 4 in response to a direct question I was posed by the PIRC 5 about that. If I said that, that's the best I could relay. I don't remember any of the details of the 6 7 advice we were given now." 8 And your statement then moves on to describe your visit to Mr Bayoh's family. 9 10 I'd like to ask you some questions about your meeting with Gill Boulton, she's described by you as the 11 12 Force Equalities Officer. What was her role? 13 Again, my understanding, and I'm not the best person to Α. 14 answer that, because up until this point I don't 15 think -- I had never met Gill Boulton before that. I'd -- you know, the Force -- sorry, her title --16 17 Equalities Officer, clearly wwe were a year and a half or just into Police Scotland so that post hadn't existed 18 in a force sense. I understood from certainly that 19 20 conversation with her that she was going to provide us 21 with relevant information before going to see the 22 family, but other than that I wouldn't be able to 23 elaborate. 24 Q. It's perhaps a question we should ask her, to explain

25

1	Α.	I'm sure she would be able to
2	Q.	but what was your understanding of the purpose of this
3	mee	ting? How did you anticipate she may be able to help
4	you	?
5	A.	Awareness raising was my understanding, that she was
6		going to provide us prior to us attending at the family
7		home.
8	Q.	Do you recall what information you were able to give to
9		her in order for her to give you advice based on that
10		information?
11	Α.	No, I don't.
12	Q.	And what sort of advice were you looking for?
13	Α.	Anything that may aid our ability to, you know,
14		inform/assist. That's why we were going there, to,
15		you know, to do our best to assist. So anything that
16		she could do to assist us in, you know, making that as
17		productive a visit as possible was the point of that, in
18		my view.
19	Q.	By the time of this meeting, were you aware that
20		Sheku Bayoh was black?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Were you aware that his family were from Sierra Leone?
23	A.	Not as such, no.
24	Q.	Were you aware that his family were Muslim?
25	A.	I don't know at what point to be honest and certainly at

1 that point in the day possibly, but I'm not sure. I'm just wondering what information you would have been 2 Q. 3 able to provide to Gill Boulton so that she could give 4 you advice that was tailored to the circumstances of the 5 meeting you were about to have with Mr Bayoh's family. My recollection is I wasn't required to brief 6 Α. 7 Gill Boulton, it was -- it had already been done. 8 Q. Right. 9 So that conversation was very much coming the other way Α. 10 to myself and Mr McEwan at that point as opposed to us 11 briefing to then receive a response, so my assumption is 12 somebody else has clearly provided Gill Boulton with 13 an update as to what we were dealing with that day. So was the meeting a little one-sided then, she was --14 Q. I think you can see from the timings of that that -- the 15 Α. 16 timing of that meeting and then going to meet the family 17 and then initially when the detectives came back, it's a really short window of time, so it wasn't a long 18 19 meeting, from recollection. 20 We have a statement from Gill Boulton, so I wonder if we Q. 21 can pull that up on the screen, please, it's Inquiry 22 reference 00243. If we can scroll down, we can see here that it's her statement, and if we scroll to 23 paragraphs 9 and 10, we can perhaps have a look at what 24 her role was. Paragraph 9: 25

"I have been asked to describe my role and 1 2 responsibilities for when I was Chief Inspector within 3 equality and diversity in National Safer Communities. 4 The role has quite a wide-ranging remit ... At that 5 point in time, we hadn't long been a national force, so we were still bringing together practices and procedures 6 7 that the various legacy forces undertook within the 8 Safer Communities remit. But the main piece for me was 9 around about community reassurance there was a fair 10 focus on that and that wasn't just in relation to incidents like this, but also things like if there was 11 12 big marches, or if there was something that was going to 13 be disrupting our community and whether that's 14 a geographical community or a community formed of 15 a protected groups. National Safer Communities would be there to provide that community reassurance. We were 16 17 also the department that had the links into our community lay advisers into community groups so that we 18 could spread messages from the force or from a local 19 20 division to make sure that they were going into the 21 heart of communities that needed to hear that 22 information, particularly in relation to any groups who would ordinarily be within harder to reach communities, 23 24 so there was a fair bit of work around about that." 25 She goes on to talk about going into schools and

that sort of thing. So does that description which has come from her as to her role and responsibilities back in May 2015 fit with your expectations of her role? You say you hadn't worked with her before?

5 A. In a general sense, yeah.

6 Q. We can move on to paragraph 10, please:

7 "I have been asked to explain what matters I would 8 normally be contacted for advice in terms of the on call 9 function that I had. It tended to be those at the more 10 serious end of the spectrum, so I had been contacted about a number of deaths and particularly where the 11 12 deceased was from either an ethnic minority background 13 or from a protected characteristic whereby there may 14 have been an impact on a specific community. So, 15 situations where there was additional information or understanding required about the cultural sensitivities 16 17 of a particular group and the needs of that group and how that we might best meet them. The role of our Safer 18 19 Communities on-call facility was to provide that, and it 20 didn't mean that we detracted from the operational chief 21 inspectors or whoever were on the ground. We could come in and have that advice to our fingertips." 22

23 So here she's describing the advisory role and 24 you've explained that she had been briefed by others but 25 was going to come and provide advice to you and to Chief

1 Inspector McEwan.

2		Can we look, please, at your notebook. It's the
3		page that has the reference PS18481. We see, and again
4		you refer to the timings being quite tight earlier on,
5		we see from the marginal notes that your sit-down with
6		Gill Boulton from equalities was at 17.55. By 18.10 you
7		had been advised by DC Mitchell that the family would
8		welcome a visit from you.
9		Let's look at what you've recorded in relation to
10		your meeting with Gill Boulton:
11		"[Chief Superintendent] and I have sit-down with
12		Gill Boulton (Equalities) - my office - re any cultural
13		issues in advance of meeting. Awareness provided of
14		possibility that they may not engage with me being
15		female. Shoes removed if requested - no handshake
16		unless offered."
17		Now, were these notes made at the time of your
18		meeting with Gill Boulton or retrospectively?
19	A.	I think at the time, but categorically I couldn't say.
20	Q.	So it appears from this note that the advice that you
21		were given, the awareness that you were provided with,
22		was of the possibility that the family may not engage
23		with you being female, shoes may be removed if
24		requested, and no handshake unless offered. Were you
25		given any information by Gill Boulton that would have

1		helped you to understand why the family might not engage
2		with you because you were female, there might be a need
3		to remove your shoes or that you shouldn't offer
4		a handshake unless one were offered to you?
5	A.	Possibly, I just don't recall now anything further than
6		probably what I've documented there.
7	Q.	Okay. And did you feel that this information that you
8		were given by Gill Boulton was sufficient for your
9		purpose, going off to meet with the family?
10	A.	It was certainly helpful in terms of, you know, those
11		potential sensitivities, customs, practices, that just
12		to be aware of, and sensitive to.
13	Q.	This was about raising your awareness
14	Α.	Yeah.
15	Q.	to allow you to be sensitive to considerations?
16	A.	Yeah.
17	Q.	Now, Mr Bayoh died after contact with the police. Were
18		you aware that there would be a need for a post-mortem
19		to take place?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Was there any discussion with Gill Boulton about
22		cultural or even religious requirements around death,
23		around any difficulty that the family might have with
24		the news that there would have to be an autopsy?
25	Α.	Certainly not that I've recorded and I can't remember

1 any more than what's recorded there, so ... Well, let's go back to Gill Boulton's statement to see 2 Q. 3 if that might assist us. That was Inquiry 00243. If we can go to paragraphs 18 and 19, let's just go straight 4 to 19, in fact. Paragraph 18 just relates to the timing 5 of the meeting. Paragraph 19 reads: 6 7 "I have been asked whether I remember any more about 8 the discussions I had and advice given to Garry McEwan 9 prior to his meeting with the Bayoh family. Yes. We 10 always reverted to the Police Scotland diversity handbook. This is a document which gave some very 11 12 generic and wide-ranging and information about the 13 considerations that we might want to be aware of. I had 14 covered things like going into the house, shaking hands 15 with women, and the fact that women and men might be separate and that wasn't unusual. Also that often in 16 17 the Muslim faith there are concerns over any post-mortem or interference with a body before its burial or 18 funeral, and also that, there's often a desire to 19 20 achieve a funeral or a burial or what have you within 21 a fairly swift period of time. Oftentimes where the police are involved in a death, then the sense would be 22 that that might not happen in the way the families might 23 24 like or expect. It was a worthwhile conversation to 25 have so that when those conversations did unfold in the

1 coming days, there was at least an awareness that the 2 family may raise either concerns or questions around 3 what the process would be in terms of any post-mortem or 4 in terms of awaiting the release of the body by the 5 Fiscal and things like that so it was just to flag that that the family may well raise concerns, obviously at 6 7 that point in time I don't think those conversations had 8 been had in any detail to the best of my knowledge and 9 that was the sort of generic advice that I was providing." 10

Does that jog your memory at all, does that assist 11 12 you? Gill Boulton seems to recall there being some 13 discussion or some advice proffered around cultural and 14 religious sensitivities around death and the need for 15 a post-mortem. Does that help you at all? It doesn't jog my memory. Clearly there are points that 16 Α. 17 are similar to what I've described, she's clearly 18 included other points that I don't recollect now, 19 certainly.

Q. And can you explain why, assuming the conversation took
place as described by Gill Boulton, these comments
didn't make their way into your notebook?

A. I can't explain why they didn't. That's not to say they
didn't, you know, they weren't mentioned, I've just not
recorded them. But yeah, I can't explain why I've -- if

1		they were discussed why I haven't recorded them.
2	Q.	Looking back, are they things that you think perhaps
3		might usefully have been recorded?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Do you think that the issues concerning cultural and
6		religious considerations around death are important
7		things to bear in mind when meeting with the family?
8	Α.	Absolutely.
9	Q.	And that might have been useful perhaps to have made
10		a note?
11	Α.	Absolutely, in terms of, you know, crucial
12		considerations for us to consider, you know, the noting
13		of them would have been helpful now that I'm being
14		asked, but absolutely crucial that we were made aware of
15		that.
16	Q.	So the notes might be helpful eight years down the
17		line
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	but I suppose at the time the conversation you had
20		with Gill Boulton would have been very fresh in your
21		memory when you went to have that conversation with the
22		family?
23	A.	Absolutely, yes.
24	Q.	Now, there is a reference at the top of that paragraph,
25		three lines down from the top, to the Police Scotland

1		diversity handbook. Is that a publication with which
2		you were familiar back in 2015?
3	Α.	I don't recall.
4	Q.	Is it something that you consulted yourself on 3 May
5		before you went to see the family?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	No, or perhaps in the days or weeks that followed?
8	Α.	I'm not sure what what it is in terms of, if it's
9		a policy document or if it's something that just sits
10		with the equalities officer, I'm not actually sure what
11		that reference is.
12	Q.	Okay. We might hear more evidence about that from
13		someone else, but it's certainly not something that you
14		consulted on 3 May?
15	A.	Not that I can recall, certainly, no.
16	Q.	Or in the days or weeks that followed during the period
17		of your involvement with the lay advisers and the
18		elected members and the community impact assessment. It
19		wasn't a resource that you recall using?
20	Α.	I don't, but then I'm not sure what's being referenced,
21		to be honest.
22	Q.	I see.
23		Can we go back to Gill Boulton's statement, please,
24		that's PIRC sorry, it's a different statement, it's
25		her PIRC one 00323. This is a statement that

1 Gill Boulton gave to the PIRC. If we scroll down, we will see the date of that statement, 29 June 2015, and 2 3 if we can go to the second page, please, and the second 4 paragraph, here we are. So reading from her statement: 5 "I arrived at Kirkcaldy at 1730 hours where I was provided with a further update in relation to the 6 7 incident and at 1745 hours spoke with 8 Chief Superintendent McEwan and Chief Inspector 9 Nicola Shepherd." 10 So if we pause there, this fits very much with what you said a few moments ago, that you understood that 11 12 Gill Boulton had been briefed in advance of you and 13 Garry McEwan going to speak with her. She refers to a briefing in advance of the meeting with yourselves. 14 15 Α. Okay. "I understood that the brother-in-law of the now 16 Q. 17 deceased was known to Mr McEwan in his capacity as a lay 18 adviser within the legacy Fife force and that Mr McEwan 19 was intending to meet with him. At this time the 20 religious and cultural background of the deceased was 21 still unclear but I provided Mr McEwan with some 22 cultural awareness on the basis that it was believed that the wider family were practising Muslims. 23 I provided some generic advice regarding entering 24 25 a Muslim household and advised that there may be

1 concerns about the need for a post-mortem and how quickly the body of the deceased may be released back to 2 3 the family. 4 "I also suggested that there was a need to engage 5 the services of an independent lay adviser given the previous role of the deceased's brother-in-law." 6 7 When you went into this meeting with Gill Boulton, 8 had you been made aware that Sheku Bayoh's 9 brother-in-law was a lay adviser to Police Scotland? 10 Α. I'm not sure if I knew that or not. Do you recall it being discussed in the course of the 11 Q. 12 meeting? 13 No. Α. The last line of that paragraph reads: 14 Q. 15 "I also suggested there was a need to engage the services of an independent lay adviser given the 16 17 previous role of the decease's brother-in-law." 18 Do you recall that suggestion being made by Gill Boulton? 19 20 I don't recall that now, no. Α. Q. You don't recall that. 21 Do you recall at the point in time that you went to 22 the family visit the family whether at the back of your 23 24 mind you were aware that Sheku Bayoh's brother-in-law 25 was a lay adviser?

1 A. I don't recall that.

2	Q.	It appears from Gill Boulton's statement that the
	¥•	
3		suggestion was made that you engage the services of
4		an independent lay adviser and you mentioned earlier in
5		your evidence that there were two lay advisers, one with
6		a Polish background, one who you said was Muslim,
7		I think they were both men?
8	A.	They were.
9	Q.	And in the fullness of time they were engaged by you and
10		we'll talk about them a little more when we look at the
11		community impact assessment.
12		Do you recall a discussion with Gary Wilson, he was
13		another lay adviser?
		-
14	Α.	No.
14 15	A. Q.	-
		No.
15		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take
15 16		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the
15 16 17		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the instruction or appointment of appropriate lay advisers,
15 16 17 18		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the instruction or appointment of appropriate lay advisers, given the circumstances of this incident.
15 16 17 18 19		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the instruction or appointment of appropriate lay advisers, given the circumstances of this incident. Gary Wilson's statement is I do not have the
15 16 17 18 19 20		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the instruction or appointment of appropriate lay advisers, given the circumstances of this incident. Gary Wilson's statement is I do not have the reference number immediately to hand but you do, thank
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the instruction or appointment of appropriate lay advisers, given the circumstances of this incident. Gary Wilson's statement is I do not have the reference number immediately to hand but you do, thank you very much. Here is Gary Wilson's statement, let's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		No. This might have been on 4 May but I wonder if I can take you to his statement, because it concerns the instruction or appointment of appropriate lay advisers, given the circumstances of this incident. Gary Wilson's statement is I do not have the reference number immediately to hand but you do, thank you very much. Here is Gary Wilson's statement, let's just set the scene if we can have a look at paragraph 3.

paragraph 6 but in paragraph 6 he does explain that he advises principally in relation to issues concerning the LGBT community, and he says that:

4 "The police would call me to deal with these issues,
5 if it was an ethnic minority issue they would try to
6 obtain someone from an ethnic minority background,
7 although it didn't always work like that and you could
8 deal with any equality issue."

9 So he explains a little bit about the role of the 10 lay adviser and his particular protected characteristic 11 or the characteristic in respect of which he could 12 advise.

13If we can look at paragraph 19 of this statement,14please -- now, before we turn to paragraph 19, if15I might read a short, what he says in previous16paragraphs, he explains that he had a call from17Gill Boulton on 3 May. In fact, let's look very briefly18at paragraph 16:

We'll go through this quite quickly:

19

In relation to the appointment of a lay adviser, the preferred way would be to find someone that is of that particular protected characteristic or understands that protected characteristic. I am asked if my role was to identify someone more akin to that role. The answer is no. It was not normal or not out of the

1 ordinary, that the police would sometimes phone up because they couldn't get anybody and they would say, 2 3 'We've got a case here around disability', for example. 4 I would say to them, ideally you would want a lay 5 adviser that is more of an expert on that particular characteristic than I am, especially if it was a very 6 7 serious case. If it wasn't a serious case they would 8 sometimes say 'We have tried and we can't get anybody, would you mind just letting us talk you through the 9 case?' So, I think, I said to CI Boulton~..." 10 This is in the context of a conversation they 11 12 apparently had on 3 May: 13 "... although I could be wrong, I think you should 14 in a case that seems high level and sensitive, get 15 somebody from that particular protected characteristic, because I knew from what they said it was going to be 16 17 serious, there was going to be repercussions to that. That's my memory, I could be wrong but my memory is that 18 I said to them 'Really I don't think I'm the ideal 19 20 person for this role because that's not my expertise and 21 if there are sensitivities around I think you should be 22 looking for somebody else'. I think that they went back 23 and presumably got somebody from an ethnic minority background. This is my assumption, I don't know that." 24 25 That's the background to a conversation that he

1 thinks he had with you the following day. So let's turn 2 to paragraph 19 now:

3 "In relation to receiving the call the next day from CI Shepherd I'm asked if I recall what this conversation 4 5 entails. In my memory he, could be she, but in my memory he, explained what had happened. I knew the lay 6 7 adviser who was a relative to the victim and I knew her. 8 Not well, I knew her from meetings. He said, 9 unfortunately, this is the scenario, so we're having to be really cautious. Obviously, we can't involve the 10 relative who was the lay adviser. He said 11 12 'Unfortunately it has led to a death. The person -13 I don't know if he named him at the time - the person 14 concerned unfortunately died because he resisted arrest. 15 There was a knife involved, and suspected drugs'. I'm presuming they didn't know for sure that there were 16 17 drugs. He then said that 'Unfortunately the person had resisted arrest and had died. That there will obviously 18 be a big fallout from this'. I think he said they're 19 20 declaring it a 'major incident' or 'critical incident' 21 or whatever the police use for something like that. 22 That was the gist of it. I said to him, as you know, we do work on cross-equality strands or protected 23 24 characteristic strands, but I think you really need to get more expertise, a lay adviser that has more 25

1 expertise. This is limited to what I knew: somebody had 2 resisted arrest and had unfortunately died. I didn't 3 know how, why or where. I didn't know about the knife 4 not being there at the time, which I've read in the 5 press. They didn't tell me. This is my recollection of that conversation." 6 7 If we just look briefly at paragraph 20: 8 "I am asked if I can recollect if I was called by a 9 man or a woman. Sometimes the sergeant from St Leonards 10 Police Station would phone and make the arrangements, so it could well have been that I've conflated him phoning 11 12 to make the arrangement for me to speak to her - that 13 could clarify any confusion on my part." 14 So Gary Wilson, one of the lay advisers, has 15 a recollection of speaking with you on 4 May. Now, again, does that jog your memory at all? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. It doesn't? 19 I've never -- I don't know who Gary Wilson is. And I've Α. 20 no recollection of speaking to anybody that morning 21 about the lay advisers. So probably slightly concerned that that's not me that's being referred to there. 22 Okay. All right, so you don't recall the conversation 23 Q. 24 and you're concerned that in fact it might not have been 25 you?

1 Α. I've no recollection of that or, I don't -- I'd never heard of Gary Wilson up until you just mentioned his 2 3 name. 4 Q. Given your remit as bronze commander leading on 5 community impact, given the actions points that we have seen set out for you in the Gold Group meetings, can you 6 7 help us to understand who else it could have been that 8 would have been making this call? 9 I -- I don't know. I -- I don't remember meeting or Α. 10 speaking to the lay advisers before they arrived on that first meeting that we documented. I'd had no contact 11 12 with them. So that's probably why I'm, you know, I'm 13 reasonably confident that that wasn't me. All right. Shall we look at in your notebook --14 Q. 15 Α. Yeah. -- just to see if there's anything in that that might 16 Q. 17 help us one way or the other. Now, the pages have got individual numbers. Let me see if I can find the 18 19 correct page for ... I don't think we in fact have 20 a page that takes us as far as 4 May. Or at any rate 21 I don't. So let's leave that for now. I'm conscious that we 22 23 don't appear to have the hard copy of your gold book. Sorry, your daybook. 24

25 A. Okay.

1	Q.	And I want to look that out for you over the morning
2		break.
3	LOR	D BRACADALE: This might be a point, convenient point to
4		have the morning break slightly early.
5	MS	THOMSON: It would, sir, if that doesn't cause any
6		inconvenience.
7	LOR	D BRACADALE: I think so. Very well, a 20-minute break.
8	(11	.24 am)
9		(A short break)
10	(11	.53 am)
11	LOR	D BRACADALE: Ms Thomson.
12	MS	THOMSON: Before the break we were talking about
13		a meeting or a conversation that you may or may not have
14		had on 4 May with a Gary Wilson, and I had wondered
15		whether entries in your notebook, daybook, might be of
16		some assistance one way or the other, and I made
17		enquiries over the break, it appears that we don't have
18		notebook entries that would be on 3 May, however we do
19		have your daybook on 4 May so shall we have a look at
20		that, it's PS09165.
21	Α.	Sorry, could you repeat the number?
22	Q.	Sorry. 09165. It will also come up on the screen, but
23		the hard copy is 09165. I think the best thing would be
24		if I invite you just to cast your eye over this, because
25		there's quite a lot of content and I don't think it will

all necessarily be relevant. If we could perhaps scroll through this slowly, you can see that there are details, I think, of resources on duty on that day, mention of house-to-house, there's a reference there to community officers, but that would be police officers rather than lay advisers?

7 A. Yes, yeah.

Q. And a morning meeting. Community impact review, there's
a reference to it being there in draft format. Elected
members, the advisory group, reference to the local
mosque, reassurance patrols, family updates, PIM. Then
there is a Gold Group meeting, which we've heard was at
12.30 hours on 4 May, notes in relation to that.

Is there anything here at all -- this takes us to the end of the document, 4 May, that assists us whether you had a conversation with Gary Wilson on 4 May?
A. I don't think it does.

18 Q. Is that the sort of thing that you would have recorded 19 in your daybook?

A. I think my notes are quite detailed throughout, soI would like to think I would have recorded that.

Q. It's certainly clear that when he gave a statement to
a member of the Inquiry team, Gary Wilson thought that
DI Shepherd was a man, so there's certainly some degree
of confusion there.

1	A.	Okay.
2	Q.	And if I understand your position correctly, you have no
3		recollection of that conversation and you query whether
4		the conversation was with you?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	It could perhaps have been with another person?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	On that basis I'm not going to ask you anything else
9		about that conversation.
10	Α.	Okay.
11	Q.	While we are looking at the daybook, I wonder if I can
12		take you to your daybook entry in relation to 3 May, and
13		there's just a small point that it might be helpful to
14		clarify.
15		If we scroll down a little bit, I wonder whether
16		these entries, which are very specific timings, can you
17		help us understand where these timings came from?
18	A.	I've been asked that when my public Inquiry statement
19		was noted, and I think I made the comment because the
20		timings are there that my, my assumption would be
21		they're from the STORM call card, but I couldn't be
22		definitive in that, and I couldn't explain how else
23		I would have come by that information.
24	Q.	We see an entry at 7.22:
25		"Emergency button pressed - sounds of disturbance.

1		Officer injured."
2		And beneath that:
3		"Update Sammi~"
4		With what looks like a capital S:
5		" man on ground~"
6		What does that entry relate to?
7	A.	Sammi will be Sammi Davidson, Samantha Davidson. What
8		that relates to is the incident, clearly, because it's
9		within the text of what I think is from the call card.
10	Q.	Okay. Has there perhaps been an update over the
11		Airwaves or in such a way that it's perhaps become
12		captured in the call card from Sammi Davidson at about
13		7.22 to the effect that the man was on ground,
14		restrained and unconscious?
15	A.	I'm not sure without probably seeing the call card back,
16		but
17	Q.	But it's your evidence that Sammi is just an informal
18		name for
19	A.	She's known, you know, day-to-day as Sammi, but
20		obviously Samantha is her full name.
21	Q.	Samantha Davidson, and her colleagues call her Sammi?
22	A.	Yeah.
23	Q.	Thank you.
24		I would like to ask you now about the visit that you
25		and Garry McEwan made to the Johnsons' house on 3 May.

1 Can we return to your Inquiry statement, please, 2 paragraph 30: 3 "Visit to the Bayoh family. 4 "My memory of this visit is outlined in my 5 statements ... 18 May and 18 June ... Chief Superintendent Garry McEwan took the lead in the 6 7 meeting. He was doing the majority of the speaking and 8 I was there to support him and understand the family's 9 concerns. My role as the local area commander was to 10 monitor the impact of the incident on the family and community more widely. I recorded details of the 11 12 discussion with the Bayoh family in my police notebook. I recorded these notes when I returned to Kirkcaldy 13 14 Police Office after the visit. I did not take these 15 notes during the meeting as I did not think it was appropriate to be jotting down comments when we were in 16 17 discussion with the family and they were posing numerous questions to us both about events that morning. The 18 notes I took were very much my recollection of the 19 20 questions that were posed to us and the responses we 21 provided. I recorded these as soon as I got back to the office." 22

23 Continuing on to paragraph 31 where your notebook 24 entries have been copied in to this statement: 25 "... 'Attended [redacted] at about 1830 accompanied

1 by [Chief Superintendent]. Numerous family members 2 present. Some introduced Ade (brother-in-law), sister 3 Kadi, partner Collette, her mother. [Chief 4 Superintendent] took lead and explained that purpose of 5 visit was to provide them of our understanding of events from a police perspective. Emphasised that this was not 6 7 a factual account but what had been provided to us to 8 date. Explained that this was fluid and many people 9 were still to be seen. [Chief Superintendent] explained to all present that at about 0700 this morning a number 10 of calls were received by the police from members of the 11 12 public about a black African man in possession of a 13 knife on Hendry Road at its junction with Hayfield Road. 14 Officers had just commenced duty and left from the 15 police station. Attended the scene and during his apprehension, CS spray was discharged and batons 16 17 withdrawn. During this officers became aware that Shek had lost consciousness. They administered CPR and 18 called for an ambulance. Shek was PLE'd at 0904 19 20 [Chief Superintendent] explained the role of the PIRC to 21 undertake the independent enquiry and were in attendance at Kirkcaldy Police Station and would make contact with 22 the family to inform them of their role. 23

24 "Number of questions were posed by the family: (1),
25 Why this was the first of them being told that Shek's

death involved contact with the police? (2) Why did the 1 2 police not disarm him? (3) The family have been told 3 that there were 15 officers in attendance. Why did it take 15 officers? (4) Why have the police taken Arran 4 5 Crescent as a scene? They have fears officers will 'plant' the knife. (1) C/Supt could not comment on what 6 7 the family have been told to date. (2) No response 8 given. (3) No confirmation of numbers given. (4) 9 Again, unable to confirm but explained that he would contact PIRC to assist in retrieving baby items ie baby 10 milk, nappies for child of deceased. Overall, family 11 12 members extremely distraught, angry and frustrated~..." LORD BRACADALE: I'm really sorry to interrupt, Ms Thomson, 13 14 but there's a message from the stenographer that she's 15 not managing to keep up with you, and she says please slow down. So do you mind doing that. 16

17 MS THOMSON: I'm so sorry, of course.

"(4) Again, unable to confirm but explained that he 18 would contact PIRC to assist in retrieving baby items ie 19 20 baby milk, nappies for child of deceased. Overall 21 family members extremely distraught, angry and frustrated by lack of information. Ade requested that 22 [Chief Superintendent] contact him tomorrow and 23 24 requested that we do not release any information to 25 media as Shek's mother had not been contacted and other

1		family members were trying to contact her in Croydon.
2		1920 approx - [Chief Superintendent] and I left and
3		returned to Kirkcaldy Police Station."
4		I'd like to ask you some questions around your
5		recollection of that meeting. If we scroll up a little
6		bit again, please, to look at what you said in your
7		notebook, if we look to the part that is perhaps five
8		lines from the bottom:
9		"Attended the scene and during his apprehension
10		CS spray was discharged and batons withdrawn."
11		Do you see that?
12	A.	Yeah.
13	Q.	Do you recall what was said about batons being
14		withdrawn?
15	A.	No. Other than that, no.
16	Q.	What do you mean by "batons withdrawn"?
17	A.	Removed from they sit in a harness, a holder, so
18		removed withdrawn at that point.
19	Q.	We've heard others describe that action as drawing their
20		baton rather than withdrawing their baton; is it much of
21		a muchness?
22	A.	Yeah. It is, yeah.
23	Q.	Did you know at that point in time that Mr Bayoh had
24		been struck with batons?
25	Α.	Not sure if I did.

1	Q.	Was that information shared with the family?
2	A.	It's not documented there, no.
3	Q.	That note documents batons being withdrawn, but there's
4		no reference to baton strike.
5	A.	No, not in there, no.
6	Q.	There's mention of an apprehension:
7		" CS spray was discharged and batons withdrawn.
8		During this officers became aware that Shek had lost
9		consciousness."
10		Were you aware that Sheku lost consciousness after
11		having been taken to the ground and restrained?
12	A.	I'm not sure about the actual sequence of events.
13	Q.	Were you aware that there had been a restraint?
14	A.	When I went to see the family?
15	Q.	Yes.
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Was that information shared with them?
18	A.	That there was a restraint?
19	Q.	Reference to:
20		"During his apprehension, CS spray was discharged
21		and batons withdrawn. During this officers became aware
22		that Shek had lost consciousness~"
23	A.	Sorry, what was the question?
24	Q.	Whether the family whether you were aware that there
25		had been a restraint and if so whether the family were

1		told at the same time?
2	Α.	I'm not sure the word "restraint" is used.
3	Q.	"Apprehension" perhaps is more general?
4	Α.	Yes, I suppose in answer to your question I'm still not
5		sure if the actual terminology "restraint" was used
6	Q.	You don't know whether that term was used
7	A.	I've certainly not recorded that.
8	Q.	All right.
9		If we can scroll down to the second part of that
10		paragraph, where you record the questions that were
11		asked by the family, and the responses given by
12		Chief Superintendent, so the first question asked by the
13		family was:
14		"(1) Why was this the first of them being told that
15		Shek's death involved contact with police?"
16		And the Chief Superintendent could not comment on
17		what the family had been told to date. Why was the
18		Chief Superintendent unable to comment on what the
19		family had been told to date?
20	A.	I wouldn't want to answer for him, but my the fact
21		that we didn't know what had been told to date was
22		because we didn't know what the detectives that had
23		visited earlier in the day had specifically told them.
24	Q.	But you'd had sight of or were aware of the content of
25		the death message that had been passed by DC Mitchell

and DC Parker?

1

A. I'm not -- I -- the content, no, but the -- I was aware
there had been a pre-planned -- sorry, pre-prepared
statement but I'm not entirely sure I ever had the
opportunity to read it.

Q. Well, if we look at paragraph 26 of your statement,
which I think we've looked at already, that paragraph
begins with a quote from your police statement in which
there's a reference to constables -- Detective
Constables Mitchell and Parker having been supplied
a pre-prepared brief:

12 "I am asked was I aware of what the content of that 13 brief was that was provided to the family, whether in 14 advance or in the aftermath. From my recollection, 15 I wasn't aware beforehand. I think the first I was aware was when they came back and explained that they'd 16 17 been to the family's home address and they'd faced 18 a number of questions that they were unable to answer. 19 It was at that point I became aware that there had been 20 a pre-planned brief. I am asked if I saw the wording of 21 the brief that had been provided to the officers to give 22 to the family either because it was written down or 23 perhaps, I'd been told what the brief said. Possibly. I seem to remember being aware it was guite a short 24 brief, six or seven lines from recollection, I'm 25

1 assuming from that that I must have seen it written
2 down. However, whether I actually had an opportunity to
3 read it or had simply been aware of it I'm not sure.
4 I'm asked whether the officers told me who provided the
5 brief. They possibly did. I don't recall now."

So the evidence that you gave to the Inquiry team in 6 7 your statement suggests that you may have seen it, 8 you're assuming that you must have seen it written down 9 because you were aware that it was quite short, and 10 earlier today I read to you a passage from DC Mitchell's evidence, because he told us what the wording of the 11 12 brief was, he'd written it in his notebook, and asked 13 whether that fitted with your recollection. And I'll be 14 corrected if I'm wrong, I don't have the transcript in 15 front of me, but I think that did fit with your recollection of the brief. 16

I'm just wondering why it was that Garry McEwan or indeed neither you nor Garry McEwan were in a position to advise the family. You say that you couldn't advise the family of what had been said before because you didn't have that knowledge, but you did have some knowledge of the pre-prepared brief that had been read over previously?

A. Yes, so I definitely had knowledge that there wasa pre-prepared statement that had been provided. What

I'm not clear is if I was fully, you know, in a position to read what was -- what had been provided, and then anything in addition that had been said, I wasn't in a position to say categorically what had been told to the family by officers that had spoken to them before we got there.

7 Certainly Sergeant Dursley and Detective Constables Q. 8 Mitchell and Parker had all reported to you that their 9 meeting had been a difficult one, and it was against 10 that background that you and the Chief Superintendent decided to go and meet with the family. So what steps 11 12 did you take to inform yourself as to what had gone 13 before in terms of the contact that DC Mitchell and 14 DC Parker had had with the family, information that had 15 been shared by them, concerns that had been raised by the family? What steps did you take to inform yourself 16 17 of these things in preparation for the meeting that you 18 were going to have with the family? Yeah, I mean, my recollection of those conversations, 19 Α. 20 and you referenced the difficult conversation, difficult 21 in the sense that the officers couldn't answer the

questions that were being posed by the family, that was what came through clearly when they came back, that they had the pre-prepared statement that they were going to describe in outline, but over and above that they

1 weren't able to answer the questions. 2 So, you know, as far as I was concerned that was --3 that was what I needed to know, that there was a number 4 of questions that they were asking, quite rightly, about 5 what had happened but they were unable to answer them. And what steps did you take to find out what questions 6 Q. 7 had been asked that the officers were not able to 8 answer? I'm not sure about steps, but it was -- it was quite 9 Α. 10 clear they were looking for information that had led to (a) the police, you know, coming upon Mr Bayoh that 11 12 morning, and then what had happened subsequently. 13 I didn't know anything other than what I had been privy 14 to through the gold updates, and even then they were from third party references, because that's how we were 15 informed at the gold as to the information, you know, 16 17 and that is documented in the factual update, I think 18 it's called, in one of the meeting minutes. So I knew 19 as much as that. Whether they knew any more than me, 20 I'm not sure.

Q. I wonder whether it would have helped you and Chief Superintendent McEwan in carrying out your role to have had a little more information from DC Mitchell and DC Parker as to what had gone before?

25 A. I felt that it was quite clear it was -- the information

that was being sought from us was very specific about the incident itself and the difficulty they had, being unable to answer them is because they didn't know -either they didn't know the information, which was my understanding~...

That meeting when they came back and the timescales 6 7 between us going back out weren't -- it wasn't 8 a significant timescale, so that initial meeting was --9 I can't even recall the wording or how they described 10 the meeting with the family other than they were faced with numerous questions about what had happened, and 11 12 they were unable to answer them. So I'm not sure how 13 much more I would have got from them to probe that much 14 further.

Q. You said in your evidence, and I think it's in either your statement or your notebook, that the concerns were around lack of information and a lack of transparency. And when I asked you earlier whether you were aware that this was the second time that DC Mitchell and DC Parker had gone to the house, you were unaware --

21 A. That's true.

Q. -- and when I asked whether you were aware that this was
the second death message, you were unaware. We heard
evidence from DC Mitchell and DC Parker that when they
first went to the house they simply said that

1 Sheku Bayoh was dead or possibly had been found dead, no further circumstances were given, and in particular no 2 mention was made of his death following on from contact 3 4 with Police 5 The first time the family received that information 6 was when they were read the pre-prepared brief by 7 DC Mitchell at the time of the second visit to the 8 family. Would it have helped you to have been aware of that background when you went to the family home that 9 10 evening? 11 Α. Yes. 12 How would it have helped? Q. 13 To understand concerns and the origin of those concerns, Α. had they been raised with me, which I would have 14 15 expected they would be. What insight would the information that I have just 16 Q. 17 shared with you have given you into the origin of the 18 family's concerns? 19 Quite obvious concerns about looking for answers to Α. 20 what's happened, to understand what had taken place. 21 So ... 22 There was an issue around transparency, you've told us. Q. 23 Does the information that I've given you now help you to understand the family's concern around transparency? 24 It does, but I -- I expected that, I had that sense from 25 Α.

1		the questioning and the fact that the detectives came
2		back and said they simply couldn't answer questions
3		about what had taken place, so for me that transparency
4		was evident.
5	Q.	You weren't aware at the time that you went to meet with
6		the family that they had been given a first death
7		message which made no reference to police contact
8	A.	I don't
9	Q.	and a second death message which did?
10	A.	I don't remember that, no.
11	Q.	But hearing that now, do you understand why that would
12		have been a big concern from the family's perspective
13		around transparency?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Might it have assisted you to have understood that
16		background at the time that you went to meet with the
17		family?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Returning to the questions that were asked of
20		Garry McEwan, now I appreciate you can't speak for him,
21		as you've said already, but you were there together and
22		you've described your role as being to support him. The
23		questions were in paragraph 31, bottom part of that
24		paragraph. The second question was:
25		"(2) Why did the police not disarm him?

1		"No response given."
2		Why could a response not be given to that question?
3	A.	Couldn't answer it.
4	Q.	Why not?
5	A.	Didn't have that information.
6	Q.	The third question related to the number of officers in
7		attendance and the Chief Super was able to say that he
8		believed at least six officers had been involved.
9		And the final question was why Arran Crescent had
10		been taken as a scene, and you've recorded in your
11		notebook, which has been copied in to the Inquiry
12		statement:
13		"(4) Again, unable to confirm."
14		Why were neither you nor the Chief Superintendent
15		able to tell the family why Collette Bell's house had
16		been seized as a scene?
17	A.	At that point, we, you know, we weren't involved with
18		the investigation side of things in terms of scene,
19		you know, and what the investigation was doing
20		hour-by-hour. So that wasn't information that we could
21		have provided.
22	Q.	The Chief Superintendent, am I right in recalling that
23		he was the silver command within the~ is that right?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	Within the structure, and had been at the Gold Groups

1		and had a high level involvement in the investigation,
2		but he wasn't able to advise the family why that
3		property had been taken?
4	A.	Not with any certainty.
5	Q.	Can we look at your police statement, please, PS00643,
6		third page, second last paragraph, third page, please.
7		(Pause)
8		We have a different version of the yes, stay
9		there please, I think I have a different format on my
10		statement. So we see here we know that you recorded
11		the questions and the responses given in your police
12		notebook and we've looked at the entries that have been
13		copied in to your Inquiry statement. This is from your
14		operational statement. So it's the same questions. And
15		4 is:
16		"Why have the police taken Arran Crescent as
17		a scene? Certain family members expressed concern that
18		officers would 'plant the knife'.
19		"A. [Chief Superintendent] and I both explained
20		that the examination of all scenes would be directed by
21		the PIRC and it was important that all evidence was
22		gathered. It was apparent at that time that there were
23		signs of a minor disturbance within, hence the
24		requirement to examine it.
25		[Chief Superintendent] McEwan informed them that he

1 would contact PIRC staff to assist in retrieving any items from the home address. They specifically stated 2 3 that they required items for the infant child." 4 So according to your operational statement it 5 appears that both the Chief Superintendent and you provided an explanation to the family firstly that the 6 7 scenes were under the direction of the PIRC; secondly, 8 that all important evidence had to be gathered; thirdly, 9 that there were signs of a minor disturbance which 10 necessitated the examination. So you appear to have provided quite a full explanation to the family as to 11 12 why Arran Crescent had been taken as a scene. How does 13 that sit with your evidence that this wasn't a question 14 that you were able to answer? 15 Α. Is that my original statement? 16 Q. Yes. So it's, you know, in recalling that -- sorry, the 17 Α. 18 question that I think the Public Inquiry posed was, 19 you know, the reasoning for -- or what questions could 20 or couldn't be answered. So my recollection, having 21 read back my statement, you know, certainly the original 22 operational statement, I've clearly recorded that. But I suppose being asked now, I don't recall the 23 24 conversation. Ok. I don't think it was a question that came from the 25 Q.

1 Inquiry team, I think it was just a copy and paste from 2 your notepad? Right, okay. 3 Α. 4 We can go back to your Inquiry statement very briefly, Q. 5 it's paragraph 31. Look further down that paragraph, please. Again, looking at the questions, question 4: 6 7 "Why have the police taken Arran Crescent as 8 a scene?" 9 Answer 4: 10 "Again, unable to confirm but explained he would contact PIRC to assist in retrieving baby items~..." 11 12 I'm just wondering what the explanation was for why 13 you've noted in your notebook "unable to confirm", and 14 that appears to be your evidence today, but your 15 operational statement suggests that quite a detailed explanation, in fact, was provided to the family? 16 17 I suppose what I'm saying is when I've recorded it in my Α. operational statement, which would have been done within 18 a month of the incident, I have recorded that 19 20 information. I think what I'm being -- what I was being 21 asked, probably what I'm trying to convey is when I was 22 asked part of the Public Inquiry statement, that part of my notebook that's basically been put back to me about 23 24 that very point about not having any further 25 information, so being asked now do I remember that

1 comment, I don't, is probably what I'm trying to say. 2 Alright. Before we move on from this, just going back Q. 3 briefly to point 3, which -- no, sorry, point 2: 4 "Why did the police not disarm him? "No response given." 5 When you went to visit the family on the evening of 6 7 3 May, were you aware by that point in time that 8 Sheku Bayoh had not in fact been in possession of 9 a knife at the point that he came in contact with 10 police? Sorry, can you ask that again? 11 Α. 12 Of course. On the evening of 3 May, did you know that Q. 13 Sheku Bayoh had not in fact been in possession of 14 a knife when he came in contact with the police? The 15 knife was recovered nearby, but he was not in possession of a knife when he came in contact with the police; did 16 you know that? 17 I'm not sure I knew that then. 18 Α. 19 If you had known that at the time, is that the sort of Q. 20 information that might usefully have been provided to 21 the family in response to their question: why did the police not disarm him? You can't disarm someone who 22 23 isn't in possession of a knife. Again, probably -- I am conscious of the fact that the 24 Α. 25 information I knew didn't come from the officers that

1		were involved, so, you know, the information that was
2		provided to me had come from third parties that had
3		relayed that to inform the gold. So those, I suppose,
4		you know, I'm conscious of relaying information that
5		I don't know the origin and the provenance of. So it's
6		quite a specific question about the knife. I don't know
7		at that point, you know, as that I would be relaying
8		information that I don't know the accuracy of.
9	Q.	Do you recall there being any mention, either by
10		Chief Superintendent McEwan or by yourself, of
11		a machete?
12	A.	I've been asked that question, and I think I was asked
13		that question back in 2015.
14	Q.	Right.
15	A.	And I don't recall that.
16	Q.	You don't. Do you recall there being any mention of
17		a forceful arrest?
18	Α.	No.
19	Q.	Do you recall any mention of Sheku Bayoh punching
20		a policewoman, her falling to the ground and him
21		stamping on her?
22	Α.	Now, no.
23	Q.	And do you recall this: we heard evidence from
24		Collette Bell that she became very upset at the time of
25		your visit. Do you recall Collette Bell being present

1		at the time of your visit?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Yes, and saying:
4		"So you battered him to death?"
5		And she says:
6		"This chief of police~"
7		Presumably referring to Chief Superintendent McEwan:
8		" I'll always remember, he just shrugged his
9		shoulders."
10		Do you recall Collette saying those words?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	Do you recall at any time the Chief Superintendent
13		shrugging his shoulders?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	You said that your role at this meeting was to support
16		your colleague and also to monitor impact the impact
17		of this incident on the family. Did attending the
18		meeting help you to understand the impact of their loss?
19	A.	Yes, it did.
20	Q.	And how did that information help you to fulfil your
21		role going forwards?
22	A.	It was evident to me how concerned and upset they were.
23		So in performing my role round about community tensions,
24		that's absolutely crucial to be aware of that.
25	Q.	From your perspective, was it helpful for you to have

1		met with the family and to have heard their anger, their
2		frustrations, their concerns?
3	A.	Absolutely, it was I was the local area commander for
4		Kirkcaldy, so it was, I felt, absolutely the right thing
5		to do to introduce myself and for them to understand the
6		role I was performing. So I absolutely felt that was
7		the right thing to do.
8	Q.	During this meeting were there any discussions about the
9		upcoming post-mortem?
10	Α.	I don't recall them, and I haven't taken notes of that,
11		is probably what I would say.
12	Q.	So you don't recall any discussions around post-mortem?
13	A.	I don't now.
14	Q.	Do you recall there being any discussion about
15		identification of the body?
16	Α.	Not now, I don't.
17	Q.	Did you have any further involvement with Mr Bayoh's
18		family after 3 May?
19	A.	No, I don't think I did.
20	Q.	I want to move on to ask you about something else, the
21		welfare of the officers. If we can look at
22		paragraph 33, it's quite a long paragraph, but you
23		explain in the first few lines that your role for the
24		welfare of the officers included ensuring they had
25		access to support services, how you were going to

1 facilitate and arrange their return to the workplace and back to operational duties. And if we move on to the 2 3 next page, you explain there were logistical challenges 4 and their equipment and clothing, which of course had 5 been seized, they were to deploy operationally. You devised individual welfare plans for each officer and 6 7 a few lines down you talk about referrals and 8 signposting to welfare and counselling services to ensure they had the right support and advice. 9 10 And towards the bottom of that paragraph -- sorry, we're still on paragraph 33 but just at the bottom of 11 12 it: 13 "The welfare role I had extended further than the 14 officers directly involved in the incident, all officers 15 and staff at Kirkcaldy had been affected in some way by the events and the majority of our staff live in the 16 community too, their safety, welfare and wellbeing was 17 at the forefront of my mind." 18 19 And that fits very much with what you said in the 20 earlier part of your evidence in terms of the welfare 21 role you had, you effectively came in behind the PIM 22 when the post-incident procedures concluded and had responsibility for the officers' welfare. 23 I just have a couple of questions in relation to 24

25

this. Did you at any time discuss what had happened at

1		Hayfield Road on 3 May with any of the officers who were
2		Present?
3	Α.	Present?
4	Q.	At Hayfield Road.
5	Α.	Sorry, can you ask that again so I'm sure what you
6		are
7	Q.	Sorry, I perhaps phrased it poorly. Did you at any time
8		speak to any of the officers who had been involved in
9		the restraint of Mr Sheku Bayoh about what had happened
10		that day?
11	Α.	At any point in
12	Q.	At any point in time?
13	Α.	Yeah. Not about the incident itself, but I had spoken
14		to them.
15	Q.	I beg your pardon, did you have discussions about the
16		incident itself with any of the officers?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	No. Did you have any discussions with any of the
19		officers who were at Hayfield Road about preparing
20		statements?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	Or about filling out forms?
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	How long were you responsible for the officers' welfare?
25		It obviously went way beyond 3 May.

1	Α.	Personally probably for the next month or so, because at
2		that and I can say that with confidence because
3		I moved roles.
4	Q.	Can you describe your role beyond 3 May; is there
5		anything that you would add to the paragraph that we've
6		looked at on the screen?
7	Α.	No, I think it's a fair summary.
8	Q.	I want to move on from that and I want to ask you some
9		questions now in relation to the community impact
10		assessment, meetings with elected members, meetings with
11		lay advisers.
12		Let's begin with paragraph 37 of your Inquiry
13		statement:
14		"I'm asked about the relationship between
15		Police Scotland and minority ethnic communities in Fife
16		prior to this incident on 3 May. I would describe the
17		relationships as very good. I base that opinion on the
18		various contacts and engagements I've had with our
19		ethnic minority communities over the years and the work
20		that Fife Constabulary and latterly Police Scotland
21		carried out as part of our community policing model."
22		How long had you been working in the Fife area as at
23		2015?
24	Α.	About 20 years.
25	Q.	What relationship did you have with the minority ethnic

1 communities in Fife?

2 The community policing model that Fife adopted was what Α. 3 I was, I suppose, brought up in policing to understand. 4 Fife Constabulary, as it was, you know, certainly 5 through the majority of my service up to that point, introduced a new community engagement model, I think it 6 7 was maybe back in 2007/2008, and it was a complete 8 overhaul of how -- not overhaul, but they moved 9 a significant number of officers into community policing 10 from response to make policing more local, more understanding of community needs. So it was quite novel 11 12 in terms of an approach in policing senses in Scotland. 13 So that then, what that did is we had a designated 14 officer for every single beat area in Fife who was 15 identifiable for everybody in communities to have that kind of community engagement model. 16

17 So it was -- you know, it was something that was 18 very well documented and publicised at the time, and it, 19 I think it extended right up until -- in fact, it went 20 to an enhanced community engagement model and then to 21 coming into the being of Police Scotland. So, you know, 22 I base, I suppose, that opinion on my 20 years of having community policing at the heart of really local 23 multi-member ward beat areas as being really the 24 25 foundation of what Fife Constabulary was about.

1 So, and as I've come through the ranks there's, you know, different contacts and experience that I've 2 3 got with ethnic minority communities, both personally 4 and both as a manager. For example, I think round about 5 this time we were doing a lot of work with third party reporting centres for hate crimes, so local officers 6 7 from Kirkcaldy were in training staff within third party 8 reporting centres, and we were doing that as part of 9 that community safety co-ordinating group that I spoke 10 about yesterday, where it's not just the police that are looking at, you know, diversity in a local community, 11 12 it's a whole partnership approach.

13 So that was one area in terms of what I remember 14 ongoing at the time, and, you know, what I vividly 15 remember one of the lay advisers telling us, you know, 16 as part of this enquiry, is that their concern was that 17 a lot of the good work that had been done with ethnic 18 minority communities in Fife could be undone because of 19 some of the lack of information that was going out.

20 So, you know, it probably was basing my -- that's 21 what my assessment of community policing and work with 22 ethnic minorities was based on is my own personal 23 experience and sometimes in those leadership roles where 24 I have got a good knowledge of what we were doing 25 locally.

1	Q.	Did you have an understanding as to the makeup of the
2		ethnic minority community in 2015?
3	Α.	Yeah.
4	Q.	Can you describe the make-up of that community?
5	Α.	In Fife, sorry, or Kirkcaldy?
6	Q.	Fife or Kirkcaldy, whichever you're more comfortable
7		with.
8	Α.	I mean, Kirkcaldy, we'd had a population of about
9		550-560,000, in terms of communities that would identify
10		as black, it would be small. I would less than 1%.
11		Polish communities, again, small, less than 1 or round
12		about 1%. The majority of the make-up of Kirkcaldy
13		would identify as white Scottish, in my recollection of
14		the communities when I was working there, for Kirkcaldy.
15		Fife would have there would have been minor
16		variations on that, but that would have been the
17		majority of Fife would have reflected that.
18	Q.	I want to move on to ask you questions about the
19		community impact assessment. One final thing before we
20		do that. You will recall I took you to Gill Boulton's
21		statement earlier, there's a reference to a diversity
22		manual or a diversity booklet. We now have that and
23		I wonder if we could bring it up on the screen, please,
24		it's PS11300.
0.5		

25

(Pause)

1		So we see it's a Police Scotland documentation
2		diversity booklet, a practical guide published I think
3		by Safer Communities in August 2013. Have you seen this
4		before?
5	A.	If I have I don't remember it.
6	Q.	Have you seen it since 2015?
7	A.	No, I don't think so.
8	Q.	Were you aware of its existence in 2015?
9	A.	I don't think so, no.
10	Q.	Can we go to page 32, please. We see here that there's
11		a section on Islam, it's quite long so we're not going
12		to go through it in detail, but there's a little bit
13		there about the population of Muslims in the UK,
14		a little bit about the heart of Islam being the belief
15		in one God, and as we move down the page we come to the
16		five pillars of Islam: declaration of faith, prayer five
17		times a day, welfare due to the needy, fasting,
18		pilgrimage. There's a section explaining the meaning of
19		the word jihad, and then a section on communication,
20		let's look at this:
21		"Islam prescribes quite precise rules for conduct
22		between the sexes, requiring that men and women do not
23		mix together in ways that compromise their modesty and

integrity. Communication may be more effective if the

officer is of the same gender as the person they are

25

24

1 dealing with.

2 "Generally, Muslim men and women do not shake hands 3 with someone from the opposite sex. If it is 4 appropriate in the context of a meeting to greet by 5 shaking hands, then this should be freely offered. However, there may be potential for cultural tensions 6 7 when dealing with a member of the opposite sex and on 8 these occasions officers should not shake hands unless 9 a hand is offered. Some Muslims, especially when they are about to go for prayers, may also wish to avoid 10 shaking hands due to them requiring to wash their hands 11 12 again in preparation for Salah."

13 Then if we carry on down the page: places of 14 worship; practical considerations; information about 15 attending the mosque and etiquette when attending the 16 mosque; custody, if you were to take a Muslim person 17 into custody.

18 Then further down:

19 "Attending a Muslim house.

20 "If you arrive unannounced at a family home, you may 21 interrupt prayers. If possible, wait a short period, to 22 enable prayers to finish without interruption;

23 "Many Muslim women may not be comfortable in mixed
24 company and will tend to avoid being in a room if men
25 are present. As such, any cross gender communication

may cause difficulties or offence." 1 2 Then there's some guidance in relation to food and 3 significant dates; searches, searches required to be 4 carried out; and sudden death: "Be aware of the potential conflict that could arise 5 from a sudden death and the sensitivities around the 6 7 requirement for a post-mortem. It may be prudent to 8 involve an elder or the local Imam (spiritual leader) at 9 an early stage to explain the circumstances and necessity for the procedure." 10 If I can just pause there to ask whether the Imam or 11 12 an elder were involved in assisting you or advising you 13 in relation to your work with Mr Bayoh's family? Not me personally, possibly in terms of the senior 14 Α. 15 investigating officer, but I'm not sure. That wasn't something that you're aware of? 16 Q. In terms of my involvement? 17 Α. 18 Q. Well, from the managing the community tensions 19 perspective, is it something that you considered? I think it was considered. 20 Α. 21 Q. Mm-hm. By you? It came through one of the lay advisory groups. 22 Α. So was it a recommendation from one of the lay advisors? 23 Q. A. Not sure about a recommendation, but it was certainly 24 25 a conversation which I'm sure is recorded within the

1 meetings of those --2 We'll be coming to those very shortly and we might see Q. it. But to the best of your recollection was the Imam 3 4 or an elder involved at any stage? 5 Definitely spoken about, I don't know about involved and Α. as we went through the weeks in the aftermath in terms 6 7 of prior to funeral, et cetera, there was definitely discussions about that. 8 Q. Let's carry on going through this manual, please, under 9 10 the heading of, "Sudden deaths", scrolling down a little: 11 12 "Muslims are always buried as they believe in 13 resurrection of the body after death. Burial should 14 take place as soon as possible ~... Delay ... can cause 15 families more grief. "Interference with the corpse is deeply resented and 16 this includes post-mortem. Muslim families will do 17 their utmost to avoid a post-mortem." 18 19 And there are then some general considerations and 20 some information on festivals and holy days, if we carry 21 on scrolling down. 22 Thank you. 23 Then very briefly, let's look at page 81, I don't think we need to look at this in detail, but do we 24 25 see -- sorry, scroll down a little bit further,

1 thank you -- there's a section on the African and 2 African-Caribbean communities, and culture, language 3 tradition and religion are included there. 4 Would you have found it helpful to have had access 5 to this booklet before you went to meet with Sheku Bayoh's family or indeed in the days and weeks 6 7 that followed during the course of your meetings with 8 the lay advisers and the elected members in the context of your community impact work? 9 10 Α. There's certainly a lot of information in it, so yes, it would have been helpful. 11 12 Let's turn to the community impact assessment. It's Q. 13 PS10193. Now, this is a lengthy document and we're not 14 going to go through every page. I can advise that at 15 the conclusion of your evidence this document, appropriately redacted, will be published on our website 16 17 so people can look at the fine detail of it. But what 18 I would like to do is get our bearings because it looks to be a pro forma and you can help us understand how it 19 20 is completed and the sort of information that is 21 recorded in the community impact assessment and then 22 I'll take you to some key entries that we can talk 23 about. 24 So, this appears to be, as I say, a pro forma and on

24 So, this appears to be, as I say, a pro forma and or 25 the first page we see that this is version 29, can you

1		help us to understand what that means? I gather that
2		there are previous versions with numbers beneath 29,
3		which perhaps don't go quite so far chronologically as
4		this version?
5	Α.	Any updates should clearly change the version control,
6		so chronologically the higher you go the more recent the
7		chronology should be.
8	Q.	So is this a rolling document, then, that is added to as
9		time goes on?
10	Α.	Yeah, it's a live document, so it's, you know,
11		constantly updated and as it's updated the version
12		control will increase.
13	Q.	Let's look at the pro forma, the first few pages, just
14		to make ourselves familiar with the format here:
15		"Community impact assessment.
16		"Death of Sheku Bayoh.
17		" Background information.
18		"Version 29."
19		You've got your police reference name date and time
20		of death. Then the nature of the incident, I don't
21		think we need to look at that in any detail for present
22		purposes. And then the location, and the loci that have
23		been identified. Then there's a description of the main
24		communities and groups in the area, and I note there's
25		a reference to a mosque there a short distance from both

1		the locus and the deceased's home address.
2		We can scroll on to the next page, please:
3		"There may be tensions in the community given the
4		circumstances of the death and the deceased's ethnicity
5		and religion."
6		What were his ethnicity and religion understood to
7		be on 3 May 2015?
8	Α.	Ethnicity was black and his religion was Muslim is what
9		I had been told.
10	Q.	We'll come to some entries in the
11	Α.	Okay.
12	Q.	minutes in the fullness of time which suggest there
13		might have been some uncertainty
14	Α.	Okay.
15	Q.	around that, which is why I was keen to know what
16		your understanding was.
17		Trigger points have been identified. What are
18		trigger points?
19	A.	Trigger points are moments where community impact may be
20		affected. So it's almost predictive events that you
21		would want to monitor because they've got the impact to
22		either increase or decrease your community impact,
23		I hope that makes sense.
24	Q.	It does, it does, and the trigger points identified are
25		the results of the post-mortem and the funeral, negative

comments on social media in relation to the actions of
 the police, possible hostility towards the police, and
 the reactions of the family.

So at what point were these identified as trigger
points? We see if we scroll down a little bit this
assessment was completed on 4 May 2015, although it
appears to have been completed by a Jimmy Adamson,
albeit this was tasked to you at the Gold Group meeting?
A. Yeah.

10 Q. So who is Jimmy Adamson?

Jimmy Adamson is the community sergeant for Kirkcaldy. 11 Α. 12 Okay. Did you delegate this responsibility to him? Q. 13 Yeah. It's quite common, that's -- the community impact Α. 14 assessment should be done by a supervisor within that 15 local area command who has a good working knowledge of 16 those communities. So it's, you know, a delegated task, however ultimately I'm responsible for it. 17

Q. You were sighted on it and ultimately responsible
A. Because it became so fluid, I'll probably discuss with
you just how then we managed the updates.

21 Q. Yes, yes. Tell me that now.

A. So the document's clearly there as a record of how we transition through those days, the structures that were put in place where the lay advisory group clearly then feeding into what was a community impact and reassurance

1 group with a wide range of membership. 2 So in this community impact assessment we were 3 scanning and analysing using all of those groups to then 4 inform this, so this almost became the record of all the 5 scanning, analysing, mitigations, actions taken. So it's a capture of all of that. But there was clearly 6 groups that are informing that, and you'll clearly see 7 8 the minutes of those meetings. Q. Yes, as we go through it we see minutes of meetings with 9 10 elected members, minutes of meetings with lay advisers and minutes of meetings with the reassurance group --11 12 Α. Yes, I don't think there's minutes from elected member 13 meetings as such, maybe conversations. 14 Perhaps conversations? Q. 15 Okay. Yeah, that would be right. Α. Or, I beg your pardon, updates? 16 Q. Yeah. 17 Α. 18 Q. Elected members updates? 19 Α. Yeah. 20 So all of that information is captured and held in one Q. 21 place? 22 Α. Yeah. 23 So the objectives, we have seen these before, I think Q. 24 they come from the Gold Group meeting, so we can skim 25 over these and carry on scrolling through the document,

1 please.

2 (Pause) 3 A little bit of background. Again, this is all 4 familiar to us. We can carry on to page 4, please. 5 It's headed up scanning, and that's a word that you use quite a lot; is this to do with you scanning for 6 7 information that is relevant to community impact 8 assessment? A. Yeah, it's a process of assimilation, of gathering in as 9 much information as you can, documenting then what 10 11 you've gathered, and then there should be a logical step 12 through then of okay, that's what you know, what will 13 that mean in terms of your predictive events and then what are you going to do about it basically. 14 15 Q. We can look -- we're not going to look at every 16 paragraph on every page of this document because it's 17 a very long document, but within this box, if we can scroll down a little bit, please, perfect, you identify 18 19 in the second paragraph down: 20 "The community group most likely to be affected are 21 family members and associates of the deceased~... 22 potentially more than 15 people, some are children. The deceased is from Sierra Leone but has lived in this 23 country since he was 14. Mother still resides there, 24 but coincidentally was in the UK at the time of his 25

1		death. And there's reference to him having a baby:
2		"These people will be affected because of their
3		relationship to the deceased and the traumatic nature of
4		the incident."
5		Beneath that:
6		"It is understood that although members of the
7		deceased family are devout Muslims, the deceased did not
8		practice his faith."
9		Where did that information come from?
10	A.	I don't know.
11	Q.	You haven't personally put that information into
12	A.	No, as I said, Sergeant Adamson has completed that from
13		that process of assimilation on the 4th, what he would
14		have gathered from the 3rd, so I can't categorically say
15		where he would have got that from.
16	Q.	"However it is likely that the death will impact on the
17		Muslim faith groups in Kirkcaldy because of the
18		deceased's religion and the fact that several relatives
19		are devout Muslim."
20		Further down the page there's a heading, "Equality
21		and diversity - what religious and cultural factors need
22		to be taken into consideration":
23		"The deceased was Muslim and although believed not
24		to be practising his faith this has had to be taken into
25		consideration throughout the community impact

1 assessment. It is vital that as the enquiry develops any information pertaining to the cultural or other 2 3 diversity needs of the deceased are communicated to 4 CI Shepherd to allow for local needs to be met. 5 Equality lay advisors have been contacted and will form part of the reassurance plan. A separate diversity and 6 7 engagement plan has been produced in support of this CIA 8 that further identifies E&D considerations. Cultural 9 issues around funeral and post-mortem arrangements, 10 although as yet unclear, may raise cultural sensitivities." 11 12 I would like to ask a couple of questions about 13 that. Although we see Sergeant Adamson's name and the date of 4 May on page 2, is this part of the initial 14 15 document that he has prepared on that date? Those specific comments? 16 Α. 17 Q. Yes. 18 Α. Sorry, say that -- could you ask that again? 19 Q. Sorry, I phrased that very clumsily. 20 When we looked at page 2 of this pro forma, 21 assessment completed by Jimmy Adamson on 4 May 2015, 22 there was then some background information on page 3, and we're now looking at page 4. I'm wondering if you 23 can help me with when this particular page was 24 25 completed?

1		There are no do you have a hard copy of the
2	A.	I do. I'm looking through it just now.
3	Q.	Because I don't see any later dates before the point
4		that we get on to meetings. Updates.
5	A.	It makes sense from the chronology that that was updated
6		on the 4th
7	Q.	Right.
8	A.	but I wouldn't want to categorically say that. But
9		because it flows like that, then I would say that would
10		be my assumption.
11	Q.	Alright. Well, there's reference in that paragraph to
12		"Equality lay advisers have been contacted", and we
13		touched on this earlier. We've ascertained that you
14		don't recall a conversation with an adviser called
15		Gary Wilson, indeed that conversation may not have been
16		with you on 4 May, and you told us that there were
17		ultimately two lay advisers appointed; would that be the
18		correct turn of phrase? Did they assist you throughout?
19	A.	Yeah.
20	Q.	Yes, and one was Polish, you said, and one was Muslim.
21		Was he black Muslim?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	What was his ethnicity?
24	A.	I wouldn't want to self you know, to define. I'm not
25		sure.

1 Q. You're not sure, all right. But in any event, there's a reference in this document to the lay advisers having 2 3 been contacted. Can you inform us as to how they were 4 contacted or by whom? Was that you? Was that somebody 5 else? 6 A. It wasn't me. 7 Q. But it would appear that by the 4th, on the assumption 8 this form was completed on the 4th, the equality lay 9 advisers had been contacted and would form part of the 10 reassurance plan. What's the reassurance plan? Is this the same as 11 12 the reassurance patrols, or something different? 13 That's -- so, is that the diversity and reassurance? Α. I'm on 2.2, it's the paragraph that's on the screen near 14 Q. 15 the bottom. 2.2, last sentence -- sorry, second last 16 sentence: 17 "Equality lay advisers ..." Sorry, do you see that? 18 19 "Equality lay advisers have been contacted and will 20 form part of the reassurance plan." 21 Α. Yeah, sorry, I was reading on to the next bit, about the 22 diversity engagement plan. Apologies, that's my fault. So, yes, the equality lay advisers will be contacted to 23 form part of this reassurance plan, community impact 24 25 assessment.

1	Q.	So the reassurance plan and community impact assessment
2		are one and the same?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	So they're going to be working with you going forward?
5	A.	Yeah.
6	Q.	Okay, we'll perhaps come back to the equality
7		sorry diversity and engagement plan, so we're not
8		chopping and changing with documents. We'll stay with
9		this one for now.
10		Moving through the document, if we can go to the
11		next page, please, detail around family and friends.
12		Again, if we keep scrolling through this table at
13		the bottom. If we could stop there, please. 2.6:
14		"Are there any other intelligence or community
15		information available to inform your assessment?"
16		And there's a reference to social media picking up
17		on the incident and attracting some negative comments
18		being monitored by the DIO.
19		What's the DIO?
20	Α.	Divisional intelligence officer.
21	Q.	Okay.
22		"Any impact on community tensions will be considered
23		as part of the CIA."
24		And then consultation, are these the people who are
25		to some extent involved in the CIA?

1	A.	Yeah. Or definitely sighted and copied in to it, yeah.
2	Q.	Okay, so we see your name there, third from the top, and
3		further down:
4		"Independent advisory group lay advisers have been
5		contacted."
6		And we see a date stamp next to that of 4 May, which
7		fits with the assumption we made earlier that there
8		would have been contact with them on 4 May if this form
9		had been completed on that day?
10	A.	Yeah.
11	Q.	We can turn to page 6, please. At the top you have
12		thank you, perfect we see the heading in the box
13		"External consultation, elected officials". Elected
14		officials, are those the same as the elected members or
15		the councillors?
16		"DS Shepherd has made contact with local elected
17		reps."
18		That happened at 7 pm on the 3rd. We see from this
19		document that by 4 May there has been contact both with
20		lay advisers and you've explained that two were
21		brought in to advise in relation to the incident and
22		you've also been in touch with the local councillors?
23	Α.	Yeah.
24	Q.	Further down we have a risk assessment. What risk are
25		you assessing here?

So there's a range documented there. Public disorder, 1 Α. damage. So again that -- they're generically to the 2 form. For risk, sorry. So it's -- clearly the boxes 3 there are "medium, public disorder", "medium, officers" 4 5 and, then the remainder of the boxes are assessed as 6 low. 7 Q. Okay. Can you help us to understand why certain risks 8 have been identified as being medium rather than low? I think quite early on into that assessment, then these 9 Α. are predictive, you know, potential risks. 10 So public disorder, given critical incident, 11 12 previous events involving, you know, deaths in custody 13 would have shown that public disorder may be a risk that 14 we may need to either identify and manage. 15 Property damage, clearly less so, unless we had a specific location that we were looking at. 16 17 Witnesses, at that point nothing specific. Officers, clearly because of the nature of the 18 19 incident, would be, you know, an area that's more 20 likely. 21 And specific group, that's quite a generic term there and doesn't specify as low. 22 And incident replication probably just means were we 23 likely to see a recurrence of what we've seen, and the 24 25 assessment of that was low.

1 Q. Predictive event risks, the only one which has been identified as being medium rather than low is the 2 funeral. Why would that be? 3 4 Α. I think if you look at the rest of them: 5 Arrest. From what we knew, certainly on day one, 6 there wasn't arrests at that point, so low at that 7 point. 8 Searches. At that point, certainly community impact was assessed as low and nothing certainly coming to us 9 10 to suggest otherwise. And release of suspects, probably not applicable as 11 12 per the arrest discussion. The funeral because it's one of those moments in 13 14 time which can create more or increase community 15 tension. So it's a marker to say that until we know otherwise, or of other significant events, then that 16 17 would be something that we would be looking to monitor. MS THOMSON: I wonder if this would be a convenient point at 18 19 which to break. 20 LORD BRACADALE: That is a convenient point. We will stop there for lunch. 2 o'clock. 21 (1.01 pm) 22 23 (The short adjournment) 24 (2.00 pm) 25 (Proceedings delayed)

1 (2.02 pm) 2 LORD BRACADALE: Yes, Ms Thomson. MS THOMSON: Thank you. 3 4 Before lunch we were looking at the community impact 5 assessment and I would like to go back to that now, please, we'd got as far as page 6, I wonder if we could 6 7 perhaps pick up at page 7. 8 (Pause) 9 It's PS10193. 10 (Pause) 11 Thank you. In fact, let's go to the bottom of 12 page 6, first, just to get our bearings. We looked at 13 the risk assessment at the bottom of page 6. And then 14 at the very, very bottom, 3.4, just a banner that says: 15 "Consider the impact of the event/incident/operation or any proposed action at local, force or national 16 17 level." 18 The content that I think goes beneath that heading 19 is at the top of the following page. It reads: 20 "There are reassurance patrols as part of the 21 ongoing police activity in the area." 22 You've told us about reassurance patrols already, so these are uniformed officers on the beat, to use the 23 colloquial; is that right? 24 A. Yes, yes. 25

1 Q. Engaging with the community, being a visible presence in 2 the community: 3 "These have already attracted the attention of local 4 residents. To mitigate miscommunication of the 5 incident, a pre-prepared briefing has been supplied to local officers." 6 7 What does that mean? From recollection, we had a number of officers that were 8 Α. 9 clearly coming in from other areas and the communication 10 with officers in Kirkcaldy and in fact wider that comms was being taken forward, that comms strategy was being 11 12 taken forward by our corporate communications, that also 13 included that internal body. And so from my 14 recollection there was a form of words that was provided 15 internally to staff by our corporate communications 16 department. Was that to guide them in what they could say if they 17 Q. 18 were asked questions by members of the public when they 19 were on patrol? In its basic sense, yes. 20 Α. 21 Q. To make sure everybody was saying the same thing and to avoid, as it says here, miscommunication? 22 23 Α. Yep. Q. "Officers from other divisions are also being used 24 25 locally so it is vital that they receive this briefing

1		to ensure a corporate approach to what is disseminated.
2		"It should be borne in mind that as the
3		circumstances surrounding the death are reported in the
4		media, locally, nationally and perhaps globally, the
5		incident may be linked, by some, to events elsewhere
6		where individuals from ethnic minority groups have died
7		after being involved in altercations with the police."
8		What's being referred to there?
9	A.	Again, without knowing the direct events that the author
10		has included, but I my sense from that is events UK
11		and abroad.
12	Q.	What sort of events?
13	A.	Where persons have died in police custody or as a result
14		of police involvement with firearms. That's my
15		assessment of it.
16	Q.	All right. So this is still the part of the document
17		that was completed on 4 May by Sergeant Jimmy Anderson
18		[sic]?
19	A.	Jimmy Adamson, yeah. The chronology looks it's not
20		actually dated that entry but 4th or 5th looking at the
21		way the entries go.
22	Q.	All right:
23		"There is an identified risk due to the involvement
24		of on duty police officers. Their welfare and support
25		has been considered within (PIM) procedures. All

officers involved have had consultation with 1 representatives from the Police Federation." 2 3 Why was there an identified risk due to the 4 involvement of on duty police officers? 5 Again, probably going back to that assessment then, Α. because the incident involved officers, there was 6 7 certainly an apprehension on their part in terms of 8 media reporting then the risk, again it's a potential risk, was identified as being possible. 9 10 Q. And what was the risk that was identified as being possible? 11 12 Α. An all encompassing one, whether that's -- not 13 necessarily just a physical risk but commentary, 14 you know, naming in the media, which may ... So not 15 a specific type of risk, a generic risk that they may, you know, it may attract negative media attention for 16 17 them. The officers involved? 18 Q. 19 Yeah. Α. If we look to the bottom of that entry we see a heading: 20 Q. 21 "Update from Community Impact and Reassurance Group meeting held at 1100 hours on 5/5/15." 22 Now, Superintendent you have got the full community 23 impact assessment in front of you, and if you flick 24 through it I think you will see that from this point on 25

1		there are perhaps about 20 pages or thereby of updates,
2		summaries from various meetings, conversations,
3		briefings, all recorded in one place, as you explained
4		to us?
5	Α.	There is, yeah.
6	Q.	Would that be a fair summary of what remains of this
7		document?
8	Α.	Yeah, yeah, it's
9	Q.	As I said earlier, it will be published, it will be made
10		available, people can read it, so I don't intend to take
11		you through every single entry, I would just like to go
12		to key parts of certain entries and seek your comment.
13		So the first meeting recorded is the one we see
14		before us now, the update from the Community Impact and
15		Reassurance Group. Tell us before we look at the entry
16		here what the Community Impact and Reassurance Group is,
17		we've heard so far about the lay advisers, the Lay
18		Advisory Group, elected members; what's the Community
19		Impact and Reassurance Group?
20	Α.	So this group almost sat alongside the Lay Advisory
21		Group, so what came from the Lay Advisory Group would be
22		fed into the Community Impact and Reassurance Group, in
23		the sense of what are our community advisers telling us
24		about that community impact.
25		It would then, looking at that chronology, be

1 discussed at that Community Impact and Reassurance Group, which would be attended by myself, superintendent 2 3 operations, if not the divisional commander, certainly 4 for the initial meetings from memory would have been 5 there. It would be community inspector at Kirkcaldy, the community sergeant, I think, from memory, would have 6 7 been at those, the Scottish Police Federation were 8 represented. Possibly corporate communications. 9 Were the lay advisers or elected members part of that? Q. 10 Α. No. So they were separate? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Let's look at the minutes of this meeting. There's Q. 14 a paragraph about the post-mortem results. I think we 15 can move beyond that and look at the second paragraph: "It has been decided that high-visibility 16 17 reassurance patrols will continue during [5 May~...] however it is felt that continuing patrols beyond this 18 date may have a detrimental effect and cause the public 19 20 to become more concerned about the incident. However, 21 officers will remain in the area carrying out high 22 visibility house-to-house enquiries which it has been assessed should provide appropriate reassurance." 23 24 What was the concern about continuing these 25 high-visibility reassurance patrols beyond 5 May?

1 Α. Without recalling the specifics of that conversation, but -- so up until that point officers would have been 2 3 on point in that area, so that visible presence would 4 have been retained right through 3rd, 4th and I think 5 potentially into 5th. So the ultimate aim in terms of 6 community reassurance is to restore normality, and it's 7 to what point then, if we've managed that scene and we 8 don't need that -- maybe the locus protection and those 9 visible officers there for that reason, how long do you 10 keep patrols in that area, that it becomes less reassuring, you know, if that's a way to put it. 11 12 So it's just almost an assessment of how helpful is 13 it to restore normality or is it drawing attention to 14 that area when, you know, we're actually creating that 15 as opposed to, you know, anything else. Trying to strike a balance? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. "National newspapers have covered the incident. The 19 reporting has been described as balanced and unlikely to 20 cause any adverse reaction from the public. 21 "The incident has been discussed on social media. A 22 previous partner, who has a child to the deceased, has posted concerns that she found out about the death from 23 24 social media rather than being notified by the police. 25 PIRC have been advised to contact the individual as

a priority. Social media posts in relation to the
 incident are being monitored by the DIO. It was
 reported that there had been no posts to suggest public
 unrest."

I'm interested in this reference to Mr Bayoh's 5 previous partner having posted concerns that she found 6 7 out about the death from social media. So the 8 suggestion that she posted concerns suggests that she 9 has in turn used social media as a platform to voice 10 those concerns; am I reading that sentence correctly? That's certainly my interpretation as well, yeah. 11 Α. 12 So as part of the social media monitoring that you've Q. 13 told us was going on, can we take it that there has been 14 some monitoring carried out on presumably public-facing 15 media posts, social media posts, by Mr Bayoh's previous 16 partner? That's certainly how that reads to me, yeah. 17 Α. 18 Q. Carrying on: 19 "A representative from the Scottish Police 20 Federation was present during the meeting." Do you recall who that was? 21 On the 5th? 22 Α. Q. On the 5th. 23

A. There should be a minute to say, but I don't know, butI don't remember meeting too many of them during that,

1		so I'm assuming Amanda Givan.
2	Q.	Yes, she was certainly involved on the 3rd.
3	Α.	Okay.
4	Q.	"The officer explained that she was providing support to
5		the officers concerned and had met most during [the
6		4th~]. Police Incident Management debriefing will
7		take place after discussions with PIRC. The
8		representative mentioned that several phone calls had
9		been received from officers concerned that this may have
10		been a terrorist incident. Media will discuss the
11		matter with the Executive for consideration of
12		an internal communications message to be sent to
13		officers to allay any fears that the matter has a CT
14		link."
15		I'm interested in the second part of that paragraph:
16		"The representative mentioned several phone
17		calls had been received from officers concerned that
18		this may have been a terrorist incident."
19		Can you advise whether the representative said those
20		phone calls had come from officers who attended
21		Hayfield Road or from other officers?
22	A.	I couldn't say.
23	Q.	There's reference to the matter being discussed with the
24		executive, what's the executive in that context?
25	Α.	By the context the force executive, I think that's

1		the or senior, you know, Chief Constable, deputy
2		chief constable, assistant chief constables, and police
3		staff equivalents.
4	Q.	There was mention of the matter being raised with the
5		executive:
6		" for consideration of an internal communications
7		message to be sent to officers to allay any fears that
8		the matter has a CT link."
9		Do you know whether that happened?
10	A.	I don't. That would be corporate communications, so
11		that bronze structure, there was a communications bronze
12		who would take that on behalf of the Gold Group.
13	Q.	That wouldn't fall within your remit, that would be part
14		of someone else's.
15		Let's look at the next entry:
16		"Update from Community Impact and Reassurance Group
17		meeting held at~"
18		1 o'clock on 6 May. I just note in passing the
19		second paragraph there records that:
20		"The DIO are continuing to monitor social media. It
21		was established through social media that a public
22		meeting was scheduled to take place at 1900 hours on
23		[the 5th~] at Templehall Community Centre."
24		Is that an example of the sort of information that
25		you're gleaning as a result of the social media

1		monitoring?
2	A.	That's certainly come up, hence the DIO's brought it
3		into that meeting.
4	Q.	Okay, and if we carry on to the next page, the second
5		paragraph from the top, there's a reference there to
6		a Facebook page and at the bottom of that second
7		paragraph a Twitter feed. So again that tends to
8		suggest that's part of the monitoring of social media,
9		there is some monitoring of Facebook pages and of
10		Twitter; would that be fair?
11	Α.	Yeah.
12	Q.	Let's move further down the page. The next heading,
13		"Elected members update":
14		"Chief Inspector Shepherd attempted to update local
15		elected members at about 1600 hours on [6 May].
16		"Two elected members expressed concern at the amount
17		of speculation both in local communities and media
18		around the circumstances. Chief Inspector Shepherd
19		explained that PIRC were investigating the circumstances
20		and that Police Scotland would not be providing any
21		media releases. Elected members emphasised that they
22		had been unable to provide any information to their
23		constituents owing to a lack of information about the
24		incident itself. Some have been asked if the incident
25		was CT-related and if the officers were firearms

1 officers. Chief Inspector Shepherd was unable to comment." 2 3 Then there is a query about the female officer who 4 had been injured. I would like to ask some questions 5 about this paragraph: "Two of the elected members have expressed concern 6 7 about the amount of speculation in local communities and media around the circumstances." 8 Do you know what the nature of that speculation was? 9 10 Α. I don't now, no. You have apparently explained that the PIRC were 11 Q. 12 investigating the circumstances and Police Scotland 13 would not be providing any media releases; why was that? That was the position that was taken by the 14 Α. 15 organisation, not by me but obviously I respected that 16 decision. By Police Scotland, when you say "the organisation"? 17 Q. Yeah, sorry, yeah. 18 Α. 19 "Elected members emphasised that they had been unable to Q. 20 provide any information to their constituents owing to a lack of information about the incident itself." 21 Were the elected members looking to you or to the 22 wider -- to Police Scotland in the wider sense to 23 provide them with information so they could inform and 24 25 reassure their constituents?

1 Α. Yes, because that's the role ordinarily that they 2 perform, you know, is to link in with their local 3 community, so yes. We see it recorded here that they felt unable to provide 4 Q. 5 information to constituents because they had a lack of information themselves. Some had asked if the incident 6 was CT-related and if the officers were firearms 7 officers, and it's recorded here that, 8 "Chief Inspector Shepherd was unable to comment". 9 10 Now, I know you were asked about this paragraph in your Inquiry statement, so let's take you to that, 11 12 please, can we go to paragraph 40 of your Inquiry 13 statement: 14 "I'm asked about the Community Impact Assessment ~... 15 and the section ~... " That we have just looked at together, so we can move 16 17 beyond the italic text because it repeats what we have 18 just read out: "I'm asked why I couldn't confirm that it wasn't 19 20 counterterrorism related and that the officers were not 21 firearms officers at that point. My recollection is 22 that Police Scotland was not engaging with the media at 23 all. There was nothing going out. The engagement I had with community reps, elected reps, and councillors was 24 really succinct. If there was a form of words, 25

I would've written it down somewhere as to what was said, but really I was never going to elaborate on anything."

4 Can I ask you to elaborate on that, you say your 5 recollection is that Police Scotland was not engaging with the media at all, nothing was going out, did that 6 7 make you feel restricted or indeed hamstrung in your 8 dealings with these councillors in terms of providing 9 information to them? Did you have to align yourself 10 with the approach that Police Scotland had taken with the media? 11

12 Yes, it did make my job that bit more difficult, because Α. 13 ordinarily the relationships I have with those, 14 you know, community representatives, community 15 councillors, is such that, you know, it's not disclosing information that's confidential anyway in a routine 16 17 sense, but they come to us for reassurance on matters 18 and, you know, I can give them levels of, you know, non-sensitive information. On this occasion that wasn't 19 20 possible, so I felt they found that quite difficult then 21 to do what their role is, which is, you know, inform 22 communities of things that are going on to manage any community tension. So hamstrung's probably a good term, 23 that it did make my role with them more difficult than 24 25 it ordinarily is.

Q. And this was because of the need to align yourself with
 the Police Scotland stance of not putting any
 information into the public domain?

4 A. Yeah.

5 Clearly the elected representatives brought their Q. concerns to your door, they were finding it hard to 6 7 reassure their own constituents, or indeed inform them 8 as to the circumstances and you felt the impact of that 9 yourself. What steps, if any, did you take to obtain 10 clearance or authority to provide the elected representatives with the type of information that they 11 12 felt they needed in order to inform and reassure their 13 constituents?

That was an evolving process because at certain moments 14 Α. 15 in time, you know, I didn't know if that was going to be the stance, you know, forever or if that was just, 16 17 you know, a momentary point and then clearly that 18 investigation wasn't sitting with Police Scotland any 19 more so, you know, would it be the case then that it 20 would evolve and the community -- the answers maybe to 21 the questions that they would want would come maybe not 22 from us.

23 So I suppose I was managing it day-to-day and 24 I think from the outset, so I had a form of words that 25 I could share that I think was approved by Crown and

1		PIRC, very brief in terms of the circumstances, that
2		I think actually went out on 3 May or when I did those
3		communications by phone and email, I think I said
4		earlier on, so it would have never developed, in my
5		sense, of going beyond that initial agreed form of
6		words.
7	Q.	I don't think I've seen that form of words. Do you
8		recall
9	A.	No, I don't, and but I do believe it's referenced
10		somewhere either within the gold I'm maybe wrong in
11		that, though, but it is referenced somewhere round about
12		that, the Crown approval of those those, whatever
13		I was going to say, those brief description of events.
14	Q.	You described it as a brief description of events, was
15		it short and to the point?
16	A.	From memory, it was very short and to the so much so
17		that they were clearly back within days asking: could
18		I tell them any more.
19	Q.	Now, did you know yourself that in fact this was not
20		a counterterrorism related incident and that the
21		officers were not firearms officers, did you have that
22		information?
23	A.	In terms of the CT I had no evidence to say it was, but
24		that's not to say you know, I wasn't in possession of
25		all the facts. Were they firearms officers? I think

1 I have said in my Inquiry statement, the officers have different specialisms, so to ask a specific question, 2 3 "Is that a firearms officer?" One of the officers may 4 well have been a firearms officer but not deployed in 5 that capacity on the day. They hold specialisms, you know, that they may be called out to use, so 6 7 I actually couldn't answer that question with any 8 certainty because I didn't know if any of them held that 9 speciality, so I took the decision to say I didn't --10 you know, I couldn't answer those questions because I genuinely didn't know the answer. 11 12 Q. What steps, if any, did you take to find the answers, 13 did you for example go back to the SIO and say, "Have 14 you eliminated or otherwise counterterrorism as being 15 a motivation for this incident? People are asking, communities need reassurance". Is that something that 16 17 you did? 18 Α. Yeah, so these are points that so then came through that 19 community reassurance group, you know, I would bring all 20 these, that scanning and analysis bit that we spoke 21 about would come to that community reassurance group, so 22 we had clearly representatives from, I didn't say, 23 I think the MIT, the Major Investigation Team were represented and were almost doing the SPoC role for the 24 25 PIRC, so they would come so all of these points and

points I was being asked I would relay back to give a sense of: here's what I'm, you know, being asked and being made aware of, so that could be, if relevant, relayed to the investigation team, which clearly that's separate of us.

Q. And do you recall specifically whether you raised these
two particular points around CT and whether the officers
were firearms trained, were those queries fed back to
the investigation team with a view to you having answers
to those questions so that you could convey that
information to the elected representatives?

A. Again, the point with CT, I think you already
highlighted it, it came through the staff as well so it
wasn't a singular group that were asking that question
and ultimately I wasn't in a position to answer that,
but I think it was becoming widely known in the
organisation that it had been asked, both with staff and
elected members, clearly.

With the armed -- with the firearms officers, I don't remember going to speak about it, but then I suppose that's that point about, I'm not sure of the relevance for them at that point to get that urgent information that I would think: I need to go away and do that right now to feed that back, it's -- I think they asked out of -- I don't want to say why they asked but,

1		you know what I mean, it's just that bit about would
2		that have been a priority right then to go and find that
3		out? It wasn't in my eyes.
4	Q.	What was the impact of you not being able to provide
5		this information to the elected members?
6	Α.	I think it's the we build up, you know, the trust and
7		mutual confidence in one another, so they may and,
8		you know, without speaking on their behalf have felt
9		that I was withholding information that would help them
10		ultimately do the role they'd been elected to do.
11		I wasn't withholding it, I simply didn't know it. And
12		I suppose I tried to reassure them by telling them that
13		I actually didn't know, it wasn't that I knew and
14		I couldn't tell them. I simply didn't know. So I think
15		I was as honest as I could be to explain why I didn't
16		know, because it was an independent investigation of
17		Police Scotland.
18	Q.	And that lack of information, for whatever reason, what
19		impact do you feel it had on your relationship with
20		these elected members, and perhaps Police Scotland's
21		relationship with the elected members?
22	A.	The elected members that I was engaged with were very
23		Kirkcaldy focused, so I'd like to think they took my
24		explanation in the way that I delivered it, which is
25		simply I can't answer those questions because I don't

1 know. I'd like to think it wouldn't have a hugely 2 detrimental impact, but I can understand how they could 3 be frustrated by that. 4 Can we return to the community impact assessment, Q. 5 please. And to the bottom of page 8. So the next meeting, this is following on from the elected members 6 update at 4 pm on the 6th, we have an update from 7 8 a meeting which took place with the lay advisers at 9 1300 hours on 7 May. 10 I'm not sure if I can pronounce this name, but 11 Mr. Dukurno from the Fife Migrants Forum, and Mr Sayed, 12 a lay adviser at legacy Central Scotland Police, along 13 with yourself, Inspector Combe, Detective Superintendent 14 Crosan, PC Buttercase, Inspector Aliane from National 15 Safer Communities and PS Jimmy Adamson, who's the officer who prepared the draft assessment were all 16 17 present during the meeting and you chaired the meeting. Now, the first of the two names, Mr Dukurno and 18 19 Mr Sayed, are they the two lay advisers? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Who were ultimately brought on board? And Mr Dukurno, 22 is he the gentleman who was of Polish heritage? 23 That's right. Α. And Mr Sayed is the gentleman who you distributed as 24 Q. 25 being of Muslim faith but you are unsure as to his

1		ethnicity?
2	Α.	That's right.
3	Q.	So these were the two lay advisers and otherwise it
4		rather appears that everyone else present was from
5		Police Scotland; is that right?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	You chaired this meeting?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	If we scroll down a little to the top of the next page,
10		please, if we stop there. At the very top we see:
11		"Chief Inspector Shepherd explained that the PIRC
12		were dealing with the case in isolation of Police
13		Scotland to preserve the integrity of the investigation
14		and therefore only limited information was known
15		regarding the circumstances of the death and the
16		familial background of the deceased, in particular in
17		regulation to the deceased's faith."
18		What did you mean by:
19		" the PIRC were dealing with the case in
20		isolation of Police Scotland to preserve the integrity
21		of the investigation~"?
22	Α.	"In isolation" should be "independent of". That's
23		probably what's being described in the minute there.
24	Q.	And you explain that:
25		" therefore only limited information was known

1 regarding the circumstances of the death and the familial background ... in particular the deceased's 2 3 faith." 4 So why was limited information about the background, 5 circumstances and the deceased's faith, why was limited information available to you as a result of PIRC leading 6 7 on the investigation? Because it wasn't -- it wasn't coming back from PIRC who 8 Α. were engaging with the family, despite asking. 9 10 Q. If we look down a little bit to the third paragraph: "Both Dukurno and Sayed volunteered to contact the 11 12 mosque to act in an independent basis as intermediaries for Police Scotland to establish the faith of the 13 14 deceased and his family and arrange a meeting between 15 community officers and the Imam. At this stage it was decided that a decision would be made after further 16 17 information had been made available from the PIRC 18 regarding the mosque that the deceased and/or his relatives attend." 19 20 So there was a discussion around the advisers taking 21 the role of intermediaries; did you have any concerns at 22 all about that proposed course of action? I did, yes, because that wasn't their role. 23 Α. I see, and if we could look perhaps very briefly at the 24 Q.

25

SOP, the Lay-Community Advisers SOP, that's PS11955.

1 Perhaps the bottom of page 4, please, paragraph 3.4. We looked at this earlier and there's a section on 2 the roles and responsibilities of the lay advisers and 3 4 what their role might include and examples of how they can be utilised. We see here at 3.4: 5 "Lay advisers/community advisers should not be used 6 7 in the following circumstances: 8 "Undertaking enquiries on behalf of the SIO. "Acting as an intermediary to aid communication 9 10 between victims/families/witnesses - this is the role of the family liaison officer (FLO) who may receive advice 11 12 from the lay/community adviser." 13 So although I think you said you hadn't seen this 14 SOP before, your understanding was that the lay advisers 15 shouldn't be used in the sense that was being 16 proposed --Shouldn't. 17 Α. 18 Q. -- at the meeting? 19 If we can return to the community impact assessment, 20 please, we were at the top of page 9, so if we can look 21 at the paragraph that is presently the bottom paragraph 22 on the screen: "The lay advisers were concerned about the lack of 23 information that had been made available by 24 25 Police Scotland to the public. Chief Inspector Shepherd

1 reiterated that the investigation was being carried out 2 by the PIRC and that any media release would be issued 3 by the PIRC. A further discussion took place in respect 4 of providing the public with a greater understanding of 5 the role of the PIRC as it was felt that the general public would not realise that the PIRC was 6 7 an independent body from the police. Detective 8 Superintendent Crosan said that he would task Lucy 9 Adamson from Corporate Communications to speak to the 10 PIRC officer in relation to releasing more information to the public." 11 12 So do we see here that the previous day concerns 13 having been expressed by the elected members, 14 the councillors, about a lack of information, those very 15 same concerns were also being brought to your door by the lay advisers? 16 It was, yeah. 17 Α. 18 Can we turn now to your Inquiry statement again, please, Q.

19 where you comment in a little more detail. We can look 20 at paragraph 38:

"I'm asked about the lay advisers that were involved with the Community Impact and Reassurance Group and the fact that, at times they seem to have had insufficient information to carry out their roles. I felt they were frustrated and hampered by the lack of information we

1 were able to provide them. They had questions that they felt knowing the answer to would assist them in 2 3 providing advice. I think it definitely frustrated them 4 that they would ask questions of us in that group, and 5 I genuinely couldn't answer. I couldn't answer because in most cases I didn't know the answer. One such 6 7 example was not knowing the faith and background of 8 Mr Bayoh. We had made the request through the MIT to 9 the PIRC to have that information but I never received 10 it, that then limited what they could suggest or recommend." 11

12 I'd like to ask you some questions about this. 13 We've heard evidence that, I think from as early as 14 3 May, it was at least known that Mr Bayoh's family were 15 Muslim, there may have been the question as to the 16 extent to which Mr Bayoh was devout or otherwise, but it 17 was known that the family were a black family from 18 Sierra Leone and they were a Muslim family.

Why have you given an example of not knowing the faith and background of Mr Bayoh; what information did you feel that you didn't have, that you weren't getting from the MIT, that wasn't coming back to you from the PIRC?

A. You know, everything about the -- in a normal
circumstance, we would have, you know, a full background

of the individual, that would be the role of the FLO,
 you know, to bring that back and let everybody know,
 you know, how that would impact on what we were trying
 to do.

5 So the -- from my point of view, you know, I wanted that information verified. I know it's within this 6 7 document before about practice and faith but I hadn't 8 categorically heard that, that I could provenance that 9 back from the family and until such times I didn't think 10 it was right for me to go out and -- without knowing exactly where it came from. My ask was could the PIRC 11 12 ask the family and then that way I'd be confident in 13 relaying or, you know, passing that information on to the lay advisers. Otherwise I was worried we would get 14 15 advice that then wasn't actually reflective of the family dynamic. And I wanted to know what the family 16 17 dynamic was so I could understand it.

18 Q. You didn't want to make any assumptions?

19 A. Exactly, yeah.

Q. Did you feel then that you didn't have sufficient
clarity around the family background and in particular
Mr Bayoh's faith?

A. I didn't.

Q. And you say in your Inquiry statement you had made therequest through the MIT to the PIRC to have the

1 information but had never received it. How did you go 2 about making that request? So those requests, because -- so that Community Impact 3 Α. 4 and Reassurance Group was represented by the Major 5 Investigation Team, there was a member of staff at that. They were the point of contact for the PIRC. So I would 6 7 raise at the reassurance group, "Can we get this 8 information?" And I would relay the frustrations as 9 you've already outlined, and the ask would then go, in 10 my view, from the MIT to the PIRC, come back and let us know. We asked that several times. 11 12 Q. So this was asked more than once? 13 Yes. Α. Did you ever get a reply? 14 Q. 15 Α. No. How did the lack of this information affect your ability 16 Q. to carry out your role? 17 18 Α. It's very difficult when you don't -- when you're 19 talking about community reassurance, that community 20 involved the family, it was very much about 21 understanding their needs, the sensitivities that 22 I needed to be aware of to make sure the actions of the police and what I could feed back to the wider community 23 was correct and proportionate. In the absence of that, 24 25 I don't have that level of understanding, and not having

1		that then you're always cautious about what you don't
2		know. So it made it, it made it difficult in my role
3		because I regarded them as a key part of assessing
4		community impact.
5	Q.	How did the absence of that information impact on your
6		relationship with the lay advisers?
7	Α.	I think it's evident in the you know, in their
8		questions. They found it they'd come in to aid,
9		you know, the community and aid us and making sure we
10		managed and were aware of cultural sensitivities and
11		they were asking questions so they could give advice and
12		they were ultimately hampered because they were going to
13		base that advice on the information that I could provide
14		them about you know, they would understand the
15		community because they were they came from the
16		community, but they wanted to know about the family. So
17		did we.
18	Q.	So there was also an impact then on their ability to
19		advise you; because they didn't have the foundation upon
20		which to offer advice.
21	Α.	Yeah, they relayed that to me, that they felt this was
22		difficult because of that.
23	Q.	Let's look at paragraph 39 of your statement:
24		"In my PIRC statement at page 4 it states 'In my
25		role and in the aftermath of Mr Bayoh's death I have had

1 meetings with independent lay advisers. In general these meetings dealt with issues regarding cultural 2 3 matters including what to expect in the run-up and 4 during the course of a Muslim funeral. Likewise, the 5 advisers make it known to police that there was a perceived view in the public domain that there was 6 7 a lack of information concerning the circumstances of 8 Mr Bayoh's death'. From memory, it was probably 9 a combination of the lack of media reporting, lack of 10 information coming from the police and, in general, in terms of the lack of information in the public domain. 11 12 I think they felt, when giving advice as part of that 13 group, that other than giving general advice, the 14 specifics of this incident weren't known to them. They 15 weren't, in effect, known to me either because clearly we were not involved in the investigation. So my 16 17 recollection of some of those meetings was, not knowing the specifics and background, and not having fuller 18 information about the incident itself made their role 19 20 more difficult in terms of providing advice."

21 You say too there that the circumstances weren't 22 known in effect to you either as you were not involved 23 in the investigation; is that because PIRC was leading 24 the investigation?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What difference do you think it would have made if this had been a police-led investigation in terms of your 2 3 access to information that you felt you needed in order 4 to properly inform the lay advisers so they could give 5 you effective advice? If the Police Scotland were leading and clearly deployed 6 Α. 7 the family liaison officers, part of that role is to 8 gather all the needs and wishes and concerns of the 9 family. That's the role. So that, in managing it and, 10 you know, in another incident where we were deploying FLOs and we had a Gold Group and I was monitoring 11 12 community impact, that information is massively 13 important for me to understand, you know, the impact on 14 the community and the impact on our actions as well, in 15 particular towards the family. Did you have any dealings with FLOs, police FLOs or PIRC 16 Q. FLOs at any time? 17 I didn't, no. 18 Α. 19 We can return to the community impact assessment, Q. 20 please. We had got as far as page 9. If we stop there, 21 thank you. We've just looked at the entry one above and 22 the next entry is an update from the Community Impact and Reassurance Group meeting held at 1500 hours on 23 7 May. That's a very short update so I'd like to 24

25 actually take you to the minutes.

1 A. Okay.

2	Q.	So if we could look now at PS01461. I want to be clear
3		firstly whether this relates to the same meeting, it's
4		the only meeting that is documented as having taken
5		place with Community Impact and Reassurance Group on
6		7 May in the community impact assessment but the timing
7		on the minutes is slightly different.
8	Α.	Okay.
9	Q.	So perhaps you can help us with that, so this is
10		PS01461.
11		(Pause)
12		Just while we're waiting for that to happen here
13		it is on the screen I'm wondering in fact whether
14		these minutes might actually relate to the meeting that
15		we've just been talking about, which was the update
16		following the meeting with the lay advisers at 1 o'clock
17		on 7 May 2015.
18		I have the luxury of being able to look at two
19		documents simultaneously at the moment and I notice that
20		the list of attendees at this meeting, which is headed
21		up: "Minutes from Community Impact and Reassurance Group
22		lay advisers subgroup meeting", is exactly the same list
23		of attendees as were present at the meeting with the lay
24		advisers on 7 May which we've just been looking at.
25		But in any event, if we it may not matter,

1 there's just one paragraph here that I was keen to take you to, so if we scroll down and if we look at the first 2 3 paragraph, there are introductions: 4 "Chief Inspector Shepherd explained that the PIRC 5 were dealing with the case in isolation of Police Scotland." 6 7 That language is familiar to us, that's been copied 8 over into the community impact assessment. But if we 9 read to the bottom of that paragraph there's a little 10 extra piece of information here which hasn't made it's way into the community impact assessment: 11 12 "Mr Sayed highlighted that there are different sects 13 of the Muslim Faith and it would be important to know if deceased is a Sunni, Shi'ite etc Muslim. Mr Sayed 14 15 stated that he would estimate that the African Muslim population in Fife would be approximately 1%." 16 17 Do you recall that conversation at all and do you recall Mr Sayed's contribution? 18 19 Not now, sadly, no. Α. 20 And do you have an understanding as to why it might be Q. 21 important to know whether the deceased was a Sunni Muslim or a Shi'ite Muslim? 22 Absolutely, yeah. 23 Α. Why would that be important to know? 24 Q. Again, different practices, cultures, anything like that 25 Α.

1		we would need to know.
2	Q.	Who took the minutes, do you recall?
3	Α.	Is this the Lay Advisory Group?
4	Q.	It appears to be the Lay Advisers Subgroup meeting.
5	A.	Looking at the attendees, sorry, I don't know if you can
6		just scroll slightly up and I can probably tell you
7		who's there.
8		(Pause)
9		From that, I would say Jimmy Adamson, but I can't be
10		certain but
11	Q.	It wasn't yourself?
12	A.	It wasn't me.
13	Q.	I'm just interested in one word that was used, I don't
14		know whether it was used by Mr Sayed or whether it's
15		been used by the person who noted the minutes to capture
16		what Mr Sayed said:
17		"Mr Sayed highlighted that there are different sects
18		of the Muslim Faith~"
19		And I just wondered if you had any observations on
20		the use of the word "sects" in that context?
21	A.	Not particularly, no.
22	Q.	Is it a word that you're comfortable with?
23	A.	Erm
24		(Pause)
25		It's hard to tell how it's been worded but it's

1 comfortable, maybe in terms of the interpretation of 2 that? Just in terms of it potentially having perhaps 3 Q. 4 a negative association. Perhaps it doesn't. I just 5 wondered what your view was. I hadn't seen the text up until now, so if it's ... 6 Α. 7 I don't recall the saying, so it's difficult to comment. That's all right, that's fair, we'll move on from that. 8 Q. 9 If we could return to the community impact 10 assessment, please, and if we could go to page 10, at the very bottom of page 10 there is an update from the 11 12 Community Impact and Reassurance Group meeting at 13 1100 hours on 11 May, so that's where we are, but if we 14 just scroll down a little bit, please, to the final 15 paragraph relating to that meeting, a little bit further, please, there we are: 16 17 "To date, no further information has been gleaned from the PIRC in relation to the familial background of 18 the deceased. However, Chief Inspector Shepherd will 19 20 contact [Inspector] Aliane (National Safer 21 Communities~...) in relation to organising a further meeting with the lay advisers." 22 23 Is this a reference back to what you had explained earlier that you had asked, via the MIT, PIRC for 24 information about Mr Bayoh's family background? 25

1 Α. It appears, yeah, that's a direct reference to that, 2 yeah. And it's been recorded and by this point on 11 May that 3 Q. 4 no further information has been forthcoming? 5 Α. Yeah. If we can scroll down to the bottom of page 11, please, 6 Q. 7 just to get our bearings, there we are, this is 8 an update now from the Community Impact and Reassurance 9 Group meeting on 13 May and over the page at the bottom 10 of that entry, a little bit further, please, there we 11 are, just at the bottom of the screen, sorry, stop. No, 12 no. Now at the top of the screen: 13 "No further information has been gleaned about the 14 familial background of the deceased or when the funeral 15 will take place." A further reference to lack of information coming 16 from the PIRC and that was on 13 May? 17 18 Yeah, that was correct. Α. 19 And if we move on to page 13, please, about halfway down Q. 20 page 13, there's an update from the Community Impact and 21 Reassurance Group meeting 12.35 on 14 May, and in the 22 second paragraph it says: "Detective Inspector Wilson said that he was in 23 regular dialogue with the PIRC and that to date there 24 25 was no date fixed for the defence post-mortem. He also

1		said that he did not know the faith of the deceased."
2		So further reference to that information still not
3		being in your possession?
4	A.	That's correct, yeah.
5	Q.	Can we look at one of your daybook entries, please, this
6		is PS09169, again 14 May, if we can scroll, this is
7		a two-page or the second page of this document, please,
8		very bottom of the second page, there's your notes,
9		I think, that refer to the same entry:
10		"12.40. [Community Impact and Reassurance Group]
11		Chair [Superintendent] Milton.
12		"Factual updates: DI Wilson - no date on PM or
13		funeral no details of Muslim sect. No information
14		re Sierra Leone funeral."
15		This appears to be a handwritten note which
16		correlates with the entry in the community impact
17		assessment that we looked at a moment ago?
18	A.	Yeah.
19	Q.	And there's perhaps a little more information in your
20		note here. Again, we see the word "sect":
21		"No details of Muslim sect."
22		On this occasion this is in your own notes, this is
23		your daybook and again, are you comfortable with the
24		language you have used there?
25	A.	I think I've clearly reflected what was in the last

1 update. It's not a word I've -- I'm probably overly 2 familiar with, and I've clearly taken that from, 3 I think, the last meeting. If that's, you know, been 4 used in a sense that's offensive I'm -- you know, I had 5 not been aware of that, so I apologise if that's the case but without -- you know, just looking at how it has 6 7 been worded, I've clearly transposed it across, so ... 8 I'm not accusing you of anything, I just wanted to --Q. 9 Apologies, no, it's because I'm looking at it now, it's Α. 10 not a term I'm probably familiar with so I can only say I think I've transposed it over from that Lay Advisory 11 12 Group and included it. 13 There's a reference here: Q. 14 "No info re Sierra Leone funeral." 15 Was it your understanding that there was going to be a funeral in Sierra Leone? 16 No, but I don't know where that update's come from, to 17 Α. be honest, it looks look I'm taking note -- I tend to 18 19 take notes when somebody says something that I didn't 20 know because I think, you know, "Is this relevant"? So 21 I think I've jotted it down because somebody's mentioned 22 it somewhere in that group and I've thought, "I didn't know that", hence why I've jotted it down. 23 Okay. Did you have an understanding from what was said 24 Q. at the meeting that Mr Bayoh's body was to be taken back 25

1		to Sierra Leone, that there was going to be a funeral
2		there? Is that what you understood was going on?
3	Α.	I had never been aware of that, no.
4	Q.	As you say it's perhaps simply something that's taken
5		you by surprise or that you were unaware of and you have
6		made an entry
7	Α.	Yeah, to be honest I don't recall that.
8	Q.	Can we go back to the community impact assessment,
9		please, and page 15. Could we go to the very bottom of
10		page 15, please, this is just a little bit further up,
11		we can see this is an update from a meeting with the lay
12		advisers on 22 May, and if we look at the two bottom
13		paragraphs:
14		"Chief Inspector Shepherd said that the Daily Record
15		had contacted Police Scotland with a series of
16		questions, which the paper were requesting responses to,
17		in relation to the death. The Chief Inspector detailed
18		that Police Scotland were not in a position to make any
19		comments to the request as the matter was being
20		investigated by PIRC."
21		And you've explained to us earlier why:
22		"Dukurno said that making no comment could be viewed
23		with suspicion by the public. He suggested that it may
24		be prudent for Police Scotland to provide an account of
25		the role of the PIRC and the reason why Police Scotland

cannot make any comments about the case to the media,
 rather than having no dialogue with the press
 whatsoever. Chief Inspector Shepherd said that she
 would contact Corporate Communications to discuss this
 matter further and that she would report this back to
 the group at a later date.

7 "Sayed agreed that the public would benefit from 8 more information and asked whether the police were using 9 social media. Chief Inspector Shepherd said that at 10 both the national and local level the police were very good at using social media, however were completely 11 12 restricted in posting using the medium in relation to 13 the death because the investigation was being conducted 14 by the PIRC.

"Dukurno mentioned that it may be useful if the Police Federation made a further statement covering the role of the PIRC and the reason that Police Scotland could not comment about the investigation. He went on to remark that it was important that the police officers working in the community were briefed in relation to what they could say when asked.

22 Chief Inspector Shepherd said that she would contact 23 PIRC in relation to a form of words that could be used 24 in such situations."

25

So again, do we see the same frustrations being

1	expressed around the "no comment" stance being taken by
2	Police Scotland in relation to the incident?
3	A. Yeah, I mean, I think it's evident that, you know, in
4	the absence of more information coming out then the lay
5	advisers were seeking other ways to, you know, to manage
6	that community impact. So at that point they're
7	suggesting, you know, is there information that can go
8	out about actually the PIRC and their independence that
9	may that may assist.
10	LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson, we need to take the 15-minute
11	break. Would that be a convenient point?
12	MS THOMSON: It would, sir, yes, thank you.
13	(3.00 pm)
14	(A short break)
15	(3.22 pm)
16	LORD BRACADALE: Ms Thomson.
17	MS THOMSON: Thank you, sir.
18	Before the break we were discussing the form of
19	words that was to be used for the media release, and
20	I've had the opportunity to do a little bit of digging
21	in the break and I wonder if I could take you to
22	a particular document, it's PS42795. You might not have
23	seen this before. If we scroll down it's the sort of
24	email that you need to read from the bottom up. So this
25	is an internal communication dated 3.30 or thereabouts

1 on 3 May. It's a draft, I understand, and it reads as 2 follows: 3 "Death in police custody, Kirkcaldy. 4 "At around 7 am this morning (Sunday May 3rd) police 5 in Kirkcaldy responded to a number of calls from members of the public reporting a man brandishing a knife in the 6 7 Hayfield Road area. 8 "On arrival the officers encountered the man and 9 whilst attempting to apprehend him he lost consciousness 10 and a female officer also sustained a head injury. "Police officers commenced first aid procedures and 11 12 the man was taken to Victoria Hospital by the Scottish 13 Ambulance Service, where he sadly died. The female 14 officer was also taken to hospital, and she has now been 15 released. "Divisional Commander Chief Superintendent 16 17 Garry McEwan said: 'This is a tragic set of 18 circumstances and my condolences go to the man's family. We currently have officers with them to provide 19 20 information and support where appropriate. 21 "'We recognise that this is an extremely difficult and distressing time for both the family and the 22 officers involved and I have instigated the necessary 23 post-incident procedures. 24 25 "'The investigation of deaths in Scotland is the

1 responsibility of Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service, who have instructed the Police Investigations & 2 3 Review Commissioner to lead on this enquiry. The 4 circumstances into the death will be fully explored and 5 reported to the Crown Office in early course'." So that appears to be a draft, and if we scroll up 6 7 to the parts that are immediately above that text, we 8 can see that this is a draft from a Kate Finlay in 9 Police Scotland, and she is seeking approval of the 10 text. If we scroll up a little bit further, we will see that her email has been sent to media relations, and we 11 12 can see a little bit further up that that appears to 13 have gone to Media Relations within the Crown Office who 14 acknowledged the email and say: 15 "We'll get back to you asap." Then if we scroll up further, the response reads: 16 17 "Hi Kate, "As discussed the statement as drafted contains too 18 19 much detail. We are content for it to be used 20 internally but not publicly. 21 "However, we are content for the following part of 22 the quote to be used ... "'This is a tragic set of circumstances and my 23 condolences go to the man's family. Police Scotland is 24 25 co-operating with PIRC' or something of that nature.

"We are also content for you to confirm in notes to 1 editors etc that an officer was not stabbed." 2 3 So I appreciate you may not have seen this before 4 but does this appear to be a draft media release 5 prepared internally by someone from within Police Scotland and sent to the Crown Office for 6 7 approval, and the Crown Office have said that it's too 8 detailed, that it can be used internally but not 9 publicly, and they've highlighted one particular part that they're content should remain within the 10 11 press release. Does that appear to be what has happened 12 there? 13 It does, as you say. I've not seen that before but yes, Α. 14 it looks like that's the content of that exchange. 15 Q. Let me show you something that you will have seen before PS02795. So the email that we've just read, that email 16 17 trail concludes at 7 minutes past 4 o'clock in the afternoon. Sorry, I beg your pardon. 02793. I'm so 18 19 sorry. 20 (Pause) 21 This we see has been sent by Media Edinburgh, it's difficult to tell without a full email address but it 22 certainly appears that the previous draft was sent to 23

a Media Edinburgh address that appears to be within the

Crown Office, and on this occasion they're the sender

24 25

1 and this has been sent to you, and it reads: "Death in police custody, Kirkcaldy. 2 3 "Statement from Police Investigations & Review 4 Commissioner. 5 "A PIRC spokeswoman said 'The Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service has directed the Police 6 7 Investigations & Review Commissioner to investigate 8 circumstances surrounding the death of a 31-year old male on 3 May 2015 in the Kirkcaldy area who had been 9 10 detained by the police shortly before his death. A report on the PIRC's findings will be submitted to the 11 12 COPFS in due course. As this is a live investigation it 13 would be inappropriate to comment further at this 14 time'." 15 Then statement from Police Scotland: "Fife Divisional Commander Chief Superintendent 16 17 Garry McEwan said 'This is a tragic set of circumstances and my condolences go to the man's family. The 18 19 investigation of deaths in Scotland is the 20 responsibility of Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal 21 Service, who have instructed the Police Investigations & 22 Review Commissioner to lead on the enquiry. "ENDS." 23 24 Then a note for guidance: 25 "While there was injury to a female officer, she did

1		not suffer a stab wound."
2		So this appears to have been sent to you on 3 May.
3		Do you recall receiving this?
4	Α.	No, I actually think it looks like it's been sent from
5		me, just if you go back up to the top.
6	Q.	Oh yes.
7	Α.	I think it's from
8	Q.	That's right, that's my mistake.
9	Α.	But in answer to your question, no, I don't recollect
10		that at all, I'm sorry.
11	Q.	Okay.
12		So this is something you have sent to the Media
13		Edinburgh address which if we look at this alongside
14		the email chain that we looked at a moment ago
15		appears to be the Crown Office address, and the text for
16		Police Scotland here is very similar to the text that
17		was approved by the Crown Office in the chain that we
18		read earlier.
19		So this perhaps has the hallmarks of being the final
20		release, but can you assist us with that at this
21		distance?
22	Α.	Sadly not. I really don't remember sending that, if I'm
23		going to be honest.
24	Q.	All right.
25		You also said earlier in your evidence that you were

1 keen to have words that could be circulated internally 2 amongst officers and we saw, when we looked at the 3 previous email chain, that the Crown Office had said: 4 no, this is too detailed to go into the public domain 5 but could be used internally. Do you know whether a form of words such as those 6 7 that we saw in the previous email was perhaps circulated internally to officers? 8 A. I don't know about what went out internally to staff. 9 It would -- there would be a record of that if it did. 10 What I'd said previously is that I was looking for 11 12 a form of words for elected members, so that initial 13 contact that I had --Q. Yes. 14

15 -- you know, as far as I can recollect, it was something Α. 16 that went to Crown for approval, you know, to make sure 17 that what I said, you know, was okay to be released. Ordinarily, you know, if it's out in the public domain, 18 ie via the media, I would probably just reflect more or 19 20 less what was in that media statement. But I do have 21 recollections that there was PIRC approval for elected 22 members and it may or it would have coincided very, very 23 closely to what went out in the media.

Q. Perhaps I can help you with that. Can we have on
screen, please, PS05872. Now, this is a document which

is undated and it's not clear whether it's a draft or 1 a final document, but it is headed up "Briefing note for 2 3 locally elected members". Let's have a look at this: 4 "Following an incident on Hayfield Road, Kirkcaldy 5 yesterday morning, Sunday 3 May 2015, resulting in the death of a 31-year old male and injury to a female 6 7 officer, COPFS [Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal 8 Service] have instructed the PIRC [Police Investigations 9 & Review Commissioner] to lead on the investigation. The officer was released after treatment at the 10 Victoria Hospital, Kirkcaldy. 11 12 "Following the events, there will be a notable 13 policing presence in the areas affected to provide 14 community reassurance. 15 "We would welcome your assistance in notifying us of any community tensions or concerns notified to you. 16 17 "Although family are aware of the incident we are not releasing the details of the male involved at this 18 19 time and support and information is being provided to 20 the family." 21 So do you remember this? 22 That will be what I was referring to. Α. That will be --23 Q. 24 Α. Yeah. Q. -- what you were referring to earlier. So this is the 25

1		form of words that was approved for briefing the locally
2		elected members?
3	Α.	I think so, yeah, but generally that's what I expect
4		what I generally recall with that conversation.
5	Q.	And what are your views as to the level of detail
6		provided in this briefing note? Do you consider it was
7		sufficient for their purposes, sufficient to alleviate
8		the concerns and the frustrations that they had brought
9		to your door?
10	Α.	I think at that point, that was on 3 May, so that was
11		approved at that point. So for my purposes, that's what
12		was generally going out in the media. I felt for
13		those purposes at that point that it was sufficient.
14	Q.	Okay.
15	Α.	Clearly we moved on from there.
16	Q.	So this was on 3 May
17	Α.	Yeah.
18	Q.	and the concerns were a few days later.
19	Α.	Yeah.
20	Q.	And we looked at those concerns, as reflected in the
21		minutes earlier on.
22		Can we return to the community impact assessment,
23		please. Can we go to page 17. We can see an update
24		from the Community Impact and Reassurance Group there at
25		2.30 on 27 May. If you stop there, please, the second

1 paragraph beneath that heading says: "DI Wilson said that the PIRC had been unable to 2 establish the religion of the deceased." 3 4 This is now 27 May and the update is that the PIRC 5 had been unable to establish the religion of the deceased. Do you recall this at all? 6 7 No. It does confirm my recollection, though, that we Α. 8 were -- you know, I was constantly trying to establish that. 9 10 Q. We can move on to page 19, and we see that this is an update following the meeting with lay advisers on 11 12 4 June, and if we go to the very bottom paragraph on 13 that page: 14 "Albeit the religion of the deceased and his family 15 is known, the exact denomination is unknown. Azmet said that he would contact the Imam from the mosque to 16 17 establish this, so that the police had a further understanding of denomination acustom." 18 19 So we're now into 4 June, that's a full month after 20 Sheku Bayoh's death, and it appears at this point in 21 time that you still do not have all of the information that you were looking to, in terms of Mr Bayoh's faith 22 and that of his family. Is that correct? 23 Yeah, that is correct. 24 Α. Can we go to page 21. At the very bottom there is 25 Q.

1 an update from a meeting with lay advisers on the 16th, and you can see at the very bottom there: 2 3 "Neither adviser believed that at this stage there 4 was any need to reschedule any further meetings." 5 That brought their involvement, it seems, to a conclusion, although beyond that -- there are just 6 7 a few pages left within the community impact 8 assessment -- we see a further update from the Community 9 Impact and Reassurance Group on 26 June, and there are 10 some details of discussions with politicians. There don't seem to be any further records of meetings with 11 12 lay advisers, or indeed with elected members, or for 13 that matter with the Community Impact and Reassurance 14 Group. I think there were, but not -- I wasn't involved with 15 Α. 16 them. I see. 17 Q. 18 Α. I think, if you go forward, there's meetings right up 19 until 2 September. 20 2 September? Q. 21 Α. Just -- yeah, I've got the -- I wasn't in post then, 22 so ... Oh, I see, yes. You're quite right. Go to page 23, 23 Q. 24 please. Notwithstanding what was said at the last 25 meeting by the lay advisers, we see at the very bottom

1		of page 23 that there was a further meeting with the lay
2		advisers, 2 September.
3		You said you weren't in post by that point in time?
4	Α.	No, I'd moved posts, I was no longer working in Fife at
5		that point.
6	Q.	I see, all right. So at what point did your involvement
7		in the community impact assessment and related work come
8		to a conclusion?
9	Α.	When I moved post.
10	Q.	Yes.
11	Α.	June or July. July, possibly, that year.
12	Q.	Okay.
13		So you were involved, then, with the lay advisers
14		and the elected members and the Community Impact and
15		Reassurance Group between the May and June or July?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	How helpful did you find the input of these external
18		advisers, the lay advisers, the elected members to be?
19	Α.	I felt they did the very best they could within,
20		you know, a very difficult operating environment,
21		because of what we could provide them. I found,
22		you know, their general advice, you know, helpful.
23		Helpful to, you know, test our thinking, you know, just
24		in terms of they came forward with "People are asking
25		about the independence of the PIRC, would it be

25

1 beneficial if something could go out?" You know, these are all reasonable, you know, things to ask and bring 2 3 into the conversation. 4 So I found their advice helpful, but they were 5 limited, I absolutely accept they were limited in what they could provide, and maybe what they wanted to 6 7 provide and help us with. 8 Q. Neither of the lay advisers appointed were black or 9 African. Did that concern you at all in terms of their 10 lived experience and what they were able to bring to you in terms of advice and guidance? 11 12 Α. It didn't concern me, because they were coming in as 13 independent lay advisers, and that's -- that was the 14 role we were asking them to perform. So it was, 15 you know, I was -- the independence for me was the 16 important part. Looking back now, what do you think might have made 17 Q. their job a little easier? 18 Information. 19 Α. 20 Information? Q. 21 Α. And information about the family in particular and, 22 you know, the events so we could -- you know, so they 23 could be properly informed and, you know, fully bring 24 all the information and ability that they had. They

told me they felt curtailed, and I can understand why.

Q. I'd like to look very, very briefly at two documents
related to the community impact assessment. The first
is PS06481. It's a diversity and engagement strategy.
We see here the front page which confirms that it
relates to Operation Birnie, which I think is the
codename for the investigation following the death of
Mr Bayoh.

8 Can we go to -- let's just look through this 9 document quickly, please. If we move on to the second 10 page, there's a little introduction, and the first 11 paragraph of that introduction again states that:

12 " ... at this stage, the ethnicity, faith and 13 cultural background of Mr Bayoh has not been confirmed. 14 The enquiry should be conducted with the diversity and 15 cultural needs of Mr Bayoh's immediate and extended 16 family and wider community at the heart of all that is 17 done."

18 The aims are set out. There is then a definition of 19 a critical incident at the bottom of the page, and you 20 explained to us yesterday your understanding of critical 21 incident, and it's defined here as:

22 "Any incident where the effectiveness of the police 23 response is likely to have a significant impact on the 24 victim, the family and/or the community."

25 A. Yeah.

Q. Moving on to the third page, "Consultation and
engagement, independent advice", it records that:
"Independent advice at both critical and other
police incidents is well documented as being extremely
valuable and has become a moral duty developed by police
forces nationally since the MacPherson report into the
death of Stephen Lawrence in 1993.

8 "As such the use of Police Service of Scotland ... 9 lay advisers should be considered at regular stages of 10 Operation Birnie. Their role includes offering advice and assistance from a lay perspective as well as 11 12 challenging and critiquing decisions and proposed 13 actions of the operation and acting as a 'critical 14 friend'. Once the ethnicity, faith, and other cultural 15 needs of the deceased and his family have been confirmed, consideration should be given to ensuring 16 17 that the appropriate adviser is sought."

18 Let's start with that final sense:

"Once the ethnicity, faith, and other cultural needs
of the deceased and his family have been confirmed,
consideration should be given to ensuring that the
appropriate adviser is sought."

23 Going by the minutes of the various meetings you had 24 with elected members and lay advisers and the CIRG, my 25 understanding -- and you'll correct me if I'm wrong --

1		is that throughout the period of time that you were
2		involved in community impact work, you didn't ever
3		receive confirmation as to at least the denomination of
4		Mr Bayoh's faith; is that right?
5	Α.	Yeah, I didn't have any information.
6	Q.	No information.
7		And looking again at the top part of that paragraph,
8		where there's a discussion of the role of lay advisers:
9		"Their role includes offering advice and assistance
10		from a lay perspective as well as challenging and
11		critiquing decisions and proposed actions of the
12		operation and acting as a 'critical friend'."
13		Is that a role that the lay advisers were able to
14		fulfil in connection with this operation?
15	Α.	I think so.
16	Q.	And to what extent were they able to challenge and
17		critique decisions and actions? Were they sighted on
18		decisions and actions? You explained that there was
19		information that wasn't available to them, but were they
20		involved in decision-making? Were they critiquing your
21		decision-making in some way?
22	Α.	I suppose if we reflect back to that point round about,
23		you know, information and our what I was reflecting
24		back to them was the fact that we weren't going out in
25		the public domain with any information. They clearly

1 felt that, and questioned whether that was -- they understood why because I clearly explained it to them, 2 3 but they were -- I would have said, you know, that 4 critical friend role was then, you know, informing us of 5 how that then could be perceived, and that lack of transparency. That was what they were bringing to it. 6 7 I suppose in a normal investigation the lay advice, 8 if it sat with an investigation in Police Scotland then, 9 you know, would be far more aware of the, you know, the 10 investigation itself to then inform the decisions, as you've mentioned, because that wasn't how this 11 12 investigation was being managed, ie by us. It was the 13 more limitations on the information made that full role 14 quite difficult. 15 So are you saying that it's difficult to be a critical Q. friend if you're not fully informed? 16 Yeah, you can only be critical in terms of the 17 Α. 18 information that you're provided, and if you're not 19 provided that much information you're limited in, 20 you know, how you can, as a friend, you know, critically analyse what it is that's happening. 21 22 Can we move on to the fourth page of this document, Q. please. 23 24 (Pause) 25 Is this the fourth page, sorry? It might be the

1

fifth page. My apologies. There we are.

2 "Specific community impact". There's a discussion
3 here of the local neighbourhood community, then the
4 Islamic community:

"The public and press interest may make links with 5 Bayoh's perceived ethnicity or religion, which in turn 6 7 has the potential to raise concerns within the 8 community. Consideration should be given to having 9 reassurance measures put in place specific to the 10 Islamic community and any place of worship in which the subject was associated. Once the ethnicity and faith of 11 12 Bayoh and his family is confirmed, this should be revisited and any interfaith tensions examined." 13

So again another reference to the need to confirmhis ethnicity and faith.

16 If we can look below that to "Police Community", and 17 you mentioned yesterday in your evidence seeing the 18 police as an independent unit, an independent community:

Police Service of Scotland can be classed as
a community in itself and as such its staff should be
taken into consideration during the progress of this
strategy. It is important therefore that the relevant
staff associations are not only consulted but are
central to this strategy to ensure any officer or police
staff member is not adversely affected. Both SEMPER

1		Scotland and the Muslim Police Association will be
2		an integral part of this strategy as it develops. Local
3		managers should identify officers in need of TRiM
4		assessment and progress this expediently."
5		I'm wondering whether SEMPER Scotland and the Muslim
6		Police Association were contacted and asked if they
7		could be an integral part of the strategy and support
8		the police community in the aftermath of this incident?
9	A.	They weren't by me. That's a national document that's
10		been compiled by what was Safer Communities.
11	Q.	Right.
12	A.	So the if they were, then they would have been
13		engaged by Safer Communities.
14	Q.	All right. That wasn't a task that fell to you?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Can we look now at PS05363, which is a document headed
17		"Operation Birnie, diversity considerations and
18		community impact."
19		(Pause)
20		Is this a document that you've seen before?
21	A.	I don't believe so, no.
22	Q.	Can you say whether it's a national document like the
23		last one, or something
24	A.	I certainly don't recognise it as being a local, locally
25		held document.

1 Q. If we have a brief look at it, it's got much in common 2 with the document we looked at a moment ago. There's an introduction that gives some background information, 3 4 the aims of the Gold Groups are set out. 5 On to the second page, "Critical incident" is described, "Diversity considerations", and then at the 6 bottom "Cultural awareness": 7 8 "At this time it is believed that although the deceased has been identified as of the Muslim faith, it 9 10 is thought that he does not practice his religion but that other members of the immediate family may be more 11 12 devout. Initial advice has been given in relation to 13 cultural awareness." 14 So this document appears to suggest that Mr Bayoh 15 was Muslim but perhaps not practising, but I understand 16 your evidence to be that that was never confirmed to you in terms? 17 18 Not by the family, and I haven't seen that document --Α. You haven't seen that before? 19 Q. 20 Α. No. 21 Q. There's a final document I would like to draw your attention to. I don't know whether it would be on the 22 playlist. If not, I can tell you the relevant -- read 23 out the relevant part. It's the forensic strategy 24 25 document. Possibly not.

1 PS01298. So this is a document -- we've heard much about this from Pat Campbell in recent days, and this is 2 3 a document which was prepared on 3 May in advance of 4 a meeting at about 4.45 in the afternoon. 5 Now, I don't think we have it, and that doesn't matter -- you won't have seen it before, I don't think, 6 7 in any event -- but this is a document which I suppose 8 has been prepared by the investigation side of 9 Operation Birnie and, as I say, it was prepared on 3 May, and it records: 10 "Due to the religion of the deceased being 11 12 established as Muslim, this was to be considered in the 13 recovery phase and highlighted ... COPFS." 14 So it certainly appears from the face of this 15 document that the investigation side of the incident, or Operation Birnie, had established by late afternoon on 16 17 3 May that Mr Bayoh was Muslim. But, if I understand your evidence correctly, that information was never 18 19 conveyed to you officially or definitively from PIRC via 20 the MIT, in spite of a number of requests. Is that your 21 position? 22 Yeah. Α. 23 We'll move away from the community impact assessment Q.

now, and in conclusion I want to ask you just a few
questions about training.

1 Now, Police Scotland have helpfully provided your full training record. You might not have had the chance 2 3 to pay it much attention but it's there and, if you 4 would find it helpful to do so, please do look at it. 5 I wonder if I can take you back to something you said in your Inquiry statement, paragraph 54, because 6 7 I'm particularly interested in any training that you had 8 undergone that you found to be useful in the role that 9 you played in the aftermath of Mr Bayoh's death, so 10 equality and diversity training, anything of that sort. You were asked in paragraph 54 about learning 11 12 lessons, and you said: 13 "I'm asked if I'm aware of any training run by 14 Police Scotland or sharing of information within 15 Police Scotland in terms of learning lessons from other police forces. Yes, that's quite common and often comes 16 17 to light from reviews, inspections or incidents that have occurred in other areas. I have attended many 18 inputs and courses over the years where external 19 20 speakers from other agencies or police forces have 21 shared their experience of dealing with crimes and investigations. More often than not, this includes 22 lessons learned." 23

24 I'm interested to know whether you ever attended 25 a lessons learned input following a death in custody?

1 Α. (Pause). Not that I can recall specifically. 2 Or the death of a person from a black or ethnic minority Q. 3 background? 4 Α. So in terms of lessons learned, I would include it in 5 Simplexity, that's within the training record that I've got in front of me. So that's that critical incident 6 7 management for -- that's been run for a number of years 8 across Police Scotland which deals with critical 9 incidents, and it also picks up aspects from 10 Stephen Lawrence and from Simon San Inquiries, so that was very much the focus of that bit of training. 11 12 Q. I was going to ask you actually if you recalled the 13 publication of Lord MacPherson's report in 1999 14 following his investigation into the racist murder of 15 Stephen Lawrence? Because you have such length of service --16 Yeah. 17 Α. 18 -- that you'd have been in service at the time, and do Q. 19 you remember a lessons learned or training input 20 following the publication of that report? 21 Α. Yeah, I mean, I think going right back to, you know, the 22 earlier part of my service in Fife Constabulary, there 23 was training post the release of the MacPherson report in the early 2000s, and that was on -- all staff were 24 trained in equality and diversity. I think in Fife it 25

1 was a two-day course that everybody attended, I certainly did, and I suppose the -- you know, I've had 2 other training in between times on equality and 3 4 diversity as well, not necessarily always lessons 5 learned, sometimes just awareness --Q. Certainly what you say, and let's go back to your 6 7 statement for a moment and then I'll invite your comment, but at paragraph 55 you're asked about 8 9 Police Scotland's training on racial awareness and 10 equality and diversity: "The amount of training will vary depending on 11 12 length of service, some would have more exposure than 13 others ..." 14 And you go on to say that equality and diversity is 15 threaded through the syllabus in many courses you have been on, for example detective training: 16 17 "This has included inputs and live play exercises 18 specifically focused on investigations where equality 19 and diversity issues exist. Training for new recruits 20 at Scottish Police College includes racial awareness and 21 equality, diversity and inclusion. They are provided 22 with training from the very outset." So in terms of the role that you were performing on 23 3 May 2015 and in the days and weeks that followed, what 24

training had you had that you felt equipped you well to

25

1 perform your function on that day? Can you point to any particular experiences or inputs or courses that you had 2 3 attended that you felt gave you the skillset that you 4 needed to carry out your role? 5 Numerous over the years. So clearly all the equality Α. and diversity, but I was a senior investigating officer 6 7 for a number of years, so the understanding of 8 investigations -- albeit that wasn't my role -- clearly 9 helps the detective training. I'm a sexual offences 10 liaison officer, that has aspects of, you know, equality and diversity within it in terms of dealing with victims 11 12 of sexual crime. The detective officer death 13 investigation is clearly a fundamental part of that 14 role. As a senior investigating officer, I'm overseeing 15 more complex deaths as well as serious crime investigations, so equalities is threaded right through 16 17 that, you know, EDI all the way through these pieces of 18 training, so ... 19 Thank you. Can you bear with me just a second, please? Q. Of course, yeah. 20 Α. 21 (Pause) 22 MS THOMSON: I have no further questions for you, thank you. LORD BRACADALE: Are there any Rule 9 applications? 23 Ms Mitchell and ... I wonder if you would withdraw to 24 the witness room, please, superintendent. 25

1 (In the absence of the witness) 2 Rule 9 Application by MS MITCHELL 3 LORD BRACADALE: Ms Mitchell. MS MITCHELL: Yes, the first issue I'd like to raise is 4 5 a matter which was missing from the witness's statement, when she was asked about whether or not there was impact 6 7 and -- sorry, I'll start again. Whether or not, because 8 of the high profile nature of the enquiry, there were 9 features that made it high profile and would have 10 significant community impact. And she responded in her Inquiry -- today, yesterday I think it was, saying: 11 12 "Answer: Yeah, it's clearly not in there but I knew 13 because the Chief Super had mentioned that the deceased 14 was black ..." [Day 50, page 172, line 2] 15 16 What I would like to explore with this witness is: 17 why, if that was the case, that one of the issues was the deceased was black, why wasn't that part of her 18 19 statement? Why didn't she set that out in the 20 reasoning? 21 And the reasoning for that is just to test why something like that wouldn't be in there if it was 22 relevant? Was there any reluctance, for example, of the 23 24 witness to put that in as a reason and, if so, why? 25 That's the first issue.

1 A number of issues then clump around what the family was told in the meeting with Garry McEwan and 2 Nicola Shepherd. Firstly, on the issue of batons. 3 Earlier today at [draft] 60/14 she was asked: 4 "Question: Did you know at that point in time that 5 Mr Bayoh had been struck with batons? 6 "Answer: Not sure if I did. 7 "Question: Was that information shared with the 8 family? 9 10 "Answer: It's not documented there, no. "Question: That note documents batons being 11 12 withdrawn, but there's no reference to baton strike." 13 [Day 51, page 65, line 22] 14 Now, what I would like to do is take this witness to 15 the two Gold Group meetings which she'd previously attended which set out the background as then it was 16 17 known, albeit sort of incorrectly, about the involvement of batons and the police. 18 19 The next thing relates to the post-mortem. She was 20 asked, and this is at [draft] 76: 21 "Question: During this meeting were there any discussions about the upcoming post-mortem? 22 "Answer: I don't recall them, and I haven't taken 23 notes of that, is probably what I would say. 24 25 "Question: So you don't recall any discussions

1 around post-mortem? "Answer: I don't now. 2 3 "Question: Do you recall there being any discussion 4 about identification of the body? "Answer: Not now, I don't." 5 [Day 51, page 82, line 8] 6 7 What I would like to do is take this witness to the 8 Gold Group meetings on 3 May at 2015 hours, which was essentially the report back of Garry McEwan as to what 9 10 was said at the meeting, which makes it clear that the family -- indeed the word was used -- craved reassurance 11 12 about the post-mortem. 13 Finally in relation to the post-mortem, one of the 14 last documents we looked at, PS09169, said on its front 15 page, "I disclosed the second PM to advisers, requested not to further disclose", and then I can't make it out, 16 17 and what I would like to ask this witness is: on what 18 basis was the post-mortem disclosed and why was it done,

20 Moving then on to the issue of the machete, the 21 Inquiry will know that clearly this is a matter of 22 contention as to what the family were told on the day. 23 This witness says in her statement:

from a policing perspective, with lay representatives?

19

24 "I wish to state that the only weapon I have ever25 heard mentioned in relation to Mr Bayoh's death is

a knife, no other weapon specific to this incident." 1 What I would like to do is go though with this 2 witness her attendance at Kirkcaldy Police Station, the 3 4 briefing that she was given by Garry McEwan when she 5 arrived, and in doing so show that Garry McEwan at the same time was briefing other people, including 6 7 Conrad Trickett and the ACC, that in fact there was 8 a male with a machete in the street and -- even though this is of course entirely incorrect -- briefing them 9 10 "Police attended male strikes one with a machete", and to allow the witness to see if that is able to jog her 11 12 memory. I should say also Conrad Trickett confirmed in 13 his evidence that Chief Superintendent Garry McEwan gave 14 him that information.

15 Then I would like to ask about community 16 reassurance, in particular the issue about terrorism 17 related -- the question of whether or not the incident 18 was terrorism related.

19 The witness has made it clear that this was 20 something that she was being asked not only by the 21 people that she was meeting, the lay advisers, but also 22 by the police. She gave that as one of the reasons in 23 her answers. Having read back her answer, 24 unfortunately -- and it starts at [draft] 114/11 -- her 25 answer does not seem clear, other than -- her answer

doesn't seem clear as to why she did not take active
 steps, on hearing those questions they did ask, to do
 something.

The answer that she's given is because PIRC were in charge, but my question then for this witness would be: okay, PIRC was in charge; why wasn't there some communication, official communication with PIRC asking them what the situation was?

9 The issue about whether or not this was a terrorist 10 related incident, and given the timing around which it 11 happened, was clearly a matter of significant importance 12 to the public and to the police, and as a result of that 13 I think that matter should be explored.

14And just for sake of reference, it was earlier at15[draft] 115, line 10, where she said:

16 "Answer: Again, the point with CT, I think you
17 already highlighted it, it came through the staff as
18 well so it wasn't a singular group that were asking that
19 question and ultimately I wasn't in a position to answer
20 that, but I think it was becoming widely known in the
21 organisation that it had been asked, both with staff and
22 elected members, clearly."

23 [Day 51, page 124, line 15]

24 Moving then on, I would like to ask this witness 25 about lay advisers. The Inquiry has heard that she

1 doubted that questions had been asked of her by the lay adviser, and there is an entry at PS03139, at point 7, 2 which says: 3 "CIA review (CI Shepherd)." 4 5 Then it says: "On 4/5/2015 [so that would be the next day] after 6 7 brief of lay adviser and elected representatives, 8 an agreement of forms of words has to be signed for 9 Police Scotland." 10 So that would tend to suggest that the person that did speak to the lay adviser was this witness, and to 11 12 allow her the opportunity to consider that. 13 Finally moving on to the issue of race, although 14 there are one or two different things under that 15 heading. My learned friend took this witness to race training, and what I would like to ask this witness is 16 17 whether or not any of the information that was given to 18 her by the person that was giving out advice on minority 19 groups and whether or not the information that was given 20 to her that day was already known to her. 21 We have a community, I suppose, the Muslim 22 community, the second largest in Scotland after the Christian community, and these are things which are very 23 generic in their terms, and it would be interesting to 24 25 see, when we're probing whether or not this witness does

1 have an understanding of these communities, which she highlighted at the end was important to have, in her 2 3 role as SIO, whether or not she knew these sorts of things in any event. So it was to ask her that. 4 5 One slight clarification, and I think it's just clearing up the way she said it, at [draft] page 153, 6 7 line 4, it was put to the witness: 8 "Question: So this document appears to suggest that Mr Bayoh was Muslim but perhaps not practising, but 9 10 I understand your evidence to be that that was never confirmed to you in terms? 11 12 "Answer: Not by the family, and I haven't seen that 13 document --14 [Day 51, page 165, line 18] 15 So what I would just like to make clear was that the family was in fact not asked whether or not Mr Bayoh was 16 17 practising. 18 Those are my questions. 19 LORD BRACADALE: Just going back to the second last one, I'm 20 not sure I understood the point. 21 MS MITCHELL: Oh, sorry. Is it the race training one? LORD BRACADALE: Yes, it's the race training one. Can you 22 just tell me a bit more about that? 23 MS MITCHELL: Yes. What this witness has said -- at the end 24 of her evidence, in fact, if we scroll back up -- she 25

1 was asked whether or not she's been able to put her race 2 training, equality, diversity into practice and how that 3 works; and she explained it was important to her in her 4 various roles that she's taken and why she needs to know 5 about that as an SIO investigating crime, dealing with trafficking victims, et cetera. And what I would like 6 7 to explore with this witness were the advice that she 8 was given by -- I can't remember the lady's surname, 9 Gillian ... 10 LORD BRACADALE: Boulton. MS MITCHELL: Boulton, thank you. Gillian Boulton. Was 11 12 that advice that she was given, this very basic generic 13 advice in relation to Muslims, something that was 14 already known to her at that stage in her career? Just 15 to test to see what the level of training had with this 16 witness. LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. 17 18 Now, you can return to your seat, please, Ms Mitchell. 19 20 MS MITCHELL: I'm obliged. 21 LORD BRACADALE: Mr Henry. Rule 9 Application by MR HENRY 22 LORD BRACADALE: Yes. 23 MR HENRY: Thank you, sir. There are two issues which 24 25 I would wish to raise with the witness.

1 The first refers to the minutes of the lay advisers 2 subgroup meeting on 22 May 2015, which is document 3 PS08934. Sir, there is an entry on the second page of 4 that document, at the second full paragraph, where it's 5 stated that: 6 "Mr Sayed, one of the lay advisers, had gone on to

say that he had links to the African community in
Glasgow, and that certain sections of that community
were concerned that the police had done something
wrong."

11 So I would wish to ask the witness what she 12 understood the concerns of the African community to be 13 and what steps, if any, were taken to address those 14 concerns of that community.

15 The second matter that I would wish to address, sir, 16 is: more generally, there has been a great deal of 17 evidence before the Inquiry from this witness and to 18 this witness in relation to steps taken to address 19 concerns and the impact on the Muslim or Islamic 20 community.

I would wish to ask questions of this witness, sir, about whether she considered that sufficient focus was placed on any concerns that the black or African communities may have, separately to concerns held by the Muslim community; whether she understands whether those

communities may have additional or separate concerns 1 2 about the death of a man following an incident with 3 police; and whether she considers that there was sufficient focus on the matter of race or ethnicity, as 4 5 opposed to religion, in the equality and diversity considerations. 6 7 LORD BRACADALE: Thank you. Please return to your seat. I'll consider these submissions overnight. If you 8 9 can bring the witness back in, I'll explain to her she'll need to come back. 10 (In the presence of the witness) 11 12 LORD BRACADALE: Superintendent Shepherd, there may be some further questions for you, which we will deal with 13 14 tomorrow morning. So if you could return for 10 o'clock 15 tomorrow morning. (4.11 pm) 16 17 (The hearing adjourned until 10 am 18 on Friday, 17 March 2023) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	DETECTIVE SUPERINTENDENT INSPECTOR1
4	NICOLA SHEPHERD (continued)
5	
6	Questions from MS THOMSON(continued)1
7	
8	Rule 9 Application by MS MITCHELL
9	
10	Rule 9 Application by MR HENRY179
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	